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#### Abstract

Following a construction of Gross and Reeder, we define simple supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over a $\mathfrak{p}$-adic field. We show that they have conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$. We then give a general formula for the matrix coefficient attached to a new vector, and make it completely explicit when $n=2$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$, maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}=\varpi \mathfrak{o}$, and finite residue field $\mathbb{k}=\mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p}$ of cardinality $q$. Typically, the first textbook example of a supercuspidal representation is one induced from the lift of a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})$. These are the supercuspidals of minimal conductor exponent, namely $n$. But even when $n=2$ it is not entirely trivial to explicitly define the cuspidal series. There is an easier construction of supercuspidals singled out recently by Gross and Reeder, who defined simple supercuspidal representations for a large class of groups over $F$ ([GR], $\S 9$ ). These are induced from affine generic characters $\chi$ of a pro-unipotent radical of a maximal compact subgroup. The construction of [GR] applies in particular to $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(F)$, and our goal here is to treat the case of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$. In this case, the representation induced from $\chi$ is reducible, decomposing into $n$ irreducible summands (see Theorem 4.4). These summands may naturally be termed simple supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$. We describe them explicitly and give some of their properties. In particular, there are exactly $n(q-1)$ of them with a given central character, up to isomorphism. These representations are of interest in large part because of their ease of access, being induced from characters (cf. (4.9)).

The construction of all supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ has been known since the work of Bushnell and Kutzko in the late 1980's, [BK]. The earliest systematic treatment seems to be that of Carayol in the late 1970's, [C1, C2]. In particular, the

[^0]representations constructed here are of the general type described in the third section of [C1]. So in this sense, much of what we discuss in this paper is "well-known". Nevertheless, it seems worthwhile to give a self-contained account, particularly since simple supercuspidal representations are appearing more frequently in the literature as an accessible class of examples. For instance, Adrian and Liu have given a simple proof of the local Langlands correspondence for these representations, [AL].

In our first main result, Corollary 5.2, we show that the conductor of any simple supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$, and we exhibit the new vector explicitly. In $\S 6$, we then give a general formula for the associated matrix coefficient, which we make completely explicit for the case $n=2$ in Theorem 7.1. We also show in Proposition 7.2 that any irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{3}$ whose central character has at most tame ramification is a simple supercuspidal representation. In §8, we prove Corollary 5.2, and in addition we give an explicit description of the oldforms.

Our motivation for $\S 6$ comes from the possibility of using such a matrix coefficient in the (global) trace formula. This gives rise to an operator having purely cuspidal image and isolating those cusp forms with simple supercuspidal $\mathfrak{p}$-type. Gross used this method (but for very general $G$ ) to compute multiplicities of cuspidal automorphic representations with certain prescribed local behavior [G]. The local test vector used by Gross is not a new vector: it depends only on $\chi$, and it simultaneously detects the $n$ associated simple supercuspidal representations. However, if one wishes to go further and access the Fourier coefficients or other spectral data, it is necessary to use a new vector. In [KL2], we apply our results to study the $L$-functions of various newforms of cubic level.

The new vector matrix coefficient of Theorem 7.1 is essentially a Kloosterman sum determined by the matrix entries of the argument. There is a deeper connection between simple supercuspidal representations and Kloosterman sums in the function field setting, described by Heinloth, Ngô and Yun, [HNY]. Their work was motivated by the paper [GF] of Gross and Frenkel.

After this paper was written, the preprint [BH2] of Bushnell and Henniart appeared, in which the Langlands parameters of supercuspidal representations of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$ are described explicitly. We note that these are precisely the representations considered here (cf. Corollary 5.3).

## 2. Affine Generic Characters

Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$, let $Z$ be the center of $G$, identified with $F^{*}$, and let $K=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathfrak{o})$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup. Let $M$ denote the diagonal subgroup of $G$.

Let $I_{n} \in G$ be the identity matrix, and let

$$
K^{\prime}=I_{n}+\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \cdots \\
\mathfrak{p} & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \cdots & \vdots
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Relative to the surjective homomorphism $K \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k}), K^{\prime}$ is the preimage of the upper triangular unipotent subgroup $N(\mathbb{k})$, which is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})$ for $p=\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{k})$. We set

$$
H=Z K^{\prime}
$$

We will define a simple class of characters of $H$. Fix a character $\omega$ of $Z$, trivial on $1+\mathfrak{p}$. Let

$$
\psi: \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*}
$$

be a fixed nontrivial additive character. Then every character of $\mathbb{k}$ is of the form $x \mapsto \psi(t x)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{k}$. We freely identify these characters with their pullbacks to functions on $\mathfrak{o}$. For $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathbb{k}^{*}$, define a function $\chi: H \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(z k)=\omega(z) \psi\left(t_{1} r_{1}+\cdots+t_{n} r_{n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in Z$ and

$$
k=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & r_{1} & * & \cdots  \tag{2.2}\\
* & x_{2} & r_{2} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\vdots & \ddots & r_{n-1} \\
\varpi r_{n} & \cdots & & r_{x_{n}} \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right) \in K^{\prime} .
$$

It is easy to check that $\chi$ is a continuous homomorphism. It is well-defined since $\omega$ is trivial on

$$
Z \cap K^{\prime} \cong 1+\mathfrak{p}
$$

These are the affine generic characters of $H$ ([C1],[GR]). For example, if $n=2$, $F=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and $\omega=1$, then they are of the form

$$
\chi(z k)=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{p}\left(t_{1} b+t_{2} c\right)} \quad\left(k=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
p c & d
\end{array}\right) \in K^{\prime}, z \in Z\right) .
$$

Generally, there are $(q-1)^{n}$ affine generic characters with a given central character $\omega$.
For any $i \neq j$ (taken modulo $n$ ), let $U_{i, j} \subset K^{\prime}$ denote the subgroup of unipotent matrices with zeroes off the diagonal except in the $(i, j)$-th slot. Affine generic characters are required by definition to be nontrivial on the $n$ subgroups $U_{i, i+1}$, and trivial on all other $U_{i, j}$.

In the language of $[\mathrm{BK}]$, the character $\chi$ of $K^{\prime}$ corresponds to the simple stratum

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathfrak{A}, 1,0, \beta], \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \cdots \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \vdots & 0 & \cdots & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathfrak{p} & \ldots & \mathfrak{o}\end{array}\right)$ is the standard minimal order of $M_{n}(F)$, and in the notation of Lemma 3.2 below, $\beta=t_{n} g_{\chi}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & & & & \frac{t_{n}}{\omega} \\ t_{1} & & & & \\ & t_{2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & t_{n-1} & 0\end{array}\right)$. Indeed, this corresponds to the character of the group $U^{1}(\mathfrak{A})=K^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\psi_{\beta}(x)=\psi(\operatorname{tr}(\beta(x-1)))=\chi(x) .
$$

## 3. The Induced Representation

For an affine generic character $\chi$, define the compactly induced representation:

$$
\pi_{\chi}=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\chi)
$$

This is the action of $G$ by right translation on the space $A$ of functions $f: G \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfying:
(a) $f(h g)=\chi(h) f(g)$ for all $h \in H$ and $g \in G$
(b) The support of $f$ is compact modulo $H$, or equivalently, modulo $Z$
(c) $f$ is smooth, i.e. there exists a compact open subgroup $J_{f}$ of $G$ such that $f$ is constant on all cosets $g J_{f}$.

Proposition 3.1. Any irreducible subrepresentation of $\pi_{\chi}$ is supercuspidal.

Proof. Let $(\pi, W)$ be an irreducible subrepresentation of $\pi_{\chi}$, and let $\phi \in W$ be a nonzero vector. There exists an open compact subgroup $J \subset G$ such that $\phi$ is $J$ invariant. Fixing a Haar measure $d x$ on $\bar{G}=G / Z$, it follows that the linear functional $\check{\phi}: W \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$
\check{\phi}: \eta \mapsto\langle\eta, \phi\rangle:=\int_{\bar{G}}|\omega(\operatorname{det} x)|^{-2 / n} \eta(x) \overline{\phi(x)} d x
$$

is $J$-invariant for the action $\pi^{*}(g) \check{\phi}=(\pi(g) \phi)^{\check{L}}$. Hence it belongs to the smooth dual $W ̌=\bigcup_{J}\left(W^{*}\right)^{J}$. The matrix coefficient

$$
g \mapsto \check{\phi}(\pi(g) \eta)=\langle\pi(g) \eta, \phi\rangle=\int_{\bar{G}}|\omega(\operatorname{det} x)|^{-2 / n} \eta(x g) \overline{\phi(x)} d x
$$

is supported in $\operatorname{Supp}(\phi)^{-1} \operatorname{Supp}(\eta)$, which is compact modulo $Z$. Since $\pi$ is smooth, this shows that it is supercuspidal.

The diagonal group $M \cap K$ normalizes $H$. Therefore it acts on the set of affine generic characters by the rule

$$
\chi^{m}(x)=\chi\left(m x m^{-1}\right)
$$

Explicitly, if $\chi \leftrightarrow\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle$ as in (2.1), and $m=\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, then identifying the $y_{i}$ with their images in $\mathbb{k}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{m} \leftrightarrow\left\langle t_{1} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}, t_{2} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{3}}, \ldots, t_{n} \frac{y_{n}}{y_{1}}\right\rangle . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $y_{1}=1, y_{2}=t_{1} y_{1}, y_{3}=t_{2} y_{2}$, etc., we see that the orbit of $\chi$ has a unique element of the form $\langle 1, \ldots, 1, t\rangle$. In fact, $t=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}$. Thus there are exactly ( $q-1$ ) orbits of affine generic characters with a given central character.

We will show that given two affine generic characters $\chi$ and $\eta$, the representations they induce are isomorphic if and only if $\chi$ and $\eta$ belong to the same orbit. See Corollary 4.3 below.

Lemma 3.2. For $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$, let $\chi \leftrightarrow\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle$ be the associated affine generic character of $H$. Let $m=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{n} / t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} / t_{n-1}, 1\right) \in G$, and define

$$
g_{\chi}=m\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{n-1} \\
\varpi & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 \frac{t_{n}}{t_{1}} & & & \\
& t_{n} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \\
& & \frac{t_{n}}{t_{n-1}} \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right) \in G
$$

Then $g_{\chi}$ normalizes $K^{\prime}$ (thus also $H$ ) and $\chi=\chi^{g_{\chi}}$, i.e.

$$
\chi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} h g_{\chi}\right)=\chi(h)
$$

for all $h \in H$.

## Remarks.

(a) $g_{\chi}^{n}$ is the scalar matrix $\frac{t_{n}^{n-1} w}{t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n-1}} I_{n}$.
(b) We showed above that every orbit of characters contains one of the form $\chi \leftrightarrow$ $\langle 1, \ldots, 1, t\rangle$. In this case $g_{\chi}$ is simply

$$
g_{\chi}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t I_{n-1} &  \tag{3.2}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{n-1} \\
\varpi & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & t I_{n-1} \\
\varpi & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(c) The matrix $g_{\chi}$ is not uniquely determined by $\chi$ because it involves choosing representatives for $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*} /(1+\mathfrak{p})$. This choice is immaterial and we regard it as fixed once and for all.

