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We consider a new class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ of bounded doubly close-to-convex functions. Coefficient bounds, distortion theorems, and radius of convexity for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ are investigated. A corresponding class of doubly close-to-starlike functions $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ is also considered.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}, \mathscr{K}$, and $\mathscr{C}$ denote the well-known classes of starlike, convex, and close-to-convex functions, respectively.

A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{C}(\beta)$ of close-to-convex functions of order $\beta \geq 0$ (see [1]) if there exist $g \in$ $\mathscr{K}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(e^{i \theta} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right| \leq \beta \frac{\pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\mathscr{C}(0)=\mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{C}(1)=\mathscr{C}$.
Denote by $\mathscr{B}$ the class of analytic functions $\omega$ in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\omega(0)=0$ and such that $|\omega(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are two analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$. The function $f$ is said to be subordinate to the function $g$, denoted by $f<g$, if there exists a function $\omega \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $f(z)=$ $g(\omega(z)), z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the well-known class of analytic functions $p$ normalized by $p(0)=1$ and having positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$.

For a fixed $\alpha>1 / 2$ let $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ denote the subclass of $\mathscr{P}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}=\{p \in \mathscr{P}:|p(z)-\alpha|<\alpha, z \in \mathbb{U}\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ has been investigated by Goel [2] and also by Libera and Livingston [3].

It is easy to observe that when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the class $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ reduces to the class $\mathscr{P}$.

For $\alpha>1 / 2$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto the domain $D_{\alpha}=\{z \in \mathbb{U}:|z-\alpha|<$ $\alpha\}$. It follows that a function $p$ is in the class $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ if and only if $p \prec p_{\alpha}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n} z^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=2-\frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad P_{2}=\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some properties of the functions belonging to the class $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ are listed in the next lemma.

Lemma 1 (see [2,3]). Let $p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}$ be in the class $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}(\alpha>1 / 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2-\frac{1}{\alpha} \quad(n \in\{1,2, \ldots\})  \tag{7}\\
\frac{1-r}{1+(1-(1 / \alpha)) r} \leq|p(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r}  \tag{8}\\
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| \leq \frac{(2-(1 / \alpha)) r}{1-(1 / \alpha) r-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$. All the inequalities are sharp.

Let $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)(\alpha>1 / 2)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in$ $\mathscr{A}$ for which there exists a function $g \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $f^{\prime} / g^{\prime} \prec$ $p_{\alpha}$, where $p_{\alpha}$ is given by (4), or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}-\alpha\right|<\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ reduces to the class $\mathscr{C}$ of close-to-convex functions.

A slightly different class than the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ was investigated in [2].

Recently, in [4] the authors considered a new class of analytic functions defined in a similar way to the class $\mathscr{C}(\beta)$. For fixed $\beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is called doubly close-to-convex if there exist $g \in \mathscr{C}(\beta)$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(e^{i \phi} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right| \leq \gamma \frac{\pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by the ideas from [4] we define a new class of bounded doubly close-to-convex functions.

Definition 2. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$ be fixed. A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ such that $f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}<p_{\beta}$, where $p_{\beta}$ is given by (4) with $\beta$ instead of $\alpha$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}-\beta\right|<\beta \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above definition and the definition of the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$, it follows that $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ if there exist a function $h \in \mathscr{K}$ and a function $g \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $g^{\prime} / h^{\prime} \prec p_{\alpha}$ and $f^{\prime} / g^{\prime} \prec p_{\beta}$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}-\alpha\right|<\alpha, \quad\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}-\beta\right|<\beta \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next lemma we prove that the new class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ is nonempty.

Lemma 3. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. Then, there exists $a$ function $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Define the following three functions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\epsilon_{1} u\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2} u\right) \\
\times\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{3} u\right)^{2}\left[1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) \epsilon_{1} u\right]\right.  \tag{14}\\
\left.\quad \times\left[1-(1-(1 / \beta)) \epsilon_{2} u\right]\right)^{-1} d u \\
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\epsilon_{1} u}{\left(1+\epsilon_{3} u\right)^{2}\left[1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) \epsilon_{1} u\right]} d u  \tag{15}\\
h(z)=\frac{z}{1+\epsilon_{3} z}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\left|\epsilon_{k}\right|=1, k \in\{1,2,3\}$. Since $h \in \mathscr{K}$ (see [5]) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1+\epsilon_{1} z}{1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) \epsilon_{1} z} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$. The equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1+\epsilon_{2} z}{1-(1-(1 / \beta)) \epsilon_{2} z} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ shows that the function $f$ defined by (14) belongs to $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$.

