TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 517-540, April 2010 This paper is available online at http://www.tjm.nsysu.edu.tw/

SHRINKING PROJECTION METHOD OF PROXIMAL-TYPE FOR A GENERALIZED EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM, A MAXIMAL MONOTONE OPERATOR AND A PAIR OF RELATIVELY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

Yeong-Cheng Liou

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and consider a shrinking projection method of proximal-type for finding a common element of the set EP of solutions of a generalized equilibrium problem, the set $F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S})$ of common fixed points of a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings S,\widetilde{S} and the set $T^{-1}0$ of zeros of a maximal monotone operator T in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. It is proven that under appropriate conditions, the sequence generated by the shrinking projection method of proximal-type, converges strongly to some point in $EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0$. This new result represents the improvement, generalization and development of the previously known ones in the literature.

1. Introduction

Let E be a real Banach space with the dual E^* and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. We denote by J the normalized duality mapping from E to 2^{E^*} defined by

$$Jx = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = ||x||^2 = ||x^*||^2\}, \quad \forall x \in E,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. Recall that if E is smooth then J is single-valued and norm-to-weak* continuous, and that if E is uniformly smooth,

Received November 1, 2008, accepted April 15, 2009.

Communicated by J. C. Yao.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J30, 49J40, 47J25, 47H09.

Key words and phrases: Generalized equilibrium problem, Relatively nonexpansive mapping, Maximal monotone operator, Shrinking projection method of proximal-type, Strong convergence, Uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space.

This research was partially supported by the grant NSC 98-2622-E-230-006-CC3 and NSC 98-2923-E-110-003-MY3.

then J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of E. We shall still denote by J the single-valued duality mapping. Let $A:C\to E^*$ be a nonlinear mapping and $f:C\times C\to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction, where \mathcal{R} denotes the sets of real numbers. In this paper we consider the following generalized equilibrium problem of finding $u\in C$ such that

$$(1.1) f(u,y) + \langle Au, y - u \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

The set of solutions of (1.1) is denoted by EP, i.e.,

$$EP = \{ u \in C : f(u, y) + \langle Au, y - u \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in C \}.$$

Whenever E = H a Hilbert space, problem (1.1) was introduced and studied by Takahashi and Takahashi [14]. We remark that problem (1.1) and related problems have been extensively studied recently. See, e.g., [31-50].

In the case of $A \equiv 0$, problem (1.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in C$ such that

$$f(u,y) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

which is called the equilibrium problem. The set of its solutions is denoted by EP(f).

In the case of $f \equiv 0$, problem (1.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in C$ such that

$$\langle Au, y - u \rangle > 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

which is called the variational inequality of Browder type. The set of its solutions is denoted by VI(C, A).

The problem (1.1) is very general in the sense that it includes, as spacial cases, optimization problems, variational inequalities, minimax problems, the Nash equilibrium problem in noncooperative games and others; see, e.g., [18,29]. A mapping $S:C\to E$ is called nonexpansive if $\|Sx-Sy\|\le \|x-y\|$ for all $x,y\in C$. Denote by F(S) the set of fixed points of S, that is, $F(S)=\{x\in C:Sx=x\}$. A mapping $A:C\to E^*$ is called α -inverse-strongly monotone, if there exists an $\alpha>0$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \ge \alpha ||Ax - Ay||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

It is easy to see that if $A:C\to E^*$ is an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, then it is $1/\alpha$ -Lipschitzian.

Very recently, motivated by Takahashi and Zembayashi [11], Chang [28] proved the following strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions to the generalized equilibrium problem (1.1) and the set of common fixed points of a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space.

Theorem 1.1. (see [28, Theorem 3.1]). Let E be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $A: C \to E^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $f: C \times C \to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the following conditions (A1)-(A4):

- (A1) f(x,x) = 0 for all $x \in C$,
- (A2) f is monotone, i.e., $f(x,y) + f(y,x) \le 0$, for all $x,y \in C$,
- (A3) for all $x, y, z \in C$, $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} f(tz + (1-t)x, y) \le f(x, y)$,
- (A4) for all $x \in C$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Let $S, \widetilde{S}: C \to C$ be two relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap EP \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$(1.2) \begin{cases} x_{0} \in C, \ C_{0} = C; \\ z_{n} = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n}Jx_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n})JSx_{n}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1}(\beta_{n}Jx_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})J\widetilde{S}z_{n}), \\ u_{n} \in C \text{ such that} \\ f(u_{n}, y) + \langle Au_{n}, y - u_{n} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{n}} \langle y - u_{n}, Ju_{n} - Jy_{n} \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_{n} : \phi(v, u_{n}) \leq \beta_{n}\phi(v, x_{n}) + (1 - \beta_{n})\phi(v, z_{n}) \leq \phi(v, x_{n})\}; \\ x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \ \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi(x,y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2$, $\forall x,y \in E$, $\Pi_C : E \to C$ is the generalized projection operator, $J : E \to E^*$ is the single-valued normalized duality mapping, $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in [0,1] and $\{r_n\} \subset [a,\infty)$ for some a>0. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n (1-\alpha_n) > 0$,
- (ii) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \beta_n(1-\beta_n) > 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap EP}x_0$, where $\Pi_{F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap EP}$ is the generalized projection of E onto $F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap EP$.

Let E be a real Banach space with the dual E^* . A multivalued operator $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ with domain $D(T) = \{z \in E: Tz \neq \emptyset\}$ is called monotone if $\langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$ for each $x_i \in D(T)$ and $y_i \in Tx_i, \ i = 1, 2$. A monotone operator T is called maximal if its graph $G(T) = \{(x,y): y \in Tx\}$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. A method for solving the inclusion $0 \in Tx$ is the proximal point algorithm. Denote by I the identity operator on E = H a Hilbert space. The proximal point algorithm generates, for any initial point $x_0 = x \in H$, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in H, by the iterative scheme

$$x_{n+1} = (I + r_n T)^{-1} x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

where $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in the interval $(0, \infty)$. Note that this iteration is equivalent to

$$0 \in Tx_{n+1} + \frac{1}{r_n}(x_{n+1} - x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This algorithm was first introduced by Martinet [18] and generally studied by Rockafellar [24] in the framework of a Hilbert space. Later many authors studied its convergence in a Hilbert space or a Banach space. See for instance, [7,9,10,13,21,25] and the references therein. On the other hand, Kamimura and Takahashi [12] recently introduced and studied the following proximal-type algorithm for finding an element of $T^{-1}0$ in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space E, which is an extension of Solodov and Svaiter's proximal-type algorithm [26]:

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in E \text{ arbitrarily chosen,} \\ 0 = v_n + \frac{1}{r_n} (Jy_n - Jx_n), \ v_n \in Ty_n, \\ H_n = \{v \in E : \langle v - y_n, v_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ W_n = \{v \in E : \langle v - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{H_n \cap W_n} x_0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in the interval $(0, \infty)$ and J is the normalized duality mapping on E. They derived a strong convergence theorem which extends and improves Solodov and Svaiter's result [26].

