

**INEQUALITIES OF HERMITE-HADAMARD-FEJÉR
TYPE FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS
ON THE CO-ORDINATES IN A RECTANGLE FROM THE PLANE**

Kuei-Lin Tseng, J. Pečarić, Shiow-Ru Hwang and Yi-Liang Chen

Abstract. In this paper, we establish some inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type for convex functions and convex functions on the co-ordinates defined in a rectangle from the plane.

1. INTRODUCTION

If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a convex function, then

$$(1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}$$

is known as Hermite-Hadamard inequality [6].

In [5], Fejér established the following weighted generalization of the inequalities (1):

Theorem A. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a convex function, then the inequality*

$$(2) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b w(x) dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) w(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \int_a^b w(x) dx$$

holds, where $w : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is nonnegative, integrable and symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$.

For some results which generalize, improve and extend the inequalities (1) and (2) see [1 – 17].

Received March 26, 2006, accepted October 14, 2006.

Communicated by H. M. Srivastava.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26D15.

Key words and phrases: Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Fejér inequality, Convex function, Convex function on the co-ordinates.

In [11, Remark 6] and [14, Theorem 1], Yang and Tseng proved the following two theorems which refine the inequality (2).

Theorem B. *Let f and w be defined as in Theorem 1. If $P : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ are defined by*

$$(3) \quad P(t) := \int_a^b f \left[tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2} \right] w(x) dx$$

then P is convex, increasing on $[0, 1]$ and, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \int_a^b w(x) dx = P(0) \leq P(t) \leq P(1) = \int_a^b f(x) w(x) dx$$

If we choose $w(x) \equiv \frac{1}{b-a}$ in Theorem B, then

$$(4) \quad P(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f \left[tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2} \right] dx$$

is reduced to a result established by Dragomir [2].

Theorem C. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ be convex and let $w : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ be positive, integrable and symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$. If $G : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ is defined by*

$$(5) \quad G(t) := \frac{1}{\int_a^b w(x) dx} \int_a^b \int_a^b f [tx + (1-t)y] w(x) w(y) dx dy,$$

then (a) G is convex on $[0, 1]$, symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$, decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} G(t) = G(0) = G(1) = \int_a^b f(x) w(x) dx$$

and

$$\inf_{t \in [0,1]} G(t) = G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\int_a^b w(x) dx} \int_a^b \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) w(x) w(y) dx dy;$$

(b) we have:

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b w(x) dx \leq G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$P(t) \leq G(t) \quad (t \in (0, 1))$$

where P is defined as in (3).

If we choose $w(x) \equiv \frac{1}{b-a}$ in Theorem 3, then

$$(6) \quad G(t) = \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b f [tx + (1-t)y] dx dy$$

is reduced to a result established by Dragomir [2].

Recently Dragomir [4] has proved some results for convex functions and convex functions on the co-ordinates defined in rectangle from the plane related to (1), (4) and (6). In this paper, we shall establish some inequalities for convex functions and convex functions on the co-ordinates defined in rectangle from the plane related to Theorems A-C.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let us consider the bidimensional interval $\Delta = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ in R^2 with $a < b$ and $c < d$. A function $F : \Delta \rightarrow R$ will be called *convex on the co-ordinates on Δ* if the partial mapping $F_y : [a, b] \rightarrow R, F_y(u) := F(u, y)$ is convex on $[a, b]$ for each $y \in [c, d]$, and the partial mapping $F_x : [c, d] \rightarrow R, F_x(v) := F(x, v)$ is convex on $[c, d]$ for each $x \in [a, b]$. A function $H : \Delta \rightarrow R$ will be called *increasing on the co-ordinates on Δ* if the partial mapping $H_y : [a, b] \rightarrow R, H_y(u) := H(u, y)$ is *increasing* on $[a, b]$ for each $y \in [c, d]$, and the partial mapping $H_x : [c, d] \rightarrow R, H_x(v) := H(x, v)$ is *increasing* on $[c, d]$ for each $x \in [a, b]$. A function $g : \Delta \rightarrow R$ will be called *symmetric on the co-ordinates on Δ* if the partial mapping $g_y : [a, b] \rightarrow R, g_y(u) := g(u, y)$ is symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$ for each $y \in [c, d]$, and the partial mapping $g_x : [c, d] \rightarrow R, g_x(v) := g(x, v)$ is symmetric about $\frac{c+d}{2}$ for each $x \in [a, b]$.