Proof. It suffices to consider $h \in K^{\prime}$. Writing $w_{\varpi}=\left({ }_{\varpi}^{I_{n-1}}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{n-1} \frac{1}{\varpi}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & \frac{t_{1} r_{1}}{t_{2}} & * & \cdots & \\
* & x_{2} & \frac{t_{2} r_{2}}{t_{3}} & \cdots & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
* & & & \frac{t_{n-1} r_{n-1}}{t_{n}} \\
\varpi \frac{t_{n} r_{n}}{t_{1}} & \cdots & & x_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\approx I_{n-1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{n} & \frac{t_{n} r_{n}}{t_{1}} & * & \cdots \\
* & x_{1} & \frac{t_{1} r_{1}}{t_{2}} & \cdots & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
* & & & \\
\varpi \frac{t_{n-1} r_{n-1}}{t_{n}} & & \cdots & & x_{n-1}
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where all entries in the latter matrix are integral and those below the diagonal belong to $\mathfrak{p}$. Thus

$$
\chi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} h g_{\chi}\right)=\psi\left(t_{n} r_{n}+t_{1} r_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1} r_{n-1}\right)=\chi(h)
$$

In order to understand $\left(\pi_{\chi}, A\right)$, it is useful to determine the subspaces

$$
A^{\eta}=\left\{v \in A \mid \pi_{\chi}(h) v=\eta(h) v \text { for all } h \in H\right\}
$$

for various affine generic characters $\eta$. There is an obvious nonzero element of $A^{\chi}$, namely the function

$$
f_{0}(h)= \begin{cases}\chi(h) & \text { if } h \in H  \tag{3.3}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We will show below that this function and its left translates by $g_{\chi}^{-1}$ span $A^{\chi}$.
Proposition 3.3. Let $\chi$ and $g_{\chi}$ be as above, and let $\eta$ be any affine generic character of $H$. Suppose $\phi \in A^{\eta}$. If $\phi(x) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(h)=\chi\left(x h x^{-1}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad h \in H \cap x^{-1} H x . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is independent of the choice of representative $x$ for the double coset $H x H$. Conversely, if $x \in G$ is any element satisfying (3.4), then there exists a unique element $\phi_{x} \in A^{\eta}$ supported on $H x H$ and satisfying $\phi_{x}(x)=1$.

An element $x$ satisfies (3.4) if and only if $g_{\chi} x$ satisfies (3.4). For such $x$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi_{x}, \phi_{g_{\chi} x}, \ldots, \phi_{g_{\chi}^{n-1} x}\right\} \subset A^{\eta} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose $\phi(x) \neq 0$ and $h \in H \cap x^{-1} H x$. Then

$$
\eta(h) \phi(x)=\phi(x h)=\phi\left(x h x^{-1} x\right)=\chi\left(x h x^{-1}\right) \phi(x),
$$

so (3.4) is satisfied. Conversely, suppose $x$ satisfies (3.4). Define

$$
\phi_{x}(g)= \begin{cases}\chi\left(h_{1}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) & \text { if } g=h_{1} x h_{2} \text { for some } h_{1}, h_{2} \in H \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Clearly this belongs to $A^{\eta}$ provided it is well-defined, i.e. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(h_{1}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right)=\chi\left(h_{1}^{\prime}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $h_{1} x h_{2}=h_{1}^{\prime} x h_{2}^{\prime}$ for $h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime} \in H$. In this case,

$$
x^{-1} h_{1}^{\prime-1} h_{1} x=h_{2}^{\prime} h_{2}^{-1} \in H \cap x^{-1} H x,
$$

so by (3.4), $\chi\left(h_{1}^{-1} h_{1}\right)=\eta\left(h_{2}^{\prime} h_{2}^{-1}\right)$, which immediately gives (3.6).
Next, note that because $g_{\chi}^{-1} H g_{\chi}=H, H \cap x^{-1} H x=H \cap\left(g_{\chi} x\right)^{-1} H g_{\chi} x$. Furthermore, for all $h$ in this set, we have

$$
\chi\left(x h x^{-1}\right)=\chi\left(g_{\chi} x h x^{-1} g_{\chi}^{-1}\right)
$$

by Lemma 3.2. It follows that $x$ satisfies (3.4) if and only if $g_{\chi} x$ does.
Lastly, the determinants of $x, g_{\chi} x, \ldots, g_{\chi}^{n-1} x$ have valuations which are distinct mod $n$, by which we see that the functions in (3.5) have pairwise disjoint supports. Thus they are linearly independent.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $\chi \leftrightarrow\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\eta \leftrightarrow\left\langle\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right\rangle$ as in (2.1). Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $A^{\eta} \neq 0$.
(2) $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}=\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \cdots \ell_{n}$ in $\mathbb{k}^{*}$.
(3) $\chi$ and $\eta$ are in the same orbit, i.e. $\chi^{m}=\eta$ for some $m \in M \cap K$.

If these conditions hold, then $\operatorname{dim} A^{\eta}=n$. In fact, let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, where $y_{1}=1$ and $y_{i+1}=\frac{y_{i} t_{i}}{\ell_{i}}$. Then $\chi^{x}=\eta$ and the set (3.5) is a basis for $A^{\eta}$.

Remark. The implication $(1) \Longrightarrow(3)$ is a special case of Theorem (2.6.1) of [BK]. Indeed, the condition $A^{\eta} \neq 0$ means that the strata attached to $\chi$ and $\eta$ intertwine, and so by loc. cit., $\eta$ and $\chi$ are conjugate under $\mathfrak{A}^{*}=(M \cap K) K^{\prime}$. For convenience, we include an elementary proof below.

Proof. First we prove that (1) implies (3). Suppose $\phi \in A^{\eta}$ is nonzero. We can assume that the support of $\phi$ is a double coset $H x H$, where $x$ satisfies (3.4). We can also take $\phi(x)=1$. Let $T$ be the normalizer in $G$ of the diagonal subgroup $M$. Then

$$
T=\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} M w=\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} w M
$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is the Weyl group of $G$ consisting of those matrices with exactly one 1 in each row and column, and 0's everywhere else. Recall the affine Bruhat decomposition

$$
G=K^{\prime} T K^{\prime}
$$

([Ho], p. 77). In particular, by Proposition 3.3 we can assume that

$$
x=w m
$$

for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $m \in M$.
By Proposition 3.3, we are also free to replace $x$ by $g_{\chi}^{a} x$ for any $a \geq 0$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be the standard basis for $F^{n}$. The $i$-th row of $w$ is $e_{\sigma(i)}$ for a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$. The Weyl element $\left({ }_{1} I_{n-1}\right)$ used in the definition of $g_{\chi}$ corresponds in this way to the $n$-cycle $(123 \ldots n) \in S_{n}$. Hence we can choose $a$ in such a way that the resulting element $x=w m$ has the property that the permutation $\sigma$ associated to $w$ fixes the number $n$.

With this choice of $x$, we claim that $w=1$. Write $m=\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. Let $b_{j}=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(y_{j}\right)$. Suppose $w \neq 1$, so $\sigma \neq 1$. We will derive a contradiction. Let $1<\ell \leq n$ be the smallest integer with the property that $\sigma$ fixes $\ell, \ell+1, \ldots, n$. Thus $\sigma(\ell-1)<\ell-1$. Adjusting $x$ by an element of the center if necessary, we can assume that $y_{\ell}=1$, so $b_{\ell}=0$. We prove the claim in the following steps:
(i) Show that $b_{\sigma(\ell-1)}>0$.
(ii) Show that $b_{\sigma(j+1)} \leq b_{\sigma(j)}$ for all $j$, with indices taken modulo $n$.

Finally, step (ii) implies that

$$
b_{\sigma(1)} \geq b_{\sigma(2)} \geq \cdots \geq b_{\sigma(n)} \geq b_{\sigma(1)}
$$

so that all $b_{j}$ are equal. In particular $b_{\ell}=b_{\sigma(\ell-1)}>0$, contradicting $b_{\ell}=0$. Let $E_{i j}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix whose only non-zero entry is a 1 in the $i$-th row and $j$-th column. We regard $i, j$ as indices modulo $n$. Note that

$$
x^{-1} E_{i j} x=m^{-1} w^{-1} E_{i j} w m=m^{-1} E_{\sigma(i) \sigma(j)} m=\frac{y_{\sigma(j)}}{y_{\sigma(i)}} E_{\sigma(i) \sigma(j)}
$$

To prove (i), let

$$
k=I_{n}+E_{\ell-1, \ell} \in K^{\prime}
$$

If $b_{\sigma(\ell-1)} \leq 0$, then

$$
h=x^{-1} k x=I_{n}+\frac{1}{y_{\sigma(\ell-1)}} E_{\sigma(\ell-1), \ell} \in K^{\prime} .
$$

The nonzero entry of $E_{\sigma(\ell-1), \ell}$ is at least two positions above the main diagonal, so $\eta(h)=1$. By (3.4), we have $1=\eta(h)=\chi(k)=\psi\left(t_{\ell-1}\right)$, a contradiction. This proves (i). To prove (ii), fix any $j \neq n$ and define

$$
k=I_{n}+E_{j, j+1} \in K^{\prime} .
$$

If $b_{\sigma(j+1)}>b_{\sigma(j)}$, then $y_{\sigma(j+1)} / y_{\sigma(j)} \in \mathfrak{p}$, so

$$
h=x^{-1} k x=I_{n}+\frac{y_{\sigma(j+1)}}{y_{\sigma(j)}} E_{\sigma(j) \sigma(j+1)} \in K^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore by (3.4), $\eta(h)=\chi(k)$. Since $j \neq n$, we have $\eta(h)=1$, giving the contradiction $1=\psi\left(t_{j}\right)$. Now suppose $j=n$, and define $k=I_{n}+\varpi E_{n, 1} \in K^{\prime}$. If $b_{\sigma(1)}>b_{\sigma(n)}\left(=b_{n}\right)$, then $y_{\sigma(1)} / y_{n} \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$
h=x^{-1} k x=I_{n}+\varpi \frac{y_{\sigma(1)}}{y_{n}} E_{n, \sigma(1)} \in K^{\prime},
$$

and $1=\eta(h)=\chi(k)=\psi\left(t_{n}\right)$, a contradiction. This proves (ii), and therefore we can assume that $x=m$ is diagonal.

Write $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. Adjusting $x$ by the center, we can assume that $y_{1}=1$. We will show inductively that each $y_{j}$ is a unit. Suppose $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ are units, with $k<n$. Consider $h=I_{n}+r E_{k, k+1}$ for $r \in \mathfrak{o}$. Then

$$
x h x^{-1}=I_{n}+\frac{y_{k}}{y_{k+1}} r E_{k, k+1} .
$$

Because $x$ satisfies (3.4), we have

$$
\psi\left(t_{k} r \frac{y_{k}}{y_{k+1}}\right)=\psi\left(\ell_{k} r\right)
$$

whenever $r \in \mathfrak{o} \cap y_{k+1} \mathfrak{o}$. If $y_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{p}$, then taking $r=y_{k+1}$ gives $\psi\left(t_{k} y_{k}\right)=$ $\psi\left(\ell_{k} y_{k+1}\right)=1$. This contradicts the fact that $t_{k}, y_{k} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$. If $y_{k+1} \notin \mathfrak{o}$, then taking $r=1$ gives $\psi\left(\ell_{k}\right)=\psi\left(t_{k} y_{k} y_{k+1}^{-1}\right)=1$, another contradiction. The only possibility remaining is that $y_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{k+1} \equiv \frac{y_{k} t_{k}}{\ell_{k}} \bmod \mathfrak{p} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by induction $x \in M \cap K$. Therefore $H \cap x^{-1} H x=H$, so (3.4) says exactly that (3) holds with $m=x$.

The implication $(3) \Longrightarrow$ (1) is immediate from Proposition 3.3.
The equivalence of (2) and (3) is immediate from the discussion following (3.1).
Note that in the proof of $(1) \Longrightarrow(3)$, the entries of the diagonal matrix $x$ are
 3.3, it follows that (3.5) is a basis for $A^{\eta}$.