Recently, utilizing Nakajo and Takahashi's idea [16], Qin and Su [20] first introduced one iterative algorithm (i.e., modified Ishikawa iteration) for a relatively nonexpansive mapping $S:C\to C$, with C a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space E

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C \text{ arbitrarily chosen,} \\ z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) J S x_n), \\ y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J S z_n), \\ C_n = \{ v \in C : \phi(v, y_n) \le \alpha_n \phi(v, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) \phi(v, z_n) \}, \\ Q_n = \{ v \in C : \langle x_n - v, J x_0 - J x_n \rangle \ge 0 \}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_0. \end{cases}$$

They proved that under appropriate conditions the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by algorithm (1.4), converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(S)}x_0$.

Let E be a real Banach space with the dual E^* . Assume that $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ is a maximal monotone operator and $S: E \to E$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping. Very recently, inspired by algorithms (1.3)-(1.4), Ceng, Petruşel and Wu [27] introduced and studied the following hybrid proximal-type algorithm for finding an element of $F(S) \cap T^{-1}0$ in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach

space E.

(1.5)
$$\begin{cases} x_{0} \in E \text{ arbitrarily chosen,} \\ 0 = v_{n} + \frac{1}{r_{n}} (J\widetilde{x}_{n} - Jx_{n}), \ v_{n} \in T\widetilde{x}_{n}, \\ z_{n} = J^{-1} (\beta_{n}J\widetilde{x}_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})JS\widetilde{x}_{n}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1} (\alpha_{n}J\widetilde{x}_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n})JSz_{n}), \\ H_{n} = \{v \in E : \phi(v, y_{n}) \leq \alpha_{n}\phi(v, \widetilde{x}_{n}) \\ + (1 - \alpha_{n})\phi(v, z_{n}) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_{n}, v_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ W_{n} = \{v \in E : \langle v - x_{n}, Jx_{0} - Jx_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{H_{n} \cap W_{n}}x_{0}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $(0,\infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are sequences in [0,1]. The authors proved that under appropriate conditions the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by algorithm (1.5), converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(S)\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$.

Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space with the dual E^* and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $T:E\to 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator, and $S,\widetilde{S}:C\to C$ be a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings. Let $A:C\to X^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $f:C\times C\to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4). The purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate a shrinking projection method of proximal-type for finding an element of $EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0$, i.e., the following iterative algorithm

algorithm
$$\begin{cases}
x_0 \in C_0 \text{ arbitrarily chosen,} \\
0 = v_n + \frac{1}{r_n} (J\widetilde{x}_n - Jx_n), \ v_n \in T\widetilde{x}_n, \\
u_n \in C \text{ such that} \\
f(u_n, y) + \langle Au_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in C, \\
z_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Ju_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JSu_n), \\
y_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Ju_n + (1 - \beta_n) J\widetilde{S}z_n), \\
C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \le \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) \\
+ + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \le \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \le 0\}, \\
D_{n+1} = \{v \in C : \langle v - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \le 0\}, \\
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ...,
\end{cases}$$
where $C_0 = C_n \{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are sequence.

where $C_0 = C$, $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are sequences in [0, 1].

In this paper, we proposed a shrinking projection method of proximal-type in a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and established some strong convergence results which represent the improvement, generalization and development of the previously known ones in the literature including Solodov and Svaiter [26], Kamimura and Takahashi [12], Qin and Su [20], Ceng, Petruşel and Wu [27] and Chang [28].

In the rest of this paper the symbol \rightharpoonup stands for weak convergence and \rightarrow for strong convergence.

2. Preliminaries

A Banach space E is called strictly convex if $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$ for all $x,y \in E$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $x \neq y$. It is said to be uniformly convex if $x_n - y_n \to 0$ for any two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset E$ such that $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\| = 1$. Let $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ be a unit sphere of E. Then the Banach space E is called smooth if

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each $x, y \in U$. If E is smooth then J is single-valued. We shall still denote the single-valued duality mapping by J.

It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for $x,y\in U$. Recall also that if E is uniformly smooth, then J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of E. A Banach space E is said to have the Kadec-Klee property if for any sequence $\{x_n\}\subset E$, whenever $x_n\to x\in E$ and $\|x_n\|\to \|x\|$, we have $x_n\to x$. It is known that if E is uniformly convex, then E has the Kadec-Klee property; see [8,19] for more details.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $P_C: H \to C$ be the metric projection of H onto C. Then P_C is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes Hilbert spaces and hence, it is not available in more general Banach spaces. Nevertheless, Alber [2] recently introduced a generalized projection operator Π_C in a Banach space E which is an analogue of the metric projection in Hilbert spaces.

Next, we assume that E is a smooth Banach space. Consider the functional defined as in [1,2] by

(2.1)
$$\phi(x,y) = ||x||^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + ||y||^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

It is clear that in a Hilbert space H, (2.1) reduces to $\phi(x,y) = ||x-y||^2$, $\forall x,y \in H$.

The generalized projection $\Pi_C: E \to C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the functional $\phi(y,x)$; that is, $\Pi_C x = \overline{x}$, where \overline{x} is the solution to the minimization problem

(2.2)
$$\phi(\overline{x}, x) = \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x).$$

The existence and uniqueness of the operator Π_C follows from the properties of the functional $\phi(x,y)$ and strict monotonicity of the mapping J (see, e.g., [3]). In a Hilbert space H, $\Pi_C = P_C$. From [2], in uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces, we have

$$(2.3) (\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \le \phi(x, y) \le (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E, and let S be a mapping from C into itself. A point $p \in C$ is called an asymptotically fixed point of S [17] if C contains a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which converges weakly to p such that $Sx_n - x_n \to 0$. The set of asymptotical fixed points of S will be denoted by $\widehat{F}(S)$. A mapping S from C into itself is called relatively nonexpansive [4-6] if $\widehat{F}(S) = F(S)$ and $\phi(p, Sx) < \phi(p, x)$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(S)$.

We remark that if E is a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, then for any $x,y\in E,\ \phi(x,y)=0$ if and only if x=y. It is sufficient to show that if $\phi(x,y)=0$ then x=y. From (2.3), we have $\|x\|=\|y\|$. This implies that $\langle x,Jy\rangle=\|x\|^2=\|y\|^2$. From the definition of J, we have Jx=Jy. Therefore, we have x=y; see [8,19] for more details.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.1. (see [12]). Let E be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences of E. If $\phi(x_n, y_n) \to 0$ and either $\{x_n\}$ or $\{y_n\}$ is bounded, then $x_n - y_n \to 0$.

