# *Research Article* **Global Behavior of the Difference Equation** $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}g(x_n)$

# Hongjian Xi,<sup>1,2</sup> Taixiang Sun,<sup>1</sup> Bin Qin,<sup>2</sup> and Hui Wu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Information and Statistics, Guangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanning, Guangxi 530003, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Taixiang Sun; stx1963@163.com

Received 17 November 2013; Accepted 23 December 2013; Published 3 February 2014

Academic Editor: Tongxing Li

Copyright © 2014 Hongjian Xi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider the following difference equation  $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}g(x_n)$ , n = 0, 1, ..., where initial values  $x_{-1}, x_0 \in [0, +\infty)$  and  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is a strictly decreasing continuous surjective function. We show the following. (1) Every positive solution of this equation converges to a, 0, a, 0, ..., or 0, a, 0, a, ... for some  $a \in [0, +\infty)$ . (2) Assume  $a \in (0, +\infty)$ . Then the set of initial conditions  $(x_{-1}, x_0) \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$  such that the positive solutions of this equation converge to a, 0, a, 0, ..., or 0, a, 0, a, ... is a unique strictly increasing continuous function or an empty set.

## 1. Introduction

Recently there have been published quite a lot of works concerning global behavior of the difference equations [1–8]. These results are not only valuable in their own right, but they can provide insight into their differential counterparts.

In [9], Kulenović and Ladas considered the positive solutions for difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-1}}{1 + Ax_n} \tag{1}$$

with A > 0. They gave some partial results on the convergence of this equation.

Kalikow et al. [10] studied the following difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_{n-1}}{1 + f(x_n)}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (E1)

where initial values  $x_{-1}, x_0 \in [0, +\infty)$  and f is in a certain class of increasing continuous functions. They showed that the set of initial conditions  $(x_{-1}, x_0)$  of (E1) in the first quadrant that converge to any given boundary point of the first quadrant forms a unique strictly increasing continuous function.

Motivated by the above studies, in this paper, we consider the following difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}g(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (2)

where initial values  $x_{-1}, x_0 \in [0, +\infty)$  and  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is a strictly decreasing continuous surjective function. Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** (1) Every positive solution of (2) converges to

$$a, 0, a, 0, \dots, or 0, a, 0, a, \dots$$
 (3)

for some  $a \in [0, +\infty)$ .

(2) Assume  $a \in (0, +\infty)$ . Then the set of initial conditions  $(x_{-1}, x_0) \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$  such that the positive solutions of (2) converge to

$$a, 0, a, 0, \ldots, \quad or \quad 0, a, 0, a, \ldots$$
 (4)

*is a unique strictly increasing continuous function or an empty set.* 

# 2. The Main Result

*Proof of Theorem 1*(1). Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$  be a positive solution of (2). Then  $x_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+1}$  are decreasing sequences since  $g(x) \le 1$ . Let  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = p$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n-1} = q$ . Then we have

$$p = pg(q), \tag{5}$$

which implies p = 0 or g(q) = 1. If g(q) = 1, then q = 0 since  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is a strictly decreasing continuous surjective function with g(0) = 1. This completes proof of Theorem 1(1).

Write  $D = [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$  and define  $f : D \to D$  by

$$f(x, y) = (y, xg(y)), \qquad (6)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in D$ . It is easy to see that if  $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$  is a solution of (2), then  $f^n(x_{-1}, x_0) = (x_{n-1}, x_n)$  for any  $n \ge 0$ . In the following, let

$$\begin{aligned} & L_0 = \{a\} \times [0, +\infty) \,, \qquad L_1 = [0, +\infty) \times \{a\} \,, \\ & R_0 = [a, +\infty) \times \{0\} \,, \qquad R_1 = \{0\} \times [a, +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $a \in (0, +\infty)$ .

Lemma 2. The following statements are true:

- (i) *f* is a homeomorphism;
- (ii)  $f(L_1) = L_0$ ; (iii)  $f(R_0) = R_1$  and  $f(R_1) = R_0$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $f(x_1, y_1) \neq f(x_2, y_2)$  for any  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2) \in D$  with  $(x_1, y_1) \neq (x_2, y_2)$  and  $f^{-1}(u, v) = (v/g(u), u)$  is continuous for any  $(u, v) \in D$ , f is a homeomorphism.

