

## MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS ON ANALYTIC FUNCTIONAL SPACES

B. Yousefi and A. Khaksari  
Dedicated to Mola Ali

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space of functions analytic on a bounded plane domain  $G$  such that for every  $\lambda$  in  $G$  the functional of evaluation at  $\lambda$  is bounded. Assume further that  $X$  contains the constants and admits multiplication by the independent variable  $z$ ,  $M_z$ , as a bounded operator. We give sufficient conditions for  $M_z$  to be reflexive.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this section we include some preparatory material which will be needed later. By a *domain* we understand a connected open subset of the plane. If  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in the plane, then as comes in Sarason ([11]), the *Carathéodory hull* (or  $\mathbb{C}$ -hull) of  $\Omega$  is the complement of the closure of the unbounded component of the complement of the closure of  $\Omega$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -hull of  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $\Omega^*$ . Intuitively,  $\Omega^*$  can be described as the interior of the outer boundary of  $\Omega$ , and in analytic terms it can be defined as the interior of the set of all points  $z_0$  in the plane such that  $|p(z_0)| \leq \sup\{|p(z)| : z \in \Omega\}$  for all polynomials  $p$ . The components of  $\Omega^*$  are simply connected; in fact, one can easily see that each of these components has a connected complement. The component of  $\Omega^*$  that contains  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $\Omega_1$ . Note that for all polynomials  $p$ ,  $\|p\|_\Omega = \|p\|_{\Omega_1}$ . The domain  $\Omega$  is called a *Carathéodory domain* if  $\Omega^* = \Omega$ . In this case the Farrell-Rubel-Shields Theorem holds: let  $f$  be a bounded analytic function on  $\Omega$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials such that  $\|p_n\|_\Omega \leq c$  for a constant  $c$  and  $p_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  for all  $z \in \Omega$  ([7, Theorem 5.1, p.151]).

---

Received June 15, 2007, accepted November 6, 2007.

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47B37, 46A25.

*Key words and phrases*: Functional Banach spaces of analytic functions, Multiplication operators, Reflexive operator, Multipliers, Carathéodory domain, Bounded point evaluation, Farrell-Rubel-Shields Theorem.

Now let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space. For the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of all bounded operators on the Banach space  $X$ , the weak operator topology is the one in which a net  $A_\alpha$  converges to  $A$  if  $A_\alpha x \rightarrow Ax$  weakly,  $x \in X$ .

For the following definition one can see [3, 9].

**Definition.** If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then  $Lat(A)$  is the lattice of all invariant subspaces of  $A$ , and  $AlgLat(A)$  is the algebra of all operators  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  such that  $Lat(A) \subset Lat(B)$ . An operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $AlgLat(A) = W(A)$ , where  $W(A)$  is the smallest subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  that contains  $A$  and the identity  $I$  and is closed in the weak operator topology.

Consider a Banach space  $X$  of functions analytic on a plane domain  $G$ , such that for each  $\lambda \in G$  the linear functional,  $e_\lambda$ , of evaluation at  $\lambda$  is bounded on  $X$ . Assume further that  $X$  contains the constant functions and multiplication by the independent variable  $z$  defines a bounded linear operator  $M_z$  on  $X$ . A complex valued function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  for which  $\varphi f \in X$  for every  $f \in X$  is called a *multiplier* of  $X$  and the collection of all these multipliers is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ . Each multiplier  $\varphi$  of  $X$  determines a multiplication operator  $M_\varphi$  on  $X$  by  $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$ ,  $f \in X$ . It is well-known that each multiplier is a bounded analytic function ([13]). Indeed  $|\varphi(\lambda)| \leq \|M_\varphi\|$  for each  $\lambda$  in  $G$ . Also  $M_\varphi 1 = \varphi \in X$ . But  $X \subset H(G)$ , thus  $\varphi$  is a bounded analytic function. We also point out that if  $\varphi$  is a multiplier and  $\lambda \in G$  then

$$M_\varphi^* e_\lambda = \varphi(\lambda) e_\lambda,$$

since for all  $f$  in  $X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, M_\varphi^* e_\lambda \rangle &= \langle M_\varphi f, e_\lambda \rangle = \varphi(\lambda) f(\lambda) \\ &= \varphi(\lambda) \langle f, e_\lambda \rangle = \langle f, \varphi(\lambda) e_\lambda \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(here for simplicity we used the notation  $\langle x, x^* \rangle$  instead of  $x^*(x)$  for  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ ).

In this paper we will investigate the reflexivity of the operator  $M_z$  acting on functional Banach spaces of analytic functions on an arbitrary bounded plane domain (for a source of functional Banach spaces one can see [4]).