## 4. Decomposition of $\pi_{\chi}$

As before, let $\chi$ be an affine generic character of $H$. In Theorem 4.4 below, we will prove that the induced representation $\pi_{\chi}$ is the direct sum of $n$ distinct supercuspidal representations. These are parametrized naturally by the pairs $(t, \zeta)$, where $t$ is a nonzero element of the residue field (determining the orbit of $\chi$ ), and $\zeta$ is a complex $n^{\text {th }}$ root of $\omega\left(t^{n-1} \varpi\right)$ (identifying one of the irreducible summands of $\pi_{\chi}$ ).

### 4.1. Preliminaries

Let

$$
E=E(F)=\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} g) \in n \mathbf{Z}\right\}
$$

This is a normal subgroup of $G$ of index $n$, containing both $H$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(F)$. Note that $G$ is the disjoint union

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=E \cup E g_{\chi} \cup \cdots \cup E g_{\chi}^{n-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, there is a decomposition

$$
A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{n-1}
$$

where $A_{k}$ consists of functions supported on $E g_{\chi}^{k}$. Indeed, $A$ is spanned by functions of the form

$$
\phi(g)= \begin{cases}\chi(h) & \text { if } g=h x k \in H x J \\ 0 & \text { if } g \notin H x J\end{cases}
$$

for $x \in G$ and $J$ an open compact subgroup. By the fact that $\operatorname{det} J \subset \mathfrak{o}^{*}$, such a function belongs to $A_{k}$ if and only if $x \in E g_{\chi}^{k}$. Note that $A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ are closed $E$-submodules of $A$. The representation of $E$ on $A_{0}$ is precisely the compactly induced representation

$$
\sigma_{\chi} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{E}(\chi)
$$

Proposition 4.1. The representation $\left(\sigma_{\chi}, A_{0}\right)$ of $E$ is irreducible. Two such representations $\sigma_{\chi}$ and $\sigma_{\eta}$ are equivalent if and only if $\eta=\chi^{m}$ for some $m \in M \cap K$.

Proof. Let $W$ be any nonzero $E$-invariant subspace of $A_{0}$. By Frobenius reciprocity ([BH1], p. 20),

$$
0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{E}\left(W, \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{E}(\chi)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(W, \chi)
$$

Therefore $W^{\chi}$ is a nonzero subspace of $A_{0}^{\chi}$. The basis for $A^{\chi}$ given by Theorem 3.4 has exactly one element supported on $E$, namely the function $f_{0}$ of (3.3), so $\operatorname{dim} A_{0}^{\chi}=1$ and hence $f_{0} \in W$. But it follows immediately from the definition of $\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{E}(\chi)$ that $f_{0}$ generates $A_{0}$ as a $\mathbf{C}[E]$-module, i.e. $A_{0}=\mathbf{C}[E] \cdot f_{0} \subset W$. Hence $W=A_{0}$, so $\sigma_{\chi}$ is irreducible.

If $\sigma_{\chi} \cong \sigma_{\eta}$, then there exists a nonzero function $\phi \in A_{0}^{\eta}$. By Theorem 3.4, $\eta=\chi^{m}$ for some $m \in M \cap K$. Conversely, if $\eta=\chi^{m}$, then by Theorem 3.4

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\eta, \sigma_{\chi}\right) \cong A_{0}^{\eta} \neq 0
$$

so by Frobenius reciprocity, $\operatorname{Hom}_{E}\left(\sigma_{\eta}, \sigma_{\chi}\right) \neq 0$. Since $\sigma_{\eta}$ and $\sigma_{\chi}$ are both irreducible, this implies $\sigma_{\eta} \cong \sigma_{\chi}$.

Proposition 4.2. The representations $A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ of $E$ are each irreducible and isomorphic to the representation $\sigma_{\chi}=c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{E}(\chi)$.

Proof. Define an operator on $A$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[L \phi](x)=\phi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} x\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $L \phi \in A$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.2:

$$
L \phi(h x)=\phi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} h g_{\chi} g_{\chi}^{-1} x\right)=\chi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} h g_{\chi}\right) \phi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} x\right)=\chi(h) L \phi(x) .
$$

Furthermore, it is clear that for $k=0, \ldots, n-1$ the map

$$
L: A_{k} \longrightarrow A_{k+1}
$$

is a vector space isomorphism (with subscripts taken modulo $n$ ). In fact, because $E$ acts on both spaces by right translation, this isomorphism is $E$-equivariant.

Corollary 4.3. Given two affine generic characters $\chi$ and $\eta$ of $H$, the induced representations $\pi_{\chi}$ and $\pi_{\eta}$ of $G$ are equivalent if and only if $\chi$ and $\eta$ belong to the same $M \cap K$-orbit.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, $\chi$ and $\eta$ belong to the same orbit if and only if $\sigma_{\chi} \cong \sigma_{\eta}$. If this holds, then clearly $\pi_{\chi} \cong \pi_{\eta}$, since by the transitivity of compact induction,

$$
\pi_{\chi}=c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\chi) \cong c-\operatorname{Ind}_{E}^{G}\left(\sigma_{\chi}\right) .
$$

Conversely, if $\pi_{\chi} \cong \pi_{\eta}$, then they are also isomorphic as representations of $E$. By Proposition 4.2, this only possible if $\sigma_{\chi} \cong \sigma_{\eta}$.

The corollary shows that we are free to assume that $\chi \leftrightarrow\langle 1, \ldots, 1, t\rangle$ as in (3.1). This is convenient for calculations since $g_{\chi}$ then has the simple form given in (3.2).

### 4.2. Decomposition of $\pi_{\chi}$

We now show that $\left(\pi_{\chi}, A\right)$ is the direct sum of $n$ supercuspidal representations. Here we assume without loss of generality that $\chi \leftrightarrow\langle 1, \ldots, 1, t\rangle$ for $t \in \mathbb{k}^{*}$. Let $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfy $\zeta^{n}=\omega\left(t^{n-1} \varpi\right)$. Define the subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\zeta}=\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(\zeta L)^{j} \phi \mid \phi \in A_{0}\right\} \subset A \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operator $L$ given in (4.2). The map $\phi \mapsto \sum(\zeta L)^{j} \phi$ from $A_{0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\zeta}$ is an isomorphism of $E$-modules. Its inverse is given by restriction to $E$. Thus $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ is an irreducible representation of $E$ isomorphic to $\sigma_{\chi}$. Let $\phi \in A_{0}$, and set $\psi=\pi_{\chi}\left(g_{\chi}\right) \phi \in$ $A_{n-1}$. Then $L \psi \in A_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\chi}\left(g_{\chi}\right)\left[\phi+\zeta L \phi+\cdots+(\zeta L)^{n-1} \phi\right]=\psi+\zeta L \psi+\cdots+(\zeta L)^{n-1} \psi \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that because $g_{\chi}^{n}=t^{n-1} \varpi I_{n}$ is a scalar matrix, for any $\xi \in A$ we have $L^{n} \xi=$ $\omega\left(t^{n-1} \varpi\right)^{-1} \xi$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\omega\left(t^{n-1} \varpi\right) L^{n} \xi=(\zeta L)^{n} \xi \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, taking $\xi=\psi$, (4.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\chi}\left(g_{\chi}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(\zeta L)^{j} \phi=(\zeta L \psi)+\zeta L(\zeta L \psi)+\cdots+(\zeta L)^{n-1}(\zeta L \psi) \in \Sigma_{\zeta} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ is a $G$-submodule of $A$, which is necessarily irreducible since it is irreducible as an $E$-module. By Proposition 3.1 it is supercuspidal. We denote the action of $G$ on $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ by $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$. Following Gross and Reeder, we call $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ a simple supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$.

It is easy to check that the sum of the subspaces $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ (for $\zeta$ ranging over the complex $n^{\text {th }}$ roots of $\omega\left(t^{n-1} \varpi\right)$ ) is direct. This proves the first part of the following.

Theorem 4.4. We have

$$
\pi_{\chi} \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta^{n}=\omega\left(t^{n-1} \varpi\right)} \sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}
$$

as representations of $G$. The representation $\pi_{\chi}$ is multiplicity-free, i.e. the representations $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ are mutually inequivalent.

Proof. We just need to prove the final assertion. If two or more of the representations $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ were isomorphic, then the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi_{\chi}, \pi_{\chi}\right)$ would exceed $n$. However, by Frobenius reciprocity,

$$
A^{\chi} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\chi, \pi_{\chi}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi_{\chi}, \pi_{\chi}\right)
$$

and by Theorem 3.4, $\operatorname{dim} A^{\chi}=n$.
By the isomorphism of $A_{0}$ with $\Sigma_{\zeta}$, the representation $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ has a model on the space $A_{0}$. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) \phi(x)=\zeta^{k} \phi\left(g_{\chi}^{-k} x g\right) \quad\left(\phi \in A_{0}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ is determined by $g \in E g_{\chi}^{k}$. The notation is simpler in this model, so we will use it especially in Section 6 when we describe the matrix coefficients of $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$.

### 4.3. Related considerations

As proven by Bushnell and Kutzko, every supercuspidal representation of $G$ is compactly induced from a finite dimensional representation of a subgroup that is open and compact modulo the center, [BK].

The inducing data for a simple supercuspidal representation is given as follows. The relevant group is $H^{\prime}=\left\langle g_{\chi}\right\rangle H$. We have
where $\chi_{\zeta}$ is the character of $H^{\prime}$ given by $\chi_{\zeta}\left(g_{\chi}^{j} h\right)=\zeta^{j} \chi(h)$. Explicitly, the character $\chi_{\zeta}$ is generated by the element $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(\zeta L)^{j} \phi$, where $\phi \in \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{H^{\prime}}(\chi)$ is the unique element supported on $H$ with $\phi\left(I_{n}\right)=1$. Then by transitivity of compact induction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}=c-\operatorname{Ind}_{H^{\prime}}^{G}\left(\chi_{\zeta}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, we have seen that the representation ( $\sigma_{\chi}, A_{0}$ ) of $E$ admits $n$ distinct extensions to $G$, namely the $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$. It is natural to ask whether there are any other extensions. The fact that there do not is a consequence of the following.

Proposition 4.5. Let $(\pi, W)$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $G$, and for an affine generic character $\chi$, let

$$
W^{\chi}=\{v \in W \mid \pi(h) v=\chi(h) v \text { for all } h \in H\} .
$$

Then $W^{\chi} \neq 0$ if and only if $\pi \cong \sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ for some $\zeta$.
Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity and Theorem 4.4,

$$
W^{\chi} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\chi, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi_{\chi}, \pi\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}, \pi\right)
$$

The proposition now follows by Schur's Lemma.
Now if $(\pi, W)$ is any smooth representation of $G$ with $\left.\pi\right|_{E} \cong \sigma_{\chi}$, then $\pi$ is irreducible and $W^{\chi}$ is nonzero. By the proposition, $\pi \cong \sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ for some $\zeta$.

## 5. The Conductor of $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$

Let $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ denote the subgroup of $K$ consisting of those matrices with bottom row congruent to $(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ mod $\mathfrak{p}^{m}$. By a well-known result of Jacquet, PiatetskiShapiro and Shalika, for any irreducible admissible generic representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$,
there exists a unique integral ideal $\mathfrak{p}^{m}$ (the conductor of $\pi$ ) such that $\operatorname{dim} \pi^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}=1$ and $\pi^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}\right)}=\{0\}$ ([JPSS],[J]). A nonzero $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-fixed vector in the space of $\pi$ is called a new vector. We note that a supercuspidal representation is generic ([GK], Theorem B).