In this paper we obtain distortion theorems, radius of convexity, and coefficient bounds for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. In the last section of the paper a corresponding class of bounded doubly close-to-starlike functions $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ is also considered.

## 2. Distortion Theorems

In this section distortion theorems for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ are obtained.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(1-r)^{2}}{(1+r)^{2}[1+(1-(1 / \alpha)) r][1+(1-(1 / \beta)) r]} \\
& \quad \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|  \tag{18}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{(1+r)^{2}}{(1-r)^{2}[1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r][1-(1-(1 / \beta)) r]}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ such that $f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}(z) q(z)$, where $q$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{P}_{\beta}$ defined by (3) with $\beta$ instead of $\alpha$. Since $q \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$, making use of inequalities (8) from Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-r}{1+(1-(1 / \beta)) r} \leq\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-(1-(1 / \beta)) r} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.
The function $g$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ and thus, there exists a function $h \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $g^{\prime}(z)=h^{\prime}(z) p(z)$, where $p \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$. Using once more the inequalities (8) from Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-r}{1+(1-(1 / \alpha)) r} \leq\left|\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.
Moreover, $h \in \mathscr{K}$ implies that (see $[5,6]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1+r)^{2}} \leq\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.
Combining the inequalities (19), (20), and (21), we obtain the desired inequality (18).

Theorem 5. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(z)| \leq 4 \alpha \beta\left[\frac{r}{1-r}+(\alpha+\beta-1) \log (1-r)\right] \\
& \begin{aligned}
&- \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha-\beta}\left\{(2 \alpha-1)^{2} \log \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r\right]\right. \\
&\left.-(2 \beta-1)^{2} \log \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) r\right]\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
|f(z)| \geq & 4 \alpha \beta\left[\frac{r}{1+r}-(\alpha+\beta-1) \log (1+r)\right]
\end{aligned} \\
& \quad+\frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha-\beta}\left\{(2 \alpha-1)^{2} \log \left[1+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r\right]\right. \\
&\left.\quad-(2 \beta-1)^{2} \log \left[1+\left(1-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) r\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
&|f(z)| \leq 4 \alpha^{2}\left[\frac{r}{1-r}+(2 \alpha-1) \log (1-r)\right] \\
&+(2 \alpha-1)\left\{\frac{r}{1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r}\right.  \tag{24}\\
&\left.-4 \alpha^{2} \log \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r\right]\right\} \\
&|f(z)| \geq 4 \alpha^{2}\left[\frac{r}{1+r}-(2 \alpha-1) \log (1+r)\right] \\
&+(2 \alpha-1)\left\{\frac{r}{1+(1-(1 / \alpha)) r}\right.  \tag{25}\\
&\left.+4 \alpha^{2} \log \left[1+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\alpha=\beta$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Integrating along the straight line segment from origin to $z=r e^{i \theta}(0<r<1)$ the right-hand side of inequality (18) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(z)| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \rho \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(1+\rho)^{2}}{(1-\rho)^{2}[1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) \rho][1-(1-(1 / \beta)) \rho]} d \rho \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to inequalities (22) and (24).
To prove the lower bound of $|f(z)|$ we proceed in the following way. Let $\delta>0$ be the radius of the open disk contained entirely in $f(\mathbb{U})$. Consider $z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|=r<1$ such that $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\min _{|z|=r}|f(z)|$. The minimum increases with $r$ and is less than $\delta$. Hence, the linear segment $\Gamma$ which connects the origin with the point $f\left(z_{0}\right)$ will be covered entirely by the
values of $f(z)$. Denote by $\gamma$ the arc in $\mathbb{U}$ which is mapped by $w=f(z)$ in $\Gamma$. Making use of the left-hand side of inequality (18) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(z)| \\
& \quad \geq\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\int_{\Gamma} d w \geq \int_{\gamma}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right||d z| \\
& \quad \geq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(1-\rho)^{2}}{(1+\rho)^{2}[1+(1-(1 / \alpha)) \rho][1+(1-(1 / \beta)) \rho]} d \rho \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

After simple calculations we obtain the inequalities (23) and (25). Thus, the proof of our theorem is completed.

