

GENERALIZED INTEGRATION OPERATORS BETWEEN BLOCH-TYPE SPACES AND $F(p, q, s)$ SPACES

Zhong Hua He* and Guangfu Cao

Abstract. Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of all holomorphic functions on the unit disk \mathbb{D} of \mathbb{C} . Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} , n be a positive integer and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. In this paper, we investigate the boundedness and compactness of a generalized integration operator

$$I_{g, \varphi}^{(n)} f(z) = \int_0^z f^{(n)}(\varphi(\zeta)) g(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

between Bloch-type spaces and $F(p, q, s)$ spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} , and $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all bounded holomorphic functions with the supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$.

Let μ be a weight, that is, μ is a positive continuous function on \mathbb{D} . The Bloch-type \mathcal{B}_μ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$b_\mu(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

With the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = |f(0)| + b_\mu(f)$, it becomes a Banach space. The little Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,0}$ is a subspace of \mathcal{B}_μ consisting of those $f \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |f'(z)| = 0.$$

Received November 16, 2012, accepted January 4, 2013.

Communicated by Ngai-Ching Wong.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47G10, 30H05.

Key words and phrases: Generalized integration operator, Bloch-type space, $F(p, q, s)$ space.

Supported by Chinese Natural Science Foundation (No. 10971040) and Research Foundation for doctoral program of Higher Education.

When $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, the Bloch-type space becomes the α -Bloch space \mathcal{B}^α (see [28][22][6][18]) and the quantity $b_\mu(f)$ is denoted by $b_\alpha(f)$, while the little Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,0}$ becomes the little α -Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0^α .

A positive continuous function ν on the interval $[0, 1)$ is called normal (see [17]) if there are $\delta \in [0, 1)$ and a, b , $0 < a < b$ such that

$$\frac{\nu(r)}{(1-r)^a} \text{ is decreasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\nu(r)}{(1-r)^a} = 0;$$

$$\frac{\nu(r)}{(1-r)^b} \text{ is increasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\nu(r)}{(1-r)^b} = \infty.$$

If we say that a function $\nu : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is normal we also assume that it is radial, i. e. $\nu(z) = \nu(|z|)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Let $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$. A function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to general function space $F(p, q, s) = F(p, q, s)(\mathbb{D})$ (see [26]) if

$$\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}^p = |f(0)|^p + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $h(z, a) = \ln |\varphi_a(z)|^{-1}$ is the Green's function for \mathbb{D} with logarithmic singularity at a . And $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to $F_0(p, q, s)$ if

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) = 0.$$

The space $F(p, q, s)$ is called a general function space because we can get many function spaces from which, such as *BMOA* space, Q_p space, Bergman space, Hardy space, Bloch space, if we take special parameters of p, q, s . if $q + s \leq -1$, then $F(p, q, s)$ is the space of constant functions.

Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , then the composition operator on $H(\mathbb{D})$ is given by

$$C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi.$$

Composition operators acting on various spaces of analytic functions have been the object for recent years, especially the problems of relating operator-theoretic properties of C_φ to function theoretic properties of φ . See the book of Cowen and MacCluer [4] and Shapiro [15] for discussions of composition operators on classical spaces of analytic functions.

Assume that $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic map of the unit disk \mathbb{D} , for $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, define

$$I_g f(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) g'(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This operator is called Riemann-Stieltjes operator (or Extended-Cesàro operator). Ch. Pommerenke [13] initiated the study of Riemman-Stieltjes operator I_g on H^2 , where he showed that I_g is bounded on H^2 if and only if g is in *BMOA*. This was extended to other Hardy spaces H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) in [1] and [2] where compactness of I_g on

H^p and Schatten class membership of I_g on H^2 was also completely characterized in terms of the symbol g .