The following theorems hold:

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \leq \gamma, \rho \leq 1$. If $F : \Delta \rightarrow R$ is convex on the co-ordinates and $g : \Delta \rightarrow R$ is nonnegative, integrable and symmetric on the co-ordinates, then the inequality*

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dy dx \\ & \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\gamma F\left(x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + (1-\gamma) F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, y\right) \right] g(x, y) dy dx \\ & \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y) g(x, y) dy dx \\ & \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (F(x, c) + F(x, d)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1-\rho}{2} (F(a, y) + F(b, y)) \Big] g(x, y) dydx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} [F(a, c) + F(a, d) + F(b, c) + F(b, d)] \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dydx
\end{aligned}$$

holds. The inequality (8) is sharp.

Proof. Since F is convex on the co-ordinates on Δ and g is nonnegative, integrable and symmetric on the co-ordinates on Δ , we have the identities

$$(8) \quad g(x, v) = g(a + b - x, v) \quad ((x, v) \in \Delta)$$

$$(9) \quad g(u, y) = g(u, c + d - y) \quad ((u, y) \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dydx \\
& = \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\gamma F\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{a+b-x}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (1-\gamma) F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{y}{2} + \frac{c+d-y}{2}\right) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\
& \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\frac{\gamma}{2} \left(F\left(x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + F\left(a+b-x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \left(F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, y\right) + F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c+d-y\right) \right) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\
& = \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{\gamma}{2} \left[F\left(x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) g(x, y) \right. \\
(10) \quad & \quad \left. + F\left(a+b-x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) g(a+b-x, y) \right] dx dy \\
& \quad + \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \left[F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, y\right) g(x, y) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, c+d-y\right) g(x, c+d-y) \right] dy dx \\
& = \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\gamma F\left(x, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) + (1-\gamma) F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, y\right) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\
& = \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\gamma F\left(x, \frac{y}{2} + \frac{c+d-y}{2}\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (1-\gamma) F\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{a+b-x}{2}, y\right) \right] g(x, y) dydx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\frac{\gamma}{2} (F(x, y) + F(x, c + d - y)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1 - \gamma}{2} (F(x, y) + F(a + b - x, y)) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{\gamma}{2} [F(x, y)g(x, y) + F(x, c + d - y)g(x, c + d - y)] dydx \\
 &\quad + \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{1 - \gamma}{2} [F(x, y)g(x, y) + F(a + b - x, y)g(a + b - x, y)] dx dy \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y)g(x, y) dydx.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x, y \in [a, b]$ then $0 \leq \frac{b-x}{b-a}, \frac{x-a}{b-a}, \frac{d-y}{d-c}, \frac{y-c}{d-c} \leq 1, \frac{b-x}{b-a} + \frac{x-a}{b-a} = 1, \frac{d-y}{d-c} + \frac{y-c}{d-c} = 1, x = \frac{b-x}{b-a}a + \frac{x-a}{b-a}b, a + b - x = \frac{x-a}{b-a}a + \frac{b-x}{b-a}b, y = \frac{d-y}{d-c}c + \frac{y-c}{d-c}d$ and $c + d - y = \frac{y-c}{d-c}c + \frac{d-y}{d-c}d$. It follows from the above conclusions, the convexity of F on the co-ordinates on Δ and the identities (9) and (10), that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y)g(x, y) dydx \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{\rho}{2} [F(x, y) + F(x, c + d - y)] g(x, y) dydx \\
 &\quad + \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{1 - \rho}{2} [F(x, y) + F(a + b - x, y)] g(x, y) dx dy \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{\rho}{2} \left[F\left(x, \frac{d-y}{d-c}c + \frac{y-c}{d-c}d\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + F\left(x, \frac{y-c}{d-c}c + \frac{d-y}{d-c}d\right) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\
 (11) \quad &+ \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{1 - \rho}{2} \left[F\left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}a + \frac{x-a}{b-a}b, y\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + F\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}a + \frac{b-x}{b-a}b, y\right) \right] g(x, y) dx dy \\
 &\leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{\rho}{2} \left[\frac{d-y}{d-c}F(x, c) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{y-c}{d-c}F(x, d) + \frac{y-c}{d-c}F(x, c) + \frac{d-y}{d-c}F(x, d) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\
 &\quad + \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{1 - \rho}{2} \left[\frac{b-x}{b-a}F(a, y) \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{x-a}{b-a} F(b, y) + \frac{x-a}{b-a} F(a, y) + \frac{b-x}{b-a} F(b, y) \Big] g(x, y) dx dy \\
& = \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (F(x, c) + F(x, d)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1-\rho}{2} (F(a, y) + F(b, y)) \right] g(x, y) dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\frac{\rho}{2} (F(x, c) + F(x, d)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1-\rho}{2} (F(a, y) + F(b, y)) \right] g(x, y) dy dx \\
(12) \quad & \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\frac{\rho}{4} (F(a, c) + F(b, c) + F(a, d) + F(b, d)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1-\rho}{4} (F(a, c) + F(a, d) + F(b, c) + F(b, d)) \right] g(x, y) dy dx \\
& = \frac{1}{4} [F(a, c) + F(a, d) + F(b, c) + F(b, d)] \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (10)-(12), we get (7).

