

Cofiniteness with Respect to the Class of Modules in Dimension less than a Fixed Integer

Alireza Vahidi* and Saeid Morsali

Abstract. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, n a non-negative integer, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n+1$, and X an arbitrary R -module. In this paper, we prove the following results:

- (i) If X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules, then X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module;
- (ii) The category of $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules is an Abelian category;
- (iii) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module and $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)) : \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq n\}$ is a finite set for all i when $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all i .

We observe that, among other things, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all i whenever R is a semi-local ring with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 2$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module for all i .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R , M is a finite (i.e., finitely generated) R -module, X is an arbitrary R -module which is not necessarily finite, and n is a non-negative integer. For basic results, notations, and terminology not given in this paper, readers are referred to [12, 13, 34].

In [20], Hartshorne defined an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module X to be \mathfrak{a} -cofinite if $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a finite R -module for all i and asked the following questions.

Question 1.1. Is the category of \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules an Abelian category?

Question 1.2. Is $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module for all i ?

The followings are also important problems in local cohomology (see [19, Expose XIII, Conjecture 1.1] and [22, Problem 4]).

Question 1.3. Is $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))$ a finite R -module for all i ?

Received July 27, 2019; Accepted September 4, 2019.

Communicated by Keiichi Watanabe.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D07, 13D45.

Key words and phrases. Abelian categories, cofinite modules, local cohomology modules.

This research of Alireza Vahidi was in part supported by a grant from Payame Noor University.

*Corresponding author.

Question 1.4. Is $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))$ a finite set for all i ?

In [20, Proposition 7.6 and Corollary 7.7], [23, Theorem 4.1], [15, Theorem 3], [16, Theorems 1 and 2], [36, Theorem 1.1], [14, Theorem 1.4], [7, Theorem 2.6], [24, Theorems 1 and 8], [30, Theorems 2.6 and 2.10], [9, Theorem 2.7], [5, Theorem 4.3], [2, Theorems 3.4 and 3.7], and [31, Theorem 3.3], the authors studied these questions and prepared affirmative answers to them for the case that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) = 1$.

Recall that X is said to be an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ (or in dimension $< n$) R -module if there exists a finite submodule X' of X such that $\dim_R(X/X') < n$ [2, 4]. Also, we say that X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module if X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all i [3, Definition 4.1]. Note that, by [37, Theorem 2.3], the class of $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules forms a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules (i.e., the class of R -modules which is closed under taking submodules, quotients, and extensions). Also, X is a finite R -module if and only if X is an $\text{FD}_{<0}$ R -module, and so X is an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module if and only if X is an $(\text{FD}_{<0}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module. Thus, it is natural to raise the following questions as generalizations of Questions 1.1–1.4 (see [1, Question]). Here, we denote the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(X) : \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq n\}$ by $\text{Ass}_R(X)_{\geq n}$.

Question 1.5. Is the category of $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules an Abelian category?

Question 1.6. Is $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all i ?

Question 1.7. Is $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))$ an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all i ?

Question 1.8. Is $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))_{\geq n}$ a finite set for all i ?

By Abazari-Bahmanpour's results [1, Theorems 2.5 and 2.10], the answer to Questions 1.6–1.8 is yes if R is a complete local ring with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$. In this paper, we remove complete local assumption on R . It follows that, among other things, the answer to Question 1.4 is yes if R is a semi-local ring with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 2$. We also study Question 1.5 and prepare an affirmative answer to it for the case that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$.

In the main result of Section 2, we prove that if X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module (e.g., X is \mathfrak{a} -torsion and $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$) such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules, then X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module. This result plays an important role in the study of the above questions.

In Section 3, with respect to Question 1.5, we show that the category of $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules is an Abelian category. In particular, the category of $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules is an Abelian category if $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$.

Section 4 is devoted to the study of Questions 1.6–1.8. Let t be a non-negative integer. We prove that if X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all $i \leq t$ (e.g., X is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module) and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module

for all $i < t$ (e.g., $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$), then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i < t$, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module, and $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$.

