

## ON THE STABILITY OF AN $n$ -DIMENSIONAL FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ORIGINATING FROM QUADRATIC FORMS

Abbas Najati and Choonkil Park

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an  $n$ -dimensional functional equation

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i), \quad (n \geq 2).$$

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [23] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Let  $(G_1, *)$  be a group and let  $(G_2, \diamond, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if a mapping  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there is a homomorphism  $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable, i.e., if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism near it. The case of approximately additive functions was solved by Hyers [10] under the assumption that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Banach spaces. In 1978, a generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was

---

Received November 8, 2006, accepted January 11, 2007.

Communicated by Sen-Yen Shaw.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 39B72, 47H15.

*Key words and phrases*: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, Quadratic function, 2-Variable quadratic functional equation.

The second corresponding author was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant KRF-2007-313-C00033.

given by Rassias [20]. During the last decades, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [4, 6, 8, 12, 15, 17, 18]). The terminology *generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* originates from these historical backgrounds. These terminologies are also applied to the case of other functional equations. For more detailed definitions of such terminologies, we can refer to [11, 13, 21].

Quadratic functional equation was used to characterize inner product spaces [1, 2, 14]. Several other functional equations were also to characterize inner product spaces. A square norm on an inner product space satisfies the important parallelogram equality

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

The functional equation

$$(1) \quad f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is related to a symmetric biadditive function [1, 19]. It is natural that this equation is called a quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic equation (1) is said to be a quadratic function. It is well known that a function  $f$  between real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function  $B$  such that  $f(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x$  (see [1, 19]). The biadditive function  $B$  is given by

$$(2) \quad B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \left( f(x + y) - f(x - y) \right).$$

A generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the quadratic functional equation (1) was proved by Skof for functions  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ , where  $E_1$  is a normed space and  $E_2$  a Banach space (see [22]). Cholewa [5] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain  $E_1$  is replaced by an Abelian group. In [7], Czerwak proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation (1). Grabiec [9] has generalized these results mentioned above. Jun and Lee [16] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexiderized quadratic equation (1).

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be real vector spaces. A mapping  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is called a *quadratic form* if there exist  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ .

Bae and Park [3] introduced the following 2-variable quadratic functional equation

$$(3) \quad f(x + y, z + w) + f(x - y, z - w) = 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)$$

and they established the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the functional equation (3). In fact, they proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** [3]. *Let  $X, Y$  be real vector spaces. A mapping  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (3) if and only if there exist two symmetric bi-additive mappings  $S_1, S_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  and a bi-additive mapping  $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$f(x, y) = S_1(x, x) + B(x, y) + S_2(y, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

It is clear that when  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ , the quadratic form  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x, y) := ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  is a solution of (3). In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \neq j}} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\ &= n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (ax_i x_j + cy_i y_j) - b \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} x_i y_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i) + a \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \neq j}} x_i x_j + b \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \neq j}} x_i y_j + c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \neq j}} y_i y_j$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $f$  satisfies the functional equation

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

In this paper, we establish the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the functional equation

$$(4) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

## 2. SOLUTION OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (4)

Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denote the set of all nonnegative real numbers and let both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be real vector spaces. We here present the general solution of (4).

**Lemma 2.1** *Let a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation (3). Then*

- (i)  $f(0, 0) = 0$ .
- (ii)  $f(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda^2 f(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in E_1$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . In particular,  $f(-x, -y) = f(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in E_1$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $x = y = z = w = 0$  in (3), we get  $f(0, 0) = 0$ .

