

Research Article

Poincaré-Type Inequalities for the Composite Operator in L^s -Averaging Domains

Guannan Shi,¹ Yuming Xing,¹ and Baiqing Sun²

¹ Department of Math, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

² School of Management, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Baiqing Sun; baiqingsun@163.com

Received 12 November 2013; Accepted 10 January 2014; Published 25 February 2014

Academic Editor: Shusen Ding

Copyright © 2014 Guannan Shi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We first establish the local Poincaré inequality with L^s -averaging domains for the composition of the sharp maximal operator and potential operator, applied to the nonhomogenous A -harmonic equation. Then, according to the definition of L^s -averaging domains and relative properties, we demonstrate the global Poincaré inequality with L^s -averaging domains. Finally, we give some illustrations for these theorems.

1. Introduction

Poincaré inequality applied to differential forms has a vital role in PDEs, nonlinear analysis, and other related fields. With the further research conducted, we have established various versions of Poincaré inequality under different conditions. From [1–8], we have obtained the Poincaré inequality for the solution to the A -harmonic equation in uniformly bounded domain, John domains, and L^s -averaging domains. Nevertheless, most of these Poincaré inequalities are developed in L^s -averaging domains. In this paper, we will establish the Poincaré inequality for the composition of the sharp maximal operator and potential operator in L^s -averaging domains. As we all know, both the uniformly bounded domain and John domains are special L^s -averaging domains, and the L^s -averaging domains are also particular L^s -averaging domains, so the following results are the generalizations of the Poincaré inequality in L^s -averaging domains.

For convenience, we firstly introduce some notations and terminologies. Except for special instructions, $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bounded domain, $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of E , and $m \geq 2$. The constant K and C can be varied at each step of the proof. Suppose that B_x^r is a ball, with a radius r , centered at x . For any $\rho > 0$, $B \subseteq E$ and $\rho B \subseteq E$ have the same center and satisfy $\text{diam}(\rho B) = \rho \text{diam}(B)$. Let $\Lambda^l(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the space of all

l -forms in \mathbb{R}^m , which is expanded by the exterior product of $e^{\mathcal{B}} = e^{i_1} \wedge e^{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{i_l}$, where $\mathcal{B} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$, $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l \leq m$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$. $C^\infty(\Lambda^l E)$ is the space of a smooth l -form on E . We use $D^l(E, \Lambda^l)$ to denote the space of all differential l -forms on E ; that is, $w(x)$ belongs to $D^l(E, \Lambda^l)$ if and only if there exist some l th-differential functions $w_{\mathcal{B}}$ in E such that $w(x) = \sum_{\mathcal{B}} w_{\mathcal{B}}(x) dx_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_l}$. $L^p(E, \Lambda^l)$ is a Banach space with the norm equipped by $\|w(x)\|_{p,E} = (\int_E |w(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$, where $w(x) \in D^l(E, \Lambda^l)$ and every coefficient function $w_{\mathcal{B}} \in L^p(E)$, $0 < p < \infty$. In fact, $w(x)$ on E is the Schwartz distribution. If $\omega(x) > 0$ a.e. and $\omega(x) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\omega(x)$ is called a weight. Let $d\mu = \omega(x)dx$; then $L^p(E, \Lambda^l, \omega)$ is a weighted Banach space with the norm expressed by $\|w(x)\|_{p,E,\omega} = (\int_E |w(x)|^p \omega(x) dx)^{1/p}$. In this notation, the exterior derivative is denoted by d and Hodge codifferential operator is expressed by d^* . Search [9] for more details.

