

## **$b$ -generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations and $b$ -generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivations of Prime Rings**

Vincenzo De Filippis\* and Feng Wei

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  two automorphisms of  $R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$  for any  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $G: R \rightarrow R$  is called a generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation if  $G(xy) = G(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$  for any  $x, y \in R$ , where  $d$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ . In this paper we introduce the definitions of  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation and  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation. More precisely, let  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and  $G: R \rightarrow R$  be two additive mappings on  $R$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  automorphisms of  $R$  and  $b \in R$ .  $G$  is called a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ , if  $G(xy) = G(x)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)d(y)$  for any  $x, y \in R$ .

Let now  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  be a biadditive mapping. The biadditive mapping  $\Delta: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$  if, for every  $x, y, z \in R$ ,  $\Delta(x, yz) = \Delta(x, y)\alpha(z) + b\beta(y)D(x, z)$  and  $\Delta(xy, z) = \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)D(y, z)$ .

Here we describe the form of any  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of a prime ring.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a prime ring with center  $Z(R)$ , right Martindale quotient ring  $Q_r$  and extended centroid  $C$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a *derivation* of  $R$  if

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a *generalized derivation* of  $R$  if there exists a derivation  $d$  of  $R$  such that

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . The derivation  $d$  is uniquely determined by  $F$ , which is called an *associated derivation* of  $F$ .

In a recent paper [9], Koşan and Lee propose the following new definition. Let  $d: R \rightarrow Q_r$  be an additive mapping and  $b \in Q_r$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow Q_r$  is called a *left  $b$ -generalized derivation*, with an associated mapping  $d$ , if  $F(xy) = F(x)y + bxd(y)$ , for all

---

Received June 13, 2017; Accepted September 14, 2017.

Communicated by Kunio Yamagata.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16R50, 16W25, 16N60.

*Key words and phrases.* prime ring, generalized skew derivation, biderivation.

\*Corresponding author.

$x, y \in R$ . In the same paper it is proved that, if  $R$  is prime ring, then  $d$  is a derivation of  $R$ . In the present paper this mapping  $F$  will be called a *b-generalized derivation* with an associated pair  $(b, d)$ . Clearly, any generalized derivation with an associated derivation  $d$  is a *b-generalized derivation* with an associated pair  $(1, d)$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a *skew derivation* of  $R$  if

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . The automorphisms  $\alpha$  is called an *associated automorphism* of  $d$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a *generalized skew derivation* of  $R$  if there exists a skew derivation  $d$  of  $R$  with an associated automorphism  $\alpha$  such that

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Let now  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -*derivation* of  $R$  if

$$d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a *generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation* of  $R$  if there exists an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation  $d$  of  $R$  such that

$$F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

There arises the question of whether there exists a unified definition of *b-generalized derivation* and *generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation*. In view of this idea, we now give a definition which is a common generalization of the previous two definitions:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $R$  be an associative algebra,  $b \in Q_r$ ,  $d$  an additive mapping of  $R$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ . A linear mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a *b-generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation* of  $R$ , with an associated word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, d)$  if

$$F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)d(y)$$

holds for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Let now  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  be a biadditive map.  $D$  is called a *biderivation* if  $D(xy, z) = D(x, z)y + xD(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . In this case we have that  $D(x, yz) = D(x, y)z + yD(x, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .

The concept of a biderivation was introduced in [10] by Maksa. In [3] Brešar, Martindale III and Miers characterized biderivations of noncommutative rings and proved that

any biderivation  $D$  of a prime ring  $R$  has the following form:  $D(x, y) = \lambda[x, y]$  for any  $x, y \in R$ , where  $\lambda$  is a fixed element of  $C$ .

Later in [1] Argaç introduced the notion of generalized biderivation. More precisely, let  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  be a biderivation. A biadditive mapping  $\Delta: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a *generalized biderivation* if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto \Delta(x, y)$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$  associated with  $D$  as well as for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto \Delta(x, y)$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$  associated with  $D$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(x, yz) = \Delta(x, y)z + yD(x, z)$  and  $\Delta(xy, z) = \Delta(x, z)y + xD(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Argaç also proved that any generalized biderivation  $D$  of a prime ring  $R$  has the following form:  $D(x, y) = \lambda[x, y]$  for any  $x, y \in R$ , where  $\lambda$  is a fixed element of  $C$ .