To prove (ii), let  $x = y$  and  $z = w$  in (3). Then  $f(2x, 2y) = 4f(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in E_1$ . Letting  $x = 2y$  and  $z = 2w$  in (3), we obtain that  $f(3y, 3w) = 9f(y, w)$  for all  $y, w \in E_1$ . By induction we lead to  $f(mx, my) = m^2 f(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in E_1$  and all nonnegative integers  $m$ . Letting  $y = 2x$  and  $w = 2z$  in (3), we obtain  $f(-x, -z) = f(x, z)$  for all  $x, z \in E_1$ . Let  $k$  be a negative integer. Then

$$f(kx, ky) = f(-kx, -ky) = (-k)^2 f(x, y) = k^2 f(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ . So

$$(5) \quad f(mx, my) = m^2 f(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $\frac{x}{m}$  and  $\frac{y}{m}$  in (5), respectively, we get

$$(6) \quad f\left(\frac{x}{m}, \frac{y}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{m^2} f(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ . Therefore,

$$(7) \quad f(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda^2 f(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ . ■

**Lemma 2.2** *A function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation (3) if and only if  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$(8) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) = 3 \sum_{i=1}^3 f(x_i, y_i)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$(9) \quad f(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) + f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) = 2f(x_1, y_1) + 2f(x_2, y_2)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in E_1$ . Replacing  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  by  $x_2 + x_3$  and  $y_2 + y_3$  in (9), respectively, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) &+ f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3, y_1 - y_2 - y_3) \\ &= 2f(x_1, y_1) + 2f(x_2 + x_3, y_2 + y_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) &+ f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3, y_1 - y_2 - y_3) \\ &+ f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, y_1 - y_2 + y_3) \\ &= 2f(x_1, y_1) + 2f(x_2 + x_3, y_2 + y_3) \\ &+ f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, y_1 - y_2 + y_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . It follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) &+ 2f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) \\ &+ 2f(x_2 - x_3, y_2 - y_3) + 2f(x_3, y_3) \\ &= 2f(x_1, y_1) + f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, y_1 - y_2 + y_3) \\ &+ 2[f(x_2 + x_3, y_2 + y_3) + f(x_2 - x_3, y_2 - y_3)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . Once again using (9), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) &+ 2f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) + 2f(x_2 - x_3, y_2 - y_3) \\ &= 2f(x_1, y_1) + 4f(x_2, y_2) + 2f(x_3, y_3) \\ &+ f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, y_1 - y_2 + y_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) &+ 2f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) + 2f(x_2 - x_3, y_2 - y_3) \\ &= 2f(x_1, y_1) + 4f(x_2, y_2) + 2f(x_3, y_3) \\ &+ f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, y_1 - y_2 + y_3) \\ &+ f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . Once again (9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) + 2f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) + 2f(x_2 - x_3, y_2 - y_3) \\ = 2f(x_1, y_1) + 6f(x_2, y_2) + 2f(x_3, y_3) \\ + 2f(x_1 + x_3, y_1 + y_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . By using (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i\right) + 2f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) \\ + 2f(x_1 - x_3, y_1 - y_3) + 2f(x_2 - x_3, y_2 - y_3) \\ = 2f(x_1 + x_3, y_1 + y_3) + 2f(x_1 - x_3, y_1 - y_3) \\ + 2f(x_1, y_1) + 6f(x_2, y_2) + 2f(x_3, y_3) \\ = 6f(x_1, y_1) + 6f(x_2, y_2) + 6f(x_3, y_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in E_1$ . It proves that  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (8).

The converse is proved in the following theorem. ■

**Theorem 2.3.** *A function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation (3) if and only if  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation (4).*

*Proof.* Let  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfy the functional equation (4). Putting  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$  and  $y_1 = \dots = y_n = 0$  in (4), we get

$$f(0, 0) + \frac{n^2 - n}{2} f(0, 0) = n^2 f(0, 0).$$

Therefore,  $f(0, 0) = 0$ . Now putting  $x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$  and  $y_3 = \dots = y_n = 0$  in (4), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) + f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) + (n - 2)f(x_1, y_1) + (n - 2)f(x_2, y_2) \\ = nf(x_1, y_1) + nf(x_2, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in E_1$ . Therefore,  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (3).

