

ELLIPTIC NUMERICAL RANGES OF 4×4 MATRICES

Hwa-Long Gau

Abstract. Let A be an $n \times n$ (complex) matrix. Recall that the *numerical range* $W(A)$ of A is the set $\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1\}$ in the plane, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{C}^n . In this paper a series of tests is given, allowing one to determine when the numerical range of a 4×4 matrix A is an elliptic disc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be an n -by- n (complex) matrix. Recall that the *numerical range* $W(A)$ of A is the set $\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1\}$ in the plane, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{C}^n . It is well known that $W(A)$ is a convex compact subset of \mathbb{C} , which contains all the eigenvalues of A . For properties of numerical ranges, a good reference is [6, Chapter 1].

For 2×2 matrices A a complete description of the numerical range $W(A)$ is well known. Namely, $W(A)$ is the (closed) elliptic disc with foci the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of A and the minor axis of length $(\operatorname{tr}(A^*A) - |\lambda_1|^2 - |\lambda_2|^2)^{1/2}$ [10]. Here, for a $n \times n$ matrix B , $\operatorname{tr} B$ denotes its trace.

In [8] R. Kippenhahn studied the numerical range of 3×3 matrices. He showed that there are four classes of shapes which the numerical range of a 3×3 matrix A can assume. His classification is based on the factorability of the associated polynomial $P_A(x, y, z) \equiv \det(x\operatorname{Re} A + y\operatorname{Im} A + zI)$, where $\operatorname{Re} A = (A + A^*)/2$ and $\operatorname{Im} A = (A - A^*)/(2i)$ are the real and imaginary parts of A , respectively, and I_n denotes the n -by- n identity matrix. This was improved in [7] by expressing the conditions in terms of the eigenvalues and entries of A , which are easier to apply.

For general n , the following Kippenhahn's result is useful: For any n -by- n matrix A , consider the homogeneous degree- n polynomial $P_A(x, y, z) = \det(x\operatorname{Re} A +$

Received February 27, 2005.

Communicated by Ngai-Ching Wong.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 15A18, 15A60.

Key words and phrases: Numerical range, Kippenhahn curve.

Research partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

$y\text{Im } A + zI_n$) and the algebraic curve $C(A)$ which is dual to the algebraic curve determined by $P_A(x, y, z) = 0$ in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, that is, $C(A)$ consists of all points $[u, v, w]$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ such that $ux + vy + wz = 0$ is a tangent line to $P_A(x, y, z) = 0$. As usual, we identify the point (x, y) in \mathbb{C}^2 with $[x, y, 1]$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ and identify any point $[x, y, z]$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ such that $z \neq 0$ with $(x/z, y/z)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 . Thus, in particular, the plane \mathbb{R}^2 (identified with \mathbb{C}) sits in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ by way of the identification of the point (a, b) of \mathbb{R}^2 (or $a + bi$ of \mathbb{C}) with $[a, b, 1]$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. The algebraic curve $p(x, y, z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, where p is a homogeneous polynomial, can be dehomogenized to yield the curve $p(x, y, 1) = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^2 and, conversely, an algebraic curve $q(x, y) = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^2 can be homogenized to a curve in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ with equation obtained by simplifying $q(x/z, y/z) = 0$. A result of Kippenhahn says that the numerical range $W(A)$ is the convex hull of the real points of $C(A)$ (cf. [8, p. 199]). The real part of the curve $C(A)$ in the complex plane, namely, the set $\{a + bi \in \mathbb{C}; a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } ax + by + z = 0 \text{ is tangent to } P_A(x, y, z) = 0\}$, will be denoted by $C_R(A)$ and is called the Kippenhahn curve of A . Note that, as proved in [3, Theorem 1.3], if $x_0u + y_0v + z_0w = 0$ is a supporting line of $W(A)$, then $\det(x_0\text{Re } A + y_0\text{Im } A + z_0I_n) = 0$. Since the dual of $C(A)$ is the original curve $P_A(x, y, z) = 0$, we infer, in particular, that every supporting line of $W(A)$ is tangent to $C(A)$.