Let now  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  be a biadditive mapping,  $\alpha$  an automorphism of  $R$ .  $D$  is said to be a *skew biderivation* associated with  $\alpha$  if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto D(x, y)$  is a skew derivation of  $R$  associated with  $\alpha$  as well as for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto D(x, y)$  is a skew derivation of  $R$  associated with  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $D(x, yz) = D(x, y)z + \alpha(y)D(x, z)$  and  $D(xy, z) = D(x, z)y + \alpha(x)D(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . In [2], Brešar determined the form of any skew biderivation of a prime ring  $R$ . More precisely, if  $D$  is a skew biderivation with an associated automorphism  $\alpha$ , then there exists an invertible element  $q$  of  $Q$  such that  $\alpha(x) = qxq^{-1}$  and  $D(x, y) = q[x, y]$  for any  $x, y \in R$ .

More recently, in [6] Fošner described the form of generalized skew biderivations in a prime ring. More precisely, if  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a skew biderivation of  $R$ , associated with the automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$ , then the biadditive mapping  $\Delta: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a *generalized skew biderivation* associated with  $\alpha$  and  $D$ , if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto \Delta(x, y)$  is a generalized skew derivation of  $R$  associated with  $\alpha$  and  $D$ , as well as for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto \Delta(x, y)$  is a generalized skew derivation of  $R$  associated with  $\alpha$  and  $D$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(x, yz) = \Delta(x, y)z + \alpha(y)D(x, z)$  and  $\Delta(xy, z) = \Delta(x, z)y + \alpha(x)D(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . In [6, Theorem 1] it is proved that if  $\Delta$  is a generalized skew biderivation with an associated automorphism  $\alpha$ , then there exists an invertible element  $q$  of  $Q$  such that  $\alpha(x) = qxq^{-1}$  and  $\Delta(x, y) = q[x, y]$  for any  $x, y \in R$ .

In light of Definition 1.1, here we would like to introduce the following concepts, which generalize the previous cited ones:

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $R$  be an associative algebra,  $b \in Q_r$ ,  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  a biadditive mapping of  $R$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ .  $D$  is said to be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$  if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto D(x, y)$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ , as well as for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto D(x, y)$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ , i.e.,

(a)  $D(x, yz) = D(x, y)\alpha(z) + \beta(y)D(x, z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in R$ ;

(b)  $D(xy, z) = D(x, z)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y, z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in R$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $R$  be an associative algebra,  $b \in Q_r$ ,  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  a biadditive mapping of  $R$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ . The biadditive mapping  $\Delta: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation associated with the word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, D)$  if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto \Delta(x, y)$  is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$  associated with the word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, D)$ , as well as for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto \Delta(x, y)$  is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$  associated with the word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, D)$ , i.e.,

$$(a) \quad \Delta(x, yz) = \Delta(x, y)\alpha(z) + b\beta(y)D(x, z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in R;$$

$$(b) \quad \Delta(xy, z) = \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)D(y, z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in R.$$

Here we will describe the structure of an arbitrary  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation in a prime ring and prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring,  $b \in Q_r$ ,  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  a biadditive mapping of  $R$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ . If  $\Delta$  is a non-zero  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$ , associated with the word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, D)$ , then  $D$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$  and there exists  $q \in Q$  such that  $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = qxq^{-1}$  for any  $x \in R$ , and  $D(x, y) = \alpha(q)[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$ ,  $\Delta(x, y) = b\alpha(q)[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .*

## 2. Characterization of $b$ -generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations

In this section we would like to describe the general form of  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in prime rings.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(R)$ ,  $0 \neq b \in Q_r$ ,  $d: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping of  $R$  and  $F$  be the  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$  with an associated word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, d)$ . Then  $d$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y, z \in R$ , we have both

$$F(xyz) = F(xy)\alpha(z) + b\beta(xy)d(z) = F(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z) + b\beta(x)d(y)\alpha(z) + b\beta(x)\beta(y)d(z)$$

and

$$F(xyz) = F(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z) + b\beta(x)d(yz).$$

Comparing the above two relations, it follows that

$$0 = b\beta(x)d(y)\alpha(z) + b\beta(x)\beta(y)d(z) - b\beta(x)d(yz).$$

That is,

$$b\beta(R)(d(yz) - d(y)\alpha(z) - \beta(y)d(z)) = 0.$$

Therefore, by the primeness of  $R$  and since  $b \neq 0$ , we get  $d(yz) = d(y)\alpha(z) + \beta(y)d(z)$  for all  $y, z \in R$ , as required.  $\square$