## 3. Radius of Convexity

In this section we obtain the radius of the disk which is mapped onto a convex domain by the functions belonging to $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 6. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. Suppose that $f \in$ $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, the function $f$ maps the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<$ $\left.r_{0}<1\right\}$ onto a convex domain, where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\alpha+\beta-\alpha \beta-1) r^{4}+4(\alpha \beta-\alpha-\beta+1) r^{3} \\
& \quad+(10 \alpha \beta-5 \alpha-5 \beta+1) r^{2}+4 \alpha \beta r-\alpha \beta=0 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, there exists $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=q(z) g^{\prime}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$. Since $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$, there exists a function $h \in$ $\mathscr{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z)=p(z) h^{\prime}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$. Moreover, since $h \in \mathscr{K}$ it follows that there exists a function $k \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ (see $[5,6]$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z)=z h^{\prime}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the equalities (29), (30), and (31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z f^{\prime}(z)=q(z) p(z) k(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking logarithmic derivative in (32), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+\frac{z k^{\prime}(z)}{k(z)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \min \Re\left(\frac{z k^{\prime}(z)}{k(z)}\right)-\max \left|\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right|-\max \left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

For $k \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, we have (see [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{z k^{\prime}(z)}{k(z)}\right) \geq \frac{1-r}{1+r} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.
Since $p \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ and $q \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$, making use of inequality (9) from Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right| \leq \frac{(2-(1 / \alpha)) r}{1-(1 / \alpha) r-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r^{2}} \\
&\left|\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right| \leq \frac{(2-(1 / \beta)) r}{1-(1 / \beta) r-(1-(1 / \beta)) r^{2}} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

with $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.
Substituting (35) and (36) in (34) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geq & \frac{1-r}{1+r}-\frac{(2-(1 / \alpha)) r}{1-(1 / \alpha) r-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r^{2}} \\
& -\frac{(2-(1 / \beta)) r}{1-(1 / \beta) r-(1-(1 / \beta)) r^{2}} . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that the function $f$ is convex whenever the expression in the right-hand side of (37) is positive. The numerator of this expression can be written as $P(r)=(1-$ $r) Q(r)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(r)= & (\alpha+\beta-\alpha \beta-1) r^{4}+4(\alpha \beta-\alpha-\beta+1) r^{3} \\
& +(10 \alpha \beta-5 \alpha-5 \beta+1) r^{2}+4 \alpha \beta r-\alpha \beta . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that $Q(0)=-\alpha \beta<0$ and $Q(1)=4(2 \alpha-1)(2 \beta-$ $1)>0$. It follows that the smallest root $r_{0}$ of $Q(r)=0$ and also of $P(r)=0$ lies between 0 and 1 and, thus, the theorem is proved.

## 4. Coefficient Estimates

In order to find coefficient estimates for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, we will find first the coefficient estimates for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 7. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$. If the function $f$ given by (1) is in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(n-1)}{2 \alpha} \quad(n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=p(z) g^{\prime}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{K},  \tag{41}\\
& p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $z^{n}$ on both sides of (40), we find the following relation between the coefficients:

$$
\begin{align*}
n a_{n}= & n b_{n}+p_{n-1}+2 b_{2} p_{n-2}  \tag{42}\\
& +\cdots+(n-1) b_{n-1} p_{1} \quad(n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $g \in \mathscr{K}$ we have $\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1, k \geq 2$ (see [5, 6]). In virtue of inequality (7) of Lemma 1 we have $\left|p_{k}\right| \leq 2-(1 / \alpha), k \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots\}$.

Making use of (42), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
n\left|a_{n}\right| & \leq n+\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k  \tag{43}\\
& =n+\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \quad(n \in\{2,3, \ldots\})
\end{align*}
$$

and, thus, we get the desired inequality (39).
When $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ we find the well-known coefficient estimates of close-to-convex functions (see [5, 6]).