There have been some attempts to classify the numerical range of 4×4 matrices using an analogous strategy as [7]. A complete solution seems rather difficult. The aim of this paper is to offer a series of tests, in terms of a 4×4 matrix A itself or its canonical unitarily equivalent forms, to determine when the numerical range of A is an elliptic disc. We will also express the conditions in terms of the eigenvalues and entries of A . These characterizations will be useful to construct a 4×4 matrix with an elliptic numerical range.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we want to formulate a necessary and sufficient condition for a 4×4 matrix A to have an elliptic disc as its numerical range.

Let A be a 4×4 matrix. We have known that if $W(A)$ is an elliptic disc, then $C(A)$ has a factor of order 2. By duality, it follows that the homogeneous polynomial P_A also has a factor of degree 2. Note that P_A is of degree 4. Therefore, if $W(A)$ is an elliptic disc, then P_A can be decomposed either by two factors of degree 2 or by one factor of degree 2 and two factors of degree 1. Therefore, we will discuss these two cases of $C_R(A)$, respectively. Now, let

$$(2.1) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & a & d & f \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & b & e \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\lambda_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j$, where α_j and β_j are real for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_A(x, y, z) &\equiv \det(x\operatorname{Re} A + y\operatorname{Im} A + zI_4) \\
 &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z & \frac{a}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{d}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{f}{2}(x - iy) \\ \frac{\bar{a}}{2}(x + iy) & \alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z & \frac{b}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{e}{2}(x - iy) \\ \frac{\bar{d}}{2}(x + iy) & \frac{\bar{b}}{2}(x + iy) & \alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z & \frac{c}{2}(x - iy) \\ \frac{\bar{f}}{2}(x + iy) & \frac{\bar{e}}{2}(x + iy) & \frac{\bar{c}}{2}(x + iy) & \alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= (\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z)(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)(\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z) \\
 &\quad - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} Q(x, y, z),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(x, y, z) &\equiv |a|^2(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)(\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z) \\
 &\quad + |b|^2(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z) \\
 &\quad + |c|^2(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z) \\
 &\quad + |d|^2(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z)(\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z) \\
 &\quad + |e|^2(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z) \\
 &\quad + |f|^2(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z)(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\operatorname{Re}(abc\bar{f})}{2}(x^2 - y^2) + \operatorname{Im}(abc\bar{f})xy \\
 &\quad - (\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\operatorname{Re}(bc\bar{e})x + \operatorname{Im}(bc\bar{e})y) \\
 &\quad - (\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z)(\operatorname{Re}(cd\bar{f})x + \operatorname{Im}(cd\bar{f})y) \\
 &\quad - (\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)(\operatorname{Re}(ae\bar{f})x + \operatorname{Im}(ae\bar{f})y) \\
 &\quad - (\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z)(\operatorname{Re}(ab\bar{d})x + \operatorname{Im}(ab\bar{d})y) \\
 &\quad - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} (|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\operatorname{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_A(x, y, z) &= (\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z)(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z) \\
 (*) \quad &\quad \cdot (\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z) - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} Q(x, y, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

be denoted by (*).

We now state and prove our main result. Firstly, we prove some lemmas which will be needed.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a 4×4 matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 . Then the Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ consists of two points and one ellipse if and only if*

$$P_A(x, y, z) = (\alpha_1x + \beta_1y + z)(\alpha_2x + \beta_2y + z) \cdot [(\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)],$$

where $\lambda_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j$ for all j and the α_j 's and β_j 's are real. In this case, the Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ is the union of these two points λ_1, λ_2 and the ellipse with foci λ_3, λ_4 and the minor axis of length r .