Considering our purpose, we intend to give a brief discussion about the A -harmonic equation for the differential form. The following equation is called a nonhomogeneous A -harmonic equation:

$$d^* A(x, dw) = B(x, dw), \quad (1)$$

where $A : E \times \wedge^l(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \wedge^l(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $B : E \times \wedge^l(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \wedge^{l-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfy the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x, \xi)| &\leq a|\xi|^{p-1}, & A(x, \xi) \cdot \xi &\geq |\xi|^p, \\ |B(x, \xi)| &\leq b|\xi|^{p-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for almost every $x \in E$ and all $\xi \in \wedge^l(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Here, $a, b > 0$ are constants and $1 < p < \infty$ is a fixed exponent associated with (1). If $B = 0$, the equation $d^*A(x, dw) = 0$ is called a homogenous A -harmonic equation. See [9] for more information.

In order to describe it easily, we first give some definitions in this part.

Definition 1. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be a bounded domain and $w(x) \in L^p(E, \wedge^l)$; the sharp maximal operator \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp is equipped with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(w) &= \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp w = \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp w(x) \\ &= \sup_{r>0} \left(\frac{1}{|B_x^r|} \int_{B_x^r} |w(t) - w_{B_x^r}|^s dt \right)^{1/s}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where B_x^r is the ball of radius r , centered at x , $1 \leq s \leq p$, $p \geq 1$.

Especially, if we take $s = 1$, denote $\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp \triangleq \mathbb{M}^\sharp$.

Definition 2 (see [10]). Suppose that $w(x)$ is a differential l -form; the potential operator P is expressed by

$$Pw(x) = \sum_{\mathcal{B}} \int_E K(x, y) w_{\mathcal{B}}(y) dy dx_{\beta}, \tag{4}$$

where the nonnegative and measurable function $K(x, y)$, defined on the set $\{(x, y) \mid x \neq y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$, is a kernel function, and the summation is over all ordered l -tuple \mathcal{B} .

Definition 3. Take an increasingly continuous function $\mathcal{A} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ as a convex function with $\mathcal{A}(0) = 0$, and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bounded domain, for any $w(x) \in L^p(E)$; the Orlicz norm for differential form is denoted by

$$\|w\|_{L_{E,\mu}^{\mathcal{A}}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E \mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1}|u|) d\mu < 1 \right\}, \tag{5}$$

where measure μ satisfies $d\mu = \omega(x)dx$, $\omega(x)$ is a weight.

We call \mathcal{A} an Orlicz function if $\mathcal{A} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is an increasingly continuous function and satisfies $\mathcal{A}(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}(\infty) = \infty$. Meanwhile, if the Orlicz function $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is a convex function, it is called a Young function.

Based on the above definition, we get the notation of $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains.

Definition 4 (see [3]). Let \mathcal{A} be a Young function; the proper domain $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is called the $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains if $\mu(E) <$

∞ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $B_0 \subseteq E$ and $\mathcal{A}(|w|) \in L_{loc}^1(E, \mu)$, w satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E \mathcal{A}(\tau|w - w_{B_0}|) d\mu \\ &\leq C \sup_{4B \subset E} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \mathcal{A}(\sigma|w - w_B|) d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the measure μ is denoted by $d\mu = \omega(x)dx$, $\omega(x)$ is a weight, σ and τ are constants with $0 < \tau, \sigma < 1$, and the supremum is over all balls $B \subset E$ with $4B \subset E$.

Notice that if we let $\mathcal{A}(t) = t^s$, $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains become the L^s -averaging domains, so $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains are the generalization of L^s -averaging domains.

Definition 5 (see [11]). We call $w(x) \in D^l(E, \wedge^l)$ belongs to the WRH(\wedge^l, E)-class, $l = 0, 1, \dots, m$, if for any constants $0 < s, t < \infty$ and any ball $B \subset E$ with $\rho B \subset E$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $w(x)$ satisfies

$$\|w\|_{s,B} \leq C|B|^{(t-s)/st} \|w\|_{t,\rho B}, \tag{7}$$

where $\rho > 1$ is a constant.

Remark 6. If $w(x)$ is a solution to the A -harmonic equation, we can prove that $w(x)$ belongs to the WRH(\wedge^l, E)-class.