**Fact 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a prime ring, then the following statements hold:

- (a) Any automorphism of  $R$  can be uniquely extended to  $Q_r$  (see [5, Fact 2]).
- (b) Every generalized skew derivation of  $R$  can be uniquely extended to  $Q_r$  (see [4, Lemma 2]).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(R)$ ,  $b \in Q_r$ ,  $d: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping of  $R$  and  $F$  be the  $b$ - $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$  with an associated word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, d)$ . Then  $F$  can be uniquely extended to  $Q_r$  and assumes the form  $F(x) = a\alpha(x) + bd(x)$ , where  $a \in Q_r$ .

*Proof.* First we recall that, for any  $x \in Q_r$ , there exists an ideal  $I_x$  of  $R$  such that  $xI_x \subseteq R$ .

In case  $b = 0$ , then  $F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y)$ . Thus  $F$  can be extended to  $Q_r$  by  $F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y)$  for all  $y \in I_x$ .

Let us consider the case of  $b \neq 0$ . Define  $T: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $T(x) = F(x) - bd(x)$ . Since  $d$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(xy) &= F(x)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)d(y) - bd(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)d(y) \\ &= (F(x) - bd(x))\alpha(y) = T(x)\alpha(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . As above,  $T$  can be extended to  $Q_r$  by  $T(xy) = T(x)\alpha(y)$  for all  $y \in I_x$ . Since  $F(x) = T(x) + bd(x)$  and both  $T$  and  $d$  can be uniquely extended to  $Q_r$ , we know that  $F$  can be uniquely extended to  $Q_r$ .

Moreover, for any  $x \in Q_r$ ,  $F(x) = F(1 \cdot x) = F(1)\alpha(x) + b\beta(1)d(x) = a\alpha(x) + bd(x)$ , where  $a = F(1) \in Q_r$ . □

**Example 2.4.** Let  $R$  be an associative algebra,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ ,  $a, b, c \in R$ . The following mapping

$$G: R \rightarrow R, \quad x \mapsto a\alpha(x) + b\beta(x)c$$

is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$  with an associated word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, d)$ , where  $d(x) = \beta(x)c - c\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . Indeed, for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G(xy) &= a\alpha(x)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)\beta(y)c \\ &= a\alpha(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)c\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)c\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)\beta(y)c \\ &= (a\alpha(x) + b\beta(x)c)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)(\beta(y)c - c\alpha(y)) \\ &= G(x)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)d(y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d(y) := \beta(y)c - c\alpha(y)$  is an inner  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$  induced by the element  $c \in R$ , with two associated automorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Such  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations are called *inner  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations*.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $R$  be an associative algebra,  $b \in R$ ,  $\alpha, \beta$  two automorphisms of  $R$  and  $d$  an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Then the following mapping

$$G: R \rightarrow R, \quad x \mapsto b(\alpha - d)(x)$$

is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Indeed, for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G(xy) &= b(\alpha - d)(xy) = b\alpha(x)\alpha(y) - bd(xy) \\ &= b\alpha(x)\alpha(y) - bd(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)d(y) \\ &= (b\alpha(x) - bd(x))\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)d(y) \\ &= G(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)d(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $G$  is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ , with an associated word  $(-b, \alpha, \beta, d)$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $R$  be an associative algebra,  $b \in R$ ,  $\alpha, \beta$  two automorphisms of  $R$  and  $d$  an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Then the following mapping

$$G: R \rightarrow R, \quad x \mapsto b(\beta - d)(x)$$

is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Indeed, for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G(xy) &= b(\beta - d)(xy) = b\beta(x)\beta(y) - bd(xy) \\ &= b\beta(x)\beta(y) - bd(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)d(y) \\ &= b\beta(x)\beta(y) - bd(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)d(y) + b\beta(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)\alpha(y) \\ &= (b\beta(x) - bd(x))\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)(\beta(y) - \alpha(y) - d(y)) \\ &= G(x)\alpha(y) - b\beta(x)g(y) \end{aligned}$$

where it is easy to see that  $g(y) = \beta(y) - \alpha(y) - d(y)$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ . Thus  $G$  is a  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation of  $R$ , with an associated word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, g)$ .