Conversely, let  $n \geq 2$  and let  $f$  satisfy the functional equation (3). We use induction on  $n$  to prove (4). Our main assertion is true for  $n = 2$ . Now we make the induction hypothesis that (4) is true for some  $n \geq 2$  and all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in$

$E_1$ . Let  $x_{n+1}, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Replacing  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  by  $x_n + x_{n+1}$  and  $y_n + y_{n+1}$  in (4), respectively, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_n - y_{n+1}) \\ & = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + nf(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ f(x_i - x_n - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_n - y_{n+1}) + f(x_i - x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i - y_n + y_{n+1}) \right] \\ & = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i - y_n + y_{n+1}) \\ & + nf(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \right] \\ & = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i - y_n + y_{n+1}) \\ & + nf(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})] \\
& + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i + x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_n + y_{n+1}) \\
& = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i - y_n + y_{n+1}) \\
& + n f(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i + x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_n + y_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Once again using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})] \\
& + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i + x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_n + y_{n+1}) \\
& = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + n f(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) \\
& + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(x_i + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_{n+1}) + f(x_n, y_n)]
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) \\
& + 2(n-1)f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i + x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_n + y_{n+1}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1}) \\
& = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + n f(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) + 2(n-1)f(x_n, y_n) \\
& + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(x_i + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_{n+1}) + f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1})]
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . By simple computation, it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i + x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_n + y_{n+1}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1}) \\ = & (n+4) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + n f(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) \\ & + 2(n-1) f(x_n, y_n) + 2(n-1) f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i + x_n + x_{n+1}, y_i + y_n + y_{n+1}) + n f(x_n - x_{n+1}, y_n - y_{n+1}) \\ = & (n+4) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + 2(n-1) f(x_n, y_n) + 2(n-1) f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ & + n \left[ f(x_n + x_{n+1}, y_n + y_{n+1}) + f(x_n - x_{n+1}, y_n - y_{n+1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Using (3) and (8) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1}) \\ & + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + 3(n-1) f(x_n, y_n) + 3(n-1) f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (n-1)f(x_n - x_{n+1}, y_n - y_{n+1}) + n f(x_n - x_{n+1}, y_n - y_{n+1}) \\
& = (n+4) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, y_i) + (4n-2)f(x_n, y_n) + (4n-2)f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} y_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_n, y_i - y_n) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i - x_{n+1}, y_i - y_{n+1}) + f(x_n - x_{n+1}, y_n - y_{n+1}) \\
& = (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(x_i, y_i)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in E_1$ . The last equation means (4).  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $2 \leq m < n$ . A function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation (4) if and only if  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$(10) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \sum_{i=1}^m y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) = m \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in E_1$ .

### 3. GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF EQUATION (4)

From now on, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a real vector space and a real Banach space, respectively. In this section, using an idea of Găvruta [8] we prove the stability of Eq. (4) in the spirit of Hyers, Ulam and Rassias. Thus we find the condition that there exists a true 2-variable quadratic mapping near a approximately 2-variable quadratic mapping. For convenience, for a given function  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  we use the following abbreviation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Df(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \\
& = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j) - n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that

$$(11) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n^{2i} \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{n^i}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^i}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{y}{n^i}, \dots, \frac{y}{n^i}}_{n \text{ times}}\right) < \infty$$

and

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2i} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{n^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^i}, \frac{y_1}{n^i}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{n^i}\right) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ . Suppose that a function  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$(13) \quad \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ . Then there exists a unique 2-variable quadratic mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(14) \quad \|T(x, y) - f(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The mapping  $T$  is given by

$$(15) \quad T(x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (11) that  $\varphi(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , and therefore (13) implies that  $f(0, 0) = 0$ .