If in (7) we choose $F(x, y) = xy$ and $g(x, y) \equiv 1$ ($(x, y) \in \Delta$), then the inequality (7) becomes an equality, which shows that the inequality (7) is sharp. This completes the proof.

Remark 1. Let f and w be defined as in Theorem 1. If we choose $\gamma = \rho = 1$, $F(x, y) = \frac{f(x)}{d-c}$ and $g(x, y) = w(x)$ ($(x, y) \in \Delta$), then Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem A.

Remark 2. In Theorem 1, if we choose $\gamma = \rho = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)}$ ($(x, y) \in \Delta$), then Theorem 1 reduces to a result established by Dragomir [4, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2. Let F and g be defined as in Theorem 1 and let $H : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow R$ be defined by

$$(13) \quad H(t, s) := \int_a^b \int_c^d F\left(tx + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}, sy + (1-s)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) g(x, y) dy dx.$$

Then:

- (a) The function H is convex on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$.
- (b) The function H is increasing on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$,

$$\sup_{(t,s) \in [0,1]^2} H(t, s) = H(1, 1) = \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y) g(x, y) dydx$$

and

$$\inf_{(t,s) \in [0,1]^2} H(t, s) = H(0, 0) = F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dydx.$$

Proof. (a) Fix $s \in [0, 1]$. Since F is convex on the co-ordinates on Δ and g is nonnegative on Δ , we have for $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2, s) \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F\left(\left(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2\right)x + \left(1 - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2\right)\frac{a+b}{2}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. sy + \left(1 - s\right)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) g(x, y) dydx \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F\left(\alpha\left(t_1x + \left(1 - t_1\right)\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta\left(t_2x + \left(1 - t_2\right)\frac{a+b}{2}\right), sy + \left(1 - s\right)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \times g(x, y) dydx \\ &\leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\alpha F\left(t_1x + \left(1 - t_1\right)\frac{a+b}{2}, sy + \left(1 - s\right)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta F\left(t_2x + \left(1 - t_2\right)\frac{a+b}{2}, sy + \left(1 - s\right)\frac{c+d}{2}\right)\right] g(x, y) dydx \\ &= \alpha H(t_1, s) + \beta H(t_2, s). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if t is fixed in $[0, 1]$, then for $s_1, s_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, we have

$$H(t, \alpha s_1 + \beta s_2) \leq \alpha H(t, s_1) + \beta H(t, s_2)$$

and the statement is proved.

(b) Since F is convex on the co-ordinates on Δ and g is nonnegative, integrable and symmetric on the co-ordinates on Δ , using the identities (9) and (10), we have, for all $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(t, s) \\
&= \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} \left[F \left(tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}, sy + (1-s) \frac{c+d}{2} \right) + \right. \\
(14) \quad & \left. F \left(tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}, s(c+d-y) + (1-s) \frac{c+d}{2} \right) \right] g(x, y) dy dx \\
&\geq \int_a^b \int_c^d F \left(tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) g(x, y) dy dx \\
&= H(t, 0)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(t, s) \\
&= \int_c^d \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} \left[F \left(tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}, sy + (1-s) \frac{c+d}{2} \right) \right. \\
(15) \quad & \left. + F \left(t(a+b-x) + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}, sy + (1-s) \frac{c+d}{2} \right) \right] g(x, y) dx dy \\
&\geq \int_c^d \int_a^b F \left(tx + (1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}, sy + (1-s) \frac{c+d}{2} \right) g(x, y) dx dy \\
&= H(0, s).
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq 1$, then, for all $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$, it follows from the convexity of H on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$, (14) and (15) that