(ii) Let  $(x, y) \in L_1$  and (u, v) = f(x, y) = (y, xg(y)). Then  $y = a, x \ge 0$ , and

$$u = y = a,$$
  $v = xg(y) = xg(a) \ge 0,$  (8)

which implies  $f(L_1) \in L_0$ .

Let  $(u, v) \in L_0$ . Then u = a and  $v \ge 0$ . Choose  $(x, y) = (v/g(a), a) \in L_1$ . Then f(x, y) = (u, v). Thus  $f(L_1) = L_0$ .

The proof of (iii) is similar to that of (ii). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\hfill \Box$ 

In order to show Theorem 1(2), we will construct two families of strictly increasing functions  $y = h_{2n}(x)$  and  $x = g_{2n+1}(y)$  ( $n \ge 1$ ) as follows. Set

$$x = g_2(y) = \frac{a}{g(y)} \quad (y \ge 0). \tag{9}$$

Then  $y = h_2(x) = g_2^{-1}(x) = g^{-1}(a/x)$  is a strictly increasing function which maps  $[a, +\infty)$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$ . Set

$$x = g_3(y) = \frac{h_2(y)}{g(y)} \quad (y \ge a).$$
 (10)

Then  $x = g_3(y)$  is a strictly increasing function which maps  $[a, +\infty)$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$ .

Assume that, for some positive integer *n*, we already define strictly increasing functions  $y = h_{2n}(x)$  and  $x = g_{2n+1}(y)$  such that both  $h_{2n}$  and  $g_{2n+1}$  map  $[a, +\infty)$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$ . Set

$$x = g_{2n+2}(y) = \frac{g_{2n+1}^{-1}(y)}{g(y)} \quad (y \ge 0).$$
(11)

Then both  $y = h_{2n+2}(x) = g_{2n+2}^{-1}(x)$  and  $x = g_{2n+3}(y) = h_{2n+2}(y)/g(y)$  are strictly increasing functions which map  $[a, +\infty)$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$ . In such a way, we construct two

families of strictly increasing functions  $y = h_{2n}(x)$  and  $x = g_{2n+1}(y)$   $(n \ge 1)$ .

Set  $P_0 = [a, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$  and  $Q_0 = [0, +\infty) \times [a, +\infty)$ . For any  $n \ge 1$ , write

$$P_{n} = f^{-2} (P_{n-1}), \qquad Q_{n} = f^{-2} (Q_{n-1}),$$
  

$$L_{n} = f^{-1} (L_{n-1}).$$
(12)

Let  $(x, y) \in L_2$ . Since  $f(L_2) = L_1$  and  $(u, v) = f(x, y) = (y, xg(y)) \in L_1$ , it follows that

$$xg(y) = v = a, \quad y = u \ge 0.$$
 (13)

Thus  $x = g_2(y) = a/g(y)$  and  $L_2 = \{(x, y) : y = h_2(x), x \ge a\}$ .

Let  $(x, y) \in L_3$ . Since  $f(L_3) = L_2$  and  $(u, v) = f(x, y) = (y, xg(y)) \in L_2$ , it follows that

$$xg(y) = v = h_2(u) = h_2(y), \quad y = u \ge a.$$
 (14)

Thus  $x = g_3(y) = h_2(y)/g(y)$   $(y \ge a)$  and  $L_3 = \{(x, y) : x = g_3(y), y \ge a\}$ . Using induction, one can easily show that, for any  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$L_{2n} = \{(x, y) : y = h_{2n}(x), x \ge a\},$$
  

$$L_{2n+1} = \{(x, y) : x = g_{2n+1}(y), y \ge a\}.$$
(15)

Since *f* is a homeomorphism and  $P_n = f^{-2}(P_{n-1})$  with  $L_{2n} \cup R_0$  is the boundary of  $P_n$ , we have that, for any  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$P_n = \{(x, y) : 0 \le y \le h_{2n}(x), x \ge a\}.$$
 (16)

In a similar fashion, we may show that

$$Q_n = \{(x, y) : 0 \le x \le g_{2n+1}(y), y \ge a\}.$$
 (17)

Since  $L_2 \subset P_0$ ,  $L_3 \subset Q_0$ , and f is a homeomorphism, we have that  $P_1 \subset P_0$  and  $Q_1 \subset Q_0$ , which implies that, for any  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$L_{2n} \subset P_{n-1}, \qquad L_{2n+1} \subset Q_{n-1},$$
  