### 3. $b$ -generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivations of prime rings

We permit the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $\Delta: R \times R \rightarrow R$  a non-zero biadditive mapping of  $R$  and  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Assume that:*

- (a)  $\Delta(x, yz) = \Delta(x, y)\alpha(z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in R$ ;
- (b)  $\Delta(xy, z) = \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y)$  for any  $x, y, z \in R$ .

*Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y, z, t \in R$  we have both

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta(xy, zt) = \Delta(x, zt)\alpha(y) = \Delta(x, z)\alpha(t)\alpha(y)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta(xy, zt) = \Delta(xy, z)\alpha(t) = \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y)\alpha(t).$$

Comparing (3.1) with (3.2) one has  $\Delta(x, z)[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)] = 0$  for all  $x, y, z, t \in R$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $ry$ , for any  $r \in R$ , we get  $\Delta(x, z)r[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)] = 0$  for all  $x, y, z, t, r \in R$ . By the primeness of  $R$  and since  $\Delta \neq 0$ , it follows that  $[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)] = 0$  for any  $y, t \in R$ , that is  $R$  is commutative.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring,  $b \in Q_r$ ,  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  a biadditive mapping of  $R$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ . If  $\Delta$  is a non-zero  $b$ -generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$ , associated with the word  $(b, \alpha, \beta, D)$ , then  $D$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is not commutative and in light of Lemma 3.1, we may assume  $b \neq 0$ .

Let  $x, y, z, t$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta(x(yt), z) = \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y)\alpha(t) + b\beta(x)D(yt, z).$$

On the other hand

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta((xy)t, z) &= \Delta(xy, z)\alpha(t) + b\beta(x)\beta(y)D(t, z) \\ &= \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y)\alpha(t) + b\beta(x)D(y, z)\alpha(t) + b\beta(x)\beta(y)D(t, z). \end{aligned}$$

Relations (3.3) and (3.4) imply that

$$(3.5) \quad b\beta(x)(D(yt, z) - D(y, z)\alpha(t) - \beta(y)D(t, z)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t \in R.$$

By the primeness of  $R$  and since  $b \neq 0$ , relation (3.5) implies that  $D(yt, z) = D(y, z)\alpha(t) + \beta(y)D(t, z)$ .

By using the same argument one may prove that  $D(y, tz) = D(y, t)\alpha(z) + \beta(t)D(y, z)$  for any  $y, z, t \in R$ , that is  $D$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation, as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring,  $\alpha, \beta$  be two automorphisms of  $R$ ,  $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$  a non-zero  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -biderivation of  $R$ . Then there exists  $q \in Q_r$  such that  $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = qxq^{-1}$  for any  $x \in R$ , and  $D(x, y) = \alpha(q)[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y, z, t \in R$  we have both

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(xy, zt) &= D(x, zt)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y, zt) \\
 (3.6) \quad &= D(x, z)\alpha(t)\alpha(y) + \beta(z)D(x, t)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y, z)\alpha(t) \\
 &\quad + \beta(x)\beta(z)D(y, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(xy, zt) &= D(xy, z)\alpha(t) + \beta(z)D(xy, t) \\
 (3.7) \quad &= D(x, z)\alpha(y)\alpha(t) + \beta(x)D(y, z)\alpha(t) + \beta(z)D(x, t)\alpha(y) \\
 &\quad + \beta(z)\beta(x)D(y, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.6) with (3.7) we have that

$$(3.8) \quad D(x, z)[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] + [\beta(x), \beta(z)]D(y, t) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $uy$  in (3.8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad &D(x, z)[\alpha(t), \alpha(u)]\alpha(y) + D(x, z)\alpha(u)[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] \\
 &+ [\beta(x), \beta(z)]D(u, t)\alpha(y) + [\beta(x), \beta(z)]\beta(u)D(y, t) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t, u \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.8) in (3.9), one has

$$(3.10) \quad D(x, z)\alpha(u)[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)] - [\beta(x), \beta(z)]\beta(u)D(y, t) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t, u \in R.$$

We remark that, since  $R$  is not commutative and  $D \neq 0$ , then there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \in R$  such that

$$[\alpha(y_0), \alpha(t_0)] \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D(x_0, z_0) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, by (3.10),  $[\beta(x_0), \beta(z_0)]\beta(u)D(y_0, t_0) \neq 0$  for some element  $u \in R$ , that is both  $[\beta(x_0), \beta(z_0)] \neq 0$  and  $D(y_0, t_0) \neq 0$ .