$$\frac{H(t_2, s) - H(t_1, s)}{t_2 - t_1} \geq \frac{H(t_1, s) - H(0, s)}{t_1 - 0} \geq 0$$

and

$$\frac{H(t, s_2) - H(t, s_1)}{s_2 - s_1} \geq \frac{H(t, s_1) - H(t, 0)}{s_1 - 0} \geq 0$$

which show that H is increasing on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$. Hence

$$\sup_{(t,s) \in [0,1]^2} H(t, s) = H(1, 1) = \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y) g(x, y) dy dx$$

and

$$\inf_{(t,s) \in [0,1]^2} H(t, s) = H(0, 0) = F \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2} \right) \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dy dx.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3. In Theorem 2, if we choose $g(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)}$, then Theorem 2 reduces to a result established by Dragomir [4, Theorem 2].

Remark 4. Let f and w be defined as in Theorem B. In Theorem 2, if we choose $F(x, y) = \frac{f(x)}{d-c}$ and $g(x, y) = w(x)$ $((x, y) \in \Delta)$, then $H(t, s)$ reduces to (3).

Theorem 3. Let g be defined as in Theorem 1 and let $F : \Delta \rightarrow R$ be convex. Then:

(a) H is convex on $[0, 1]^2$ where H is defined as in (13).

(b) Define $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ by $h(t) := H(t, t)$. Then h is convex, increasing on $[0, 1]$,

$$(16) \quad \sup_{t \in [0,1]} h(t) = h(1) = \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y) g(x, y) dydx$$

and

$$(17) \quad \inf_{t \in [0,1]} h(t) = h(0) = F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dydx.$$

Proof. (a) Since F is convex and g is nonnegative, we have for $(t_1, s_1), (t_2, s_2) \in [0, 1]^2$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\alpha(t_1, s_1) + \beta(t_2, s_2)) \\ &= H(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2, \alpha s_1 + \beta s_2) \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F\left((\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)x + (1 - (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2))\frac{a+b}{2}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. (\alpha s_1 + \beta s_2)y + (1 - (\alpha s_1 + \beta s_2))\frac{c+d}{2}\right) g(x, y) dydx \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F\left(\alpha\left(t_1x + (1 - t_1)\frac{a+b}{2}, s_1y + (1 - s_1)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \beta\left(t_2x + (1 - t_2)\frac{a+b}{2}, s_2y + (1 - s_2)\frac{c+d}{2}\right)\right) g(x, y) dydx \\ &\leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left[\alpha F\left(t_1x + (1 - t_1)\frac{a+b}{2}, s_1y + (1 - s_1)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \beta F\left(t_2x + (1 - t_2)\frac{a+b}{2}, s_2y + (1 - s_2)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right] g(x, y) dydx \\ &= \alpha H(t_1, s_1) + \beta H(t_2, s_2), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that H is convex on $[0, 1]^2$.

(b) Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & h(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\ &= H(\alpha(t_1, t_1) + \beta(t_2, t_2)) \\ &\leq \alpha H(t_1, t_1) + \beta H(t_2, t_2) \\ &= \alpha h(t_1) + \beta h(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that h is convex on $[0, 1]$. By Theorem 2, we have that, for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$,

$$h(t_1) = H(t_1, t_1) \leq H(t_2, t_1) \leq H(t_2, t_2) = h(t_2)$$

which show that h is increasing on $[0, 1]$. Since h is increasing on $[0, 1]$, (16) and (17) hold. This completes the proof.

Remark 5. In Theorem 3, if we choose $g(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)}$, then Theorem 10 reduces to a result established by Dragomir [4, Theorem 3].

Theorem 4. Let F and g be defined as in Theorem 1 and let $K : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow R$ with

$$(18) \quad K(t, s) := \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d F(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)u) g(x, z) g(y, u) dzdudxdy.$$

Then:

(a) K is symmetric on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$ and convex on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$.