Lemma 2.2. (see [2,12]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E, let $x \in E$ and let $z \in C$. Then

$$z = \Pi_C x \Leftrightarrow \langle y - z, Jx - Jz \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Lemma 2.3. (see [2,12]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E. Then

$$\phi(x, \Pi_C y) + \phi(\Pi_C y, y) \le \phi(x, y), \quad \forall x \in C \text{ and } y \in E.$$

Lemma 2.4. (see [15]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space E, and let $S: C \to C$ be a relatively nonexpansive mapping. Then F(S) is closed and convex.

The following result is due to Blum and Oettli [22].

Lemma 2.5. (see [22]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E, let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to

 \mathcal{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4), and let r > 0 and $x \in E$. Then, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$f(z,y) + \frac{1}{r}\langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \ge 0$$
, for all $y \in C$.

Motivated by Combettes and Hirstoaga [23] in a Hilbert space, Takahashi and Zembayashi [11] established the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. (see [11]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E, and let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathcal{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4). For r > 0 and $x \in E$, define a mapping $T_r : E \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r(x) = \{ z \in C : f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \ge 0, \text{ for all } y \in C \}$$

for all $x \in E$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) T_r is single-valued;
- (ii) T_r is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, i.e., for all $x, y \in E$,

$$\langle T_r x - T_r y, J T_r x - J T_r y \rangle \le \langle T_r x - T_r y, J x - J y \rangle;$$

- (iii) $F(T_r) = \widehat{F}(T_r) = EP(f);$
- (iv) EP(f) is closed and convex.

Using Lemma 2.6, one has the following result.

Lemma 2.7. (see [11]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E, let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathcal{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4), and let r > 0. Then, for $x \in E$ and $q \in F(T_r)$,

$$\phi(q, T_r x) + \phi(T_r x, x) \le \phi(q, x).$$

Utilizing Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 as above, Chang [28] derived the following result.

Proposition 2.1. (see [28, Lemma 2.5]). Let E be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $A: C \to E^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathcal{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4), and let r > 0. Then there hold the following

(I) for $x \in E$, there exists $u \in C$ such that

$$f(u,y) + \langle Au, y - u \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u, Ju - Jx \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C;$$

(II) if E is additionally uniformly smooth and $K_r: E \to C$ is defined as

(2.4)
$$K_r(x) = \{u \in C : f(u,y) + \langle Au, y - u \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u, Ju - Jx \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in C\}, \quad \forall x \in E,$$

then the mapping K_r has the following properties:

- (i) K_r is single-valued,
- (ii) K_r is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, i.e.,

$$\langle K_r x - K_r y, J K_r x - J K_r y \rangle \le \langle K_r x - K_r y, J x - J y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in E,$$

- (iii) $F(K_r) = \widehat{F}(K_r) = EP$
- (iv) EP is a closed convex subset of C,
- (v) $\phi(p, K_r x) + \phi(K_r x, x) \le \phi(p, x), \forall p \in F(K_r).$

Proof. Define a bifunction $F: C \times C \to \mathcal{R}$ as follows:

$$F(x,y) = f(x,y) + \langle Ax, y - x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Then it is easy to verify that F satisfies the conditions (A1)-(A4). Therefore, The conclusions (I) and (II) of Proposition 2.1 follow immediately from Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7.

3. Main Results

Throughout this section, unless otherwise stated, we assume that $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ is a maximal monotone operator, $S, \widetilde{S}: C \to C$ are a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings, $A: C \to E^*$ is an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $f: C \times C \to \mathcal{R}$ is a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4), where C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space E. In this section, we study the following algorithm

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} x_{0} \in C_{0} \text{ arbitrarily chosen,} \\ 0 = v_{n} + \frac{1}{r_{n}} (J\widetilde{x}_{n} - Jx_{n}), \ v_{n} \in T\widetilde{x}_{n}, \\ u_{n} \in C \text{ such that} \\ f(u_{n}, y) + \langle Au_{n}, y - u_{n} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{n}} \langle y - u_{n}, Ju_{n} - J\widetilde{x}_{n} \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C, \\ z_{n} = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n}Ju_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n})JSu_{n}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1}(\beta_{n}Ju_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})J\widetilde{S}z_{n}), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_{n} : \phi(v, y_{n}) \leq \beta_{n}\phi(v, u_{n}) \\ + (1 - \beta_{n})\phi(v, z_{n}) \leq \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_{n}) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_{n}, v_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in C : \langle v - x_{n}, Jx_{0} - Jx_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_{0}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $C_0 = C$, $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are sequences in [0, 1].

First we investigate the condition under which the algorithm (3.1) is well defined. Rockafellar [30] proved the following result.

Lemma 3.1. (Rockafellar [30]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space and let $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ be a multivalued operator. Then there hold the following

- (i) $T^{-1}0$ is closed and convex if T is maximal monotone such that $T^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) T is maximal monotone if and only if T is monotone with $R(J+rT)=E^*$ for all r>0.

Utilizing this result, we can show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space. If $EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by algorithm (3.1) is well defined.

Proof. First it is easy to see that D_n is a closed and convex subset of C for all $n \ge 1$. Second, let us show that C_n is a closed and convex subset of C for all $n \ge 1$. Indeed, observe that

$$\phi(v, y_n) \le \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2\langle v, (1 - \beta_n) J z_n + \beta_n J u_n - J y_n \rangle \le (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n\|^2 - \|y_n\|^2 + \beta_n \|u_n\|^2$$

and

$$\beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \le \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2\langle v, J\widetilde{x}_n - (1 - \beta_n) J z_n - \beta_n J u_n \rangle \le \|\widetilde{x}_n\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n\|^2 - \beta_n \|u_n\|^2.$$

Hence we have

$$C_{n+1} = \{ v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \le \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n)$$

$$\le \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \le 0 \} = \{ v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n)$$

$$\le \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \} \cap \{ v \in C_n : \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n)$$

$$\le \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n) \} \cap \{ v \in C_n : \langle v - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \le 0 \}$$

$$= \{ v \in C_n : 2 \langle v, (1 - \beta_n) J z_n + \beta_n J \widetilde{x}_n - J y_n \rangle$$

$$\le (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n\|^2 - \|y_n\|^2 + \beta_n \|\widetilde{x}_n\|^2 \}$$

$$\cap \{ v \in C_n : 2 \langle v, J \widetilde{x}_n - (1 - \beta_n) J z_n - \beta_n J u_n \rangle$$

$$\le \|\widetilde{x}_n\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n\|^2 - \beta_n \|u_n\|^2 \}$$

$$\cap \{ v \in C_n : \langle v, v_n \rangle \le \langle \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \}.$$

Thus, this implies that C_n is closed and convex for each $n \ge 1$.