Now we fix  $x_0, z_0, y_0, t_0$ , with  $[x_0, z_0] \neq 0$ ,  $[y_0, t_0] \neq 0$ ,  $D(x_0, z_0) \neq 0$  and  $D(y_0, t_0) \neq 0$ . For simplicity of notation we write  $a_1 = D(x_0, z_0) \neq 0$ ,  $a_2 = [\alpha(y_0), \alpha(t_0)] \neq 0$ ,  $a_3 = [\beta(x_0), \beta(z_0)] \neq 0$  and  $a_4 = D(y_0, t_0) \neq 0$ , so that, by relation (3.10) we get

$$(3.11) \quad a_1\alpha(u)a_2 - a_3\beta(u)a_4 = 0, \quad \forall u \in R$$

that is  $R$  satisfies the following generalized polynomial identity with automorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$(3.12) \quad a_1\alpha(X)a_2 - a_3\beta(X)a_4.$$

Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are mutually outer, that is  $\alpha^{-1}\beta$  is not an inner automorphism of  $Q_r$ . In this case, by [7, Theorem 4] and relation (3.12), it follows that  $a_1Xa_2 - a_3Ya_4$  is



a generalized polynomial identity for  $R$ , that is  $a_1r_1a_2 - a_3r_2a_4 = 0$  for any  $r_1, r_2 \in Q_r$ . In particular, for  $r_1 = 0$  (respectively for  $r_2 = 0$ ) we have  $a_3r_2a_4 = 0$  for any  $r_2 \in Q_r$  (respectively  $a_1r_1a_2 = 0$  for any  $r_1 \in Q_r$ ). Hence, by the primeness of  $Q_r$ , either  $a_1 = 0$  or  $a_2 = 0$  (respectively either  $a_3 = 0$  or  $a_4 = 0$ ), which is a contradiction, since  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  are not zeros.

Hence we may assume that  $\alpha^{-1}\beta$  is an inner automorphism of  $Q_r$ , that is there exists an invertible element of  $Q_r$  such that  $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = p xp^{-1}$  for any  $x \in R$ . Now we apply automorphism  $\alpha^{-1}$  to relation (3.11):

$$\alpha^{-1}(a_1)u\alpha^{-1}(a_2) - \alpha^{-1}(a_3)pup^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(a_4) = 0, \quad \forall u \in R.$$

Since  $\alpha^{-1}(a_1) \neq 0, \alpha^{-1}(a_2) \neq 0, \alpha^{-1}(a_3)p \neq 0$  and  $p^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(a_4) \neq 0$  and by using the result in [8, Lemma 1.3.2], it follows that there exists an element  $\lambda \in C$ , depending on the choice of  $x_0, z_0, y_0$  and  $t_0$ , such that  $\alpha^{-1}(a_1) = \lambda\alpha^{-1}(a_3)p$  and  $p^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(a_4) = \lambda\alpha^{-1}(a_2)$ . Hence  $\alpha^{-1}(D(x_0, z_0)) = \lambda p[x_0, z_0]$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(D(y_0, t_0)) = \lambda p[y_0, t_0]$ .

By repeating the same process for  $y_1, t_1$  elements of  $R$  such that  $[y_1, t_1] \neq 0$  and  $D(y_1, t_1) \neq 0$ , it follows that there exist  $\lambda' \in C$ , depending on the choice of  $x_0, z_0, y_1$  and  $t_1$ , such that  $\alpha^{-1}(D(x_0, z_0)) = \lambda'p[x_0, z_0]$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(D(y, t)) = \lambda'p[y, t]$ .

Thus  $\lambda'p[x_0, z_0] = \lambda p[x_0, z_0]$  and, since  $0 \neq p$  is invertible and  $[x_0, z_0] \neq 0$ , one has  $\lambda = \lambda'$ . In other words, there exists a unique  $\lambda \in C$  such that

$$(3.13) \quad [x, z] \neq 0 \implies \alpha^{-1}(D(x, z)) = \lambda p[x, z].$$

Finally consider two elements  $x_1, z_1 \in R$  such that  $[x_1, z_1] = 0$ . Then, by (3.10),

$$(3.14) \quad D(x_1, z_1)\alpha(u)[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)] = 0, \quad \forall y, t, u \in R.$$

By the primeness of  $R$  and since  $R$  is not commutative, relation (3.14) implies  $D(x_1, z_1) = 0$ .