(b) $K(\cdot, s)$ is decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$,
 $K(t, \cdot)$ is decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \inf_{(t,s) \in [0,1]^2} K(t, s) = K\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{z+u}{2}\right) g(x, z) g(y, u) dzdudxdy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{(t,s) \in [0,1]^2} K(t, s) = K(0, 0) = K(1, 1) \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, z) g(x, z) dzdx \cdot \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, z) dzdx. \end{aligned}$$

(c) For all $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K(t, s) \\
 & \geq \max \{H(t, s), H(1-t, s), H(t, 1-s), H(1-t, 1-s)\} \\
 (21) \quad & \times \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, z) dz dx \\
 & \geq F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dy dx\right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

where H is defined as in (13).

Proof. (a) From the definition of K , it is obvious that K is symmetric on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$. Using a similar argument as the proof of Theorem 2, we have that K is convex on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$.

(b) Since F is convex on the co-ordinates on Δ and g is nonnegative on Δ , we have, for $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K(t, s) \\
 & = \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} [F(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)u) + \\
 (22) \quad & F(tx + (1-t)y, (1-s)z + su)] g(x, z) g(y, u) dz du dx dy \\
 & \geq \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d F\left(tx + (1-t)y, \frac{z+u}{2}\right) g(x, z) g(y, u) dz du dx dy \\
 & = K\left(t, \frac{1}{2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K(t, s) \\
 & = \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} [F(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)u) + \\
 (23) \quad & F((1-t)x + ty, sz + (1-s)u)] g(x, z) g(y, u) dz du dx dy \\
 & \geq \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, sz + (1-s)u\right) g(x, z) g(y, u) dz du dx dy \\
 & = K\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq s_3 < s_4 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq t_3 < t_4 \leq 1$, then it follows from (23), (24) and the convexity of K on the co-ordinates on $[0, 1]^2$ that

$$\frac{K(t, s_2) - K(t, s_1)}{s_2 - s_1} \leq \frac{K(t, \frac{1}{2}) - K(t, s_1)}{\frac{1}{2} - s_1} \leq 0, t \in [0, 1],$$

$$\frac{K(t, s_4) - K(t, s_3)}{s_4 - s_3} \geq \frac{K(t, s_4) - K(t, \frac{1}{2})}{s_4 - \frac{1}{2}} \geq 0, t \in [0, 1],$$

$$\frac{K(t_2, s) - K(t_1, s)}{t_2 - t_1} \leq \frac{K(\frac{1}{2}, s) - K(t_1, s)}{\frac{1}{2} - t_1} \leq 0, s \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\frac{K(t_4, s) - K(t_3, s)}{t_4 - t_3} \geq \frac{K(t_4, s) - K(\frac{1}{2}, s)}{t_4 - \frac{1}{2}} \geq 0, s \in [0, 1]$$

which show that $K(t, \cdot)$, $K(\cdot, s)$ are decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $K(t, \cdot)$, $K(\cdot, s)$ are increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ for $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$. Hence (19) and (20) hold.

(c) By the definition of g , we have the identities (8) and (9) again, hence we get, for $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, s) &= \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} [F(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)u) + \\ &\quad F(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)(c+d-u))] g(x, z) \\ &\quad g(y, u) dudzdx dy \\ &\geq \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d F\left(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \\ &\quad g(x, z) g(y, u) dudzdx dy \\ (24) \quad &= \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} \left[F\left(tx + (1-t)y, sz + (1-s)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + F\left(tx + (1-t)(a+b-y), sz + (1-s)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad g(x, z) g(y, u) dydudzdx \\ &\geq \int_a^b \int_c^d F\left(tx + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}, sz + (1-s)\frac{c+d}{2}\right) \\ &\quad g(x, z) dzdx \cdot \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, z) dzdx \\ &= H(t, s) \cdot \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, z) dzdx. \end{aligned}$$

From the conclusion in (a), it follows that

$$(25) \quad K(t, s) = K(1 - t, s) = K(t, 1 - s) = K(1 - t, 1 - s)$$

for all $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$. Therefore, by the inequality (24), the identity (25) and the conclusion of Theorem 2, we deduce the inequality (21). This completes the proof.