On the other hand, let $w \in EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $w \in EP$, $w \in F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S})$ and $w \in T^{-1}0$. From (3.1), it follows that

$$\phi(w, y_n)
= \phi(w, J^{-1}(\beta_n J u_n + (1 - \beta_n) J \widetilde{S} z_n))
= \|w\|^2 - 2\langle w, \beta_n J u_n + (1 - \beta_n) J \widetilde{S} z_n \rangle + \|\beta_n J u_n + (1 - \beta_n) J \widetilde{S} z_n\|^2
\leq \|w\|^2 - 2\beta_n \langle w, J u_n \rangle - 2(1 - \beta_n) \langle w, J \widetilde{S} z_n \rangle + \beta_n \|u_n\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|\widetilde{S} z_n\|^2
= \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(w, \widetilde{S} z_n)
\leq \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(w, z_n)
= \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(w, J^{-1}(\alpha_n J u_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J S u_n))
= \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) [\|w\|^2 - 2\langle w, \alpha_n J u_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J S u_n \rangle
+ \|\alpha_n J u_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J S u_n\|^2]
\leq \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) [\|w\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle w, J u_n \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle w, J S u_n \rangle
+ \alpha_n \|u_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|S u_n\|^2]
= \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) \phi(w, S u_n)]
\leq \beta_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \phi(w, u_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) \phi(w, u_n)]
= \phi(w, u_n) = \phi(w, K_{T_n} \widetilde{x}_n) \leq \phi(w, \widetilde{x}_n),$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Now, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that there exists $(\widetilde{x}_0, v_0) \in E \times E^*$ such that $0 = v_0 + \frac{1}{r_0}(J\widetilde{x}_0 - Jx_0)$ and $v_0 \in T\widetilde{x}_0$. Since T is monotone, it follows that $\langle \widetilde{x}_0 - w, v_0 \rangle \geq 0$, which implies that $w \in C_1$. Furthermore, it is clear that $w \in D_1 = C$. Then $w \in C_1 \cap D_1$, and therefore $x_1 = \prod_{C_1 \cap D_1} x_0$ is well defined. Suppose that $w \in C_n \cap D_n$ and x_n is well defined for some $n \geq 1$. Again by Lemma 3.1, we deduce that $(\widetilde{x}_n, v_n) \in E \times E^*$ such that $0 = v_n + \frac{1}{r_n}(J\widetilde{x}_n - Jx_n)$ and $v_n \in T\widetilde{x}_n$. Then from the monotonicity of T we conclude that $\langle \widetilde{x}_n - w, v_n \rangle \geq 0$, which implies that $w \in C_{n+1}$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\langle w - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle = \langle w - \prod_{C_n \cap D_n} x_0, Jx_0 - J\prod_{C_n \cap D_n} x_0 \rangle \le 0,$$

which implies that $w \in D_{n+1}$. Consequently, $w \in C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}$. This shows that $EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \subset C_n \cap D_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0$ is well defined. Then, by induction, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.1) is well defined for each integer $n \geq 0$.

Remark 3.1. From the above proof, we obtain that

$$EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \subset C_n \cap D_n$$

for each integer $n \ge 1$.

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. Let $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $(0,\infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences in [0,1] such that

(3.2)
$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} r_n > 0$$
, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n (1-\alpha_n) > 0$ and $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \beta_n (1-\beta_n) > 0$.

Let $EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. If S is uniformly continuous, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by algorithm (3.1) converges strongly to $\Pi_{EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0} x_0$.

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. We claim that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_{n+1}-x_n\|=0$. Indeed, it follows from the definition of D_n that $x_n=\Pi_{D_{n+1}}x_0$. Since $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}\cap D_{n+1}}x_0\in D_{n+1}$, we have

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) \le \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0), \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

Thus $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ is nondecreasing. Also from $x_n = \prod_{D_{n+1}} x_0$ and Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(\Pi_{D_{n+1}} x_0, x_0) \le \phi(w, x_0) - \phi(w, x_n) \le \phi(w, x_0)$$

for each $w \in EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\tilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \subset D_{n+1}$ and for each $n \geq 0$. Consequently, $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ is bounded. Moreover, according to the inequality

$$(\|x_n\| - \|x_0\|)^2 \le \phi(x_n, x_0) \le (\|x_n\| + \|x_0\|)^2,$$

we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Thus, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(x_n, x_0)$ exists. From Lemma 2.3, we derive

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \phi(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{D_{n+1}} x_0)$$

$$\leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0) - \phi(\Pi_{D_{n+1}} x_0, x_0)$$

$$= \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0) - \phi(x_n, x_0)$$

for all $n \ge 0$. This implies that $\phi(x_{n+1},x_n) \to 0$. So it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_{n+1}-x_n\|=0$.

Step 2. We claim that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - Jy_n\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - Ju_n\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - Jz_n\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|Jx_{n+1} - J\widetilde{x}_n\| = 0.$

Indeed, since $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1}$, from the definition of C_{n+1} , we have

(3.3)
$$\phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) \leq \beta_n \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(x_{n+1}, z_n) \\ \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, \widetilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \langle x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \leq 0.$$

Observe that

$$\phi(\Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n, x_n) - \phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) = \|\Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n\|^2 - \|\widetilde{x}_n\|^2 + 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n - \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n, Jx_n \rangle$$

$$\geq 2\langle \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n - \widetilde{x}_n, J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle + 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n - \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n, Jx_n \rangle$$

$$= 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n - \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n, Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle.$$

Since $\Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n \in C_{n+1}$ and $v_n = \frac{1}{r_n}(Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n)$, it follows that

$$\langle \widetilde{x}_n - \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_n, Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle = r_n \langle \widetilde{x}_n - \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_n, v_n \rangle \ge 0$$

and hence that $\phi(\Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n, x_n) \ge \phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n)$. Further, from $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, we have $\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ge \phi(\Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_n, x_n)$, which yields

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ge \phi(\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_n, x_n) \ge \phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n).$$

Then it follows from $\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \to 0$ that $\phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) \to 0$. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\widetilde{x}_n - x_n \to 0$. Since from (3.3) we derive