Proof. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $C_R(A) = C_R(B)$, the polynomials P_A and P_B have to be the same. Hence

$$P_A(x, y, z) = (\alpha_1x + \beta_1y + z)(\alpha_2x + \beta_2y + z) \cdot [(\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)].$$

The converse is clear. ■

Lemma 2. *Let A be a 4×4 matrix. Then the Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ consists of two ellipses, one with foci λ_1, λ_2 and minor axis of length s , and the other with foci λ_3, λ_4 and minor axis of length r if and only if*

$$P_A(x, y, z) = [(\alpha_1x + \beta_1y + z)(\alpha_2x + \beta_2y + z) - \frac{s^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)] \cdot [(\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)],$$

where $\lambda_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and the α_j 's and β_j 's are real.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 1. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $C_R(A) = C_R(B)$, the polynomials P_A and P_B have to be the same. Hence

$$P_A(x, y, z) = [(\alpha_1x + \beta_1y + z)(\alpha_2x + \beta_2y + z) - \frac{s^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)] \\ \cdot [(\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)].$$

The converse is clear ■

With the above lemmas, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *Let A be in upper-triangular form (2.1). Then $C_R(A)$ consists of two points and one ellipse if and only if*

- (a) $r^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2$,
- (b) $r^2\lambda_i\lambda_j = |a|^2\lambda_3\lambda_4 + |b|^2\lambda_1\lambda_4 + |c|^2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + |d|^2\lambda_2\lambda_4 + |e|^2\lambda_1\lambda_3 + |f|^2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - (\lambda_1bc\bar{e} + \lambda_2cd\bar{f} + \lambda_3ae\bar{f} + \lambda_4abd\bar{d}) + abc\bar{f}$,
- (c) $r^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) = (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\lambda_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_3 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\lambda_4 - (bc\bar{e} + cd\bar{f} + ae\bar{f} + abd\bar{d})$, and
- (d) $r^2\alpha_i\alpha_j = |a|^2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + |b|^2\alpha_1\alpha_4 + |c|^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + |d|^2\alpha_2\alpha_4 + |e|^2\alpha_1\alpha_3 + |f|^2\alpha_2\alpha_3 - [\alpha_1\text{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \alpha_2\text{Re}(cd\bar{f}) + \alpha_3\text{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \alpha_4\text{Re}(abd\bar{d})] - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 - 2\text{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\text{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) - 2\text{Re}(abc\bar{f}))$.

If these conditions are satisfied, then $C_R(A)$ is the union of two points λ_i, λ_j with the ellipse having its foci at two other eigenvalues of A and minor axis of length r .

Proof. By Lemma 1,

$$P_A(x, y, z) = (\alpha_ix + \beta_iy + z)(\alpha_jx + \beta_jy + z) \\ \cdot [(\alpha_kx + \beta_ky + z)(\alpha_lx + \beta_ly + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)].$$

Comparing this with polynomial (*), we have

$$Q(x, y, z) = r^2(\alpha_ix + \beta_iy + z)(\alpha_jx + \beta_jy + z)$$

and then obtain the following equalities by computing the coefficients of x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz and yz , respectively. Therefore,

- (1) $r^2\alpha_i\alpha_j = |a|^2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + |b|^2\alpha_1\alpha_4 + |c|^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + |d|^2\alpha_2\alpha_4 + |e|^2\alpha_1\alpha_3 + |f|^2\alpha_2\alpha_3 - [\alpha_1\text{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \alpha_2\text{Re}(cd\bar{f}) + \alpha_3\text{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \alpha_4\text{Re}(abd\bar{d})] - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 - 2\text{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\text{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) - 2\text{Re}(abc\bar{f}))$,