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The operator  $M_z$  has been the focus of attention for several decades and many of its properties have been studied ([2]). The study of reflexive operators has been playing a key role in the theory of invariant subspaces and harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert spaces. It is well-known, due to the work by Sarason in

[10], that normal operators are reflexive and one of the intensively studied models of non-normal reflexive operators is the so-called shift on Hilbert spaces, whose concrete realization is basically the multiplication by  $z$  on the Hardy space of "square integrable"  $\mathcal{K}$ -valued holomorphic functions for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$ . It was shown by J. Deddens ([5]) that every isometry is reflexive. Also, R. Olin and J. Thomson ([8]) have shown that subnormal operators are reflexive. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, J. Langsam, and C. Pearcy ([1]) have shown that (BCP)-operators are reflexive. The reflexive operators on a finite dimensional space were characterized by J. Deddens and P. A. Fillmore ([6]). Reflexivity of certain bilateral weighted shifts are also studied in [12, 14]. In this article we would like to give some sufficient conditions so that the operator  $M_z$  becomes reflexive on certain functional Banach spaces of analytic functions on a bounded plane domain (for a good source of reflexivity see [9]).

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive functional Banach space of analytic functions on a bounded plane domain  $G$  such that  $X$  contains the constant functions and  $M_z \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . If  $\|M_p\| \leq c\|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$  and  $\|f\|_G \leq d\|f\|_X$  for all  $f$  in  $X \cap H^\infty(G)$ , then  $M_z$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be the Riemann mapping from the open unit disk  $U$  onto  $G_1$ . Set  $\Omega = R^{-1}(G)$  and  $E = \{f \circ R : f \in X\}$ . It is easy to see that  $E$  turns out to be a reflexive Banach space with the norm  $\|f \circ R\|_E = \|f\|_X, f \in X$ . Furthermore, the functions in  $E$  are analytic on  $\Omega, \Omega \subset U$ , and  $E$  contains the constants. Consider the Banach space  $(E, \Omega)$  and denote the functional of point evaluation at  $\lambda \in \Omega$  by  $e'_\lambda$ . Since  $e'_\lambda(f \circ R) = e_{R(\lambda)}(f)$ , the functional of point evaluations are bounded on  $(E, \Omega)$ . The operator  $S : X \rightarrow E$  given by  $Sf = f \circ R$  is clearly an isomorphism. Also, observe that the map  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \circ R$  is an isometric isomorphism of

$$\mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(E) = \{\varphi \circ R : \varphi \in \mathcal{M}(X)\}$$

just as the map  $A \rightarrow SAS^{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  is, since if  $\|f\|_X \leq 1$ , then

$$\|Sf\|_E = \|f \circ R\|_E = \|f\|_X \leq 1$$

and also we have

$$\|SAS^{-1}(f \circ R)\|_E = \|SAf\|_E = \|Af \circ R\|_E = \|Af\|_X.$$

Hence indeed  $\|SAS^{-1}\| = \|A\|$ . Let the multiplication operator by  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$  be denoted by  $M'_\phi$ . Therefore for every polynomial  $p$  we get

$$c\|p \circ R\|_\Omega = c\|p\|_G \geq \|M_p\| = \|SM_pS^{-1}\| = \|M'_{p \circ R}\|,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} SM_p S^{-1}(f \circ R) &= SM_p f = S(pf) = (pf) \circ R \\ &= (p \circ R) \cdot (f \circ R) = M'_{p \circ R}(f \circ R). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $c\|p \circ R\|_{\Omega} \geq \|M'_{p \circ R}\|$  for every polynomial  $p$ . Note that

$$M'_R(f \circ R) = R \cdot (f \circ R) = (zf) \circ R$$

for every  $f \in X$  and since  $zf \in X$  whenever  $f \in X$ , we see that this definition make sense. Also, note that

$$SM_z f = (zf) \circ R = R \cdot (f \circ R) = M'_R S f,$$

hence  $SM_z = M'_R S$ . The boundedness of  $M'_R$  follows directly from it's definition. Now let  $L \in \text{Lat}(M_z)$  and define