2. Main Results

Before the main results are given, we need to impose some restrictions on the kernel function $K(x, y)$ and Young function \mathcal{A} . Firstly, let the kernel function satisfy the standard estimates; it is equal to say that if there exist $0 < \delta < 1$ and a constant $c > 0$ such that for any point $z \in \{z : |x - z| < (1/2)|x - y|, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$, the kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfies that

- (1) $K(x, y) \leq c|x - y|^{-m}, x \neq y$;
- (2) $|K(x, y) - K(z, y)| \leq c|x - z|^\delta|x - y|^{-m-\delta}, x \neq y$;
- (3) $|K(y, x) - K(y, z)| \leq c|x - z|^\delta|x - y|^{-m-\delta}, x \neq y$,

where function $K(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, m \geq 1$.

With regard to the Young function \mathcal{A} , we let the Young function \mathcal{A} belong to the $G(p, q, C)$ -class ($1 \leq p < q < \infty, C \geq 1$); that is, for any $t > 0$, the Young function \mathcal{A} satisfies that

- (1) $1/C \leq \mathcal{A}(t^{1/p})/f(t) \leq C$;
- (2) $1/C \leq \mathcal{A}(t^{1/q})/g(t) \leq C$,

where f and g are the increasingly convex and concave functions defined on $[0, \infty)$, respectively.

Now, we establish these two important theorems based on the above conditions.

Theorem 7. Suppose that the Young function \mathcal{A} belongs to the $G(p, q, C)$ -class, $w \in C^\infty(\wedge^l E)$ is a solution to the nonhomogenous A -harmonic equation, the sharp maximal

operator is noted by \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp , P is the potential operator with its kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfying the standard estimates, $1 \leq s < p, q < \infty$, and the bounded subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is the $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains. Then, for any ball $B \subseteq E$, one gets

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right)_B \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|w\|_{L_{\rho B}^{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad (8)$$

where B and $\rho B \subseteq E$ and the constant $\rho > 1$.

Based on the above theorem, we can establish the following theorem for the global Poincaré inequality in $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains.

Theorem 8. Suppose that the Young function \mathcal{A} belongs to the $G(p, q, C)$ -class, $w \in C^\infty(\Lambda^1 E)$ is a solution to the nonhomogenous A -harmonic equation, the sharp maximal operator is denoted by \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp , P is the potential operator with its kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfying the standard estimates, $1 \leq s < p, q < \infty$, and the bounded subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is the $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains. Then, one has

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right)_{B_0} \right\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|w\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad (9)$$

where $B_0 \subseteq B$ is a fixed ball, which appears in Definition 4.

3. Preliminary Results

For proving the theorems in Section 2, we will show and demonstrate some lemmas in this part.

Lemma 9 (see [9]). Let $0 < p, q < \infty$, and $1/t = (1/p) + (1/q)$, if f and g are the measurable functions defined on \mathbb{R}^m , then

$$\|fg\|_{t,I} \leq \|f\|_{p,I} \cdot \|g\|_{q,I}, \quad (10)$$

for any $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 10 (see [5]). Let P be the potential operator applied on a differential form with $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, w(x) \in WRH(\Lambda^1, E)$, and assume that the weight $\omega(x)$ belongs to $A(\alpha, \beta, E)$ with $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then, there exists a constant C , independent of $w(x)$ such that

$$\|P(w) - (P(w))_B\|_{s,B,\omega} \leq C |B| \text{diam}(B) \|w\|_{s,B,\omega}, \quad (11)$$

for any $B \subseteq E$, where $s > 1$ is a constant.

