

Research Article

A Priori Error Estimates of Mixed Finite Element Methods for General Linear Hyperbolic Convex Optimal Control Problems

Zuliang Lu^{1,2} and Xiao Huang³

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing 404000, China

² Laboratory for Applied Mathematics, Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100084, China

³ College of Electronic and Information Engineering, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing 404000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zuliang Lu; zulianglux@126.com

Received 21 March 2014; Accepted 16 June 2014; Published 21 July 2014

Academic Editor: Manuel Ruiz-Galan

Copyright © 2014 Z. Lu and X. Huang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The aim of this work is to investigate the discretization of general linear hyperbolic convex optimal control problems by using the mixed finite element methods. The state and costate are approximated by the k order ($k \geq 0$) Raviart-Thomas mixed finite elements and the control is approximated by piecewise polynomials of order k . By applying the elliptic projection operators and Gronwall's lemma, we derive a priori error estimates of optimal order for both the coupled state and the control approximation.

1. Introduction

With the advances of scientific computing, optimal control problems are now widely used in multidisciplinary applications such as physics, biology, medicine, engineering design, fluid mechanics, and social-economic systems. The finite element method is undoubtedly the most widely used numerical method in computing optimal control problems. Finite element approximation of a class of elliptic optimal control problems has been studied by Falk in [1]. Then, Alt and Mackenroth in [2] established a priori error estimates for the finite element approximations to state constrained convex parabolic boundary control problems. Finite element approximation of optimal control problems was developed in [3–16], but there are very less published results on this topic for hyperbolic optimal control problems.

Since the pioneering work of Brezzi and Fortin [17], the mixed finite element methods to second order elliptic problems have drawn the attention of many specialists in partial differential equations. Mixed finite elements are appropriate for the state equations in such cases since both the scalar variable and its flux variable can be approximated to the same accuracy. In finite element methods, mixed finite element methods were widely used to approximate flux variables, although there was only very limited research work on analyzing such elements for optimal control problems. More

recently, in [9], the authors derived a priori error estimates and superconvergence for bilinear quadratic optimal control problems using mixed finite element methods. A posteriori error analysis of mixed finite element methods for some optimal control problems was addressed in [18, 19]. In [20], the author discussed the semidiscrete mixed finite element methods for quadratic hyperbolic optimal control problems. By using mixed elliptic reconstruction methods, he obtained a posteriori $L^\infty(L^2)$ -error estimates for both the state and the control approximation.

The purpose of this work is to obtain a priori error estimates of mixed finite element methods for general convex optimal control problems governed by linear hyperbolic partial differential equations. Analogous a priori error estimates of mixed finite element solutions for optimal control problems governed by linear parabolic equations can be found in [21]. However, it does not seem to be straightforward to extend the existing techniques to general optimal control problems involving hyperbolic equations.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and m any nonnegative integer, let $W^{m,p}(\Omega) = \{v \in L^p(\Omega); D^\alpha v \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ if } |\alpha| \leq m\}$ denote the Sobolev spaces endowed with the norm $\|v\|_{m,p}^p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$ and the seminorm $|v|_{m,p}^p = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$. We set $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega) : v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$. For $p = 2$, we denote $H^m(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$,

$H_0^m(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_m = \|\cdot\|_{m,2}$, $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{0,2}$. We denote by $L^s(J; W^{m,p}(\Omega))$ the Banach space of all L^s integrable functions from J to $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ with norm $\|v\|_{L^s(J; W^{m,p}(\Omega))} = (\int_0^T \|v\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}^s dt)^{1/s}$ for $s \in [1, \infty)$, $\|v\|_{L^\infty(J; W^{m,p}(\Omega))} = \sup_{t \in J} \|v\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$, and the standard modification for $s = \infty$.