 $P_n \subset P_{n-1}, \qquad Q_n \subset Q_{n-1}.$ 
(18)

It follows from (12) and (18) that, for  $x \ge a$ ,

$$0 \le \dots \le h_4(x) \le h_2(x) \tag{19}$$

and for  $y \ge a$ ,

$$0 \le \dots \le g_5(y) \le g_3(y). \tag{20}$$

Noting (19) and (20), we may assume that, for every  $x \ge a$ ,

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_{2n}(x) \tag{21}$$

and for every  $y \ge a$ ,

$$G(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{2n+1}(y).$$
 (22)

Set

$$L = \{(x, y) : y = H(x), x \ge a\},$$
  

$$M = \{(x, y) : x = G(y), y \ge a\}.$$
(23)

Lemma 3. The following statements are true:

- (i) f(L) = M and f(M) = L;
- (ii) both y = H(x) and x = G(y) are increasing continuous *functions which map*  $[a, +\infty)$  *onto*  $[0, +\infty)$ *.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in L$ . Then we have  $y_0$ =  $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_{2n}(x_0)$ , which follows that

$$f(x_0, y_0) = f(x_0, \lim_{n \to \infty} h_{2n}(x_0)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_0, h_{2n}(x_0)).$$
(24)

Since  $f(L_{2n}) = L_{2n-1}$ , we have

$$f(x_0, h_{2n}(x_0)) = (h_{2n}(x_0), x_0g(h_{2n}(x_0)))$$
  
=  $(g_{2n-1}(x_0g(h_{2n}(x_0))), x_0g(h_{2n}(x_0))).$  (25)

Let  $y_n = x_0 g(h_{2n}(x_0))$ . It follows from (24) and (25) that

$$f(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (g_{2n-1}(y_n), y_n) = (y_0, x_0 g(y_0)), \quad (26)$$

so we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = x_0 g(y_0), \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{2n-1}(y_n) = G(x_0 g(y_0)).$$
(27)

It follows from (25) and (27) that

$$f(x_0, y_0) = (G(x_0g(y_0)), x_0g(y_0)) \in M.$$
 (28)

Thus we have  $f(L) \in M$ .

Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in M$ . Then we have  $x_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{2n+1}(y_0)$ , which follows that

$$f^{-1}(x_0, y_0) = f^{-1}\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} g_{2n+1}(y_0), y_0\right)$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f^{-1}(g_{2n+1}(y_0), y_0).$$
 (29)

Since  $f^{-1}(L_{2n+1}) = L_{2n+2}$ , we have

$$f^{-1}(g_{2n+1}(y_0), y_0) = \left(\frac{y_0}{g(g_{2n+1}(y_0))}, g_{2n+1}(y_0)\right)$$
(30)
$$= \left(\frac{y_0}{g(g_{2n+1}(y_0))}, h_{2n+2}\left(\frac{y_0}{g(g_{2n+1}(y_0))}\right)\right).$$

Let  $z_n = y_0/g(g_{2n+1}(y_0))$ . It follows from (29) and (30) that

$$f^{-1}(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (z_n, h_{2n+2}(z_n)) = \left(\frac{y_0}{g(x_0)}, x_0\right), \quad (31)$$

so we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = \frac{y_0}{g(x_0)}, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} h_{2n+2}(z_n) = H\left(\frac{y_0}{g(x_0)}\right).$$
(32)

It follows from (31) and (32) that

$$f^{-1}(x_0, y_0) = \left(\frac{y_0}{g(x_0)}, H\left(\frac{y_0}{g(x_0)}\right)\right) \in L.$$
 (33)

Thus we have f(L) = M. In a similar fashion, we can show that f(M) = L.

(ii) Since  $y = h_{2n}(x)$   $(n \ge 1)$  are strictly increasing functions, we have that y = H(x) is an increasing function. For any  $x_0 > a$ , let

$$\lim_{x \to x_0^+} H(x) = y_0^+, \qquad \lim_{x \to x_0^-} H(x) = y_0^-; \tag{34}$$

then  $y_0^+ \ge H(x_0) \ge y_0^-$ . Now we claim that  $y_0^+ = y_0^-$ . Indeed, if  $y_0^+ > y_0^-$ , then it follows from (6) that

$$f^{2}(x_{0}, y_{0}^{+}) = (x_{0}g(y_{0}^{+}), y_{0}^{+}g[x_{0}g(y_{0}^{+})]),$$
  

$$f^{2}(x_{0}, y_{0}^{-}) = (x_{0}g(y_{0}^{-}), y_{0}^{-}g[x_{0}g(y_{0}^{-})]).$$
(35)