Section 5 consists of some applications on ordinary cofiniteness and weakly cofiniteness of local cohomology modules. Recall that X is said to be a weakly Laskerian R -module if the set of associated prime ideals of any quotient module of X is finite [17, Definition 2.1]. Also, we say that X is an \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -module if X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module for all i [18, Definition 2.4]. Note that, if X is a weakly Laskerian R -module (resp. an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module and R is a semi-local ring), then X is an $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -module (resp. a weakly Laskerian R -module) by [5, Theorem 3.3].

It is perhaps worth noting that the results of this paper generalize all of the previous results concerning Questions 1.1–1.8 (see e.g., [1, 2, 5–9, 11, 14–16, 20, 23–25, 27, 30–33, 36]). Note also that, some results of the finiteness of associated prime ideals in this paper follow from [10, Theorem 1.2] when X is finite.

2. Cofinite modules

The following lemma is needed in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -module such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module. Then X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module.*

Proof. We can, and do, assume that $\dim_R(X) = n$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X) \longrightarrow X'' \longrightarrow 0$$

such that X' is a finite submodule of $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\dim_R(X'') < n$. Also, by [13, Exercise 1.2.28], $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)) = \text{Ass}_R(X)$ because X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module. Let j be a positive integer such that $\dim_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)) = n$ and set $A = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)) : \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n\}$. Then A is a non-empty and finite set because $A \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(X')$. Let $A = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_l\}$ and $S = R \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^l \mathfrak{p}_k$. Then $\dim_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}X) \leq 0$, $S^{-1}X$ is an $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion $S^{-1}R$ -module, and the $S^{-1}R$ -module $\text{Hom}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}R/S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}, S^{-1}X)$ is finite and so has finite length. Thus, by [29, Proposition 4.1], $S^{-1}X$ is an $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite $S^{-1}R$ -module. Hence $S^{-1}\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X) \cong \text{Ext}_{S^{-1}R}^j(S^{-1}R/S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}, S^{-1}X)$ is a finite $S^{-1}R$ -module. Therefore there is a finite submodule Y of $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ such that $S^{-1}Y = S^{-1}\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$. Now, since $S^{-1}(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)/Y) = 0$, it is easy to see that $\dim_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)/Y) < n$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module. \square

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules. Then X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we can, and do, assume that $\dim_R(X) = n + 1$. Suppose, on the contrary, that X is not an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module and seek a contradiction. Let A be the set of ideals $(0 :_R Y)$, where Y is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module, $\dim_R(Y) = n + 1$, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Y)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, Y)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules, and Y is not an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module. Then A is a non-empty set of ideals of Noetherian ring R . Let $(0 :_R Y)$ be a maximal member of A . Since Y is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module and $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module, the set $B = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(Y) : \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + 1\}$ is finite. Let $B = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_l\}$ and $S = R \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^l \mathfrak{p}_k$. Then $\dim_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}Y) \leq 0$, $S^{-1}Y$ is an $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion $S^{-1}R$ -module, and the $S^{-1}R$ -module $\text{Hom}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}R/S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}, S^{-1}Y)$ is finite and so has finite length. Thus, by [29, Proposition 4.1], $S^{-1}Y$ is an Artinian $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite $S^{-1}R$ -module. Therefore $S^{-1}(Y/\mathfrak{a}Y) \cong S^{-1}Y/S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}S^{-1}Y$ is a finite $S^{-1}R$ -module from [29, Theorem 2.1]. Hence there is a finite submodule Y' of Y such that $S^{-1}((\mathfrak{a}Y + Y')/\mathfrak{a}Y) = S^{-1}(Y/\mathfrak{a}Y)$. Let $Z = Y/Y'$. Since $S^{-1}Z$ is an Artinian $S^{-1}R$ -module and $S^{-1}Z = S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}S^{-1}Z$, there is an element a of \mathfrak{a} such that $S^{-1}Z = \frac{a}{1}S^{-1}Z$ from [26, 2.8]. Therefore $S^{-1}(Z/aZ) = 0$ and so it is easy to see that $\dim_R(Z/aZ) \leq n$. By the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y' \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Z)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, Z)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules. If $\dim_R(Z) < n + 1$, then Z is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module from Lemma 2.1. Therefore Y is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module by the above short exact sequence, a contradiction. Thus $\dim_R(Z) = n + 1$ and Z is not an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module, and hence $(0 :_R Z) \in A$. Thus $(0 :_R Y) = (0 :_R Z)$ because $(0 :_R Y) \subseteq (0 :_R Z)$ and $(0 :_R Y)$ is a maximal member of A . Let $Z' = (0 :_Z a)$. Since $aZ \neq 0$, $(0 :_R Z) \subsetneq (0 :_R Z')$ and so $(0 :_R Z') \notin A$. $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Z')$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, Z')$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules from the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow Z' \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow aZ \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \longrightarrow aZ \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Z/aZ \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $\dim_R(Z') < n + 1$, then Z' is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module from Lemma 2.1. Otherwise, $\dim_R(Z') = n + 1$ and so Z' is again an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module as $(0 :_R Z') \notin A$. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Z/aZ)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module by the above short exact sequences. Therefore Z/aZ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module by Lemma 2.1. Hence Z is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module from [29, Corollary 3.2]. This contradiction shows that X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module as desired. □