In this paper, we consider an integration operator $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}$ which is defined as

$$I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}f(z) = \int_0^z f^{(n)}(\varphi(\zeta))g(\zeta)d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This operator is called the generalized integral operator, which was introduced in [16] and studied in [20, 16]. Also, the operator $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}$ is a generalization of the Riemann-Stieltjes operator I_g induced by g . In fact, the operator $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}$ can induce many known operators. For example, when $n = 1$, $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}$ reduces to an integration operator recently studied by S. Stević, S. Li, X. Zhu and W. Yang in [7, 8, 9, 10, 19, 24, 31]. When $n = 1$ and $g(z) = \varphi'(z)$, we obtain the composition operator C_φ defined as $C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi - f(\varphi(0))$, $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Let D be the differentiation operator, $n = m + 1$ and $g(z) = \varphi'(z)$, then we get the operator $C_\varphi D^m f(z) = f^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) - f^{(m)}(\varphi(0))$ which was studied in [5, 11, 30].

In [16], S. D. Sharma and A. Sharmat have characterized the boundedness and compactness of generalized integration operators $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}$ from Bloch type spaces to weighted $BMOA$ spaces by using logarithmic Carleson measure characterization of the weighted $BMOA$ spaces. This paper is devoted to investigating the boundedness and compactness of generalized integration operators between Bloch-type spaces and $F(p, q, s)$ spaces.

Throughout this paper, we will use the letter C to denote a generic positive constant that can change its value at each occurrence. The notation $a \preceq b$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $a \leq Cb$. If both $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$ hold, then one says that $a \asymp b$.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Here we quote some auxiliary results which will be used in the proofs of the main results in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. ([28]). *For $\alpha > 0$, if $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, then*

$$|f(z)| \leq C \begin{cases} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}, & 0 < \alpha < 1; \\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \ln \frac{2}{1 - |z|^2}, & \alpha = 1; \\ \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha-1}}, & \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}},$$

for some C independent of f .

Lemma 2.2. ([23]). For $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, $q + s > -1$, if $f \in F(p, q, s)$, then

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}},$$

for some C independent of f .

Lemma 2.3. ([32]). Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that n_k is an increasing sequence of positive integers with Hadamard gaps, that is,

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq \lambda > 1,$$

for all k . Then there exists constants C_1 and C_2 depending on p and λ , such that

$$C_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{in_k \theta} \right|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \leq C_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for any scalars a_1, a_2, \dots with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$.

Lemma 2.4. ([21]). Let X, Y be two Banach spaces of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . Suppose that

- (1) The point evaluation functions on X are continuous.
- (2) The closed unit ball of X is a compact subset of X in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.
- (3) $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous when X and Y are given the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

Then, T is a compact operator if and only if given a bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets, then the sequence $\{Tf_n\}$ converges to zero in the norm of Y .

Let $\alpha > 0$, then by [14] there are two holomorphic functions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, such that

$$|f_1'(z)| + |f_2'(z)| \geq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If we choose $g_1(z) = f_1(z) - zf_1'(0)$ and $g_2(z) = f_2(z) - zf_2'(0)$, then by the well known result (see [28])

$$(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1} |f''(z)| + |f'(0)| \asymp (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f'(z)|,$$

we obtain that $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ and

$$|g_1''(z)| + |g_2''(z)| \geq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proceeding this way, then we have the following result

Lemma 2.5. ([29]). *Let $\alpha > 0$, then there are two holomorphic functions $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, such that*

$$|h_1^{(n)}(z)| + |h_2^{(n)}(z)| \geq \frac{C}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

3. BOUNDEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS OF $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ (or \mathcal{B}_0^α) $\rightarrow F(p, q, s)$

In this section, we study the boundedness and compactness of the operators $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ (or \mathcal{B}_0^α) $\rightarrow F(p, q, s)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, n be a positive integer and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, $q + s > -1$, $\alpha > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is bounded;
- (ii) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is bounded;
- (iii)

$$M_1 := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{p(\alpha+n-1)}} h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \infty.$$

Moreover, if the operator $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ (or \mathcal{B}_0^α) $\rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is bounded, then the following relationship holds

$$(1) \quad \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow F(p,q,s)} \asymp \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p,q,s)} \asymp M_1^{1/p}.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This implication is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume that (ii) holds. We adopt the methods of Theorem 16 in [3], as well as its extension in [12] and [25].