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, \widetilde{x}_n) - \phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n)$$

$$= \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1}, J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle + \|\widetilde{x}_n\|^2 - (\|\widetilde{x}_n\|^2 - 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n, Jx_n \rangle + \|x_n\|^2)$$

$$= \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1}, J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle + 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n, Jx_n \rangle$$

$$= \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - \|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n, J\widetilde{x}_n - Jx_n \rangle$$

$$-2\langle x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n, Jx_n \rangle + 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n, Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle$$

$$= (\|x_{n+1}\| - \|x_n\|)(\|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n\|) + 2r_n\langle x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle$$

$$-2\langle x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n, Jx_n \rangle + 2\langle \widetilde{x}_n, Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle$$

$$\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|(\|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n\|) + 2\|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n\|\|x_n\| + 2\|\widetilde{x}_n\|\|Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|(\|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n\|) + 2\|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n\|\|x_n\| + 2\|\widetilde{x}_n\|\|Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n\|$$

$$+ \|x_n\|) + 2(\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - \widetilde{x}_n\|)\|x_n\| + 2\|\widetilde{x}_n\|\|Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n\|,$$

we have

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, \widetilde{x}_n) \le \phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) + ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| (||x_{n+1}|| + ||x_n||) + 2(||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + ||x_n - \widetilde{x}_n||) ||x_n|| + 2||\widetilde{x}_n|| ||Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n||.$$

Thus from $\phi(\widetilde{x}_n, x_n) \to 0$, $x_n - \widetilde{x}_n \to 0$ and $x_{n+1} - x_n \to 0$, we know that $\phi(x_{n+1}, \widetilde{x}_n) \to 0$. Consequently, from (3.3) it follows that

(3.4)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, z_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, \widetilde{x}_n) = 0.$$

Utilizing Lemma 2.1 we deduce that

(3.5)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - y_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - u_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - z_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}_n|| = 0.$$

Since J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of E, from (3.5) we get

(3.6)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||Jx_{n+1} - Jy_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||Jx_{n+1} - Ju_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||Jx_{n+1} - Jz_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||Jx_{n+1} - J\widetilde{x}_n|| = 0.$$

Step 3. We claim that

(3.7)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||u_n - Su_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||z_n - \widetilde{S}z_n|| = 0.$$

Indeed, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||Jy_n - Jz_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||Ju_n - Jz_n|| = 0.$$

Also, it follows from (3.1) that

$$Jz_n - Ju_n = (1 - \alpha_n)(JSu_n - Ju_n),$$

and

$$Jy_n - Jz_n = \beta_n(Ju_n - Jz_n) + (1 - \beta_n)(J\widetilde{S}z_n - Jz_n).$$

Thus, we have

$$(1 - \alpha_n) \|JSu_n - Ju_n\| = \|Jz_n - Ju_n\| \to 0,$$

and

$$(1 - \beta_n) \|J\widetilde{S}z_n - Jz_n\| = \|Jy_n - Jz_n - \beta_n(Ju_n - Jz_n)\|$$

$$\leq \|Jy_n - Jz_n\| + \beta_n \|Ju_n - Jz_n\| \to 0.$$

This implies that $||JSu_n - Ju_n|| \to 0$ and $||J\widetilde{S}z_n - Jz_n|| \to 0$. Since J^{-1} is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of E^* , we conclude that $||Su_n - u_n|| \to 0$ and $||\widetilde{S}z_n - z_n|| \to 0$.

Step 4. We claim that
$$\omega_w(\{x_n\}) \subset EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0$$
, where

$$\omega_w(\{x_n\}) := \{\hat{x} \in C : x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \hat{x} \text{ for some subsequence } \{n_k\} \subset \{n\} \text{ with } n_k \uparrow \infty\}.$$

Indeed, since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and E is reflexive, we know that $\omega_w(\{x_n\}) \neq \emptyset$. Take $\hat{x} \in \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ arbitrarily. Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such

that $x_{n_k} \to \hat{x}$. Hence from $x_{n+1} - x_n \to 0$ and (3.5) it follows that $u_n - x_n \to 0$ and $z_n - x_n \to 0$. So we deduce that $u_{n_k} \to \hat{x}$ and $z_{n_k} \to \hat{x}$. Since S and \widetilde{S} are relatively nonexpansive, from (3.7) we obtain that $\hat{x} \in \widehat{F}(S) = F(S)$ and $\hat{x} \in \widehat{F}(\widetilde{S}) = F(\widetilde{S})$. This implies that $\hat{x} \in F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S})$.

Now let us show that $\hat{x} \in T^{-1}0$. Since $x_n - \tilde{x}_n \to 0$, we have that $\tilde{x}_{n_k} \to \hat{x}$. Moreover, since J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of E and $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0$, we obtain

$$v_n = \frac{1}{r_n} (Jx_n - J\widetilde{x}_n) \to 0.$$

It follows from $v_n \in T\widetilde{x}_n$ and the monotonicity of T that

$$\langle z - \widetilde{x}_n, z' - v_n \rangle \ge 0$$

for all $z \in D(T)$ and $z' \in Tz$. This implies that

$$\langle z - \hat{x}, z' \rangle \ge 0$$

for all $z \in D(T)$ and $z' \in Tz$. Thus from the maximality of T, we infer that $\hat{x} \in T^{-1}0$. Therefore, $\hat{x} \in F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0$. Further, let us show that $\hat{x} \in EP$. Since $\widetilde{x}_n - x_n \to 0$ (due to Step 2), from $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$ we know that $\widetilde{x}_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$.

Since J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of E, from $u_n - \tilde{x}_n \to 0$ (due to (3.5)) we derive

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n|| = 0.$$

From $\liminf_{n\to\infty} r_n > 0$, it follows that

(3.8)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n\|}{r_n} = 0.$$

By the definition of $u_n := K_{r_n} \widetilde{x}_n$, we have

$$F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

where

$$F(u_n, y) = f(u_n, y) + \langle Au_n, y - u_n \rangle.$$

Replacing n by n_k , we have from (A2) that

$$\frac{1}{r_{n_k}} \langle y - u_{n_k}, Ju_{n_k} - J\widetilde{x}_{n_k} \rangle \ge -F(u_{n_k}, y) \ge F(y, u_{n_k}), \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Since $y \mapsto f(x,y) + \langle Ax, y-x \rangle$ is convex and lower semicontinuous, it is also weakly lower semicontinuous. Letting $n_k \to \infty$ in the last inequality, from (3.8) and (A4) we have

$$F(y, \hat{x}) \le 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

For t, with $0 < t \le 1$, and $y \in C$, let $y_t = ty + (1-t)\hat{x}$. Since $y \in C$ and $\hat{x} \in C$, then $y_t \in C$ and hence $F(y_t, \hat{x}) \le 0$. So, from (A1) we have

$$0 = F(y_t, y_t) \le tF(y_t, y) + (1 - t)F(y_t, \hat{x}) \le tF(y_t, y).$$

Dividing by t, we have

$$F(y_t, y) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, from (A3) it follows that

$$F(\hat{x}, y) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

So, $\hat{x} \in EP$. Therefore, we obtain that $\omega_w(\{x_n\}) \subset EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0$ by the arbitrariness of \hat{x} .

Step 5. We claim that $\omega_w(\{x_n\}) = \{\Pi_{EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0\}$ and $x_n \to \Pi_{EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$.