Theorem 5.1. Let $\chi$ be an affine generic character of $H$, and let $A=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\chi)$. Among all nonzero $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)$-invariant functions in $A$, there is exactly one (up to multiples) with support of the form $H d K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)$ for d diagonal. It is supported on

$$
H\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\varpi^{n-1} & & &  \tag{5.1}\\
& \varpi^{n-2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \varpi & 1
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)
$$

and we denote it by $\xi$ when normalized so that $\xi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\varpi^{n-1}, \ldots, \varpi, 1\right)\right)=1$. The subspace of $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)$-fixed vectors in $A$ is spanned by $\left\{\xi, L \xi, L^{2} \xi, \ldots, L^{n-1} \xi\right\}$. Furthermore, $A$ does not contain a nonzero $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n}\right)$-invariant function.

We prove Theorem 5.1 in $\S 8$ below, where we compute $A^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$ for all $m$.
Corollary 5.2. The conductor of $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$. For $\chi \leftrightarrow\langle 1, \ldots, 1, t\rangle$, in the model (4.7) for $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ on $A_{0}$, the new vector is

$$
\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}= \begin{cases}\xi & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ L^{\frac{n}{2}} \xi & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

where $\xi$ is the function defined in the above theorem and $L$ is defined in (4.2).

Remark. The conductor was computed differently by Carayol ([C2], 4.2 Théorème).
Proof. Note that $H, K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right) \subset E$. The diagonal matrix in (5.1) has determinant $\varpi^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$, so the assertion follows from the fact that $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \in n \mathbf{Z}$ if $n$ is odd, while $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+\frac{n}{2} \in n \mathbf{Z}$ if $n$ is even.

For example, when $n=3$, a basis for $A^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{4}\right)}$ is given by the functions supported respectively on

$$
H\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi^{2} & \\
& \varpi_{1}
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{4}\right), \quad H g_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\varpi^{2} & & \\
& \varpi_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{4}\right), \quad H g_{\chi}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\varpi^{2} & \\
& \varpi_{1} & \\
& &
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{4}\right)
$$

the first one $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ being the new vector of $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ in its model on $A_{0}$.
Corollary 5.3. Every supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$ is isomorphic to a simple supercuspidal representation.

Proof. Bushnell and Henniart have shown that there are exactly $n(q-1)$ supercuspidal representations of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$ with a given central character, up to isomorphism (see Remark 2.2 of [BH2]). This is also the number of simple supercuspidal representations: $(q-1)$ choices for $\chi$, and $n$ choices for $\zeta$. (The correspondence can be made precise by matching the associated simple strata as in (2.3).)

## 6. Matrix Coefficients

For the next two sections, we suppose that $\chi \leftrightarrow\langle 1, \ldots, 1, t\rangle$ and that $\omega$ (and hence $\pi_{\chi}$ ) is unitary. Using the fact that

$$
G=E \cup E g_{\chi} \cup \cdots \cup E g_{\chi}^{n-1},
$$

for $\phi, \lambda \in A$, we have the $G$-invariant inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\pi_{\chi}(g) \phi, \lambda\right\rangle=\int_{\bar{G}} \pi_{\chi}(g) \phi(x) \overline{\lambda(x)} d x=\operatorname{meas}(\bar{H}) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x \in H \backslash E} \phi\left(x g_{\chi}^{k} g\right) \overline{\lambda\left(x g_{\chi}^{k}\right)}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{G}=G / Z$ and $\bar{H}=H / Z$. This restricts to give a $G$-invariant inner product on the subrepresentation $\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}, \Sigma_{\zeta}\right)$. We can then transfer it to the model $\left(\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}, A_{0}\right)$. We will denote by $\langle\phi, \lambda\rangle_{0},\|\phi\|_{0}$, etc., the $G$-invariant inner product on ( $\sigma_{\mathcal{\chi}}^{\zeta}, A_{0}$ ) obtained in this way. This inner product on $A_{0}$ does not coincide with the one $A_{0}$ inherits from (6.1) as a subspace of $A$. For $\phi, \lambda \in A_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{-1}\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) \phi, \lambda\right\rangle_{0} & =\operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{-1}\left\langle\pi_{\chi}(g) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}(\zeta L)^{\ell} \phi, \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(\zeta L)^{j} \lambda\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x \in H \backslash E}(\zeta L)^{\ell} \phi\left(x g_{\chi}^{k} g\right) \overline{(\zeta L)^{j} \lambda\left(x g_{\chi}^{k}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $r \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ by $g \in E g_{\chi}^{r}$. Since $\phi$ and $\lambda$ are supported in $E$, the summand vanishes unless $-\ell+k+r \equiv 0 \bmod n$ and $-j+k \equiv 0 \bmod n$. By (4.5), $(\zeta L)^{\ell} \phi=(\zeta L)^{k+r} \phi$ when $\ell \equiv k+r \bmod n$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{-1}\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) \phi, \lambda\right\rangle_{0} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x \in H \backslash E}(\zeta L)^{k+r} \phi\left(x g_{\chi}^{k} g\right) \overline{(\zeta L)^{k} \lambda\left(x g_{\chi}^{k}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x \in H \backslash E}|\zeta|^{2 k} \zeta^{r} \phi\left(g_{\chi}^{-r} g_{\chi}^{-k} x g_{\chi}^{k} g\right) \overline{\lambda\left(g_{\chi}^{-k} x g_{\chi}^{k}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we assumed $\omega$ to be unitary, $|\zeta|=1$. Using the fact that $g_{\chi}^{k}(H \backslash E) g_{\chi}^{-k}=H \backslash E$, we can replace $x$ by $g_{\chi}^{k} x g_{\chi}^{-k}$ in the inner sum. We obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{-1}\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) \phi, \lambda\right\rangle_{0}=n \zeta^{r} \sum_{x \in H \backslash E} \phi\left(g_{\chi}^{-r} x g\right) \overline{\lambda(x)} \quad\left(g \in E g_{\chi}^{r}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, let $f_{0} \in A_{0}^{\chi}$ be the nonzero vector defined in (3.3). Since $f_{0}$ is supported on $H$, taking $g=1$ and $\phi=\lambda=f_{0}$ in (6.2) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{0}^{2}=n \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H}) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is independent of $\zeta$.
Proposition 6.1. The formal degree of $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ is independent of $\zeta$, so we denote it by $d_{\chi}$. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\chi}=\frac{1}{n \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Under the normalization meas $(\bar{K})=1$, we compute meas $(\bar{H})$ in the proof of Corollary 6.5 below. See also $\S 5$ of [C2].

Proof. Let $f_{0}$ be as above, and define the matrix coefficient

$$
\phi(g)=\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) f_{0}, f_{0}\right\rangle_{0}
$$

If $g \in g_{\chi}^{r} E$ then by (6.2),

$$
\phi(g)=n \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H}) \zeta^{r} \sum_{x \in H \backslash E} f_{0}\left(g_{\chi}^{-r} x g\right) \overline{f_{0}(x)}=n \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H}) \zeta^{r} f_{0}\left(g_{\chi}^{-r} g\right)
$$

since as before, only $x=1$ contributes to the sum. Thus for any $g$,

$$
\phi(g)= \begin{cases}n \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H}) \zeta^{r} \chi(h) & \text { if } g=g_{\chi}^{r} h \in g_{\chi}^{r} H  \tag{6.5}\\ 0 & \text { if } g \notin \bigcup_{r=0}^{n-1} g_{\chi}^{r} H\end{cases}
$$

By the orthogonality relations that define $d_{\chi}$,

$$
\int_{\bar{G}}|\phi(g)|^{2} d g=\frac{\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{0}^{4}}{d_{\chi}}=\frac{n^{2} \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{2}}{d_{\chi}}
$$

by (6.3). By (6.5), $\phi$ is supported on $\bigcup_{r=0}^{n-1} g_{\chi}^{r} H$. Thus

$$
\int_{\bar{G}}|\phi(g)|^{2} d g=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \int_{\bar{H}}\left|\phi\left(g_{\chi}^{r} h\right)\right|^{2} d h=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} n^{2} \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{3}=n^{3} \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})^{3} .
$$

The proposition now follows.
We are particularly interested in the case where $\phi=\lambda=\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the new vector defined in Corollary 5.2.

Proposition 6.2. Suppose $g \in E g_{\chi}^{r}$ where $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\chi}\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\rangle_{0}=\zeta^{r} \sum_{x \in H \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)} \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{\chi}^{-r} x g\right) \overline{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}, \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is immediate from (6.2) and (6.4).
In order to compute (6.6), we need an explicit set of representatives for $H \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. In particular this will allow us to compute $\left\|\phi_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right\|_{0}^{2}$ in Corollary 6.5 below.

Proposition 6.3. The support (5.1) of $\xi$ is the disjoint union

$$
H\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\varpi^{n-1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \varpi_{1}
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha} \bigcup_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}} H\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\varpi^{n-1} y_{n-1} & & \\
& & \ddots \\
& & \\
& & \\
& y_{1} & \\
&
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$ runs through a set of representatives for $X_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$ with

$$
X_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathfrak{o}^{*} & \mathfrak{o} & \cdots &  \tag{6.7}\\
\mathfrak{p}^{2} & \mathfrak{o}^{*} & \cdots & 0 \\
\mathfrak{p}^{3} & \mathfrak{p}^{2} & \cdots & \\
0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots \\
\mathfrak{p}^{n} & \mathfrak{p}^{n-1} & \cdots & \mathfrak{p}^{2} \\
\mathfrak{o}^{*}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$ run through a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{o}^{*} /(1+\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathbb{k}^{*}$.
Proof. It is easy to check that for $k \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varpi^{n-1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \varpi_{1}
\end{array}\right) k\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varpi^{n-1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \varpi_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \in H\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathfrak{o}^{*} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \mathfrak{o}^{*} & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

if and only if $k=\left(\begin{array}{cc}Y & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right)$ for $Y \in X_{n-1}$. Let $h \in H$ and $k \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)$. We can write $k=\left(\begin{array}{ll}Y & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ for $Y \in X_{n-1}$ and $\alpha \in X_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$. Then
conjugating $\left(\begin{array}{c}Y \\ *\end{array} \underset{*}{*}\right)$ as above, we obtain

$$
h\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varpi^{n-1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & \varpi & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right) k=h^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varpi^{n-1} y_{n-1} & & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \varpi y_{1} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $h^{\prime} \in H$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$. To prove uniqueness, suppose
(6.8) $h_{1}\left(\begin{array}{llll}\varpi^{n-1} y_{n-1} & & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \varpi y_{1} \\ & & \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\alpha_{1} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)=h_{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}\varpi^{n-1} z_{n-1} & & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \varpi z_{1} \\ & & & \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{2} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$.

This yields an equality of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{1} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{2} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$, where $X \in X_{n-1}$. Hence $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$. Cancelling the $\alpha$-matrices in (6.8) we see that $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ have the same last column. Multiplying each side by the same central element, we can assume that the entry in the lower right corner is 1 , and hence that $h_{1}, h_{2} \in K^{\prime}$. Now multiplying both sides on the right by $\operatorname{diag}\left(\varpi^{n-1} z_{n-1}, \ldots, \varpi z_{1}, 1\right)^{-1}$ and equating the main diagonal entries on each side, we see that $y_{j} \equiv z_{j} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$, as needed.