$$L_R = \{f \circ R : f \in L\}.$$

Then the correspondence  $L \rightarrow L_R$  is clearly a bijection of  $\text{Lat}(M_z) \rightarrow \text{Lat}(M'_R)$ . The map  $A \rightarrow SAS^{-1}$  establishes a bijection of  $\text{AlgLat}(M_z) \rightarrow \text{AlgLat}(M'_R)$  and also of  $W(M_z) \rightarrow W(M'_R)$ . Hence  $M_z$  is reflexive on  $(X, G)$  if and only if  $M'_R$  is reflexive on  $(E, \Omega)$ . So we could reduce the problem of reflexivity concerning the operator of multiplication on  $(X, G)$  into a problem of reflexivity of an operator on  $(E, \Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is a subset of the open unit disk  $U$ . Now we show that  $M'_R$  is reflexive on  $(E, \Omega)$ . For this let  $A \in \text{AlgLat}(M'_R)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \circ R, (M'_R)^* e'_z \rangle &= \langle M'_R(f \circ R), e'_z \rangle \\ &= R(z) \cdot (f \circ R)(z) \\ &= R(z) \langle f \circ R, e'_z \rangle \\ &= \langle f \circ R, R(z)e'_z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f$  in  $X$ . Hence

$$(M'_R)^* e'_z = R(z)e'_z$$

(here for simplicity we used the notation  $\langle y, y^* \rangle$  instead of  $y^*(y)$  whenever  $y \in E$  and  $y^* \in E^*$ ). So we conclude that the one dimensional span of  $\{e'_z\}$  is invariant under  $(M'_R)^*$  and so  $A^* e'_z = \varphi(z)e'_z$ . Using the Hahn-Banach Theorem and the reflexivity of  $E$ , we see that the linear span of  $\{e'_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Omega}$  is weak star dense in  $E^*$ . Hence  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$  and  $A = M'_\varphi$ , and so  $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ . Note that  $M'_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ . Now we show that  $E_0 = E \cap H^\infty(\Omega_1)$  is a closed subspace of  $E$  that is invariant

under  $M'_R$ . For this let  $\{f_n \circ R\}_n$  be a sequence in  $E_0$  converging to  $f \circ R$  in  $E$ . Then  $\|f_n \circ R\|_E < b$  for some constant  $b$ . Note that

$$\|f_n \circ R\|_\Omega = \|f_n\|_G \leq d\|f_n\|_X = d\|f_n \circ R\|_E < bd.$$

We have  $\|f_n \circ R\|_\Omega = \|f_n \circ R\|_{\Omega_1}$ . This implies that  $f \circ R \in E_0$ . Clearly  $E_0$  is invariant under  $M'_R$ . Thus  $E_0 \in Lat(M'_R)$  and so  $E_0 \in Lat(A)$ . But  $A = M'_\varphi$ , this implies that  $\varphi E_0 \subset E_0$ . Hence  $\varphi \in E_0 \subset H^\infty(\Omega_1)$  since  $E_0$  contains the constants. But  $\Omega_1$  is a Carathéodory domain and so by the Farrell-Rubel-Shields Theorem there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}_n$  of polynomials converging to  $\varphi$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $\|p_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq a$  for some  $a > 0$ . So we obtain

$$\|M'_{p_n}\| \leq c\|p_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq ca$$

for all  $n$ . But ball  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  is compact in the weak operator topology and so by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that for some  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ ,  $M'_{p_n} \rightarrow B$  in the weak operator topology. Using the fact that  $(M'_{p_n})^* \rightarrow B^*$  in the weak operator topology and acting these operators on  $e'_\lambda$  we obtain that

$$\overline{p_n(\lambda)}e'_\lambda = (M'_{p_n})^*e'_\lambda \rightarrow B^*e'_\lambda$$

weakly. Since  $p_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \varphi(\lambda)$  we see that  $B^*e'_\lambda = \varphi(\lambda)e'_\lambda$ . Because the closed linear span of  $\{e'_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is dense in  $E^*$ , we conclude that  $B = M'_\varphi = A$ . This implies that  $A \in W(M'_z)$ . We now show that  $W(M'_z) = W(M'_R)$  which implies that  $AlgLat(M'_R) = W(M'_R)$  and this completes the proof. Because  $R$  can be approximated pointwise boundedly by polynomials, we see that  $W(M'_R) \subseteq W(M'_z)$ . Conversely, by proposition 2 of [11],  $R : U \rightarrow G_1$  is a generator of  $H^\infty$ , so there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{p_n\}_n$  such that  $p_n(R) \rightarrow z$  weak star, i.e.,  $\{p_n \circ R\}_n$  is uniformly bounded and converges to  $z$  at every point of  $U$  (see [11, Lemma 1]). But it is clear that  $M'_{p_n \circ R} = p_n(M'_R)$  is in  $W(M'_R)$ . Hence  $M'_z \in W(M'_R)$  and so indeed  $W(M'_z) = W(M'_R)$ . The proof is now complete. ■

Note that if  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then by definition a compact set  $K$  containing the spectrum of  $T$ ,  $\sigma(T)$ , is a *spectral set* for  $T$  if  $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_K$  for all rationals  $f$  with poles off  $K$ .