In the next theorem we obtain the coefficient estimates for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 8. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If the function $f$ given by (1) is in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq & 1+\left(4-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{n-1}{2} \\
& +\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(2-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \quad(n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}) . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, there exist

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha), \\
& q(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=q(z) g^{\prime}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $z^{n}$ on both sides of the above equality, we obtain the next relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
n a_{n}= & n b_{n}+q_{n-1}+2 b_{2} q_{n-2}  \tag{47}\\
& +\cdots+(n-1) b_{n-1} q_{1} \quad(n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ and $q \in \mathscr{P}_{\beta}$, from (39) and (7), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(k-1)}{2 \alpha} \quad(k \in\{2,3, \ldots\}), \\
\left|q_{k}\right| \leq 2-\frac{1}{\beta} \quad(k \in\{1,2, \ldots\}) . \tag{48}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (47) in connection with (48), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{n}\right| \\
& \leq \\
& \quad 1+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(n-1)}{2 \alpha} \\
& \\
& +\frac{1}{n}\left(2-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\left[1+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k\left(1+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(k-1)}{2 \alpha}\right)\right] \\
& = \\
& 1+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(n-1)}{2 \alpha}  \tag{49}\\
& \\
& +\frac{1}{n}\left[\left(2-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\left(1+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k\right)+\left(2-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{2 \alpha-1}{2 \alpha} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k(k-1)\right] \\
& = \\
& 1+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(n-1)}{2 \alpha}+\frac{2 \beta-1}{2 \beta}(n-1) \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)(2 \beta-1)}{6 \alpha \beta}(n-1)(n-2)
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to inequality (44).

## 5. Maximum Value of $\left|a_{3}-(2 / 3) a_{2}^{2}\right|$

The problem of finding sharp upper bounds for the functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for a family of analytic functions is known as the Fekete-Szegö problem. For the classes $\mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{C}$, the following estimates are known (see, e.g., [8-11]):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max _{f \in \mathscr{K}}\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|=\max \left\{\frac{1}{3},|\mu-1|\right\},  \tag{50}\\
\max _{f \in \mathscr{C}}\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|= \begin{cases}3-4 \mu, & 0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{9 \mu}, & \frac{1}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{3} \\
1, & \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq 1 .\end{cases} \tag{51}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this section, the case $\mu=2 / 3$ of the Fekete-Szegö problem will be considered, first for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ and then, for the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$.

In order to prove our results we need the following lemma due to Keogh and Merkes [9].

Lemma 9. Let $\omega(z)=e_{1} z+e_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be in the class $\mathscr{B}$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{2}-\lambda e_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \max \{1,|\lambda|\} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality may be attained for $\omega(z)=z^{2}$ and $\omega(z)=z$.
Theorem 10. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$. If $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ is of the form (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1-\frac{1}{3 \alpha} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$. Then there exists $g \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $f^{\prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z) \prec p_{\alpha}(z)$, where $p_{\alpha}(z)$ is given by (4). Let $g(z)=$ $z+b_{2} z^{2}+b_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \prec p_{\alpha}$, there exists $\omega(z)=e_{1} z+e_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \mathscr{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
p(z) & =p_{\alpha}(\omega(z)) \\
& =1+P_{1} e_{1} z+\left(P_{1} e_{2}+P_{2} e_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are given by (6). Combining (54) and (55), after simple calculations, we get

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
a_{2}=b_{2}+\frac{p_{1}}{2}, & a_{3}=b_{3}+\frac{p_{2}}{3}+\frac{2}{3} b_{2} p_{1} \\
p_{1}=P_{1} e_{1}, & p_{2}=P_{1} e_{2}+P_{2} e_{1}^{2} \tag{57}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting (6) and (57) in (56) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=b_{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right) e_{1} \\
a_{3}=b_{3}+\frac{2}{3}\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) b_{2} e_{1}+\frac{2}{3}\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left[e_{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) e_{1}^{2}\right] \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}^{2}=\left(b_{3}-\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(e_{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} e_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g \in \mathscr{K}$, making use of (50) with $\mu=2 / 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{3}-\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

In virtue of Lemma 9 and taking into account that $\alpha>1 / 2$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} e_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 1 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (59), (60), and (61), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq\left|b_{3}-\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2}\right|+\frac{1}{3}\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left|e_{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} e_{1}^{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)=1-\frac{1}{3 \alpha} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

and, thus, the proof is completed.
It is easy to observe that when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ inequality (53) reduces to $\left|a_{3}-(2 / 3) a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1$ which is the same with (51) for $\mu=2 / 3$.