- (2) $r^2\beta_i\beta_j = |a|^2\beta_3\beta_4 + |b|^2\beta_1\beta_4 + |c|^2\beta_1\beta_2 + |d|^2\beta_2\beta_4 + |e|^2\beta_1\beta_3 + |f|^2\beta_2\beta_3 - [\beta_1\text{Im}(bc\bar{e}) + \beta_2\text{Im}(cd\bar{f}) + \beta_3\text{Im}(ae\bar{f}) + \beta_4\text{Im}(ab\bar{d})] - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 - 2\text{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\text{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) + 2\text{Re}(abc\bar{f}))$,
- (3) $r^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2$,
- (4) $r^2(\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i) = |a|^2(\alpha_3\beta_4 + \alpha_4\beta_3) + |b|^2(\alpha_1\beta_4 + \alpha_4\beta_1) + |c|^2(\alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1) + |d|^2(\alpha_2\beta_4 + \alpha_4\beta_2) + |e|^2(\alpha_1\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_1) + |f|^2(\alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_2) - (\alpha_1\text{Im}(bc\bar{e}) + \beta_1\text{Re}(bc\bar{e})) - [\alpha_2\text{Im}(cd\bar{f}) + \beta_2\text{Re}(cd\bar{f})] - [\alpha_3\text{Im}(ae\bar{f}) + \beta_3\text{Re}(ae\bar{f})] - [\alpha_4\text{Im}(ab\bar{d}) + \beta_4\text{Re}(ab\bar{d})] + \text{Im}(abc\bar{f})$,
- (5) $r^2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) = (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\alpha_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\alpha_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\alpha_3 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\alpha_4 - [\text{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \text{Re}(cd\bar{f}) + \text{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \text{Re}(ab\bar{d})]$, and
- (6) $r^2(\beta_i + \beta_j) = (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\beta_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\beta_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\beta_3 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\beta_4 - [\text{Im}(bc\bar{e}) + \text{Im}(cd\bar{f}) + \text{Im}(ae\bar{f}) + \text{Im}(ab\bar{d})]$.

Note that the combination of (1), (2) and (4) is equivalent to the one of (b) and (d) since (1) - (2) + $i(4)$ yields (b). Moreover, the combination of (5) and (6) is equivalent to (c) since (5) + $i(6)$ yields (c). This completes the proof. ■

A similar argument shows the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let A be in upper-triangular form (2.1). Then $C_R(A)$ consists of two ellipses, one with foci λ_k, λ_l and minor axis of length r , the other with foci λ_i, λ_j and minor axis of length s if and only if*

- (a) $r^2 + s^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2$,
- (b) $r^2\lambda_i\lambda_j + s^2\lambda_k\lambda_l = |a|^2\lambda_3\lambda_4 + |b|^2\lambda_1\lambda_4 + |c|^2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + |d|^2\lambda_2\lambda_4 + |e|^2\lambda_1\lambda_3 + |f|^2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - (\lambda_1bc\bar{e} + \lambda_2cd\bar{f} + \lambda_3ae\bar{f} + \lambda_4ab\bar{d}) + abc\bar{f}$,
- (c) $r^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) + s^2(\lambda_k + \lambda_l) = (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\lambda_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_3 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\lambda_4 - (bc\bar{e} + cd\bar{f} + ae\bar{f} + ab\bar{d})$, and
- (d) $r^2\alpha_i\alpha_j + s^2\alpha_k\alpha_l - \frac{1}{4}r^2s^2 = |a|^2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + |b|^2\alpha_1\alpha_4 + |c|^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + |d|^2\alpha_2\alpha_4 + |e|^2\alpha_1\alpha_3 + |f|^2\alpha_2\alpha_3 - [\alpha_1\text{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \alpha_2\text{Re}(cd\bar{f}) + \alpha_3\text{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \alpha_4\text{Re}(ab\bar{d})] - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 - 2\text{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\text{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) - 2\text{Re}(abc\bar{f}))$.