So we have that

$$x_{0}g(y_{0}^{+}) < x_{0}g(y_{0}^{-}),$$
  

$$y_{0}^{+}g[x_{0}g(y_{0}^{+})] > y_{0}^{-}g[x_{0}g(y_{0}^{-})].$$
(36)

It follows from (34) and (36) that there exist  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2) \in L$  such that

$$f^{2}(x_{1}, y_{1}) = (x_{1}g(y_{1}), y_{1}g[x_{1}g(y_{1})]),$$

$$f^{2}(x_{2}, y_{2}) = (x_{2}g(y_{2}), y_{2}g[x_{2}g(y_{2})]),$$

$$(y_{1}) < x_{2}g(y_{2}), \qquad y_{1}g[x_{1}g(y_{1})] > y_{2}g[x_{2}g(y_{2})].$$
(38)

It follows from Lemma 3(i) and (37) that

$$(x_1g(y_1), y_1g[x_1g(y_1)]), (x_2g(y_2), y_2g[x_2g(y_2)]) \in L,$$
  
(39)

and this is a contradiction. The claim is proven.

In a similar fashion, we may show that  $\lim_{x \to a^+} H(x) =$ H(a) = 0. Thus y = H(x) ( $x \ge a$ ) is an increasing continuous function. In a similar fashion, we may show that x = G(y) ( $y \ge a$ ) is an increasing continuous function. Lemma 3 is proven.  $\square$ 

Let

 $x_1g$ 

$$L^{1} = \{(x, y) : y = H(x) = 0, x \in [a, b]\},$$
  

$$f(L^{1}) = M^{1},$$
  

$$L^{2} = \{(x, y) : y = H(x) > 0, x \in (b, +\infty)\},$$
  

$$f(L^{2}) = M^{2},$$
  
(40)

where  $a \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $a \le b$ . It follows from Lemma 2(iii) and Lemma 3(ii) that

$$M^{1} = \{(x, y) : x = G(y) = 0, y \in [a, b]\},$$
  

$$f(M^{1}) = L^{1},$$
  

$$M^{2} = \{(x, y) : x = G(y) > 0, y \in (b, +\infty)\},$$
  

$$f(M^{2}) = L^{2}.$$
(41)

*Proof of Theorem 1*(2). Noting (40), we consider the following two cases.

*Case 1* (a = b). It follows from (40) that

$$L = L^2 \cup \{(a, 0)\}.$$
 (42)

Let  $(x_{-1}, x_0) \in L^2$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$  be a solution of (2) with initial value  $(x_{-1}, x_0)$ ; it follows from Lemma 3(i) that

$$(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) = f^{2n}(x_{-1}, x_0) \in L,$$
 (43)

which implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \in L$ . It follows from (42) and Theorem 1(1) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( x_{2n-1}, x_{2n} \right) = (a, 0) \,. \tag{44}$$

Next we claim that y = H(x) ( $x \ge a$ ) is a strictly increasing function. Indeed, if there exists ( $x_{-1}, x_0$ ), ( $y_{-1}, y_0$ )  $\in L$  such that  $y_{-1} > x_{-1}$  and  $x_0 = y_0$ , then there exist  $r \in (1, +\infty)$  such that  $y_{-1} = rx_{-1}$ . Set

$$f^{n}(x_{-1}, x_{0}) = (x_{n-1}, x_{n}), \qquad f^{n}(y_{-1}, y_{0}) = (y_{n-1}, y_{n}),$$
$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(45)

Then we have

$$y_{1} = y_{-1}g(y_{0}) \ge rx_{-1}g(x_{0}) = rx_{1},$$
  

$$y_{2} = y_{0}g(y_{1}) \le x_{0}g(x_{1}) = x_{2}.$$
(46)

Using induction, one can show that, for any  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$y_{2n-1} \ge rx_{2n-1}, \qquad y_{2n} \le x_{2n}.$$
 (47)

It follows from (44) and (47) that

$$(a,0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \neq \lim_{n \to \infty} (x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) = (a,0).$$
(48)

This is a contradiction. The claim is proven.