Indeed, put $\overline{x}=\Pi_{EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$. From $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}\cap D_{n+1}}x_0$ and $\overline{x}\in EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0\subset C_{n+1}\cap D_{n+1}$, we have $\phi(x_{n+1},x_0)\leq \phi(\overline{x},x_0)$. Now from weakly lower semicontinuity of the norm, we derive for each $\hat{x}\in \omega_w(\{x_n\})$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\hat{x}, x_0) &= \|\hat{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \hat{x}, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} (\|x_{n_k}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_k}, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2) \\ &= \liminf_{k \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_k}, x_0) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_k}, x_0) \\ &\leq \phi(\overline{x}, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of $\Pi_{EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$ that $\hat{x}=\overline{x}$ and hence

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_k}, x_0) = \phi(\overline{x}, x_0).$$

So we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|x_{n_k}\| = \|\overline{x}\|$. Utilizing the Kadec-Klee property of E, we conclude that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$. Since $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is an arbitrary weakly convergent subsequence of $\{x_n\}$, we know that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{EP\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$. This completes the proof.

The following corollaries can be obtained from Theorem 3.1 immediately.

Corollary 3.1. Let E and C be the same as in Theorem 3.1. Let $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator, $f: C \times C \to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4), and $S, \widetilde{S}: C \to C$ be a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $EP(f) \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \ C_0 = C, \\ 0 = v_n + \frac{1}{r_n} (J\widetilde{x}_n - Jx_n), \ v_n \in T\widetilde{x}_n, \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that} \\ f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C, \\ z_n = J^{-1} (\alpha_n Ju_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JSu_n), \\ y_n = J^{-1} (\beta_n Ju_n + (1 - \beta_n) J\widetilde{S}z_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \leq \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \\ \leq \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in C : \langle v - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } \{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty) \text{ and } \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1] \text{ satisfy (3.2)}. \text{ Then } \{x_n\} \text{ converges strongly to } \Pi_{EP(f) \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0} x_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put $A \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1. Then EP = EP(f). Hence from Theorem 3.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

Corollary 3.2. Let E and C be the same as in Theorem 3.1. Let $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator, $A:C\to E^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $S, \widetilde{S}: C \to C$ be a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $VI(C,A) \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \ C_0 = C, \\ 0 = v_n + \frac{1}{r_n} (J\widetilde{x}_n - Jx_n), \ v_n \in T\widetilde{x}_n, \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that} \\ \langle Au_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C, \\ z_n = J^{-1} (\alpha_n Ju_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JSu_n), \\ y_n = J^{-1} (\beta_n Ju_n + (1 - \beta_n) J\widetilde{S}z_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \leq \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \\ \leq \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in C : \langle v - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$
 where $\{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ satisfy (3.2). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{VI(C,A) \cap F(S) \cap F(S) \cap T^{-1}0} x_0. \end{cases}$

strongly to $\Pi_{VI(C,A)\cap F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$.

Put $f \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1. Then EP = VI(C, A). Hence from Theorem 3.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

Corollary 3.3. Let E and C be the same as in Theorem 3.1. Let $A: C \to E^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, $f: C \times C \to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4), and $S, \widetilde{S}: C \to C$ be a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases}
 x_0 \in C, \ C_0 = C, \\
 u_n \in C \text{ such that} \\
 f(u_n, y) + \langle Au_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jx_n \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in C, \\
 z_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Ju_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JSu_n), \\
 y_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n Ju_n + (1 - \beta_n) J\widetilde{S}z_n), \\
 C_{n+1} = \{ v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \le \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) \\
 + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \le \phi(v, x_n) \}, \\
 D_{n+1} = \{ v \in C : \langle v - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \le 0 \}, \\
 x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ...,
\end{cases}$$
where $\{ v_n \} \subset \{ 0, \infty \}$ and $\{ x_n \} \subset \{ 0, 1 \}$ actiofs $\{ 2, 2 \}$. Then $\{ x_n \}$ converges

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ satisfy (3.2). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\prod_{EP \cap F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S})} x_0$.

Proof. Put $T \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1. Then $v_n \equiv 0$ and so $\tilde{x}_n = x_n, \ \forall n \geq 0$. Hence from Theorem 3.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

Corollary 3.4. Let E and C be the same as in Theorem 3.1. Let $T: E \to 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator, and $S, \widetilde{S}: C \to C$ be a pair of relatively nonexpansive mappings such that $F(S) \cap F(\widetilde{S}) \cap T^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_{0} \in C, \ C_{0} = C, \\ 0 = v_{n} + \frac{1}{r_{n}} (J\widetilde{x}_{n} - Jx_{n}), \ v_{n} \in T\widetilde{x}_{n}, \\ u_{n} = \Pi_{C}\widetilde{x}_{n}, \\ z_{n} = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n}Ju_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n})JSu_{n}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1}(\beta_{n}Ju_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})J\widetilde{S}z_{n}), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_{n} : \phi(v, y_{n}) \leq \beta_{n}\phi(v, u_{n}) + (1 - \beta_{n})\phi(v, z_{n}) \\ \leq \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_{n}) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_{n}, v_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in C : \langle v - x_{n}, Jx_{0} - Jx_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_{0}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfy (3.2). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(S)\cap F(\widetilde{S})\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$.

Proof. Put $A \equiv 0$ and $f \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1. Then $u_n = \prod_C \widetilde{x}_n, \ \forall n \geq 0$. Hence from Theorem 3.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

4. APPLICATIONS

Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space. Let $U,\widetilde{U}: E \to 2^{E^*}$ be two maximal monotone operators. For r>0, define the resolvent of U and \widetilde{U} by $J_r=(J+rU)^{-1}J$ and $\widetilde{J}_r=(J+r\widetilde{U})^{-1}J$, respectively. Then, J_r (resp. \widetilde{J}_r) is a single-valued mapping from E to D(U) (resp. from E to $D(\widetilde{U})$). Also, for r>0,

(4.1)
$$U^{-1}0 = F(J_r) \text{ (resp. } \widetilde{U}^{-1}0 = F(\widetilde{J_r})),$$

where $F(J_r)$ (resp. $F(\widetilde{J_r})$) is the set of fixed points of J_r (resp. $\widetilde{J_r}$). We can define, for r>0, the Yosida approximation of U (resp. \widetilde{U}) by $A_r=(J-JJ_r)/r$ (resp. $\widetilde{A_r}=(J-J\widetilde{J_r})/r$). For r>0 and $x\in E$, we know that $A_rx\in UJ_rx$ and $\widetilde{A_r}x\in \widetilde{U}\widetilde{J_r}x$.