Remark 11. If we take $\omega(x) \equiv 1$, we get

$$\|P(w) - (P(w))_B\|_{s,B} \leq C |B| \text{diam}(B) \|w\|_{s,B}. \quad (12)$$

Lemma 12 (see [3]). Take Ψ defined on $[0, +\infty)$ to be a strictly increasing convex function, $\Psi(0) = 0$, and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is a domain. Assume that $w(x) \in D'(E, \Lambda^1)$ satisfies $\Psi(|w|) \in L^1(E, \mu)$ and, for any constant c ,

$$\mu \{x \in E : |w - c| > 0\} > 0, \quad (13)$$

where μ is a Radon measure defined by $d\mu(x) = \omega(x)dx$ with a weight $\omega(x)$; then for any $a > 0$, one obtains

$$\int_E \Psi\left(\frac{a}{2}|w - w_E|\right) d\mu \leq \int_E \Psi(a|w|) d\mu. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 13. If $\omega(x) \in A_r(E)$, then there exist constants $\alpha > 1$ and K , not dependent on ω , such that

$$\|\omega\|_{\alpha,B} \leq K |B|^{(1/\alpha)-1} \|\omega\|_{1,B}, \quad (15)$$

for all balls B contained in E .

Lemma 14. The sharp maximal operator \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp is denoted by Definition 1, and the potential operator P is defined by Definition 2 with the kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfying the standard estimates, $w(x) \in L^t(E, \Lambda^1) \cap C^\infty(\Lambda^1 E)$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, m$), $t \geq 1$. Then, there exists a constant $K > 0$, independent of w , such that

$$\int_B \left| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right)_B \right|^t dx \leq K |B|^{1+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}, \quad (16)$$

for all balls $B \subseteq E$.

Proof. Let B be a ball in E , using Lemma 10 on any $B_x^r \subseteq B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B_x^r|} \int_{B_x^r} |P(w) - (P(w))_{B_x^r}|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \\ & \leq K |B_x^r| \text{diam}(B_x^r) |B_x^r|^{-1/s} \|w\|_{s,B_x^r} \\ & \leq K |B_x^r|^{1-(1/s)} \text{diam}(B_x^r) \|w\|_{s,B} \\ & \leq K |B|^{1-(1/s)+(1/m)} \|w\|_{s,B}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From Lemma 14 in [7], it follows that

$$\|w\|_{s,B} \leq |B|^{(1/s)-(1/t)} \|w\|_{t,B}, \quad (18)$$

where $0 < s \leq t < \infty$. Substituting (18) into (17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B_x^r|} \int_{B_x^r} |P(w) - (P(w))_{B_x^r}|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \\ & \leq K |B|^{1-(1/t)+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Taking the supremum for r , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r>0} \left(\frac{1}{|B_x^r|} \int_{B_x^r} |P(w) - (P(w))_{B_x^r}|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \\ & \leq \sup_{r>0} K |B|^{1-(1/t)+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B} \\ & = K |B|^{1-(1/t)+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

That is,

$$\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \leq K |B|^{1-(1/t)+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}. \quad (21)$$

According to the definition of $L^t(E)$ norm and formula (21), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\|_{t,B} &= \left(\int_B |\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))|^t dx \right)^{1/t} \\ &\leq \left(\int_B |K|B|^{1-(1/t)+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}^t dx \right)^{1/t} \quad (22) \\ &= K|B|^{1+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\Psi(t) = 2^t$, $a = 2$, and $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ in Lemma 12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B\|_{t,B} \\ &= \left(\int_B |\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|^t dx \right)^{1/t} \quad (23) \\ &\leq \left(\int_B 2^t |\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))|^t dx \right)^{1/t} \\ &\leq K|B|^{1+(1/m)} \|w\|_{t,B}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 14 has been completed. □

Lemma 15. *Suppose that $w(x) \in C^\infty(\Lambda^1 E)$ is a solution to the A -harmonic equation, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bounded domain, P is a potential operator with the kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfying the standard estimates, and the sharp maximal operator \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp is expressed by Definition 1, $1 \leq s < p$, $q < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant $K > 0$, such that*

$$\|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B\|_{q,B} \leq K \|w\|_{p,\rho B}, \quad (24)$$

where the ball $B \subset E$ with $\rho B \subset E$, constant $\rho > 1$, the measure μ is defined by $d\mu = \omega(x)dx$, weight $\omega(x) \in A_r(E)$, $\omega(x) \geq \delta > 0$, for some $r > 1$ and a constant δ .