Theorem 11. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If $f$ of the form (1) belongs to the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(5-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$, there exists $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ such that $f^{\prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z) \prec p_{\beta}(z)$, where $p_{\beta}(z)$ is given by (4) with $\beta$ instead of $\alpha$. Let $g(z)=z+b_{2} z^{2}+b_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ and $p(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $p<p_{\beta}$ it follows that there exists $\omega(z)=e_{1} z+e_{2} z^{2}+$ $\cdots \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $p(z)=p_{\beta}(\omega(z))$.

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 10, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}^{2}=\left(b_{3}-\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(2-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\left(e_{2}-\frac{1}{2 \beta} e_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$, from (53), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{3}-\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1-\frac{1}{3 \alpha} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $\beta>1 / 2$, we get from Lemma 9 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{2}-\frac{1}{2 \beta} e_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (65), (66), and (67), the inequality (63) follows.

## 6. Bounded Doubly Close-to-Starlike Functions

Let $\alpha>1 / 2$. Consider $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ the class of all functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ for which there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ such that $f / g<p_{\alpha}$, with $p_{\alpha}$ given by (4), or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}-\alpha\right|<\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to observe that when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ the class $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ reduces to the class of close-to-starlike functions defined by Reade [12].

For $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$ we denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ for which there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ such that $f / g \prec p_{\beta}$, with $p_{\beta}$ given by (4), or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}-\beta\right|<\beta \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Connections between the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ and also between $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ are given in the next theorem.

Theorem 12. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. Then, the following relationships hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z) \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha) \quad \text { iff } z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha)  \tag{70}\\
& f(z) \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text { iff } z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)  \tag{71}\\
& f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha) \quad \text { iff } \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)  \tag{72}\\
& f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text { iff } \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta) \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is well known that a function $g(z) \in \mathscr{K}$ if and only if $z g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.

The definition of the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ implies that $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ if and only if there exists $g \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $f^{\prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z) \prec$ $p_{\alpha}(z)$.

The relation (70) follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{z g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \prec p_{\alpha}(z), \quad z g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if there exists $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha)$ such that $f^{\prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z)<p_{\beta}(z)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{z g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \prec p_{\beta}(z) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking into account (70), the relation (71) follows.
The proofs of (72) and (73) are similar and will be omitted.

The condition (71) of Theorem 12 together with Lemma 3 and (14) shows that the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(z) \\
& =\frac{z\left(1+\epsilon_{1} z\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{2} z\right)}{\left(1+\epsilon_{3} z\right)^{2}\left[1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) \epsilon_{1} z\right]\left[1-(1-(1 / \beta)) \epsilon_{2} z\right]} \\
& \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|\epsilon_{k}\right|=1, k \in\{1,2,3\}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ and, thus, this class is nonempty.

Combining Theorem 12 with Theorems 4 and 8 the next properties of the class $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ can be easily obtained.

Corollary 13. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \delta(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{r(1-r)^{2}}{(1+r)^{2}[1+(1-(1 / \alpha)) r][1+(1-(1 / \beta)) r]} \\
& \quad \leq|f(z)| \leq \frac{r(1+r)^{2}}{(1-r)^{2}[1-(1-(1 / \alpha)) r][1-(1-(1 / \beta)) r]} \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|z|=r<1$.

Corollary 14. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (1), then

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n+\left(4-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\
&+\left(2-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(2-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}  \tag{78}\\
&(n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of Theorem 12, we can also obtain an upper bound of $\left|a_{3}-(1 / 2) a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Corollary 15. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 5-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta} . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, from (73), the function $F(z)=z+b_{2} z^{2}+b_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Comparing the coefficients of $z^{2}$ and $z^{3}$ on both sides of the above equality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=2 b_{2}, \quad a_{3}=3 b_{3} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right|=\left|3 b_{3}-2 b_{2}^{2}\right|=3\left|b_{3}-\frac{2}{3} b_{2}^{2}\right| . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the inequality (79) follows as an application of Theorem 11.

Once again making use of Theorem 12, we have that $f \in$ $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+\frac{z F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $F \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$. Therefore, a radius of convexity for $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{C}(\alpha, \beta)$ will correspond to a radius of starlikeness for $\delta^{*} \delta(\alpha, \beta)$.

The next result follows easily from Theorem 6.
Corollary 16. Let $\alpha>1 / 2$ and $\beta>1 / 2$. Suppose that $f \in$ $\mathcal{S}^{*} \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, the function $f$ maps the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<$ $\left.r_{0}<1\right\}$ onto a starlike domain, where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of (28) in Theorem 6.
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