Lemma 4.1. Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space, and let $U: E \to 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator with $U^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$. Then there hold the following

- (i) (see [29]) $\phi(z, J_r x) + \phi(J_r x, x) \leq \phi(z, x)$ for all r > 0, $z \in U^{-1}0$ and $x \in E$;
- (ii) (see [28]) $J_r: E \to D(U)$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping.

We are now in a position to apply Theorem 3.1 to proving the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let E be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, r>0 be a positive constant, $A:E\to E^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, and $f:E\times E\to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $T,U,\widetilde{U}:E\to 2^{E^*}$ be three maximal monotone operators such that $EP\cap U^{-1}0\cap \widetilde{U}^{-1}0\cap T^{-1}0\neq\emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in E, \ C_0 = E, \\ 0 = v_n + \frac{1}{r_n} (J\widetilde{x}_n - Jx_n), \ v_n \in T\widetilde{x}_n, \\ u_n \in E \text{ such that} \\ f(u_n, y) + \langle Au_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - J\widetilde{x}_n \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in E, \\ z_n = J^{-1} (\alpha_n Ju_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JJ_r u_n), \\ y_n = J^{-1} (\beta_n Ju_n + (1 - \beta_n) J\widetilde{J}_r z_n), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_n : \phi(v, y_n) \le \beta_n \phi(v, u_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \phi(v, z_n) \\ \le \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_n, v_n \rangle \le 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in E : \langle v - x_n, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \le 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfy (3.2). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\prod_{EP \cap U^{-1} \cap \widetilde{U}^{-1} \cap \widetilde{U}^{-1} \cap T^{-1} \cap X_0}$.

Proof. From (4.1) and Lemma 4.1 it follows that $J_r: E \to D(U)$ and $\widetilde{J}_r: E \to D(\widetilde{U})$ both are relatively nonexpansive mappings and $U^{-1}0 = F(J_r)$, $\widetilde{U}^{-1}0 = F(\widetilde{J}_r)$. Now put $S = J_r$ and $\widetilde{S} = \widetilde{J}_r$ in Theorem 3.1. Then from Theorem 3.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

From Theorem 4.1, we can derive the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1 Let E and r>0 be the same as in Theorem 4.1. Let $A:E\to E^*$ be an α -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $T,U,\widetilde{U}:E\to 2^{E^*}$ be three maximal monotone operators such that $VI(E,A)\cap U^{-1}0\cap \widetilde{U}^{-1}0\cap T^{-1}0\neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_{0} \in E, \ C_{0} = E, \\ 0 = v_{n} + \frac{1}{r_{n}} (J\widetilde{x}_{n} - Jx_{n}), \ v_{n} \in T\widetilde{x}_{n}, \\ u_{n} \in E \text{ such that} \\ \langle Au_{n}, y - u_{n} \rangle + \frac{1}{r_{n}} \langle y - u_{n}, Ju_{n} - J\widetilde{x}_{n} \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in E, \\ z_{n} = J^{-1} (\alpha_{n} Ju_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n}) JJ_{r}u_{n}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1} (\beta_{n} Ju_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) J\widetilde{J}_{r}z_{n}), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_{n} : \phi(v, y_{n}) \leq \beta_{n} \phi(v, u_{n}) + (1 - \beta_{n}) \phi(v, z_{n}) \\ \leq \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_{n}) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_{n}, v_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in E : \langle v - x_{n}, Jx_{0} - Jx_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_{0}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfy (3.2). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{VI(E,A)\cap U^{-1}0\cap \tilde{U}^{-1}0\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$.

Proof. Put $f \equiv 0$ in Theorem 4.1. Then from Theorem 4.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

Corollary 4.2. Let E and r>0 be the same as in Theorem 4.1. Let $f:E\times E\to \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4) and $T,U,\widetilde{U}:E\to 2^{E^*}$ be three maximal monotone operators such that $EP(f)\cap U^{-1}0\cap \widetilde{U}^{-1}0\cap T^{-1}0\neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_{0} \in E, \ C_{0} = E, \\ 0 = v_{n} + \frac{1}{r_{n}} (J\widetilde{x}_{n} - Jx_{n}), \ v_{n} \in T\widetilde{x}_{n}, \\ u_{n} \in E \text{ such that} \\ f(u_{n}, y) + \frac{1}{r_{n}} \langle y - u_{n}, Ju_{n} - J\widetilde{x}_{n} \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in E, \\ z_{n} = J^{-1} (\alpha_{n} Ju_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n}) JJ_{r}u_{n}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1} (\beta_{n} Ju_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) J\widetilde{J}_{r}z_{n}), \\ C_{n+1} = \{v \in C_{n} : \phi(v, y_{n}) \leq \beta_{n} \phi(v, u_{n}) + (1 - \beta_{n}) \phi(v, z_{n}) \\ \leq \phi(v, \widetilde{x}_{n}) \text{ and } \langle v - \widetilde{x}_{n}, v_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ D_{n+1} = \{v \in E : \langle v - x_{n}, Jx_{0} - Jx_{n} \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}} x_{0}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfy (3.2). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{EP(f)\cap U^{-1}0\cap \widetilde{U}^{-1}0\cap T^{-1}0}x_0$.

Proof. Put $A \equiv 0$ in Theorem 4.1. Then from Theorem 4.1 we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ya. I. Alber and S. Reich, An iterative method for solving a class of nonlinear operator equations in Banach spaces, *Panamer. Math. J.*, **4(2)** (1994), 39-54.
- Ya. I. Alber, Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications, in: *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Monotonic* and Accretive Type, A. G. Kartsatos (Ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 15-50.
- 3. Ya. I. Alber and S. Guerre-Delabriere, On the projection methods for fixed point problems, *Analysis (Munich)*, **21** (2001), 17-39.
- 4. D. Butnariu, S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, Asymptotic behavior of relatively nonexpansive operators in Banach spaces, *J. Appl. Anal.*, 7 (2001), 151-174.
- D. Butnariu, S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, Weak convergence of orbits of nonlinear operators in reflexive Banach spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 24 (2003), 489-508.
- Y. Censor and S. Reich, Iterations of paracontractions and firmly nonexpansive operators with applications to feasibility and optimization, *Optimization*, 37 (1996), 323-339.
- L. C. Ceng, T. C. Lai and J. C. Yao, Approximate proximal algorithms for generalized variational inequalities with paramonotonicity and pseudomonotonicity, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 55(6) (2008), 1262-1269.