Proof. Because $1/q = ((\alpha - 1)/\alpha q) + (1/\alpha q)$, for any B with ρB contained in E , using Lemmas 9 and 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_B |\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_B (|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B| \omega^{1/q})^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\int_B (|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|^{\alpha q/(\alpha-1)}) dx \right)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha q} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_B \omega^\alpha dx \right)^{1/q\alpha} \\ &\leq K|B|^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha q} \|\omega\|_{1,B}^{1/q} \|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B\|_{q\alpha/(\alpha-1),B} \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 14 and Definition 5, letting $p = r \times z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B\|_{\alpha q/(\alpha-1),B} \\ &\leq K|B|^{1+(1/m)} \|w\|_{\alpha q/(\alpha-1),B} \quad (26) \\ &= K|B|^{1+(1/m)+((z(\alpha-1)-\alpha q)/\alpha q z)} \|w\|_{z,\rho B}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_B |\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq K|B|^{(1-\alpha)/q\alpha} \|\omega\|_{1,B}^{1/q} |B|^{1+(1/m)+((z(\alpha-1)-\alpha q)/\alpha q z)} \|w\|_{z,\rho B} \quad (27) \\ &= K|B|^{1+(1/m)-(1/z)} (\mu(B))^{1/q} \|w\|_{z,\rho B}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of $1/z = (1/p) + ((p - z)/zp)$, and using generalized Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{z,\rho B} &= \left(\int_{\rho B} (|w|\omega^{1/p} \omega^{-1/p})^z dx \right)^{1/z} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\rho B} |w|^p \omega dx \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\int_{\rho B} \omega^{1/(r-1)} dx \right)^{(r-1)/p} \quad (28) \\ &= \|w\|_{p,\rho B,\omega} \|\omega^{-1}\|_{1/(r-1),\rho B}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

In the light of $\omega \in A_r(E)$, finding details in [9], we know

$$\sup_{B \subset E} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \right)^{1/(r-1)} dx \right)^{1/(r-1)} < \infty. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\omega} \right\|_{1/(r-1),\rho B}^{1/p} &= \|\omega\|_{1,\rho B}^{-1/p} \cdot \|\omega\|_{1,\rho B}^{1/p} \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{\omega} \right\|_{1/(r-1),\rho B}^{1/p} \\ &\leq K(\mu(\rho B))^{-1/p} |\rho B|^{1/z} \quad (30) \\ &\leq K(\mu(\rho B))^{-1/p} |B|^{1/z}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, considering $\omega \geq \delta > 0$, so we have that

$$\mu(\rho B) = \int_{\rho B} d\mu \geq \int_{\rho B} \delta dx = \delta |\rho B|. \quad (31)$$

Combining (27), (28), and (31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_B |\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - (\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq K|\rho B|^{1+(1/m)-(1/p)} (\mu(B))^{1/q} \left(\int_{\rho B} |w|^{1/p} d\mu \right)^{1/q} \quad (32) \\ &\leq K|E|^{1+(1/m)-(1/p)} (\mu(E))^{1/q} \left(\int_{\rho B} |w|^{1/p} d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq K \|w\|_{p,\rho B}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we finish the proof of this lemma. □