Letting  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$  and  $y_1 = \dots = y_n = y$  in (13), we have

$$(16) \quad \|f(nx, ny) - n^2 f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi\left(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{n \text{ times}}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{n}$  and  $y$  by  $\frac{y}{n}$  in (16), respectively, we get

$$(17) \quad \|f(x, y) - n^2 f\left(\frac{x}{n}, \frac{y}{n}\right)\| \leq \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{n}, \dots, \frac{x}{n}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{y}{n}, \dots, \frac{y}{n}}_{n \text{ times}}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{n^2}$  and  $y$  by  $\frac{y}{n^2}$  in (17), respectively, and multiplying by  $n^2$ , we get

$$\|n^2 f\left(\frac{x}{n}, \frac{y}{n}\right) - n^4 f\left(\frac{x}{n^2}, \frac{y}{n^2}\right)\| \leq n^2 \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{n^2}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^2}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{y}{n^2}, \dots, \frac{y}{n^2}}_{n \text{ times}}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using the induction on  $m$ , we obtain that

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| n^{2m+2} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{m+1}}, \frac{y}{n^{m+1}}\right) - n^{2m} f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}, \frac{y}{n^m}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq n^{2m} \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{n^{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^{m+1}}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{y}{n^{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{y}{n^{m+1}}}_{n \text{ times}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=k}^m \left( n^{2i+2} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \frac{y}{n^{i+1}}\right) - n^{2i} f\left(\frac{x}{n^i}, \frac{y}{n^i}\right) \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^m \left\| n^{2i+2} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \frac{y}{n^{i+1}}\right) - n^{2i} f\left(\frac{x}{n^i}, \frac{y}{n^i}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^m n^{2i} \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{y}{n^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{y}{n^{i+1}}}_{n \text{ times}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and all integers  $m \geq k \geq 0$ . Hence

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| n^{2m+2} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{m+1}}, \frac{y}{n^{m+1}}\right) - n^{2k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^m n^{2i} \varphi\left(\underbrace{\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\frac{y}{n^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{y}{n^{i+1}}}_{n \text{ times}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and all integers  $m \geq k \geq 0$ . It follows from (11) and (19) that the sequence  $\{n^{2k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right)\}_k$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is a Banach space, it follows that the sequence  $\{n^{2k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right)\}_k$  converges. We define  $T : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$T(x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore, it follows from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DT(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)\| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} \left\| Df\left(\frac{x_1}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^k}, \frac{y_1}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{n^k}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^k}, \frac{y_1}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{n^k}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ . Hence by Theorem 2.3,  $T$  is a 2-variable quadratic mapping.

Putting  $k = 0$  and letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (19), we get (14).

It remains to show that  $T$  is unique. Suppose that there exists another 2-variable quadratic mapping  $Q : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  which satisfies (14). Since  $n^{2k}Q(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}) = Q(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q(x, y) - T(x, y)\| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} \left\| Q\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k-2} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{n^k}, \frac{y}{n^k}\right) = 0\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence we have  $Q(x, y) = T(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  which proves the uniqueness of  $T$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $r > 2$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  be mapping such that*

$$(20) \quad \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \leq \theta \sum_{i=1}^n (\|x_i\|^r + \|y_i\|^r)$$

*for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ . Then there exists a unique 2-variable quadratic mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$(21) \quad \|T(x, y) - f(x, y)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{n^r - n^2} (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\varphi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that*

$$(22) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2i}} \varphi(\underbrace{n^i x, \dots, n^i x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n^i y, \dots, n^i y}_{n \text{ times}}) < \infty$$

*and*

$$(23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2i}} \varphi(n^i x_1, \dots, n^i x_n, n^i y_1, \dots, n^i y_n) = 0$$

*for all  $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ . Suppose that a mapping  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(24) \quad \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

*for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ . Then there exists a unique 2-variable quadratic mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$(25) \quad \left\| T(x, y) - f(x, y) + \frac{n}{2n+2} f(0, 0) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The mapping  $T$  is given by

$$(26) \quad T(x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$  and  $y_1 = \dots = y_n = y$  in (24), we have

$$(27) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{n^2} f(nx, ny) - f(x, y) + \frac{\alpha}{n^2} f(0, 0) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \varphi(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{n \text{ times}})$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  where  $\alpha = \frac{n^2 - n}{2}$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $nx$  and  $y$  by  $ny$  in (27), respectively, and dividing by  $n^2$ , we get