- 8. I. Cioranescu, *Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
- 9. L. C. Ceng, S. Y. Wu and J. C. Yao, New accuracy criteria for modified approximate proximal point algorithms in Hilbert spaces, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **12(6)** (2008), 1691-1705.
- 10. O. Güler, On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **29** (1991), 403-419.
- 11. W. Takahashi and K. Zembayashi, Strong and weak convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **70(1)** (2009), 45-57.
- 12. S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space, *SIAM J. Optim.*, **13** (2003), 938-945.
- 13. L. C. Ceng and J. C. Yao, Generalized implicit hybrid projection-proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators in Hilbert space, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **12(3)** (2008), 753-766.
- 14. S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorem for a generalized equilibrium problem and a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space, *Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **69** (2008), 1025-1033.
- 15. S. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, A strong convergence theorem for relatively non-expansive mappings in a Banach space, *J. Approx. Theory*, **134** (2005), 257-266.
- 16. K. Nakajo and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **279** (2003), 372-379.
- 17. S. Reich, A weak convergence theorem for the alternating method with Bergman distance, in: *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type*, A. G. Kartsatos (Ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 313-318.
- 18. B. Martinet, Regularisation d'inequations variationnelles par approximations successives, *Rev. Franc. Autom. Inform. Rech. Oper.*, **4** (1970), 154-159.
- 19. W. Takahashi, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Yokohama-Publishers, 2000.
- 20. X. L. Qin and Y. F. Su, Strong convergence theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space, *Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **67** (2007), 1958-1965.
- 21. L. C. Zeng and J. C. Yao, An inexact proximal-type algorithm in Banach spaces, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **135(1)** (2007), 145-161.
- 22. E. Blum and W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, *Math. Stud.*, **63** (1994), 123-145.
- 23. P. L. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga, Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **6** (2005), 117-136.
- 24. R. T. Rockafellar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **14** (1976), 877-898.
- 25. L. C. Ceng and J. C. Yao, Approximate proximal algorithms for generalized variational inequalities with pseudomonotone multifunctions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **213(2)** (2008), 423-438.

- 26. M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter, Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in a Hilbert space, *Math. Program.*, **87** (2000), 189-202.
- 27. L. C. Ceng, A. Petruşel and S. Y. Wu, On hybrid proximal-type algorithms in Banach spaces, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **12(8)** (2008), 2009-2029.
- 28. S. S. Chang, Shrinking projection method for solving generalized equilibrium problem, variational inequality and common fixed point in Banach spaces with applications, *Science in China Series A*, to appear.
- 29. F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence of an iterative sequence for maximal monotone operators in a Banach space, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **3** (2004), 239-249.
- 30. R. T. Rockafellar, On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **149** (1970), 75-88.
- L. C. Zeng and J. C. Yao, Strong Convergence Theorem by an Extragradient Method for Fixed Point problems and Variational Inequality Problems, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 10 (2006), 1293-1303.
- 32. S. Schaible, J. C. Yao and L. C. Zeng, A Proximal Method for Pseudomonotone Type Variational-Like Inequalities, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*,, **10** (2006), 497-513.
- 33. L. C. Zeng, L. J. Lin and J. C. Yao, Auxiliary Problem Method for Mixed Variational-Like Inequalities, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **10** (2006), 515-529.
- 34. J. W. Peng and J. C. Yao, Ishikawa iterative algorithms for a generalized equilibrium problem and fixed point problems of a pseudo-contraction mapping, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 2010, to appear.
- 35. L. C. Zeng, S. Y. Wu and J. C. Yao, Generalized KKM Theorem with Applications to Generalized Minimax Inequalities and Generalized Equilibrium Problems, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **10** (2006), 1497-1514.
- 36. J. W. Peng and J. C. Yao, Some new extragradient-like methods for generalized equilibrium problems, fixed points problems and variational inequality problems, *Optimization Methods and Software*, 2009, to appear.
- 37. L. C. Ceng, C. Lee and J. C. Yao, Strong Weak Convergence Theorems of Implicit Hybrid Steepest-Descent Methods for Variational Inequalities, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **12** (2008), 227-244.
- 38. J. W. Peng and J. C. Yao, A new hybrid-extragradient method for generalized mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems and variational inequality problems, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **12** (2008), 1401-1433.
- 39. J. W. Peng and J. C. Yao, A New Extragradient Method For Mixed Equilibrium Problems, Fixed Point Problems and Variational Inequality Problems, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **49** (2009), 1816-1828.
- 40. L. C. Ceng, Q. H. Ansari and J. C. Yao, Viscosity Approximation Methods for Generalized Equilibrium Problems and Fixed Point Problems, *Journal of Global Optimization*, **43** (2009), 487-502.

- 41. J. W. Peng and J. C. Yao, Some new iterative algorithms for generalized mixed equilibrium problems with strict pseudo-contractions and monotone mappings, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 2009, to appear.
- 42. L. C. Ceng, C. Lee and J. C. Yao, Strong Weak Convergence Theorems of Implicit Hybrid Steepest-Descent Methods for Variational Inequalities, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **12** (2008), 227-244.
- 43. L. C. Ceng and J. C. Yao, An Extragradient-Like Approximation Method for Variational Inequality Problems and Fixed Point Problems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **190** (2007), 205-215.
- 44. L. C. Ceng, P. Cubiotti and J. C. Yao, An Implicit Iterative Scheme for Monotone Variational Inequalities and Fixed Point Problems, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, *Methods & Applications*, **69** (2008), 2445-2457.
- 45. L. C. Ceng and J. C. Yao, Relaxed Viscosity Approximation Methods for Fixed Point Problems and Variational Inequality Problems, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications, 69 (2008), 3299-3309.
- 46. L. C. Ceng and J. C. Yao, Hybrid Viscosity Approximation Schemes for Equilibrium Problems and Fixed Point Problems of Infinitely Many Nonexpansive Mappings, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **198** (2008), 729-741.
- 47. N. C. Wong, D. R. Sahu and J. C. Yao, Solving variational inequalities involving non-expansive type mappings, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, *Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **69** (2008), 4732-4753.
- L. C. Ceng, S. Al-Homidan, Q. H. Ansari and J. C. Yao, An Iterative Scheme for Equilibrium Problems and Fixed Point Problems of Strict Pseudo-Contraction Mappings, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 223 (2009), 967-974.
- 49. L. C. Ceng, S. Schaible and J. C. Yao, Strong Convergence of Iterative Algorithms for Variational Inequalities in Banach Spaces, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 2009, to appear.
- 50. L. C. Ceng, Q. H. Ansari and J. C. Yao, Mann type steepest-descent and modified hybrid steepest-descent methods for variational inequalities in Banach spaces, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **29(9-10)** (2008), 987-1033.
- 51. L. C. Ceng, Q. H. Ansari and J. C. Yao, On relaxed viscosity iterative methods for variational inequalities in Banach spaces, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **230** (2009), 813-822.

Yeong-Cheng Liou
Department of Information Management,
Cheng Shiu University,
Kaohsiung 833, Taiwan
E-mail: simplex.ycliou@msa.hinet.net
simplex_liou@hotmail.com