4. Demonstration of Main Results

According to the above definitions and lemmas, we will prove these two theorems in detail. Firstly, let us prove Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. Let B and $\rho B \subseteq E$, f and g are, respectively, convex and concave increasing function, use Lemma 15, and take $\omega(x) \equiv 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(\int_B\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|^q dx\right)\right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}K\left(\int_{\rho B}|w|^p dx\right)^{1/p}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{A}\left(\left(\lambda^{-p}K^p\int_{\rho B}|w|^p dx\right)^{1/p}\right) \\ & \leq Cf\left(\lambda^{-p}K^p\int_{\rho B}|w|^p dx\right) \\ & \leq C\int_{\rho B}f\left(\lambda^{-p}K^p|w|^p\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Because $f(t) \leq C\mathcal{A}(t^{1/p})$, we know that

$$\int_{\rho B}f\left(\lambda^{-p}K^p|w|^p\right) dx \leq C\int_{\rho B}\mathcal{A}\left(K\lambda^{-1}|w|\right) dx. \tag{34}$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(\int_B\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|^q dx\right)\right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C\int_{\rho B}\mathcal{A}\left(K\lambda^{-1}|w|\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

For function g , using Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|\right) dx \\ & \leq g\left(\int_B g^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|\right)\right) dx\right) \\ & \leq g\left(C\int_B\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|\right)^q dx\right) \\ & \leq C\mathcal{A}\left(\left(C\int_B\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|\right)^q dx\right)^{1/q}\right) \\ & = C\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(C\int_B\left(\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|^q dx\right)^{1/q}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Using the doubling property of \mathcal{A} for the above the formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|\right) dx \\ & \leq K\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(\int_B\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|^q dx\right)^{1/q}\right) \\ & \leq K\int_{\rho B}\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}|w|\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The proof of Theorem 7 has been finished. \square

Now, we will use Definition 4 and Theorem 7 to prove Theorem 8.

Proof of Theorem 8. According to Definition 4, we can know

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_{B_0}\right|\right) dx \\ & \leq K\sup_{B\subseteq E}\int_B\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_B\right|\right) dx \\ & \leq K\sup_{B\subseteq E}\int_{\rho B}\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}|w|\right) dx \\ & \leq K\sup_{B\subseteq E}\int_E\mathcal{A}\left(\lambda^{-1}|w|\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Because $\sup_{B\subseteq E}\int_E\mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1}|w|)dx$ is independent on the ball B , we obtain that

$$\left\|\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))-\left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w))\right)_{B_0}\right\|_{L_E^\mathcal{A}} \leq K\|w\|_{L_E^\mathcal{A}}. \tag{39}$$

We finish the proof of Theorem 8. \square

5. Applications

In this part, we firstly use Theorem 8 to do an estimate for a solution to the Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$.

Example 16. Let u be a differential 2-form in \mathbb{R}^m , and

$$u = \frac{1}{9}(x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + x_2 dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + x_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2), \tag{40}$$

where $\vartheta = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$. It is very easy to obtain that $|u| = 1$ and $du = 0$, so u is a solution for the Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$. If we take

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = t \log_+^t = \begin{cases} t, & t \leq e \\ t \log^t, & t > e, \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

then $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is a Young function and belongs to the $G(p, q, C)$ -class, with $\mathcal{A}(|u|) \in L^1(E)$. According to Theorem 8, we get

that, for any fixed $B_0 \subset E$, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(u)) - \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(u)) \right)_{B_0} \right\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|1\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad (42)$$

where $\|1\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid (1/|E|) \int_E \mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1} \log_+^\lambda) dt < 1\}$.

Now, our aim is to prove the following corollary by using Theorem 7.

Corollary 17. *Suppose that the Young function \mathcal{A} belongs to the $G(p, q, C)$ -class, and $w \in C^\infty(\Lambda^1 E)$ is a solution to the nonhomogenous A -harmonic equation. The sharp maximal operator is noted by M_s^\sharp , P is the potential operator with its kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfying the standard estimates ($1 \leq s < p, q < \infty$), and the bounded $E \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^m$ is the $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains. Then, there exists a constant $K > 0$, such that*

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|w\|_{L_{\rho B}^{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad (43)$$

where $B \subset E$ with $\rho B \subseteq E$, and the constant $\rho > 1$.