As immediate applications of the above theorem, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n+1$ and that X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules. Then X is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module.*

Corollary 2.4. (see [9, Proposition 2.6], [5, Corollary 4.2], and [2, Theorem 3.1]) *Suppose that X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -module such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are finite R -modules. Then X is an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module.*

Corollary 2.5. (see [30, Theorem 2.3]) *Suppose that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 1$ and that X is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module such that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are finite R -modules. Then X is an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module.*

3. Abelianness of the category of cofinite modules

With respect to Question 1.1, Hartshorne in [20, Proposition 7.6] showed that the category of \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules is an Abelian category if R is a complete regular local ring and \mathfrak{a} is a prime ideal of R with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) = 1$. In [16, Theorem 2], Delfino and Marley generalized Hartshorne’s result to arbitrary complete local rings. Kawasaki in [24, Theorem 1] extended this result for an arbitrary ideal \mathfrak{a} with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) = 1$ in a local ring R . In [30, Theorem 2.6], Melkersson removed local assumption on R . Recently, Aghapournahr and Bahmanpour in [2, Theorem 3.7] (see also [9, Theorem 2.7] and [5, Theorem 4.3]) generalized Melkersson’s result and proved that the category of \mathfrak{a} -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -modules is an Abelian category.

In this section, we extend Aghapournahr-Bahmanpour’s result [2, Theorem 3.7] and show that the category of $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules is an Abelian category. In particular, we prepare an affirmative answer to Question 1.5 for the case that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *The category of $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules is an Abelian category.*

Proof. Let X and Y be $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an R -homomorphism. It is enough to show that $\ker f$ and $\text{coker } f$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules. From the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{im } f \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im } f \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow 0,$$

$\ker f$ and $\text{coker } f$ are $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules and $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \ker f)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \ker f)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules. Thus $\ker f$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module by Theorem 2.2. Hence $\text{coker } f$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module from the above short exact sequences. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let N be a finite R -module and let X be an $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, X)$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, X)$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -modules for all j .*

Proof. Assume that

$$F_{\bullet N} = \cdots \longrightarrow F_{j+1} \longrightarrow F_j \longrightarrow F_{j-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a deleted free resolution of N such that F_j is finite for all j . By applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, X)$ and $- \otimes_R X$ to $F_{\bullet N}$, the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$, then the category of $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -modules is an Abelian category.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$, let N be a finite R -module, and let X be an $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, X)$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, X)$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -modules for all j .*

Corollary 3.5. *The category of \mathfrak{a} -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -modules is an Abelian category.*

Corollary 3.6. *Let N be a finite R -module and let X be an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, X)$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, X)$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -modules for all j .*

Corollary 3.7. *If $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 1$, then the category of \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules is an Abelian category.*

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 1$, let N be a finite R -module, and let X be an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, X)$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, X)$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all j .*

4. Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules

Abazari and Bahmanpour in [1, Theorems 2.5 and 2.10] prepared affirmative answers to Questions 1.6–1.8 for the case that R is a complete local ring with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$. In this section, we remove complete local assumption on R . They showed that if R is a complete local ring, X is a finite R -module, and t is a non-negative integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module for all $i < t$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i < t$, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules, and $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$. In the main result of this section, we prove it without assuming that R is a complete local ring and X is a finite R -module. As applications of this result, in Section 5, we generalize all of the previous results concerning Questions 1.2–1.4 (see e.g., [2, 6–8, 11, 14–16, 20, 23–25, 27, 30–33, 36]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module and let s, t be non-negative integers such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all $s \leq i \leq s+t+1$, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i < s$, and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module for all $s \leq i \leq s+t$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i \leq s+t$.*

Proof. We prove by using induction on t . Let $t = 0$. From [3, Theorem 2.3], $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^s(X))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^s(X))$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^s(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module by Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $t > 0$ and that $t-1$ is settled. It is enough to show that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{s+t}(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module because $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i \leq s+t-1$ from the induction hypothesis on $t-1$. By [3, Theorem 2.3], $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{s+t}(X))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{s+t}(X))$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules. Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{s+t}(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module from Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module and let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all $i \leq t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module for all $i < t$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$ and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i < t$;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$ and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module;
- (iv) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$ and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$;
- (v) Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module.

Proof. (i) Since $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X))$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules by [3, Theorem 2.3], $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module from Theorem 2.2, and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i < t$ by Lemma 4.1. Let $i < t$ and let Y be an $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and so Y is

an $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -module from Lemma 2.1. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -module by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

(ii) This follows from the first part and Corollary 3.2.

(iii) Let Y be an $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. From the first part and [3, Theorem 2.3], $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and so Y is an $(\text{FD}_{<n, \mathfrak{a}})$ -cofinite R -module by Lemma 2.1. Hence, from the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, Y),$$

$\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module.

(iv) It follows by the first part, the third part, and [13, Exercise 1.2.28].

(v) This is similar to the proof of the third part. □

Remark 4.3. (see [1, Theorems 2.6 and 2.10]) Let N be an \mathfrak{a} -torsion finite R -module, let X be an arbitrary R -module, and let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all $i \leq t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n+2}$ R -module for all $i < t$. Then, by Theorem 4.2 and [21, Proposition 3.4(i)], the following statements hold true:

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -modules and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y))_{\geq n}$ and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y))_{\geq n}$ are finite sets for all $i < t$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i < t$ and all j ;
- (ii) $\text{Hom}_R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set;
- (iii) Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module and $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set.

Let X be an arbitrary R -module which is not necessarily finite and let n be a non-negative integer. We set $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X) \text{ is not a finite } R\text{-module}\}$ and $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(X) = \inf\{f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(X_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \text{ and } \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq n\}$ which are called finiteness dimension and n th finiteness dimension of X with respect to \mathfrak{a} , respectively [8, 35]. In [35, Corollary 2.3], it is shown that if X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all i (in fact, for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(X)$), then the equality $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(X) =$

$\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X) \text{ is not an } \text{FD}_{<n} \text{ } R\text{-module}\}$ holds (see also [4, Theorem 2.5] and [28, Theorem 2.10]).

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$ and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$ and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)}(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)}(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)}(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module;
- (iv) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$ and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)$;
- (v) Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)}(X)/Y)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)}(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+2}(X)}(X))$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq n + 1$ and X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<n}$ R -module for all i ;
- (b) X is a finite R -module with $\dim_R(X/\mathfrak{a}X) \leq n + 1$.

Then the following statements are true:

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all i and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -module for all i ;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all i , all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are $(\text{FD}_{<n}, \mathfrak{a})$ -cofinite R -modules for all i and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all i and every $\text{FD}_{<n+1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))_{\geq n}$ is a finite set for all i .

5. More applications

5.1. Ordinary cofiniteness of local cohomology modules

By putting $n = 0$ in Theorem 4.2 and Corollaries 4.4–4.5, we have the following results which generalize [20, Corollary 7.7], [23, Theorem 4.1], [15, Theorem 3], [16, Theorem 1], [36, Theorem 1.1], [25, Theorem B], [11, Theorem 2.2], [14, Theorem 1.4], [32, Theorem 5.6], [6, Theorems 2.3 and 2.5], [7, Theorem 2.6], [33, Theorem 3.2], [24, Theorem 8], [30, Theorem 2.10], [8, Theorems 2.3 and 3.2], [2, Theorem 3.4], and [31, Theorem 3.3].