Proof. By Lemma 2,

$$P_A(x, y, z) = [(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z)(\alpha_j x + \beta_j y + z) - \frac{s^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)] \cdot [(\alpha_k x + \beta_k y + z)(\alpha_l x + \beta_l y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)].$$

Comparing this with polynomial (*), we have

$$Q(x, y, z) = r^2(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z)(\alpha_j x + \beta_j y + z) + s^2(\alpha_k x + \beta_k y + z)(\alpha_l x + \beta_l y + z) - \frac{r^2 s^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)$$

and then obtain the following equalities by computing the coefficients of $x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz,$ and $yz,$ respectively. Therefore,

- (1) $r^2 \alpha_i \alpha_j + s^2 \alpha_k \alpha_l - \frac{r^2 s^2}{4} = |a|^2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 + |b|^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_4 + |c|^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + |d|^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 + |e|^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + |f|^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - [\alpha_1 \operatorname{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \alpha_2 \operatorname{Re}(cd\bar{f}) + \alpha_3 \operatorname{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \alpha_4 \operatorname{Re}(ab\bar{d})] - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2 |c|^2 + |d|^2 |e|^2 + |b|^2 |f|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\operatorname{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) - 2\operatorname{Re}(abc\bar{f})),$
- (2) $r^2 \beta_i \beta_j + s^2 \beta_k \beta_l - \frac{r^2 s^2}{4} = |a|^2 \beta_3 \beta_4 + |b|^2 \beta_1 \beta_4 + |c|^2 \beta_1 \beta_2 + |d|^2 \beta_2 \beta_4 + |e|^2 \beta_1 \beta_3 + |f|^2 \beta_2 \beta_3 - [\beta_1 \operatorname{Im}(bc\bar{e}) + \beta_2 \operatorname{Im}(cd\bar{f}) + \beta_3 \operatorname{Im}(ae\bar{f}) + \beta_4 \operatorname{Im}(ab\bar{d})] - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2 |c|^2 + |d|^2 |e|^2 + |b|^2 |f|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\operatorname{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) + 2\operatorname{Re}(abc\bar{f})),$
- (3) $r^2 + s^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2,$
- (4) $r^2(\alpha_i \beta_j + \alpha_j \beta_i) + s^2(\alpha_k \beta_l + \alpha_l \beta_k) = |a|^2(\alpha_3 \beta_4 + \alpha_4 \beta_3) + |b|^2(\alpha_1 \beta_4 + \alpha_4 \beta_1) + |c|^2(\alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \beta_1) + |d|^2(\alpha_2 \beta_4 + \alpha_4 \beta_2) + |e|^2(\alpha_1 \beta_3 + \alpha_3 \beta_1) + |f|^2(\alpha_2 \beta_3 + \alpha_3 \beta_2) - (\alpha_1 \operatorname{Im}(bc\bar{e}) + \beta_1 \operatorname{Re}(bc\bar{e})) - [\alpha_2 \operatorname{Im}(cd\bar{f}) + \beta_2 \operatorname{Re}(cd\bar{f})] - [\alpha_3 \operatorname{Im}(ae\bar{f}) + \beta_3 \operatorname{Re}(ae\bar{f})] - [\alpha_4 \operatorname{Im}(ab\bar{d}) + \beta_4 \operatorname{Re}(ab\bar{d})] + \operatorname{Im}(abc\bar{f}),$
- (5) $r^2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) + s^2(\alpha_k + \alpha_l) = (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\alpha_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\alpha_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\alpha_3 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\alpha_4 - [\operatorname{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \operatorname{Re}(cd\bar{f}) + \operatorname{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \operatorname{Re}(ab\bar{d})],$ and
- (6) $r^2(\beta_i + \beta_j) + s^2(\beta_k + \beta_l) = (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\beta_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\beta_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\beta_3 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\beta_4 - [\operatorname{Im}(bc\bar{e}) + \operatorname{Im}(cd\bar{f}) + \operatorname{Im}(ae\bar{f}) + \operatorname{Im}(ab\bar{d})].$

Note that the combination of (1), (2) and (4) is equivalent to the one of (b) and (d) since $(1) - (2) + i(4)$ yields (b). Moreover, the combination of (5) and (6) is equivalent to (c) since $(5) + i(6)$ yields (c). This completes the proof. ■

Although every matrix is unitarily equivalent to an upper-triangular matrix, it is not easy to obtain the upper-triangular form of a matrix. For generality, we obtain the unitary invariant forms of Theorems 3 and 4.