In the proof of the main theorem we used the condition “ $\|M_p\| \leq c\|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ ”. In the following we show that there are other alternatives.

**Corollary.** *The conclusion in the main theorem also holds if “ $\|M_p\| \leq c\|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ ” is replaced by any one of the followings*

- (i) *The map  $\varphi \rightarrow M_\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  is an isometry,*
- (ii)  *$\overline{G}$  is a spectral set for  $M_z$ ,*

(iii)  $\|M_\varphi\| \leq c\|\varphi\|_G$  for every multiplier  $\varphi$ ,  $H^\infty(G_1) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ .

*Proof.* First note that each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  determines a bounded multiplication operator  $M_\varphi$  on  $X$  by  $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$ ,  $f \in X$ . If the condition (i) holds, then  $\|M_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_G$  for all  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ . Since each polynomial is a multiplier of  $X$ , hence  $\|M_p\| = \|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ . If the condition (ii) holds, then clearly by the definition of the spectral set, we get  $\|M_p\| = \|p(M_z)\| \leq \|p\|_{\overline{G}} = \|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ . Also, since each polynomial is a multiplier of  $X$ , hence the condition (iii) implies that  $\|M_p\| \leq c\|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ . Now we show that the condition (iv) implies “ $\|M_p\| \leq c\|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ ”. For this we show that  $L : H^\infty(G_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  given by  $L(\varphi) = M_\varphi$  is continuous. Note that by condition (iv) if  $\varphi \in H^\infty(G_1)$ , then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and so the multiplication operator  $M_\varphi$  is defined on  $X$  and in fact  $M_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_n$  converges to  $\varphi$  in  $H^\infty(G_1)$  and  $L(\varphi_n) = M_{\varphi_n}$  converges to  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then for each  $f$  in  $X$ ,

$$Af = \lim_n M_{\varphi_n} f = \lim_n \varphi_n f$$

and so  $\{\varphi_n f\}_n$  is convergent in  $X$ . Note that by the continuity of point evaluations,  $\varphi_n f$  converges pointwise to  $\varphi f$ . Thus  $Af$  is analytic on  $G$  and agrees with  $\varphi f$  on  $G$ . Hence  $A = M_\varphi$  and so by the closed graph theorem  $L$  is continuous. This implies that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L\| &= \sup\{\|L(\varphi)\| : \|\varphi\|_{G_1} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\|M_\varphi\| : \|\varphi\|_{G_1} \leq 1\} \\ &\leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|M_\varphi\| \leq c\|\varphi\|_{G_1}$  for all  $\varphi$  in  $H^\infty(G_1)$ . But  $\|p\|_G = \|p\|_{G_1}$  for all polynomials  $p$ , hence  $\|M_p\| \leq c\|p\|_G$  for every polynomial  $p$ . This completes the proof. ■

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for helpful comments and valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, J. Langsam, and C. Pearcy, (BCP)- operators are reflexive, *Mich. Math. J.*, **29** (1982), 371-379.

2. P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, Spectral and common cyclic vectors, *Michigan Math. J.*, **37** (1990), 71-90.
3. J. B. Conway, The theory of subnormal operators, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1991.
4. C. C. Cowen and B. D. McCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press, 1995.
5. J. A. Deddens, Every isometry is reflexive, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **28** (1971), 509-512.
6. J. A. Deddens and P. A. Fillmore, Reflexive linear transformations, *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, **10** (1975), 89-93.
7. T. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Chelsea, N.Y., 1984.
8. R. Olin and J. Thomson, Algebras of subnormal operators, *J. Functional Anal.*, **37** (1980), 271-301.
9. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
10. D. Sarason, Invariant subspaces and unstarred operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **17** (1966), 511-517.
11. D. Sarason, Weak star generators of  $H^\infty$ , *Pacific J. Math.*, **17** (1966), 519-528.
12. K. Seddighi and B. Yousefi, On the reflexivity of operators on function spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **116** (1992), 45-52.
13. A. Shields and L. Wallen, The commutants of certain Hilbert space operators, *Ind. Univ. Math. J.*, **20** (1971), 777-788.
14. B. Yousefi, On the eighteenth question of Allen Shields, *International Journal of Mathematics*, **16(1)** (2005), 37-42.

Bahmann Yousefi and A. Khaksari  
Department of Mathematics,  
Payame-Noor University,  
Iran

Shiraz, Moallem Square,  
South Iman Street,  
Shiraz Payame-Noor University,  
P. O. Box 71345-1774,  
Shiraz, Iran  
E-mail: bahmann@spnu.ac.ir  
ahmadkh2001@yahoo.com