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{n^4} f(n^2 x, n^2 y) - \frac{1}{n^2} f(nx, ny) + \frac{\alpha}{n^4} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^4} \varphi(\underbrace{nx, \dots, nx}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{ny, \dots, ny}_{n \text{ times}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using the induction on  $m \geq 0$ , we obtain that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{n^{2m+2}} f(n^{m+1} x, n^{m+1} y) - \frac{1}{n^{2m}} f(n^m x, n^m y) + \frac{\alpha}{n^{2m+2}} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^{2m+2}} \varphi(\underbrace{n^m x, \dots, n^m x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n^m y, \dots, n^m y}_{n \text{ times}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=k}^m \left[ \frac{1}{n^{2i+2}} f(n^{i+1} x, n^{i+1} y) - \frac{1}{n^{2i}} f(n^i x, n^i y) + \frac{\alpha}{n^{2i+2}} f(0, 0) \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^m \left\| \frac{1}{n^{2i+2}} f(n^{i+1} x, n^{i+1} y) - \frac{1}{n^{2i}} f(n^i x, n^i y) + \frac{\alpha}{n^{2i+2}} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{1}{n^{2i+2}} \varphi(\underbrace{n^i x, \dots, n^i x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n^i y, \dots, n^i y}_{n \text{ times}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and all integers  $m \geq k \geq 0$ . Hence

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{n^{2m+2}} f(n^{m+1} x, n^{m+1} y) - \frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k y) + \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{\alpha}{n^{2i+2}} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{1}{n^{2i+2}} \varphi(\underbrace{n^i x, \dots, n^i x}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n^i y, \dots, n^i y}_{n \text{ times}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and all integers  $m \geq k \geq 0$ . It follows from (22) and (30) that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k y)\}_k$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is a Banach space, it follows that the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k y)\}_k$  converges. We define  $T : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$T(x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. ■

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $r < 2$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  be mapping satisfying (20). Then there exists a unique 2-variable quadratic mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$(31) \quad \left\| T(x, y) - f(x, y) + \frac{n}{2n+2} f(0, 0) \right\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{n^2 - n^r} (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $0 < r < 2$  then  $f(0, 0) = 0$  and if  $r < 0$  the inequality (20) holds for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and (31) for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél, J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
2. D. Amir, *Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
3. J. Bae and W. Park, A functional equation originating from quadratic forms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **326** (2007), 1142-1148.
4. J. Baker, The stability of the cosine equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **80** (1980), 411-416.
5. P. W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **27** (1984), 76-86.
6. C. Y. Chou and J.-H. Tzeng, On approximate isomorphisms between Banach \*-algebras or  $C^*$ -algebras, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **10** (2006), 219-231.
7. S. Czerwinski, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **62** (1992), 59-64.
8. P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **184** (1994), 431-436.
9. A. Grabiec, The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a class of functional equations, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **48** (1996), 217-235.
10. D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **27** (1941), 222-224.

11. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
12. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998), 425-430.
13. D. H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.*, **44** (1992), 125-153.
14. P. Jordan and J. von Neumann, On inner products in linear metric spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **36** (1935), 719-723.
15. K. Jun and H. Kim, Remarks on the stability of additive functional equation, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **38** (2001), 679-687.
16. K. Jun and Y. Lee, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a Pexiderized quadratic inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **4** (2001), 93-118.
17. S.M. Jung, On the Hyer-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **222** (1998), 126-137.
18. S.M. Jung, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **232** (1999), 384-393.
19. Pl. Kannappan, Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces, *Results Math.*, **27** (1995), 368-372.
20. Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1978), 297-300.
21. Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **251** (2000), 264-284.
22. F. Skof, Local properties and approximations of operators, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano*, **53** (1983), 113-129.
23. S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.

Abbas Najati

Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Sciences,  
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili,  
Ardabil,  
Islamic Republic of Iran  
E-mail: a.nejati@yahoo.com

Choonkil Park

Department of Mathematics,  
Hanyang University,  
Seoul 133-791,  
Republic of Korea  
E-mail: baak@hanyang.ac.kr