We can make the above explicit as follows.
Proposition 6.4. Let $X_{n}$ be the subgroup of $K$ defined in (6.7). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K: X_{n}\right]=q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{6}} \frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n-1}-1\right) \cdots(q-1)}{(q-1)^{n}} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicitly, if $B(\mathbb{k}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})$ is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, and $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})$ is a set of coset representatives for $B(\mathbb{k}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})$, obtained, e.g., from the Bruhat decomposition, and lifting bijectively to a set $\Gamma \subset K$, then a set of representatives for $X_{n} \backslash K$ is given by

$$
\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0  \tag{6.10}\\
\delta_{2,1} & 1 & \cdots & & 0 \\
\delta_{3,1} & \delta_{3,2} & 1 & & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
\delta_{n, 1} & \delta_{n, 2} & \cdots & \delta_{n, n-1} & 1
\end{array}\right) \gamma \right\rvert\, \delta_{i j} \in \mathfrak{p} / \mathfrak{p}^{i-j+1}, \gamma \in \Gamma\right\} .
$$

Proof. Consider the containments

$$
X_{n} \subset\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathfrak{o}^{*} & \mathfrak{o} & \cdots & & \mathfrak{o}  \tag{6.11}\\
\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^{*} & \cdots & & \mathfrak{o} \\
\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \cdots & & \mathfrak{o} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \cdots & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^{*}
\end{array}\right) \subset K .
$$

Reducing modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ we see that the index of the right-hand containment is

$$
\left[\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{k}): B(\mathbb{k})\right]=\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{n}-q^{n-1}\right)}{(q-1)^{n} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}=\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n-1}-1\right) \cdots(q-1)}{(q-1)^{n}} .
$$

Furthermore, one sees by induction that the $\delta_{i j}$-matrices in (6.10) comprise a set of representatives for the quotient of the first containment in (6.11), or equivalently, for $\left(X_{n} \cap K^{\prime}\right) \backslash K^{\prime}$. Counting along each subdiagonal, the number of such $\delta_{i j}$-matrices is seen to be

$$
(q)^{n-1}\left(q^{2}\right)^{n-2} \cdots\left(q^{n-1}\right)^{1}=q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(n-i) i}=q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{6}} .
$$

Multiplying these together, we obtain the index (6.9).
Corollary 6.5. If Haar measure is normalized so that meas $(\bar{K})=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\|_{0}^{2}=\frac{n q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}}(q-1)}{q^{n}-1} . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Proposition 6.3, upon multiplying (6.9) (with $n-1$ in place of $n$ ) by $(q-1)^{n-1}$ we find that

$$
\left|H \backslash \operatorname{Supp}\left(\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right|=q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}}\left(q^{n-1}-1\right)\left(q^{n-2}-1\right) \cdots(q-1) .
$$

As a result, by (6.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right\|_{0}^{2}=n \operatorname{meas}(\bar{H}) q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}}\left(q^{n-1}-1\right)\left(q^{n-2}-1\right) \cdots(q-1) . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (6.12), under the normalization meas $(\bar{K})=1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{meas}(\bar{H})=\operatorname{meas}\left(\overline{K^{\prime}}\right)=\left[\bar{K}: \overline{K^{\prime}}\right]^{-1} .
$$

Consider $\overline{K(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \overline{K^{\prime}} \subset \bar{K}$, where $K(\mathfrak{p})=1+M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{p})$. Taking the quotient by $\overline{K(\mathfrak{p})}$, we get $1 \subset N(\mathbb{k}) \subset \mathrm{PGL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\bar{K}: \overline{K^{\prime}}\right] } & =\frac{\left|\mathrm{PGL}_{n}(\mathbb{k})\right|}{|N(\mathbb{k})|}=\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{n}-q^{n-1}\right)}{(q-1) q^{n(n-1) / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n-1}-1\right) \cdots(q-1)}{q-1} \\
& =\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n-1}-1\right) \cdots\left(q^{2}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (6.12) now follows.

## 7. Low Rank Examples

When $n=2$, the support of $\xi$ is equal to

$$
H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{3}\right)=\bigcup_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

so the new vector $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is supported on the disjoint union

$$
g_{\chi} H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi &  \tag{7.1}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{3}\right)=\bigcup_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} H g_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

When $n=3$, we apply (6.10) (with $n=2$ ) to see by Proposition 6.3 that $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}=\xi$ is supported on the disjoint union

$$
\bigcup_{y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbf{k}^{*}}\left(\bigcup_{\delta \in \mathfrak{p} / \mathfrak{p}^{2}} H\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varpi^{2} y_{2} & & &  \tag{7.2}\\
& \varpi y_{1} & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & \\
\delta & 1 & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right) \cup \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p}^{2}} H\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\varpi^{2} y_{2} & & \\
& \varpi y_{1} & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & \\
1 & \tau & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

In the $n=2$ case, we can compute the matrix coefficient as a function of the matrix entries of $g$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $n=2$, and let $\chi \leftrightarrow\langle 1, t\rangle$ be the affine generic character of $K^{\prime}$ determined by $t \in \mathbb{k}^{*}$ and the unitary central character $\omega: F^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*}$ trivial on $1+\mathfrak{p}$. Fix $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfying $\zeta^{2}=\omega(t \varpi)$, and let $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ be the associated simple supercuspidal representation. Define the matrix coefficient

$$
f_{\mathfrak{p}}(g)=d_{\chi} \overline{\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) v, v\right\rangle},
$$

where $v$ is a new vector for $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ of norm 1 , and $d_{\chi}$ is the formal degree relative to the Haar measure on $\bar{G}$ in which meas $(\bar{K})=1$. Then for $z \in Z$,

$$
f_{\mathfrak{p}}(z g)= \begin{cases}\frac{(q+1)}{2 \omega(z)} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \psi\left(b y+\frac{t c}{a} y^{-1}\right) & \text { if } g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \varpi^{-1} \\
c \varpi^{2} & d
\end{array}\right) \in\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathfrak{o}^{*} \\
\mathfrak{p}^{2} \\
\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \\
1+\mathfrak{p}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{7.3}\\
\frac{(q+1) \zeta}{2 \omega(-z d)} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \overline{\omega(y)} \psi\left(\frac{c}{a} y+\frac{t b}{d} y^{-1}\right) & \text { if } g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c & d \varpi^{-2} \\
a \varpi & b
\end{array}\right) \in\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathfrak{o}^{\mathfrak{o}} \varpi^{-2} \varpi^{*} \\
\varpi \mathfrak{o}^{*} & \mathfrak{o}
\end{array}\right)\end{cases}
$$

This expression determines $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since it vanishes outside the disjoint union

$$
Z \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathfrak{o}^{*} & \mathfrak{p}^{-1}  \tag{7.4}\\
\mathfrak{p}^{2} & 1+\mathfrak{p}
\end{array}\right) \bigcup Z \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathfrak{o} & \varpi^{-2} \mathfrak{o}^{*} \\
\varpi \mathfrak{o}^{*} & \mathfrak{o}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Remarks.

(1) The first case is a Kloosterman sum, and the second case is a twisted Kloosterman sum.
(2) The reason for the complex conjugate in the definition of $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is that then for any irreducible unitary representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$, the operator $\pi\left(f_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is either the orthogonal projection onto $v$ (if $\pi=\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ ) or 0 (if $\pi \not \approx \sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ ). See Corollary 10.29 of [KL1].

Proof. Using the model of $\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}$ on $A_{0}$, we will compute $I(g)=d_{\chi}\left\langle\sigma_{\chi}^{\zeta}(g) \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\rangle_{0}$, where $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the new vector given in Corollary 5.2. Clearly $I(g)$ and $f_{\mathfrak{p}}(g)$ have the same support. First suppose that $g \in E$. Then (6.6), together with (7.1), gives

$$
I(g)=\sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) g\right)=\sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) g\right)
$$

(note that the term $\overline{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}$ in (6.6) is equal to 1 when $x \in g_{\chi}\left({ }^{\varpi}{ }_{1}\right) K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{3}\right)$ as is the case here). If $g$ belongs to the support, then for some $y$,

$$
g \in \bigcup_{z \in \mathfrak{o}^{*} /(1+\mathfrak{p})}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi^{-1} y^{-1} & \\
& \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi z & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)=\bigcup_{z \in \mathfrak{o}^{*} /(1+\mathfrak{p})}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi^{-1} & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi z & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to show that this set coincides with the left-hand set in (7.4). Let $g=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \varpi^{-1} \\ c \varpi^{2} & d\end{array}\right) \in\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathfrak{o}^{*} & \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \\ \mathfrak{p}^{2} & 1+\mathfrak{p}\end{array}\right)$. Noting that
$\xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}\varpi y & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \varpi^{-1} \\ c \varpi^{2} & d\end{array}\right)\right)=\xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & b y \\ \varpi c(a y)^{-1} & d\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varpi a y & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)\right)=\psi\left(b y+t c(a y)^{-1}\right)$,
and then replacing $y$ by $-y$, we find

$$
I(g)=\sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \psi\left(b y+t c(a y)^{-1}\right)=\sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \psi\left(-b y-t c(a y)^{-1}\right)
$$

Similarly, if $g \in E g_{\chi}$, then (6.6) and (7.1) with $r=1$ give

$$
I(g)=\zeta \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(g_{\chi}^{-1} g_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) g\right)=\zeta \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \xi\left(g_{\chi}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) g\right)
$$

If $g$ belongs to the support, then for some $y$

$$
g \in \bigcup_{z \in \mathfrak{o}^{*} /(1+\mathfrak{p})}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi^{-1} y^{-1} &  \tag{7.5}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right) g_{\chi} H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi z & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

For any $\left(\begin{array}{cc}m & s \\ w & u\end{array}\right) \in K^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi^{-1} y^{-1} &  \tag{7.6}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& t \\
\varpi &
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & s \\
\varpi x & u
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi z & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi t x y^{-1} z & t u \varpi^{-1} y^{-1} \\
\varpi^{2} m z & s \varpi
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From this computation, we see that the union (over $y$ ) of the sets (7.5) coincides with


$$
\begin{aligned}
I(g) & =\zeta \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi^{-1} \\
t^{-1} &
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi y & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c & d \varpi^{-2} \\
a \varpi & b
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\zeta \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \varpi^{-1} \\
c y t^{-1} \varpi & d y t^{-1} \varpi^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\zeta}{\omega(\varpi)} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c y t^{-1} \varpi & d y t^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\omega(t)}{\zeta} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \xi\left(d y t^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a d^{-1} y^{-1} t & b d^{-1} y^{-1} t \\
c d^{-1} \varpi & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varpi & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\omega(d)}{\zeta} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \omega(y) \xi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & b d^{-1} y^{-1} t \\
c a^{-1} t^{-1} y \varpi & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{a t}{d y} \varpi & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\omega(d)}{\zeta} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \omega(y) \psi\left(\frac{t b}{d} y^{-1}+\frac{c}{a} y\right)=\frac{\omega(-d)}{\zeta} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{k}^{*}} \omega(y) \psi\left(-\frac{t b}{d} y^{-1}-\frac{c}{a} y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proposition now follows upon taking complex conjugates, and multiplying by $\left\|\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\|^{-2}=\frac{(q+1)}{2}$ (cf. (6.12)).

Proposition 7.2. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ of conductor $\mathfrak{p}^{3}$ and central character $\omega$ trivial on $1+\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\pi$ is a simple supercuspidal representation.