Let $r_j \in (1/2, 1)$ such that $r_j \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and let

$$f_{j,\theta}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(\alpha-1)} (r_j e^{i\theta} z)^{2k}.$$

Since $2^{k(1-\alpha)}|a_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_j \in (0, 1)$, by Theorem 1 in [22], we have that $f_{j,\theta} \in \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha$ and $\|f_{j,\theta}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq C < \infty$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of n and θ . Since $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is bounded, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_{j,\theta}^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|^p |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \leq \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_{j,\theta}\|_{F(p,q,s)}^p \leq C \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|^p.$$

Integrating above inequality with respect to θ , applying Fubini's Theorem, Lemma 2.3 and the inequality

$$\prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (2^k - m) \geq \frac{2^{nk}}{n!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 2^k \geq n,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & C \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p,q,s)}^p \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k \geq [\log_2 n]} \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (2^k - m) 2^{k(\alpha-1)} (\varphi(z))^{2^k-n} (r_j e^{i\theta})^{2^k} \right|^p \\ & \quad \times d\theta |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\sum_{k \geq [\log_2 n]} \left(\prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (2^k - m) \right)^2 2^{2k(\alpha-1)} (r_j |\varphi(z)|)^{2(2^k-n)} \right)^{p/2} \\ & \quad \times |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ & \geq \frac{C}{(n!)^p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\sum_{k \geq [\log_2 n]} 2^{2k(\alpha+n-1)} (r_j |\varphi(z)|)^{2(2^k-n)} \right)^{p/2} \\ & \quad \times |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x . By lemma 3.1 of [12] there is a constant C depending only on α and n such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2\alpha k} r^{2^{k+1}} \geq \frac{C}{(1 - r^2)^{2\alpha}},$$

for $r \in (e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, 1)$. Now since $\alpha + n - 1 > 0$, there is an $r'_0 \in (e^{-\frac{\alpha+n-1}{2}}, 1)$ such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq k < [\log_2 n]} 2^{2k(\alpha+n-1)} (r_j |\varphi(z)|)^{2^{k+1}} \leq \frac{C}{2(1 - |r_j \varphi(z)|^2)^{2(\alpha+n-1)}}$$

for all $r'_0 \leq r_j |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{k \geq [\log_2 n]} 2^{2k(\alpha+n-1)} (r_j |\varphi(z)|)^{2^{k+1}} \geq \frac{C}{2(1 - |r_j \varphi(z)|^2)^{2(\alpha+n-1)}}.$$

Using Fatou’s lemma,

$$(2) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \Delta(0, r'_0)} \frac{|g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{p(\alpha+n-1)}} h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \infty,$$

and also

$$(3) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\Delta(0, r'_0)} \frac{|g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{p(\alpha+n-1)}} h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \infty,$$

since $\frac{z^n}{n!} \in \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha$. Hence, (iii) follows from (2) and (3).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that (iii) is true, then by Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f\|_{F(p,q,s)}^p &= \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|^p |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}^p \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{p(\alpha+n-1)}} h^s(z, a) dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is bounded.

From the proofs of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) the relationship (1) follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} , n be a positive integer, $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, $q + s > -1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is weakly compact if and only if it is compact.*

Proof. It is clear that $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is weakly compact if and only if $(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)})^* : (F(p, q, s))^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha)^*$ is weakly compact. Since $(\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha)^* \cong A^1$ (the Bergman space, see [27]), and A^1 satisfies the Schur property, it follows that it is equivalent to $(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)})^* : (F(p, q, s))^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha)^*$ is compact, which is equivalent to $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is compact as desired.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} , n be a positive integer, $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, $q + s > -1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is compact;
- (ii) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is compact;
- (iii) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is weakly compact;
- (iv)

$$(4) \quad M := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \infty$$

and

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} \frac{|g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{p(\alpha+n-1)}} h^s(z, a) dA(z) = 0.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 the equivalent (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) holds.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) This implication is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) If $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is compact, then obviously it is bounded and hence condition (4) holds since $\frac{z^n}{n!} \in \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha$. Let

$$f_k(z) = z^{k+n}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha$ is a norm bounded sequence, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} .