Corollary 5.1. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module and let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a finite R -module for all $i \leq t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -module for all $i < t$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$ and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module for all $i < t$;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$ and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is a finite R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is a finite R -module;
- (iv) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$ and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$;
- (v) Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is a finite R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is a finite R -module.

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a finite R -module for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$ and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$ and all j ;

- (iii) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)}(X)/Y)$ is a finite R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)}(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)}(X))$ is a finite R -module;
- (iv) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ is a finite set for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$ and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)$;
- (v) Assume $\text{Ext}_R^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)}(X)/Y)$ is a finite R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)}(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(X)}(X))$ is a finite R -module.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 1$ and X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is a finite R -module for all i ;
- (b) X is a finite R -module with $\dim_R(X/\mathfrak{a}X) \leq 1$.

Then the following statements are true:

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all i and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module for all i ;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all i , all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -modules for all i and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ is a finite set for all i and every $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all i .

5.2. Weakly cofiniteness of local cohomology modules

Bahmanpour and Naghipour in [7, Theorem 3.1] prepared an affirmative answer to Question 1.4 for the case that R is a local ring with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 2$ (see also [27, Theorem 3.3(c)]). We generalize this result to arbitrary semi-local rings. They showed that if R is a local ring, X is a finite R -module, and t is a non-negative integer such that $\dim_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)) \leq 2$ for all $i < t$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -module for all $i < t$, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module, and $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$. Here, by taking $n = 1$ in Theorem 4.2 and considering [5, Theorem 3.3], we prove it with weaker assumptions that R is a semi-local ring and X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module for all $i \leq t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<3}$ R -module for all $i < t$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let R be a semi-local ring, let X be an arbitrary R -module, and let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module for all $i \leq t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<3}$ R -module for all $i < t$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$ and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -module for all $i < t$;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all $i < t$ and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module;
- (iv) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$ and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$;
- (v) Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)/Y)$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(X))$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module.

In [8, Theorem 3.9], the authors showed that if R is a complete local ring, X is a finite R -module, and Y is a weakly Laskerian R -submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -module for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$ and the R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)/Y)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)/Y)$ are weakly Laskerian. Here, we prove this result with weaker assumptions that R is an arbitrary semi-local ring, X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X) + 1$, and Y is an $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)$.

Corollary 5.5. *Let R be a semi-local ring and let X be an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$ and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -module for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$, all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all $i < f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$ and all j ;

- (iii) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)/Y)$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)$. In particular, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X))$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module;
- (iv) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ is a finite set for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$ and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all $i \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)$;
- (v) Assume $\text{Ext}_R^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)/Y)$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module for every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(X)}(X))$ is a weakly Laskerian R -module.

Corollary 5.6. *Suppose that R is a semi-local ring and one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq 2$ and X is an arbitrary R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ is an $\text{FD}_{<1}$ R -module for all i ;
- (b) X is a finite R -module with $\dim_R(X/\mathfrak{a}X) \leq 2$.

Then the following statements are true:

- (i) Y and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all i and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -module for all i ;
- (ii) Let N be a finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, Y)$, $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, Y)$, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$, and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all i , all j , and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ and $\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ are \mathfrak{a} -weakly cofinite R -modules for all i and all j ;
- (iii) $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)/Y)$ is a finite set for all i and every $\text{FD}_{<2}$ R -submodule Y of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X)$. In particular, $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(X))$ is a finite set for all i .

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for the invaluable comments on the manuscript.

References

- [1] N. Abazari and K. Bahmanpour, *Extension functors of local cohomology modules and Serre categories of modules*, Taiwanese J. Math. **19** (2015), no. 1, 211–220.