Corollary 5. *Let A be a 4×4 matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 . Then $C_R(A)$ consists of two points λ_i, λ_j and one ellipse having its foci at two other eigenvalues of A and minor axis of length r if and only if*

- (a) $r^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^*A) - \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2$,
 (b) $r^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (r^2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_j|^2) \lambda_i \lambda_j + \operatorname{tr}(A^*A^3) - \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^*A^2)$,
 (c) $r^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) = r^2 \operatorname{tr}(A) - \operatorname{tr}(A^*A^2) + \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i$, and
 (d) $r^2 \alpha_i \alpha_j = 4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 4 \det(\operatorname{Re} A)$.

Proof. Let B be in upper-triangular form (2.1) which is unitarily equivalent to A . After a little computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(B^*B) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 + |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2, \\ \operatorname{tr}(B^*B^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i + (|a|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_1 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |e|^2) \lambda_2 \\ &\quad + (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2) \lambda_3 + (|c|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_4 \\ &\quad + (ab\bar{d} + ae\bar{f} + cd\bar{f} + bc\bar{e}), \\ \operatorname{tr}(B^*B^3) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i^2 + (|a|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_1^2 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |e|^2) \lambda_2^2 \\ &\quad + (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2) \lambda_3^2 + (|c|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_4^2 + |a|^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + |b|^2 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \\ &\quad + |c|^2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + |d|^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + |e|^2 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + |f|^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + ab\bar{d}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \\ &\quad + ae\bar{f}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4) + cd\bar{f}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4) + bc\bar{e}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4) + abc\bar{f}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\det(\operatorname{Re} B) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{4} Q(1, 0, 0).$$

By the condition (a) in Theorem 3, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(B^*B) - \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2 = r^2.$$

By the condition (b) in Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (r^2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_j|^2) \lambda_i \lambda_j + \operatorname{tr}(B^*B^3) - \operatorname{tr}(B) \operatorname{tr}(B^*B^2) \\ &= |a|^2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + |b|^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + |c|^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + |d|^2 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + |e|^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + |f|^2 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \\ &\quad - (\lambda_1 bc\bar{e} + \lambda_2 cd\bar{f} + \lambda_3 ae\bar{f} + \lambda_4 ab\bar{d}) + abc\bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

By the condition (c) in Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & r^2 \operatorname{tr}(B) - \operatorname{tr}(B^* B^2) + \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i \\ &= (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2) \lambda_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_3 \\ & \quad + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2) \lambda_4 - (bc\bar{e} + cd\bar{f} + ae\bar{f} + ab\bar{d}). \end{aligned}$$

By the condition (d) in Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 - 4 \det(\operatorname{Re} B) &= Q(1, 0, 0) \\ &= |a|^2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + |b|^2\alpha_1\alpha_4 + |c|^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 \\ & \quad + |d|^2\alpha_2\alpha_4 + |e|^2\alpha_1\alpha_3 + |f|^2\alpha_2\alpha_3 \\ & \quad - [\alpha_1 \operatorname{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \alpha_2 \operatorname{Re}(cd\bar{f}) \\ & \quad + \alpha_3 \operatorname{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \alpha_4 \operatorname{Re}(ab\bar{d})] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 \\ & \quad - 2\operatorname{Re}(a\bar{c}d\bar{e}) - 2\operatorname{Re}(b\bar{d}e\bar{f}) - 2\operatorname{Re}(abc\bar{f})). \end{aligned}$$

Since trace and determinant are unitary invariant, completing the proof. \blacksquare

Corollary 6. *Let A be a 4×4 matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 . Then $C_R(A)$ consists of two ellipses, one with foci λ_k, λ_l and minor axis of length r , the other with foci λ_i, λ_j and minor axis of length s if and only if*

- (a) $r^2 + s^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^* A) - \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \equiv \gamma^2$,
- (b) $r^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j + s^2 \lambda_k \lambda_l = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (\gamma^2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_j|^2) \lambda_i \lambda_j + \operatorname{tr}(A^* A^3) - \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^* A^2)$,
- (c) $r^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) + s^2(\lambda_k + \lambda_l) = \gamma^2 \operatorname{tr}(A) - \operatorname{tr}(A^* A^2) + \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i$, and
- (d) $r^2 \alpha_i \alpha_j + s^2 \alpha_k \alpha_l - \frac{1}{4} r^2 s^2 = 4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 4 \det(\operatorname{Re} A)$.