Hence by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{F(p,q,s)} = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{(k+n)!}{k!} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)|^{kp} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) = 0.$$

From this we have that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $\rho \in (0, 1)$

$$\rho^{pk_0} k_0^{pn} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \varepsilon.$$

And hence we have that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\rho^{pk_0} k_0^{pn} > c_0 > 0$

$$(6) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{c_0}.$$

Let $f \in B_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}$, then since $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is compact, we have that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $r \in (r_0, 1)$

$$(7) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}(f - f_r))'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence for a fixed $r \in (r_0, 1)$, by (6) and (7) we have that for $\rho > c_0^{\frac{1}{pk_0}}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ & \leq C \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}(f - f_r))'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ & \quad + C \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_r)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\varepsilon + C\|f_r\|_\infty^p \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon(1 + \|f_r\|_\infty^p/c_0). \end{aligned}$$

From which we have that for every $f \in B_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}$ there is a $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$, $\rho_0 = \rho_0(f, \varepsilon)$, such that for $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$

$$(8) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \varepsilon.$$

The compactness of $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_0^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ implies that the ball $B_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}$ is mapped by $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}$ into a relatively compact subset of $F(p, q, s)$. Thus for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite collection of functions $\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_{N_1}$ in the unit ball $B_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}$ such that for each $f \in B_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}$, there is a $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_1\}$ such that

$$(9) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}(f - \hat{f}_j))'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \varepsilon.$$

Also, by (8) we have that for $\rho_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N_1} \rho_0(\hat{f}_j, \varepsilon)$ and $\rho \in (\rho_1, 1)$

$$(10) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} \hat{f}_j)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \varepsilon$$

for every $j \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$. Hence by (9) and (10) we have

$$(11) \quad \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} |f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|^p |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) < 2^{p+1}\varepsilon.$$

for every $f \in B_{\mathcal{B}_0^\alpha}$, $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\rho \in (\rho_1, 1)$. Applying (11) to delay functions $(f_j)_r = f_j(rz)$, $j = 1, 2$ of the functions in Lemma 2.5, and hence using Fatou's lemma we can easily obtain that (5) holds.

(*iv*) \Rightarrow (*i*) Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{B}^α converging to 0 on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By (5) we have that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$ we have

$$(12) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| > \rho} \frac{|g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{p(\alpha+n-1)}} h^s(z, a) dA(z) < \varepsilon.$$

The uniform convergence of $\{f_k\}$ on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} along with Cauchy's estimate implies that $\{f_k^{(n)}\}$ also converges to zero on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(13) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|w| \leq \rho} |f_k^{(n)}(w)| = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, (4), (12) and (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{F(p,q,s)}^p &\leq C \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k)'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ &\leq C \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \rho} |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|^p |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ &\quad + C \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\rho < |\varphi(z)| < 1} |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|^p |g(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q h^s(z, a) dA(z) \\ &\leq CM \sup_{|z| \leq \rho} |f_k^{(n)}(z)|^p + C\varepsilon \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from which we can easily obtain that $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow F(p, q, s)$ is compact.

4. BOUNDEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS OF $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s)$ (or $F_0(p, q, s)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$

In this section, we investigate the boundedness and compactness of the operators $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s)$ (or $F_0(p, q, s)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, n be a positive integer and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, $q + s > -1$, μ be a normal on $[0, 1)$. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded;
- (ii) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F_0(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded;
- (iii)

$$(14) \quad M_2 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if the operator $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s)$ (or $F_0(p, q, s)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded, then the following relationship holds

$$(15) \quad \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|_{F(p,q,s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \asymp \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|_{F_0(p,q,s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \asymp M_2.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This implication is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume that $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F_0(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded, then for $f(z) = \frac{z^n}{n!} \in F_0(p, q, s)$, we have that

$$(16) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|)|g(z)| < \infty.$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, let

$$f_w(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - z\overline{\varphi(w)})^{\frac{2+q}{p}}} & p \neq q + 2; \\ \ln \frac{e}{1 - z\overline{\varphi(w)}} & p = q + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_w(z) \in F_0(p, q, s)$ and $\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_w\|_{F(p,q,s)} \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$, moreover,

$$(17) \quad |f_w^{(n)}(\varphi(w))| \asymp \frac{|\varphi(w)|^n}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}}$$

Therefore for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)||\varphi(z)|^n}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} &\asymp \mu(|w|)|f_w^{(n)}(\varphi(w))g(w)| \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|)|(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}f_w)'(z)| \\ &\leq \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}f_w\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \preceq \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}\|_{F_0(p,q,s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (16), we have

$$(19) \quad \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}} \mu(|z|)|g(z)| < \infty,$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1/2$. And from (18), we obtain that

$$(20) \quad \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} \leq 2^n \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)||\varphi(z)|^n}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} < \infty,$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(z)| > 1/2$. Thus combing (19) with (20) we get the condition (14).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that (iii) holds. For $f \in F(p, q, s)$, by Lemma 2.2 we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|)|(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)}f)'(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|)|f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|g(z)| \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{F(p,q,s)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded.

Also, from the proofs of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i), we can see that (15) holds.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, n be a positive integer and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} , $0 < p, s < \infty$, $-2 < q < \infty$, $q + s > -1$, μ be a normal on $[0, 1)$. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact;
(ii) $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F_0(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact;
(iii) $g \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} = 0.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This implication is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F_0(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact, then $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F_0(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded, and hence $g \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ from the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_k)| = 1$, and

$$f_k(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2}{(1 - z\overline{\varphi(z_k)})^{\frac{2+q}{p}}} & p \neq q + 2; \\ \left(\ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\ln \frac{e}{1 - z\overline{\varphi(z_k)}}\right)^2 & p = q + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_k \in F_0(p, q, s)$ and f_k uniformly converges to zero on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = 0.$$

Moreover, by (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|) |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k)'(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| |g(z)| \\ &\geq \mu(|z_k|) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z_k))| |g(z_k)| \\ &\geq C \frac{\mu(|z_k|) |g(z_k)| |\varphi(z_k)|^n}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(|z_k|) |g(z_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(|z_k|) |g(z_k)| |\varphi(z_k)|^n}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(|z|) |g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p}{p}+n}} = 0.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that (iii) holds, then it is easy to see that (14) holds and hence $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded. Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $F(p, q, s)$

and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By (iii), for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive number $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that when $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$,

$$(21) \quad \frac{\mu(|z|)|g(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{2+q-p+n}{p}}} < \varepsilon.$$

From (21), $g \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|) |(I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k)'(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(|z|) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| |g(z)| \\ &\leq \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} \mu(|z|) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| |g(z)| + \sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \mu(|z|) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| |g(z)| \\ &\leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(n)}(w)| + C\varepsilon \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{F(p,q,s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{w \in \mathbb{D} : |w| \leq \delta\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{D} , then using the Cauchy's estimate we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(n)}(w)| = 0.$$

Hence $\|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \leq C\varepsilon$, and thus by the arbitrariness of the positive number ε it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = 0.$$

From which and Lemma 2.4, we can see that $I_{g,\varphi}^{(n)} : F(p, q, s) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aleman and A. G. Siskakis, An integral operator on H^p , *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, **28(2)** (1995), 149-158.
2. A. Aleman and J. A. Cima, An integral operator on H_p and Hardy's inequality, *J. Anal. Math.*, **85** (2001), 157-176.
3. J. Arazy, S. D. Fisher and J. Peetre, Möbius invariant function spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **363** (1985), 110-145.
4. C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. xii+388 pp. ISBN: 0-8493-8492-3.
5. R. A. Hibschweiler and N. Portnoy, Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **35(3)** (2005), 843-855.
6. S. Li and S. Stević, Integral type operators from mixed-norm spaces to α -Bloch spaces, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **18(7)** (2007), 485-493.