Proof. We first prove that $\pi$ is supercuspidal. Generally, if $\mathfrak{c}(\pi)$ is the conductor of $\pi$, then

$$
\mathfrak{c}(\pi)= \begin{cases}\mathfrak{c}\left(\chi_{1}\right) \mathfrak{c}\left(\chi_{2}\right) & \text { if } \pi=\pi\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right) \text { (principal series) } \\ \mathfrak{p} & \text { if } \pi=\operatorname{St} \otimes \chi \text { (unramified twist of Steinberg) } \\ \mathfrak{c}(\chi)^{2} & \text { if } \pi=\operatorname{St} \otimes \chi \text { (ramified twist of Steinberg) }\end{cases}
$$

(e.g. see the end of $\S 1$ of [S]). Clearly $\pi$ cannot be a twist of the Steinberg representation when $\mathfrak{c}(\pi)=\mathfrak{p}^{3}$. If $\pi$ is principal series, then $\mathfrak{p}^{3}=\mathfrak{c}(\pi)=\mathfrak{c}(\chi) \mathfrak{c}\left(\chi^{-1} \omega\right)$ for some character $\chi$ which is necessarily ramified. If $\mathfrak{c}(\chi)=\mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{c}\left(\chi^{-1} \omega\right)=\mathfrak{p}$ or 1 , so $\mathfrak{c}(\pi) \mid \mathfrak{p}^{2}$, a contradiction. If $\mathfrak{p}^{2} \mid \mathfrak{c}(\chi)$, then $\mathfrak{c}\left(\chi^{-1} \omega\right)=\mathfrak{c}(\chi)$, so $\mathfrak{p}^{4} \mid \mathfrak{c}(\pi)$, another contradiction. Hence $\pi$ is supercuspidal, and therefore simple supercuspidal by Corollary 5.3.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 5.1

In this section we prove Theorem 5.1. In fact, we will compute $\pi_{\chi}^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$ for any $m \geq 1$. This space is spanned by functions supported on double cosets $H g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. Our method is a direct calculation:
(A) Produce an explicit set of matrices containing a full set of representatives for $H \backslash G / K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$.
$(B)$ Determine which of these double cosets can support well-defined functions in $\pi_{\chi}^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$.

The final result is stated in Theorem 8.10 below.

### 8.1. Notation for the proof

In this section, $\chi$ is the affine generic character corresponding to $\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\rangle \in$ $\left(\mathbb{k}^{*}\right)^{n}$. For $S \subset F$, let $M_{n \times n}(S)$ denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $S$. Let $B_{n}(\mathfrak{o})$ denote the set of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathfrak{o})$.

As before, $E_{i j}$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix whose only non-zero entry is a 1 in the $i$-th row and $j$-th column. Lastly, let

$$
K_{n-1}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})
$$

be the analog of $K^{\prime}$, of dimension $(n-1) \times(n-1)$.

## 8.2. $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-invariant elements of $\pi_{\chi}$

For $g \in G$, let

$$
[g]=H g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) .
$$

Suppose $f$ is a $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-invariant function in the space of $\pi_{\chi}$. The value $f(g)$ determines the values of $f$ on $H g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ via $f(h g k)=\chi(h) f(g)$. Therefore the function $f$ is determined by its values on any set of representatives for the double quotient $H \backslash G / K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. We say that $g$ and the double coset $[g]$ are relevant for $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ (or simply relevant, if $m$ is clear from the context) if there exists such $f$ for which $f(g) \neq 0$.

Proposition 8.1. Given $m \geq 1$, an element $g \in G$ is relevant if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \text { is trivial on } g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) g^{-1} \cap K^{\prime} . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is independent of the choice of representative $g$ for the double coset $[g]$. In particular, if $g$ satisfies condition (8.1), there exists a $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-invariant function in $\pi_{\chi}$, unique up to multiples, whose support is $[g]$. We let $f_{g}$ denote the unique such function satisfying $f_{g}(g)=1$.

If $\left[g_{1}\right],\left[g_{2}\right],\left[g_{3}\right], \ldots$ is a list of all relevant double cosets (noting that $H \backslash G / K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ is countable since $G$ is separable), then $\left\{f_{g_{1}}, f_{g_{2}}, \ldots\right\}$ is a basis for $\pi_{\chi}^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$.

Proof. Suppose $f(g) \neq 0$ for some $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-invariant function $f$ in $\pi_{\chi}$. Given any $h \in g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) g^{-1} \cap K^{\prime} \subset H$, we have $h g=g k$ for some $k \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$, so $f(g)=$ $f(g k)=f(h g)=\chi(h) f(g)$. Because $f(g) \neq 0, \chi(h)=1$. Thus $g$ satisfies (8.1). Obviously this condition is independent of the choice of representative for $H g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$.

Conversely, suppose $g$ satisfies condition (8.1). Define

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\chi(h) & \text { if } x=h g k \text { for some } h \in H, k \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We need to show that the function is well-defined, i.e. $\chi\left(h_{1}\right)=\chi\left(h_{2}\right)$ whenever $h_{1} g k_{1}=h_{2} g k_{2}$ with $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$ and $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. Write $h_{i}=s_{i} k_{i}^{\prime}$ with $s_{i} \in F^{*}$ and $k_{i}^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$. Then

$$
h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}=k_{2}^{\prime-1} s_{2}^{-1} s_{1} k_{1}^{\prime}=g k_{2} k_{1}^{-1} g^{-1}
$$

Taking determinants, we see that $s_{2}^{-1} s_{1} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$. The characteristic polynomial of the middle matrix modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\left(X-s_{2}^{-1} s_{1}\right)^{n}$. Because $k_{2} k_{1}^{-1} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$, the characteristic polynomial of $g k_{2} k_{1}^{-1} g^{-1}$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ has a factor of $(X-1)$. Thus $s_{2}^{-1} s_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$. Therefore $h_{2}^{-1} h_{1} \in g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) g^{-1} \cap K^{\prime}$, so by (8.1), $\chi\left(h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}\right)=1$ and hence $\chi\left(h_{1}\right)=$ $\chi\left(h_{2}\right)$, as required.

Now let $\left\{\left[g_{1}\right],\left[g_{2}\right], \ldots\right\}$ be the set of all relevant double cosets. Because $f_{g_{1}}, f_{g_{2}}, \ldots$ have pairwise disjoint supports, they form a linearly independent set. If $f$ is any $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-invariant function, then the support of $f$ is a finite disjoint union of relevant double cosets $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} H g_{j_{i}} K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$, so $f=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f\left(g_{j_{i}}\right) f_{g_{j_{i}}}$. This proves the final assertion.

### 8.3. Representatives for $H \backslash G / K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$

We say that an element $g \in G$ is primitive if $g \in M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$ and some entry of $g$ is a unit. Let $P_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$ be the set of primitive matrices. It is easy to see that every class [g] contains a primitive element.

Lemma 8.2. Let $g \in G$ be primitive. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})=\left(\mathfrak{o} \cap F^{*}\right) \cdot K^{\prime} g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right),} \\
{[g] \cap P_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})=\mathfrak{o}^{*} \cdot K^{\prime} g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Suppose $h \in[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$. Then $h=z k^{\prime} g k$ for some $z \in F^{*}, k^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}$ and $k \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. Because $k^{\prime}$ and $k$ are in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathfrak{o}), k^{\prime} g k$ is also primitive. It follows that $z \in \mathfrak{o} \cap F^{*}$. The second claim can be proven similarly.

We say that a matrix $g \in M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$ is divisible by $g_{\chi}$ if $g_{\chi}^{-1} g \in M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$, or equivalently, if all entries of the bottom row of $g$ belong to $\mathfrak{p}$.

Proposition 8.3. Let $g \in G$ be primitive. Suppose $h \in[g] \cap P_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$. Then $g$ is divisible by $g_{\chi}$ if and only if $h$ is divisible by $g_{\chi}$.

Proof. By the above lemma, $h=z k^{\prime} g k$ for $z \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}, k^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}$ and $k \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. Since $k^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}$, it is clear that if each entry in the last row of $g$ belongs to $\mathfrak{p}$, then the same is true of $h$. The converse is also easy to see, using $g=z^{-1} k^{\prime-1} h k^{-1}$.

We say that a double coset is divisible by $g_{\chi}$ if it contains a primitive element that is divisible by $g_{\chi}$. By the above proposition, this is equivalent to saying that every primitive element in the double coset is divisible by $g_{\chi}$.

Proposition 8.4. For any $g \in G$, there exists an integer $0 \leq r<n$ and a double coset $[h]$ not divisible by $g_{\chi}$ such that $[g]=g_{\chi}^{r}[h]=\left[g_{\chi}^{r} h\right]$.

Proof. Since $[g]$ contains a primitive element, we may assume that $g$ is primitive. Let $r \geq 0$ be the largest integer for which $g_{\chi}^{-r} g \in M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$. Let $h=g_{\chi}^{-r} g$. Then $h$ is not divisible by $g_{\chi}$. If $r \geq n$, then because $g_{\chi}^{n}=\varpi \frac{t_{n}^{n-1}}{t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1}} I_{n}$, the matrix $g=g_{\chi}^{r} h=g_{\chi}^{n} g_{\chi}^{r-n} h$ is not primitive, which is a contradiction. By Lemma 3.2, $\left[g_{\chi}^{r} h\right]=g_{\chi}^{r}[h]$.

Proposition 8.5. An element $g \in G$ is relevant if and only if $g_{\chi}^{-1} g$ is relevant. Likewise, for $r \geq 0$, $[g]$ is relevant if and only if $\left[g_{\chi}^{-r} g\right]$ is relevant.

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 3.2.
For $g \in G$, let $\widetilde{g}$ denote the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ submatrix of $g$ formed by removing the last row and last column of $g$.

Lemma 8.6. Given $g \in G$, there exists an element $h \in K^{\prime} g$ with $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{h} \neq 0$.
Proof. Let $g^{\prime}$ be the matrix obtained by deleting the last column of $g$. Because the rank of $g$ is $n, g^{\prime}$ has rank $n-1$. If the first $n-1$ rows of $g^{\prime}$ are linearly independent, then we can take $h=g$. Otherwise, there exists an index $i \leq n-1$ such that the rows of $g^{\prime}$ other than the $i$-th row are linearly independent. Then we can take $h=\left(I_{n}+E_{\text {in }}\right) g$.

Given $g \in G$, there exists $z \in Z$ such that $z g \in M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$. By Lemma 8.6, there is an element $h \in K^{\prime} z g$ such that $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{h} \neq 0$. Therefore the set

$$
\left\{h \in H g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \bigcap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o}) \mid \operatorname{det} \widetilde{h} \neq 0\right\}
$$

is non-empty. We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g}=\mu_{[g]} \geq 0 \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the minimum of $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \widetilde{h})$ as $h$ ranges through the above set.

Lemma 8.7. Let $g \in G$. Then if $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{g} \neq 0$, there exists $h \in K^{\prime} g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ such that $\tilde{h}$ is diagonal and $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \widetilde{g})=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \widetilde{h})$.