Proof. Let B be in upper-triangular form (2.1) which is unitarily equivalent to A . A direct computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(B^* B) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 + |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2, \\ \operatorname{tr}(B^* B^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i + (|a|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2) \lambda_1 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |e|^2) \lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2)\lambda_3 + (|c|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_4 \\
& + (ab\bar{d} + ae\bar{f} + cd\bar{f} + bc\bar{e}), \\
\text{tr}(B^*B^3) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i^2 + (|a|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_1^2 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |e|^2)\lambda_2^2 \\
& + (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2)\lambda_3^2 + (|c|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_4^2 + |a|^2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + |b|^2\lambda_2\lambda_3 \\
& + |c|^2\lambda_3\lambda_4 + |d|^2\lambda_1\lambda_3 + |e|^2\lambda_2\lambda_4 + |f|^2\lambda_1\lambda_4 + ab\bar{d}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \\
& + ae\bar{f}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4) + cd\bar{f}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4) + bc\bar{e}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4) + abc\bar{f},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\det(\text{Re } B) = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 - \frac{1}{4}Q(1, 0, 0).$$

By the condition (a) in Theorem 4, we have

$$\text{tr}(B^*B) - \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2 = r^2.$$

By the condition (b) in Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (r^2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_j|^2)\lambda_i\lambda_j + \text{tr}(B^*B^3) - \text{tr}(B)\text{tr}(B^*B^2) \\
&= |a|^2\lambda_3\lambda_4 + |b|^2\lambda_1\lambda_4 + |c|^2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + |d|^2\lambda_2\lambda_4 + |e|^2\lambda_1\lambda_3 + |f|^2\lambda_2\lambda_3 \\
& \quad - (\lambda_1bc\bar{e} + \lambda_2cd\bar{f} + \lambda_3ae\bar{f} + \lambda_4ab\bar{d}) + abc\bar{f}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the condition (c) in Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^2\text{tr}(B) - \text{tr}(B^*B^2) + \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i \\
&= (|b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2)\lambda_1 + (|c|^2 + |d|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_2 + (|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2)\lambda_3 \\
& \quad + (|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |d|^2)\lambda_4 - (bc\bar{e} + cd\bar{f} + ae\bar{f} + ab\bar{d}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the condition (d) in Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
4\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 - 4\det(\text{Re } B) &= Q(1, 0, 0) \\
&= |a|^2\alpha_3\alpha_4 + |b|^2\alpha_1\alpha_4 + |c|^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 \\
& \quad + |d|^2\alpha_2\alpha_4 + |e|^2\alpha_1\alpha_3 + |f|^2\alpha_2\alpha_3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -[\alpha_1 \operatorname{Re}(bc\bar{e}) + \alpha_2 \operatorname{Re}(cdf) \\
 & + \alpha_3 \operatorname{Re}(ae\bar{f}) + \alpha_4 \operatorname{Re}(abd\bar{f})] \\
 & -\frac{1}{4}(|a|^2|c|^2 + |d|^2|e|^2 + |b|^2|f|^2 \\
 & -2\operatorname{Re}(a\bar{c}\bar{d}e) - 2\operatorname{Re}(b\bar{d}\bar{e}f) - 2\operatorname{Re}(abc\bar{f})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since trace and determinant are unitary invariant, the results follow obviously. ■

Now we are ready to formulate a sufficient condition for a 4×4 matrix A to have an elliptic disc as its numerical range.