Now let  $(x_{-1}, x_0) \in D - L$  with  $x_0 \neq 0$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$  be a solution of (2) with initial value  $(x_{-1}, x_0)$ .

If  $x_{-1} < a$ , then it follows from Theorem 1(1) and (2) that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n-1} < a$  which implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \neq (a, 0)$ .

If  $x_{-1} \ge a$  and  $x_0 > H(x_{-1})$ , then there exists  $n \ge 0$  such that

$$(x_{-1}, x_0) \in P_n - P_{n+1},$$
 (49)

from which it follows that

$$f^{2n}(x_{-1}, x_0) = (x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \in P_0 - P_1.$$
 (50)

Then we have  $x_{2n+1} < a$ , which implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \neq (a, 0)$ .

If  $x_{-1} \ge a$  and  $x_0 < H(x_{-1})$ , then let  $y_{-1} = x_{-1}$  and  $y_0 = H(x_{-1})$ , and there exists  $r \in (1, +\infty)$  such that  $y_0 = rx_0$ . We can show that, for any  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$y_{2n} \ge rx_{2n}, \qquad x_{2n-1} \ge y_{2n-1},$$

$$\frac{x_{2n+1}}{y_{2n+1}} = \frac{x_{2n-1}g(x_{2n})}{y_{2n-1}g(y_{2n})} > \frac{x_{2n-1}}{y_{2n-1}} > \dots > \frac{x_1}{y_1} \qquad (51)$$

$$= \frac{x_{-1}g(x_0)}{y_{-1}g(y_0)} = \frac{g(x_0)}{g(y_0)} > 1,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \neq \lim_{n \to \infty} (y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) = (a, 0).$$
 (52)

From all abovementioned, the set of initial conditions  $(x_{-1}, x_0)$  such that the positive solutions of (2) converge to

$$a, 0, a, 0, \dots$$
 (53)

is y = H(x) (x > a).

In a similar fashion, we also may show that the set of initial conditions  $(x_{-1}, x_0)$  such that the positive solutions of (2) converge to

$$0, a, 0, a, \dots$$
 (54)

is 
$$x = G(y)$$
  $(y > a)$ .

*Case 2* (a < b). It follows from (41) and Case 1 that the set of initial conditions such that the positive solutions of (2) converge to

$$a, 0, a, 0, \dots,$$
 or  $0, a, 0, a, \dots$  (55)

is an empty set. This completes the proof of Theorem 1(2).  $\Box$ 

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This project was supported by NNSF of China (11261005), NSF of Guangxi (2012GXNSFDA276040), and SF of ED of Guangxi (2013ZD061).

### References

- A. Janssen and D. Tjaden, "Solution to problem 86-2," Mathematical Intelligencer, vol. 9, pp. 40–43, 1987.
- [2] C. Kent, "Convergence of solutions in a nonhyperbolic case," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 4651–4665, 2001.

- [3] Q. He, T. Sun, and H. Xi, "Dynamics of a family of nonlinear delay difference equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 456530, 4 pages, 2013.
- [4] W. Liu and S. Stević, "Global attractivity of a family of nonautonomous max-type difference equations," *Applied Mathematics* and Computation, vol. 218, no. 11, pp. 6297–6303, 2012.
- [5] F. Sun, "On the asymptotic behavior of a difference equation with maximum," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2008, Article ID 243291, 6 pages, 2008.
- [6] S. Stević, "Global stability of a max-type difference equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 354– 356, 2010.
- [7] T. Sun, H. Xi, C. Han, and B. Qin, "Dynamics of the max-type difference equation  $x_n = max\{1/x_{n-m}, A_n/x_{n-r}\}$ ," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, vol. 38, no. 1-2, pp. 173–180, 2012.
- [8] T. Sun, X. Wu, Q. He, and H. Xi, "On boundedness of solutions of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = p + x_{n-1}/x_n$  for p < 1," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, vol. 44, no. 1-2, pp. 61–68, 2014.
- [9] M. R. S. Kulenović and G. Ladas, Dynamics of Second Order Rational Difference Equations: With Open Problems and Conjectures, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2002.
- [10] S. Kalikow, P. M. Knopf, Y. S. Huang, and G. Nyerges, "Convergence properties in the nonhyperbolic case  $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}/(1 + f(x_n))$ ," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 326, no. 1, pp. 456–467, 2007.