Proof. By the Cartan decomposition, there exist $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$ and a diagonal matrix

$$
\delta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\varpi^{a_{1}} & & & \\
& \varpi^{a_{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \varpi^{a_{n-1}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$ are integers, such that $\widetilde{g}=k_{1} \delta k_{2}$. By the Bruhat decomposition of $\operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p})$, there exist matrices $k^{\prime} \in K_{n-1}^{\prime}, b \in B_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$ and a Weyl element $w \in \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ such that

$$
k_{1} \equiv k^{\prime} w b \quad(\bmod \mathfrak{p})
$$

Let $k^{\prime \prime}=k_{1}(w b)^{-1} \equiv k^{\prime}(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$. Obviously $k^{\prime \prime} \in K_{n-1}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\widetilde{g}=k_{1} \delta k_{2}=k^{\prime \prime} w b \delta k_{2}=k^{\prime \prime}\left(w \delta w^{-1}\right) w\left(\delta^{-1} b \delta\right) k_{2}
$$

A simple calculation shows that $\delta^{-1} b \delta$ has entries in $\mathfrak{o}$. Because $\operatorname{det} \delta^{-1} b \delta=\operatorname{det} b$ is a unit, it is in $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$. Let $k=w\left(\delta^{-1} b \delta\right) k_{2} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{o})$. Note also that $\delta^{\prime}=w \delta w^{-1}$ is diagonal. It follows that if we let

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k^{\prime \prime} & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1} g\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \in K^{\prime} g K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
$$

then $\widetilde{h}=k^{\prime \prime-1} \widetilde{g} k^{-1}=\delta^{\prime}$ is diagonal, and $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \widetilde{h})=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \widetilde{g})$.
Theorem 8.8. Let $[g]$ be a double coset not divisible by $g_{\chi}$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ containing 0 be a fixed complete set of representatives in $\mathfrak{o}$ for $\mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p}$. Then there exists an element in $[g]$ of the following form:

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\varpi^{b_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v_{1}  \tag{8.3}\\
0 & \varpi^{b_{2}} & \cdots & 0 & v_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \varpi^{b_{n-1}} & v_{n-1} \\
\varpi^{b_{1}} u_{1} & \varpi^{b_{2}} u_{2} & \cdots & \varpi^{b_{n-1}} u_{n-1} & w
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here for each $i, b_{i} \geq 0$ is an integer, the elements $u_{i}, v_{i}, w$ belong to $\mathfrak{o}$, and the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $h$ is primitive.
(b) $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \tilde{h})=b_{1}+b_{2}+\cdots+b_{n-1}=\mu_{g}$ as defined in (8.2).
(c) $u_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. In particular, if $u_{i}$ is not a unit, then $u_{i}=0$.
(d) Suppose $i<j \leq n-1, b_{i} \leq b_{j}$ and $u_{i} \neq 0$. Then $u_{j}=0$.
(e) $w \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$.

Remark. The correspondence between the specified set of double cosets and the matrices (8.3) is not one-to-one. For example, given $1 \leq i<n$ and $a \in \mathfrak{o}$, we can replace $h$ by $h\left(I_{n}+a \varpi E_{i n}\right) \in h K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. The new matrix is still of the form (8.3), with $v_{i}$ replaced by $v_{i}+a \varpi^{b_{i}+1}$ and $w$ replaced by $w+a \varpi^{b_{i}+1} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$. Nevertheless, it is sufficient for our purpose.

Proof. Let $h \in[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$ with $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \tilde{h})=\mu_{g}$ (cf. (8.2)). Note that $h$ is primitive, since otherwise we could replace it with $\varpi^{-1} h$ and get a smaller valuation. Furthermore, applying Lemma 8.7 to $h$, we can assume that $\tilde{h}$ is diagonal, and therefore

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\varpi^{b_{1}} & & & & v_{1}  \tag{8.4}\\
& \varpi^{b_{2}} & & & v_{2} \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & & \varpi^{b_{n-1}} & v_{n-1} \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \cdots & \beta_{n-1} & w
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1} \geq 0$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}, w \in \mathfrak{o}$. Lemma 8.7 also allows us to assume that property (b) holds.

Adding the last row of $h$ to the $i$-th row, we obtain

$$
h^{\prime}=\left(1+E_{i n}\right) h=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\varpi^{b_{1}} & & & & & v_{1} \\
& \ddots & & & & \vdots \\
\beta_{1} & \cdots & \varpi^{b_{i}}+\beta_{i} & \cdots & \beta_{n-1} & v_{i}+w \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\beta_{1} & \cdots & \beta_{i} & \cdots & \beta_{n-1} & w
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is an element of $K^{\prime} h \subset[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$, with

$$
\operatorname{det} \tilde{h}^{\prime}=\left(\varpi^{b_{i}}+\beta_{i}\right) \prod_{j \neq i} \varpi^{j}
$$

By the minimality of $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\operatorname{det} \tilde{h})$, we must have $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\varpi^{b_{i}}+\beta_{i}\right) \geq b_{i}$. This means that $\beta_{i}=\varpi^{b_{i}} u_{i}$ for some $u_{i} \in \mathfrak{o}$.

To prove (c), let $a \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $0<i<n$. Adding the $a \varpi$-multiple of the $i$-th row of $h$ to the bottom row, we obtain $h^{\prime}=\left(I_{n}+a \varpi E_{n i}\right) h \in K^{\prime} h \subset[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o})$. Note that $h^{\prime}$ is of the form (8.4) with last row equal to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \cdots & \beta_{i-1} & \beta_{i}+a \varpi^{b_{i}+1} & \beta_{i+1} & \cdots & \beta_{n-1} & w+a \varpi v_{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Because $\beta_{i}+a \varpi^{b_{i}+1}=\varpi^{b_{i}}\left(u_{i}+a \varpi\right)$ and $a$ is arbitrary, we can therefore assume that $u_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$. This proves (c).

To prove (d), suppose $i<j \leq n-1, b_{i} \leq b_{j}$ and $u_{i} \neq 0$. Because $u_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ by (c), $u_{i}$ is a unit. Let $a \in \mathfrak{o}$. Adding the $a$-multiple of the $j$-th row to the $i$-th row, then subtracting the $a \varpi^{b_{j}-b_{i}}$-multiple of the $i$-th column from the $j$-th column, we obtain

$$
h^{\prime}=\left(I_{n}+a E_{i j}\right) h\left(I_{n}-a \varpi^{b_{j}-b_{i}} E_{i j}\right) \in[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o}),
$$

where $\tilde{h^{\prime}}=\tilde{h}$, and the last row of $h^{\prime}$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
\beta_{1} & \cdots & \beta_{j-1} & \beta_{j}-a \varpi^{b_{j}-b_{i}} \beta_{i} & \beta_{j+1} & \cdots & \beta_{n-1} & w
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now

$$
\beta_{j}-a \varpi^{b_{j}-b_{i}} \beta_{i}=\varpi^{b_{j}}\left(u_{j}-a u_{i}\right)
$$

Because $u_{i}$ is a unit, we can take $a=u_{j} / u_{i}$, and therefore replacing $h$ by $h^{\prime}$ we can assume $u_{j}=0$. This proves (d).

To prove (e), suppose $w \in \mathfrak{p}$. Because $[g]$ is not divisible by $g_{\chi}, \beta_{i} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$ for some $i<n$. Adding the $i$-th column of $h$ to the last column, we obtain

$$
h^{\prime}=h\left(I_{n}+E_{i n}\right) \in h K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) \subset[g] \cap M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{o}) .
$$

The submatrix $\tilde{h^{\prime}}$ is $\tilde{h}$. Its lower right corner entry is $w+\beta_{i} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$. Replacing $h$ by $h^{\prime}$, we can assume that $w$ is a unit.

Corollary 8.9. Every double coset in $H \backslash G / K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ contains an element of the form $g_{\chi}^{r} h$ for $0 \leq r<n$ and $h$ of the form (8.3).

Proof. This is immediate from the above theorem and Proposition 8.4.

## 8.4. $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$-invariant functions

In this section, we will describe all relevant double cosets. The end result is the following theorem, of which Theorem 5.1 is an immediate corollary.

Theorem 8.10. Given $m \geq 1$, a double coset $[g]$ is relevant for $K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ if and only if $[g]=\left[g_{\chi}^{a} \delta\right]$ for some $0 \leq a \leq n-1$ and

$$
\delta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\varpi^{b_{1}} & &  \tag{8.5}\\
& \ddots & \\
& & \varpi^{b_{n}}
\end{array}\right), \quad m-1>b_{1}>b_{2}>\cdots>b_{n}=0 .
$$

Consequently, in the notation of (4.2) and Proposition 8.1, a basis for $\pi_{\chi}^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$ is given by

$$
\left\{L^{a} f_{\delta} \mid 0 \leq a \leq n-1, \delta \text { as in (8.5) }\right\}
$$

Corollary 8.11. If $\pi_{\chi}^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$ is nonzero, then $m \geq n+1$.
Proof. Suppose $f \in \pi_{\chi}^{K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)}$ is non-zero and $f(g) \neq 0$. Then by Proposition 8.1, $[g]$ is relevant. By Theorem 8.10, we can assume that $g=g_{\chi}^{a} \delta$ as above. Because $m-1>b_{1}>b_{2}>\cdots>b_{n}=0$, we have $m-1>n-1$, i.e. $m \geq n+1$.

Suppose $g$ is relevant and not divisible by $g_{\chi}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $g$ is given by (8.3). Let

$$
d=w-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}
$$

Then from the second-to-last row cofactor expansion we find inductively that

$$
\operatorname{det} g=d \varpi^{b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n-1}}
$$

In particular, $d \neq 0$. We will prove Theorem 8.10 by treating the two cases:
(1) $u_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i$,
(2) $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{n-1}=0$.

### 8.4.1. Case (1): $u_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i$.

Let $\ell \geq 1$ be the minimal index such that $u_{\ell} \neq 0$, i.e., $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{\ell-1}=0$, $u_{\ell} \neq 0$. By Theorem 8.8 (c), $u_{\ell}$ is a unit. Subtracting the $u_{\ell}^{-1}$-multiple of the last row of $g$ from the $\ell$-th row, and then adding the $u_{i} u_{\ell}^{-1}$-multiple of the $i$-th row to the $\ell$-th row for $i=\ell+1, \ldots, n-1$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\prod_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1}\left(I_{n}+u_{i} u_{\ell}^{-1} E_{\ell i}\right)\right)\left(I_{n}-u_{\ell}^{-1} E_{\ell n}\right) g
\end{aligned}
$$

The $(\ell, n)$ entry is obtained by the fact that $d=w-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}=w-\sum_{i=\ell}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}$. Therefore, we can replace $g$ by the above matrix. From now on, we assume that $g$ is the above matrix. We are going to show that $g$ is not relevant, i.e. it does not satisfy (8.1). Suppose to the contrary that $g$ satisfies (8.1). We will obtain a contradiction by establishing the following:

1. $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\ell-1}$ can be assumed to be 0 .
2. Either $\varpi \mid u_{i}$ or $\varpi \mid v_{i}$, i.e., $\varpi \mid u_{i} v_{i}$, for $i=\ell+1, \ldots, n-1$.
3. $\varpi \mid\left(w-\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}\right)$. Therefore $\varpi \mid w$ by the previous result. This contradicts the fact that $w$ is a unit (cf. Theorem 8.8).

It is easy to verify that

Proposition 8.12. $\ell \neq 1$.

Proof. Suppose $\ell=1$. Then

$$
g E_{n n} g^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\frac{1}{u_{1} v_{2}} \\
d & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
-\frac{u_{1} v_{n-1}}{d} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
-\frac{w u_{1}}{d} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $k=(1+d \varpi)^{-1}\left(I_{n}+d \varpi E_{n n}\right) \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$. Then

$$
k^{\prime}=g k g^{-1}=(1+d \varpi)^{-1}\left(I_{n}+d \varpi g E_{n n} g^{-1}\right) \in K^{\prime}
$$

Because $g$ is relevant, we have

$$
1=\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(-t_{n} w u_{1}(1+d \varpi)^{-1}\right)
$$

a contradiction.
Thus $\ell \geq 2$.
Proposition 8.13. We have:
(a) $b_{1}>b_{2}>\cdots>b_{\ell-1}$ if $\ell>2$.
(b) Without loss of generality, we can assume that $v_{1}=\cdots=v_{\ell-1}=0$.