Corollary 7. *Let A be a 4×4 matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) $r^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^*A) - \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2$,
- (b) $r^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (r^2 + |\lambda_i|^2 + |\lambda_j|^2) \lambda_i \lambda_j + \operatorname{tr}(A^*A^3) - \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^*A^2)$,
- (c) $r^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) = \gamma^2 \operatorname{tr}(A) - \operatorname{tr}(A^*A^2) + \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^2 \lambda_i$,
- (d) $r^2 \alpha_i \alpha_j = 4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 4 \det(\operatorname{Re} A)$, and
- (e) $(|\lambda - \lambda_k| + |\lambda - \lambda_l|)^2 - |\lambda_k - \lambda_l|^2 \leq r^2$, where $\lambda = \lambda_i, \lambda_j$ and λ_k, λ_l are other two eigenvalues of A .

Then $W(A)$ is an elliptic disc with foci λ_k, λ_l and the minor axis of length r .

Proof. By Corollary 5, $C_R(A)$ consists of two points λ_i, λ_j and one ellipse whose foci are λ_k, λ_l and whose minor axis has length r . Moreover, condition (e) means that these two points λ_i, λ_j lie inside the ellipse. Hence $W(A)$ is an elliptic with foci λ_k, λ_l and the minor axis of length r . ■

Corollary 8. *Let A be a 4×4 matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 . If conditions (a)-(d) of Corollary 6 hold and, in addition,*

$$(e) \sqrt{|\lambda_k - \lambda_l|^2 + r^2} + |\lambda_k - \lambda_i| + |\lambda_l - \lambda_j| \leq \sqrt{|\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^2 + s^2}.$$

Then $W(A)$ is an elliptic disc with foci λ_i, λ_j and the minor axis of length s .

Proof. By Corollary 6, $C_R(A)$ consists of two ellipses, one with foci λ_k, λ_l and the minor axis of length r and the other with foci λ_i, λ_j and the minor axis of length s . Moreover, for λ in \mathbb{C} such that

$$|\lambda - \lambda_k| + |\lambda - \lambda_l| \leq \sqrt{|\lambda_k - \lambda_l|^2 + r^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda - \lambda_i| + |\lambda - \lambda_j| &\leq |\lambda - \lambda_k| + |\lambda - \lambda_l| + |\lambda_k - \lambda_i| + |\lambda_l - \lambda_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{|\lambda_k - \lambda_l| + r^2} + |\lambda_k - \lambda_i| + |\lambda_l - \lambda_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{|\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^2 + s^2} \end{aligned}$$

by condition (e). Thus we conclude that $W(A)$ is an elliptic disc with foci λ_i, λ_j and the minor axis of length s . ■

REFERENCES

1. E. Brieskorn and H. Knörrer, *Plane Algebraic Curves*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
2. J. L. Coolidge, *A Treatise on Algebraic Plane Curves*, Dover, New York, 1959.
3. M. Fiedler, Geometry of the numerical range of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **37** (1981), 81-96.
4. H.-L. Gau and Y.-H. Lu, *Elliptical numerical ranges of 4×4 matrices*, Master Thesis, National Central University, 2003.
5. K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, *Numerical Range, the Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices*, Springer, New York, 1997.
6. R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
7. D. S. Keeler, L. Rodman and I. M. Spitkovsky, The Numerical Range of 3×3 Matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **252** (1997), 115-139.
8. R. Kippenhahn, Über den Wertevorrat einer Matrix, *Math. Nachr.*, **6** (1951), 193-228.
9. F. Kirwan, *Complex Algebraic Curves*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
10. C.-K. Li, A simple proof of the elliptical range theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1996), 1985-1986.
11. F. D. Murnaghan, On the field of values of a square matrix, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **18** (1932), 246-248.

Hwa-Long Gau
 Department of Mathematics,
 National Central University,
 Chung-Li 32001, Taiwan.
 E-mail: hlgau@math.ncu.edu.tw