Remark 6. In Theorem 4, if we choose $g(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)}$, then Theorem 4 reduces to a result established by Dragomir [4, Theorem 4].

Remark 7. Let f and w be defined as in Theorem C. In Theorem 4, if we choose $F(x, y) = \frac{f(x)}{(d-c)^2 \int_a^b w(x) dx}$ and $g(x, y) = w(x)$ ($(x, y) \in \Delta$), then Theorem 4 reduces to Theorem C.

Theorem 5. Let F and g be defined as in Theorem 3 and let $k : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ with $k(t) := K(t, t)$ where K is defined as in (18). Then:

- (a) K is convex on $[0, 1]^2$ and k is convex on $[0, 1]$.
- (b) k is decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} k(t) &= k(0) = k(1) \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d F(x, y) g(x, y) dy dx \cdot \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t \in [0,1]} k(t) &= k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_c^d \int_c^d F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{z+u}{2}\right) g(x, z) g(y, u) dz du dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) For all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$k(t) \geq \max\{h(t), h(1-t)\} \cdot \int_a^b \int_c^d g(x, y) dy dx$$

where h is defined as in Theorem 3.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.

Remark 8. In Theorem 5, if we choose $g(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)}$, then Theorem 5 reduces to a result established by Dragomir [4, Theorem 5].

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Brenner and H. Alzer, Integral inequalities for concave functions with applications to special functions, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A*, **118** (1991), 173-192.
2. S. S. Dragomir, Two mappings in connection to Hadamard's inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **167** (1992), 49-56.
3. S. S. Dragomir, Y. J. Cho and S. S. Kim, Inequalities of Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mappings and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **245** (2000), 489-501.
4. S. S. Dragomir, On the Hadamard's inequality for convex functions of the co-ordinates in a rectangle from the plane, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **5(4)** (2001), 775-788.
5. L. Fejér, Über die Fourierreihen, II, *Math. Naturwiss. Anz Ungar. Akad. Wiss.*, **24** (1906), 369-390. (In Hungarian).
6. J. Hadamard, Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **58** (1893), 171-215.
7. K. C. Lee and K. L. Tseng, On a weighted generalization of Hadamard's inequality for G-convex functions, *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Math. Sci.*, **16(1)** (2000), 91-104.
8. M. Matić and J. Pečarić, Note on inequalities of Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mappings, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **32(2)** (2001), 127-130.
9. C. E. M. Pearce and J. Pečarić, On some inequalities of Brenner and Alzer for concave functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **198** (1996), 282-288
10. K. L. Tseng, S. R. Hwang and S. S. Dragomir, On some new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type involving convex functions, *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **8(4)** (2005) Article 9. <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/>
11. K. L. Tseng, G. S. Yang and S. Dragomir, On quasi convex functions and Hadamard's inequality, *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **6(3)** (2003), Article 1. <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/>
12. K. L. Tseng, G. S. Yang and S. Dragomir, Hadamard inequalities for Wright-Convex functions, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, **XXXVII(3)** (2004), 525-532.
13. G. S. Yang and M. C. Hong, A note on Hadamard's inequality, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **28(1)** (1997), 33-37.
14. G. S. Yang and K. L. Tseng, On certain integral inequalities related to Hermite-Hadamard inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **239** (1999), 180-187.
15. G. S. Yang and K. L. Tseng, Inequalities of Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **260** (2001), 230-238.
16. G. S. Yang and K. L. Tseng, On certain multiple integral inequalities related to Hermite-Hadamard inequalities, *Utilitas Math.*, **62** (2002), 131-142.
17. G. S. Yang and K. L. Tseng, Inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type for convex functions and Lipschitzian functions, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **7(3)** (2003), 433-440.

Kuei-Lin Tseng
Department of Mathematics,
Aletheia University,
Tamsui 25103,
Taiwan
E-mail: khseng@email.au.edu.tw

J. Pečarić
Faculty of Textile Technology,
University of Zagreb,
Pierottijeva 6,
10000 Zagreb,
Croatia
E-mail: pecaric@hazu.hr

Shiow-Ru Hwang
China Institute of Technology,
Nankang, Taipei 11522,
Taiwan
E-mail: hsru@cc.chit.edu.tw

Yi-Liang Chen
Graduate Institute of Sport Technique,
Taipei Physical Education College,
Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail: yiliang@tpec.edu.tw