Proof. By using Minkowski inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}} &\leq \left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right)_B \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right)_B \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

From [12] and formula (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B \mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1} |(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|) dt \\ &= f \cdot f^{-1} \left(\int_B \mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1} |(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|) dt \right) \\ &\leq f \left(K \int_B f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1} |(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|)) dt \right) \\ &\leq f \left(K \int_B \lambda^{-q} |(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))_B|^q dt \right) \\ &\leq f \left(K \int_B \lambda^{-q} |(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)))|^q dt \right) \\ &\leq f \left(K \lambda^{-q} \int_B |w|^q dt \right) \\ &\leq K \int_B f(\lambda^{-q} |w|^q) dt \\ &\leq K \int_B \mathcal{A}(\lambda^{-1} |w|) dt \\ &\leq K \|w\|_{L_{\rho B}^{\mathcal{A}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\rho > 1$. In addition, according to Theorem 7, there exists a constant K such that

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) - \left(\mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right)_B \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|w\|_{L_{\rho B}^{\mathcal{A}}}. \quad (46)$$

Substituting (45) and (46) into (44), we conclude that there exists a constant $K > 0$, independent of w , such that

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right\|_{L_B^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|w\|_{L_{\rho B}^{\mathcal{A}}}. \quad (47)$$

The proof of Corollary 17 has been completed. \square

Virtually, we can obtain a global estimate about the composition operator by using Definition 4.

Corollary 18. *Suppose that the Young function \mathcal{A} belongs to the $G(p, q, C)$ -class, and $w \in C^\infty(\Lambda^1 E)$ is a solution to the nonhomogenous A -harmonic equation. Let the sharp maximal operator be noted by M_s^\sharp , P is the potential operator with its kernel function $K(x, y)$ satisfying standard estimates ($1 \leq s < p, q < \infty$), and the bounded $E \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^m$ is the $L^{\mathcal{A}}$ -averaging domains. Then, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \mathbb{M}_s^\sharp(P(w)) \right\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}} \leq K \|w\|_{L_E^{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad (48)$$

where B_0 is a fixed ball.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] S. G. Staples, “ L^p -averaging domains and the Poincaré inequality,” *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae A*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 103–127, 1989.
- [2] S. Ding and C. A. Nolder, “ $L^s(\mu)$ -averaging domains,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 283, no. 1, pp. 85–99, 2003.
- [3] S. Ding, “ $L^p(\mu)$ -averaging domains and the quasi-hyperbolic metric,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 47, no. 10–11, pp. 1611–1618, 2004.
- [4] Y. Xing and S. Ding, “Norms of the composition of the maximal and projection operators,” *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 4614–4624, 2010.
- [5] C. Johnson and S. Ding, “Integral estimates for the potential operator on differential forms,” *International Journal of Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 108623, 6 pages, 2013.
- [6] Y. Xing and S. Ding, “Poincaré inequalities with the Radon measure for differential forms,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 1944–1952, 2010.
- [7] Y. Ling and G. Bao, “Some local Poincaré inequalities for the composition of the sharp maximal operator and the Green’s operator,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 720–727, 2012.
- [8] H. Bi and S. Ding, “Orlicz norm inequalities for the composite operator and applications,” *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 69, 2011.
- [9] R. P. Agarwal, S. Ding, and C. Nolder, *Inequalities for Differential Forms*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2009.
- [10] H. Bi, “Weighted inequalities for potential operators on differential forms,” *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 713625, 13 pages, 2010.

- [11] C. Johnson and S. Ding, "Integral estimates for the potential operator on differential forms," *International Journal of Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 108623, 6 pages, 2013.
- [12] T. Iwaniec and A. Lutoborski, "Integral estimates for null Lagrangians," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 25–79, 1993.