7. S. Li and S. Stević, Generalized composition operators on Zygmund spaces and Bloch type spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **338(2)** (2008), 1282-1295.
8. S. Li and S. Stević, Products of composition and integral type operators from H^∞ to the Bloch space, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **53(5)** (2008), 463-474.
9. S. Li and S. Stević, Products of Volterra type operator and composition operator from H^∞ and Bloch spaces to Zygmund spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **345(1)** (2008), 40-52.
10. S. Li and S. Stević, Products of integral-type operators and composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **349(12)** (2009), 596-610.
11. S. Ohno, Products of composition and differentiation between Hardy spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **73(2)** (2006), 235-243.
12. F. Pérez-Gonzalez and J. Rattya, Forelli-Rudin estimates, Carleson measures and $F(p, q, s)$ -functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **315(2)** (2006), 394-414.
13. Ch. Pommerenke, Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation (German), *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **52(4)** (1977), 591-602.
14. W. Ramey and D. Ullrich, Bounded mean oscillation of Bloch pull-backs, *Math. Ann.*, **291(4)** (1991), 591-606.
15. J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. xvi+223 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94067-7.
16. S. D. Sharma and A. Sharma, Generalized integration operators from Bloch type spaces to weighted BMOA spaces, *Demonstratio Math.*, **44(2)** (2011), 373-390.
17. A. L. Shields and D. L. Williams, Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **162** (1971), 287-302.
18. S. Stević, On α -Bloch spaces with Hadamard gaps, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2007, Art. ID 39176, 2007, 8 pp.
19. S. Stević, Generalized composition operators from logarithmic Bloch spaces to mixed-norm spaces, *Util. Math.*, **77** (2008), 167-172.
20. S. Stević, A. K. Sharma and S. D. Sharma, Generalized integration operators from the space of integral transforms into Bloch-type spaces, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, **14(6)** (2012), 1139-1147.
21. M. Tjani, *Compact Composition Operators on Some Moebius Invariant Banach Spaces*, Thesis (PhD.), Michigan State University, 1996, 68 pp. ISBN: 978-0591-27288-8.
22. S. Yamashita, Gap series and α -Bloch functions, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **28(1-2)** (1980), 31-36.
23. W. Yang, Generalized weighted composition operators from the $F(p, q, s)$ space to the Bloch-type space, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218(9)** (2012), 4967-4972.
24. W. Yang, Composition operators from $F(p, q, s)$ spaces to the n th weighted-type spaces on the unit disc, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218(4)** (2011), 1443-1448.

25. R. Zhao, Composition operators from Bloch type spaces to Hardy and Besov spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **233(2)** (1999), 749-766.
26. R. Zhao, *On a General Family of Function Spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss., No. 105, 1996, 56 pp.
27. K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990. xii+258 pp. ISBN: 0-8247-8411-1.
28. K. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions, *Rochoy Mountain J. Math.*, **23** (1993), 1143-1177.
29. X. Zhu, Generalized weighted composition operators from Bloch type spaces to weighted Bergman spaces, *Indian J. Math.*, **49(2)** (2007), 139-150.
30. X. Zhu, Products of differentiation, composition and multiplication from Bergman type spaces to Bers type spaces, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **18(3-4)** (2007), 223-231.
31. X. Zhu, Generalized composition operators from generalized weighted Bergman spaces to Bloch type spaces, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **46(6)** (2009), 1219-1232.
32. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.

Zhong Hua He and Guangfu Cao
Department of Mathematics
Guangzhou University
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510006
P. R. China
E-mail: zhonghuahe2010@163.com