Notice that in a similar way one may prove that  $D(x_2, z_2) = 0$  implies  $[x_2, z_2] = 0$ . Hence it is proved that

$$(3.15) \quad [x, z] = 0 \iff D(x, z) = 0.$$

From (3.13) and (3.15) it follows that there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that

$$(3.16) \quad \alpha^{-1}(D(x, z)) = \lambda p[x, z], \forall x, z \in R.$$

Notice that  $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = p xp^{-1} = (\lambda p)x(\lambda p)^{-1}$ . Hence, if we denote  $q = \lambda p$  then (3.16) reduces to

$$D(x, z) = \alpha(q)[\alpha(x), \alpha(z)], \forall x, z \in R$$

and we are done. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* For any  $x, y, z, t \in R$  we have both

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(xy, zt) &= \Delta(x, zt)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)D(y, zt) \\
 (3.17) \qquad &= \Delta(x, z)\alpha(t)\alpha(y) + b\beta(z)D(x, t)\alpha(y) + b\beta(x)D(y, z)\alpha(t) \\
 &\quad + b\beta(x)\beta(z)D(y, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(xy, zt) &= \Delta(xy, z)\alpha(t) + b\beta(z)D(xy, t) \\
 (3.18) \qquad &= \Delta(x, z)\alpha(y)\alpha(t) + b\beta(x)D(y, z)\alpha(t) + b\beta(z)D(x, t)\alpha(y) \\
 &\quad + b\beta(z)\beta(x)D(y, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.17) with (3.18) we have that

$$(3.19) \qquad \Delta(x, z)[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] + b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]D(y, t) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t \in R.$$

In light of Proposition 3.3, there exists  $q \in Q_r$  such that  $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = qxq^{-1}$  and  $D(y, t) = \alpha(q)[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)]$ . Thus we may write relation (3.19) as follows:

$$\Delta(x, z)[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] + b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]\alpha(q)[\alpha(y), \alpha(t)] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t \in R,$$

that is

$$(\Delta(x, z) - b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]\alpha(q))[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t \in R.$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $t't$ , for any  $t' \in R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (\Delta(x, z) - b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]\alpha(q))[\alpha(t')\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] \\
 &= (\Delta(x, z) - b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]\alpha(q))\alpha(t')[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)], \quad \forall x, y, z, t, t' \in R,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(\Delta(x, z) - b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]\alpha(q))R[\alpha(t), \alpha(y)] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z, t \in R.$$

By the primeness of  $R$  and since  $R$  is not commutative, it follows that  $\Delta(x, z) = b[\beta(x), \beta(z)]\alpha(q)$ . Finally, since  $\alpha^{-1}\beta(x) = qxq^{-1}$  implies  $\beta(x)\alpha(q) = \alpha(q)\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $\Delta(x, z) = b\alpha(q)[\alpha(x), \alpha(z)]$ , as required.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Argaç, *On prime and semiprime rings with derivations*, Algebra Colloq. **13** (2006), no. 3, 371–380.
- [2] M. Brešar, *On generalized biderivations and related maps*, J. Algebra **172** (1995), no. 3, 764–786.

- [3] M. Brešar, W. S. Martindale III and C. R. Miers, *Centralizing maps in prime rings with involution*, J. Algebra **161** (1993), no. 2, 342–357.
- [4] J.-C. Chang, *On the identity  $h(x) = af(x) + g(x)b$* , Taiwanese J. Math. **7** (2003), no. 1, 103–113.
- [5] C.-L. Chuang, *Differential identities with automorphisms and antiautomorphisms I*, J. Algebra **149** (1992), no. 2, 371–404.
- [6] A. Fošner, *On generalized  $\alpha$ -biderivations*, Mediterr. J. Math. **12** (2015), no. 1, 1–7.
- [7] V. K. Harčenko, *Differential identities of prime rings*, Algebra i Logika **17** (1978), no. 2, 220–238, 242–243.
- [8] I. N. Herstein, *Rings with Involution*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1976.
- [9] M. T. Koşan and T.-K. Lee,  *$b$ -generalized derivations of semiprime rings having nilpotent values*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **96** (2014), no. 3, 326–337.
- [10] G. Maksa, *A remark on symmetric biadditive functions having nonnegative diagonalization*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **15 (35)** (1980), no. 2, 279–282.

Vincenzo De Filippis

MIFT, University of Messina, 98166, Messina, Italy

*E-mail address:* defilippis@unime.it

Feng Wei

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081,  
P. R. China

*E-mail address:* daoshuo@hotmail.com, daoshuowei@gmail.com