- [2] M. Aghapournahr and K. Bahmanpour, *Cofiniteness of weakly Laskerian local cohomology modules*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) **57(105)** (2014), no. 4, 347–356.
- [3] M. Aghapournahr, A. J. Taherizadeh and A. Vahidi, *Extension functors of local cohomology modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **37** (2011), no. 3, 117–134.
- [4] D. Asadollahi and R. Naghipour, *Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness of local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **43** (2015), no. 3, 953–958.
- [5] K. Bahmanpour, *On the category of weakly Laskerian cofinite modules*, Math. Scand. **115** (2014), no. 1, 62–68.
- [6] K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, *On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 7, 2359–2363.
- [7] ———, *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of small dimension*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), no. 7, 1997–2011.
- [8] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, *Minimaxness and cofiniteness properties of local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), no. 8, 2799–2814.
- [9] ———, *On the category of cofinite modules which is Abelian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 4, 1101–1107.
- [10] K. Bahmanpour and P. H. Quy, *Localization at countably infinitely many prime ideals and applications*, J. Algebra Appl. **15** (2016), no. 3, 1650045, 6 pp.
- [11] M. P. Brodmann and A. L. Faghani, *A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 10, 2851–2853.
- [12] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **60**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [13] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [14] G. Chiriacescu, *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules over regular local rings*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **32** (2000), no. 1, 1–7.
- [15] D. Delfino, *On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **115** (1994), no. 1, 79–84.

- [16] D. Delfino and T. Marley, *Cofinite modules and local cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **121** (1997), no. 1, 45–52.
- [17] K. Divaani-Aazar and A. Mafi, *Associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 3, 655–660.
- [18] ———, *Associated primes of local cohomology modules of weakly Laskerian modules*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), no. 2, 681–690.
- [19] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie Locale des Faisceaux Cohérents et Théorèmes de Lefschetz Locaux et Globaux (SGA2)*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **2**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [20] R. Hartshorne, *Affine duality and cofiniteness*, Invent. Math. **9** (1970), no. 2, 145–164.
- [21] S. H. Hassanzadeh and A. Vahidi, *On vanishing and cofiniteness of generalized local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), no. 7, 2290–2299.
- [22] C. Huneke, *Problems on local cohomology*, in: *Free Resolutions in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, (Sundance, UT, 1990), 93–108, Res. Notes Math. **2**, Jones and Bartlett, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [23] C. Huneke and J. Koh, *Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **110** (1991), no. 3, 421–429.
- [24] K.-i. Kawasaki, *On a category of cofinite modules which is Abelian*, Math. Z. **269** (2011), no. 1-2, 587–608.
- [25] K. Khashyarmanesh and Sh. Salarian, *On the associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), no. 12, 6191–6198.
- [26] I. G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Symp. Math. **11** (1973), 23–43.
- [27] T. Marley and J. C. Vassilev, *Cofiniteness and associated primes of local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra **256** (2002), no. 1, 180–193.
- [28] A. A. Mehrvarz, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, *Faltings’ local-global principle for the finiteness of local cohomology modules over Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra **43** (2015), no. 11, 4860–4872.
- [29] L. Melkersson, *Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal*, J. Algebra **285** (2005), no. 2, 649–668.

- [30] ———, *Cofiniteness with respect to ideals of dimension one*, J. Algebra **372** (2012), 459–462.
- [31] M. Nazari and R. Sazeedeh, *Cofiniteness with respect to two ideals and local cohomology*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **22** (2019), no. 2, 375–385.
- [32] L. T. Nhan, *On generalized regular sequences and the finiteness for associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 3, 793–806.
- [33] P. H. Quy, *On the finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 6, 1965–1968.
- [34] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **85**, Academic Press, San Diego, 1979.
- [35] A. Vahidi, M. Aghapournahr and E. Mahmoudi Renani, *Finiteness dimensions and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, Submitted (2019).
- [36] K.-I. Yoshida, *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one*, Nagoya Math. J. **147** (1997), 179–191.
- [37] T. Yoshizawa, *Subcategories of extension modules by Serre subcategories*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), no. 7, 2293–2305.

Alireza Vahidi and Saeid Morsali

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), P.O.BOX, 19395-4697,
Tehran, Iran

E-mail address: vahidi.ar@pnu.ac.ir, saeid.morsali54@yahoo.com