Proof. We are going to prove the proposition by backward induction. First of all, it is not hard to show that

$$
g E_{\ell-1, \ell-1} g^{-1}=E_{\ell-1, \ell-1}+\frac{u_{\ell} v_{\ell-1}}{d} E_{\ell-1, \ell} .
$$

If $d \nmid v_{\ell-1}$, then $v_{\ell-1} \neq 0$ and $\frac{d}{v_{\ell-1}} \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let

$$
k=I_{n}+\frac{d}{v_{\ell-1}} E_{\ell-1, \ell-1} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
k^{\prime}=g k g^{-1}=I_{n}+\frac{d}{v_{\ell-1}} g E_{\ell-1, \ell-1} g^{-1}=I_{n}+\frac{d}{v_{\ell-1}} E_{\ell-1, \ell-1}+u_{\ell} E_{\ell-1, \ell} \in K^{\prime} .
$$

Because $g$ satisfies (8.1), $1=\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(t_{\ell-1} u_{\ell}\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore $d \mid v_{\ell-1}$. Now adding the $\frac{v_{\ell-1} u_{\ell}}{d}$-multiple of the $\ell$-th row of $g$ to the $(\ell-1)$-st row, we can replace $g$ by $\left(I_{n}+\frac{v_{\ell-1} u_{\ell}}{d} E_{\ell-1, \ell)}\right) g \in K^{\prime} g$, and therefore we can assume that $v_{\ell-1}=0$.

Suppose now for some $1<\ell^{\prime} \leq \ell-1$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\ell^{\prime}}>b_{\ell^{\prime}+1}>\cdots>b_{\ell-1} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{\ell^{\prime}}=\cdots=v_{\ell-1}=0 \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that the above holds as well for $\ell^{\prime}-1$ in place of $\ell^{\prime}$. Suppose to the contrary that $b_{\ell^{\prime}-1} \leq b_{\ell^{\prime}}$. Then since

$$
g E_{\ell^{\prime}-1, \ell^{\prime}} g^{-1}=\varpi^{b_{\ell^{\prime}-1}-b_{\ell^{\prime}}} E_{\ell^{\prime}-1, \ell^{\prime}}
$$

we see that

$$
k=I_{n}+\varpi^{b_{\ell^{\prime}}-b_{\ell^{\prime}}-1} E_{\ell^{\prime}-1, \ell^{\prime}} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
k^{\prime}=g k g^{-1}=I_{n}+\varpi^{b_{\ell^{\prime}}-b_{\ell^{\prime}-1}} g E_{\ell^{\prime}-1, \ell^{\prime}} g^{-1}=I_{n}+E_{\ell^{\prime}-1, \ell^{\prime}} \in K^{\prime} .
$$

Because $g$ satisfies (8.1), $1=\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(t_{\ell^{\prime}-1}\right)$, a contradiction. This proves the first part of (8.6) for $\ell^{\prime}-1$.

Next, we note that

$$
g E_{\ell-1, \ell^{\prime}-1} g^{-1}=\varpi^{b_{\ell-1}-b_{\ell^{\prime}-1}}\left(E_{\ell-1, \ell^{\prime}-1}+\frac{u_{\ell} v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}}{d} E_{\ell-1, \ell}\right) .
$$

If $d \nmid v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}$, then $v_{\ell^{\prime}-1} \neq 0$ and $\frac{d}{v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}} \in \mathfrak{p}$. By the above, $b_{\ell^{\prime}-1}>b_{\ell^{\prime}}>\cdots>b_{\ell-1}$. Therefore

$$
k=I_{n}+\varpi^{b_{\ell^{\prime}-1}-b_{\ell-1}} \frac{d}{v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}} E_{\ell-1, \ell^{\prime}-1} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
k^{\prime} & =g k g^{-1}=I_{n}+\varpi^{b_{\ell^{\prime}-1}-b_{\ell-1}} \frac{d}{v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}} g E_{\ell-1, \ell^{\prime}-1} g^{-1} \\
& =I_{n}+\frac{d}{v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}} E_{\ell-1, \ell^{\prime}-1}+u_{\ell} E_{\ell-1, \ell} \in K^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $g$ satisfies (8.1), $1=\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(t_{\ell-1} u_{\ell}\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore $d \mid v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}$. Adding the $\frac{v_{\ell^{\prime}-1} u_{\ell}}{d}$-multiple of the $\ell$-th row of $g$ to the $\left(\ell^{\prime}-1\right)$-st row, we can replace $g$ by $\left(I_{n}+\frac{v_{\ell^{\prime}-1} u_{\ell}}{d} E_{\ell^{\prime}-1, \ell}\right) g \in K^{\prime} g$, allowing us to assume that $v_{\ell^{\prime}-1}=0$. This proves the second half of (8.6) for $i=\ell^{\prime}-1$ and completes the induction.

From now on, we assume $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{\ell-1}=0$.
Proposition 8.14. Suppose $\ell \leq i \leq n-1$. If $u_{i} \neq 0$, then $b_{1}+1<b_{i}$.

Proof. If $i=\ell$, then

$$
g E_{i 1} g^{-1}=\varpi^{b_{i}-b_{1}} u_{i} E_{n 1}
$$

Likewise, for $\ell<i \leq n-1$, we have

$$
g E_{i 1} g^{-1}=\varpi^{b_{i}-b_{1}}\left(E_{i 1}+u_{i} E_{n 1}\right)
$$

In either case, suppose $b_{1}+1 \geq b_{i}$. Then $k=I_{n}+\varpi^{b_{1}-b_{i}+1} E_{i 1} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)$ since $i<n$. Furthermore,

$$
k^{\prime}=g k g^{-1}=I_{n}+\varpi^{b_{1}-b_{i}+1} g E_{i 1} g^{-1}=I_{n}+\delta_{i, \ell} \varpi E_{i 1}+\varpi u_{i} E_{n 1} \in K^{\prime}
$$

for the Kronecker function $\delta_{i, \ell}$. Because $g$ satisfies (8.1), the above implies $1=$ $\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(t_{n} u_{i}\right)$, a contradiction since $u_{i} \neq 0$ is a unit.

Proposition 8.15. Suppose $\ell+1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If $u_{i} \neq 0$, then $v_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$.
Proof. Note that

$$
g E_{\ell-1, i} g^{-1}=\varpi^{b_{\ell-1}-b_{i}}\left(\frac{u_{\ell} v_{i}}{d} E_{\ell-1, \ell}+E_{\ell-1, i}\right)
$$

By Proposition 8.13 (a) and the above proposition, $b_{i}>b_{1}>b_{\ell-1}$. Therefore

$$
k=I_{n}+d \varpi^{b_{i}-b_{\ell-1}} E_{\ell-1, i} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
k^{\prime}=g k g^{-1}=I_{n}+d \varpi^{b_{i}-b_{\ell-1}} g E_{\ell-1, i} g^{-1}=I_{n}+u_{\ell} v_{i} E_{\ell-1, \ell}+d E_{\ell-1, i} \in K^{\prime}
$$

Because $g$ satisfies (8.1), $1=\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(t_{\ell-1} u_{\ell} v_{i}\right)$. This means $v_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$ since $t_{\ell} u_{\ell} \in \mathfrak{o}^{*}$.

Proposition 8.16. $\left(w-\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}\right) \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. We have

$$
g E_{\ell-1, \ell} g^{-1}=\varpi^{b_{\ell-1}-b_{\ell}}\left(\frac{w-\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}}{d} E_{\ell-1, \ell}-\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{u_{i}}{u_{\ell}} E_{\ell-1, i}+\frac{1}{u_{\ell}} E_{\ell-1, n}\right)
$$

By Propositions 8.13 (a) and $8.14, b_{\ell}>b_{1} \geq b_{\ell-1}$. Thus

$$
k=I_{n}+d \varpi^{b_{\ell}-b_{\ell-1}} E_{\ell-1, \ell} \in K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
k^{\prime}=g k g^{-1}=I_{n}+d \varpi^{b_{\ell}-b_{\ell-1}} g E_{\ell-1, \ell} g^{-1} \in K^{\prime}
$$

Since $g$ is relevant, $1=\chi\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(t_{\ell-1}\left(w-\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1} u_{i} v_{i}\right)\right)$. The conclusion follows.
Proposition 8.17. If $[g]$ is not divisible by $g_{\chi}$, then $[g]$ is relevant only if it contains an element of the form (8.3) with $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{n-1}=0$.

Proof. If not all $u_{i}$ equal 0 , let $\ell$ be the smallest index such that $u_{\ell} \neq 0$. By Proposition 8.12, $\ell \geq 2$. Then by Proposition 8.15, either $u_{i}=0$ or $\varpi \mid v_{i}$ for $i=\ell+1, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore $\varpi \mid u_{i} v_{i}$ for $i=\ell+1, \ldots, n-1$. By Proposition 8.16, it follows that $\varpi \mid w$, contradicting the fact that $w$ is a unit.
8.4.2. Case (2): $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{n-1}=0$

Here we assume that $g$ is not divisible by $g_{\chi}$, and that it is given by (8.3) with all $u_{i}$ equal to 0 . Because $w$ is a unit, we can replace $g$ by the diagonal matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w^{-1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & w^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & -\frac{v_{1}}{w} \\
& \ddots & \vdots \\
& & 1 & \frac{-v_{n-1}}{w}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\varpi^{b_{1}} & & & \\
& & & \\
& & v_{1} \\
& & & \\
& & & \varpi^{b_{n-1}} \\
& & v_{n-1} \\
& & & \\
w
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
w & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{8.7}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varpi^{b_{1}} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \varpi^{b_{n-1}} & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Hg} K_{1}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{m}\right)=[g] .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $g$ is relevant. Then for $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} \in \mathfrak{o}$, the condition
implies that the above matrix belongs to $\operatorname{ker} \chi$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(t_{1} \varpi^{b_{1}-b_{2}} r_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1} \varpi^{b_{n-1}} r_{n-1}+t_{n} \varpi^{m-1-b_{1}} r_{n}\right)=1 \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{n-1}=0$. Then (8.8) gives $\psi\left(t_{n} \varpi^{m-1-b_{1}} r_{n}\right)=1$ for all $r_{n} \in$ $\varpi^{b_{1}-m+1} \mathfrak{o} \cap \mathfrak{o}$. If $b_{1} \geq m-1$, then we can take $r_{n}=\varpi^{b_{1}-m+1}$ to get $\psi\left(t_{n}\right)=1$, a contradiction. Hence $b_{1}<m-1$. Similarly, for any index $1 \leq j<n$, set $r_{i}=0$ for all $i \neq j$. Then for all $r_{j} \in \varpi^{b_{j+1}-b_{j}} \mathfrak{o} \cap \mathfrak{o}$, (8.8) gives $\psi\left(t_{j} \varpi^{b_{j}-b_{j+1}} r_{j}\right)=1$. If $b_{j+1} \geq b_{j}$, then we can take $r_{j}=\varpi^{b_{j+1}-b_{j}}$ and arrive at a contradiction. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=b_{n}<b_{n-1}<b_{n-2}<\cdots<b_{1}<m-1 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, it is clear from the above that if (8.9) holds, then (8.8) holds, so $g$ is relevant. This proves the following.

Proposition 8.18. Suppose $g$ is the diagonal matrix (8.7). Then $g$ is relevant if and only if (8.9) holds.

Proof of Theorem 8.10. By Proposition 8.4, $[g]=\left[g_{\chi}^{a} h\right]=g_{\chi}^{a}[h]$, where $[h]$ is not divisible by $g_{\chi}$ and $1 \leq a \leq n-1$. By Proposition $8.5,[g]$ is relevant if and only if $[h]$ is relevant. By Proposition 8.17 and the above discussion, $[h]$ is relevant if and only if it contains a matrix of the form (8.5).
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