In this paper, we focus our attention on the following general linear hyperbolic convex optimal control problems:

$$\min_{u \in K \subset U} \left\{ \int_0^T (g_1(\mathbf{p}) + g_2(y) + h(u)) dt \right\}, \quad (1)$$

subject to the state equations

$$y_{tt} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{p} = f + u, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in J, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{p} = -A \nabla y, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in J, \quad (3)$$

$$y|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad t \in J, \quad (4)$$

$$y(x, 0) = y_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (5)$$

$$y_t(x, 0) = y_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (6)$$

where the bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a convex polygon with the boundary $\partial\Omega$, Ω_U is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^2 with the Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega_U$, g_1 , g_2 , and h are convex functionals, and $J = [0, T]$. We assume that K is a closed convex set in $U = L^2(J; L^2(\Omega_U))$, $f \in L^2(J; L^2(\Omega))$, and $y_0, y_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$. Furthermore, we assume the coefficient matrix $A(x) = (a_{i,j}(x))_{2 \times 2} \in (W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^{2 \times 2}$ is a symmetric 2×2 -matrix and there is a constant $c > 0$ satisfying for any vector $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbf{X}' A \mathbf{X} \geq c \|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2$. The set of admissible controls K is defined by

$$K = \left\{ u \in U = L^2(J; L^2(\Omega_U)) : \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_U} u dx dt \geq 0 \right\}. \quad (7)$$

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct the k order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element approximation for general convex optimal control problems governed by linear hyperbolic equations and briefly state the definitions and properties of some interpolation operators. In Section 3, we derive a priori error estimates of the mixed finite element solutions for the general hyperbolic optimal control problems. Finally, we give the conclusion and the future work in Section 4.

2. Mixed Methods of Hyperbolic Optimal Control

We will now describe the mixed finite element discretization of general linear hyperbolic convex optimal control problems (1)–(6). Firstly, we introduce the costate hyperbolic equation,

$$z_{tt}(x, t) - \operatorname{div} \left(A \left(\nabla z(x, t) + g_1'(\mathbf{p}(x, t)) \right) \right) = g_2'(y(x, t)), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (8)$$

with the conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} z|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad t \in J; \quad z(x, T) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega; \\ z_t(x, T) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Next, we need the following regularity assumptions for the hyperbolic equations (2) and (8): there exists a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} + \|y_t\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} + \|y_{tt}\|_{L^2(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} \leq C, \\ \|z\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} + \|z_t\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} \leq C, \\ \|\mathbf{p}\|_{L^\infty(J; (H^{k+2}(\Omega))^2)} + \|\mathbf{q}\|_{L^\infty(J; (H^{k+2}(\Omega))^2)} \\ + \|\mathbf{q}_t\|_{L^\infty(J; (H^{k+1}(\Omega))^2)} \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We will take the state spaces $L^2(\mathbf{V}) = L^2(J; \mathbf{V})$ and $L^2(W) = L^2(J; W)$, where \mathbf{V} and W are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{V} = H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in (L^2(\Omega))^2, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}, \quad (11)$$

$$W = L^2(\Omega).$$

The Hilbert space \mathbf{V} is equipped with the following norm:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)} = \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0, \Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{0, \Omega}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (12)$$

We recast (1)–(5) as the following weak form: find $(\mathbf{p}, y, u) \in L^2(\mathbf{V}) \times L^2(W) \times K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{u \in K} \left\{ \int_0^T (g_1(\mathbf{p}) + g_2(y) + h(u)) dt \right\}, \\ (A^{-1} \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}) - (y, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \\ (y_{tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}, w) = (f + u, w), \quad \forall w \in W, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$y(x, 0) = y_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

$$y_t(x, 0) = y_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Hereafter, we assume that $h(u) = \int_{\Omega_U} j(u) dx$, where $j(\cdot)$ is a convex continuously differentiable function on \mathbb{R} . Then, it is easy to see that $(h'(u), \mathbf{v})_U = (j'(u), \mathbf{v})_U = \int_{\Omega_U} j'(u) \mathbf{v} dx$.

Taking into account the precious result in [20, 22], the optimal control problem (13) has a unique solution (\mathbf{p}, y, u) , and a triplet (\mathbf{p}, y, u) is the solution of (13) if and only if there

is a costate $(\mathbf{q}, z) \in L^2(\mathbf{V}) \times L^2(W)$ such that $(\mathbf{p}, y, \mathbf{q}, z, u)$ satisfies the following optimality conditions:

$$(A^{-1}\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}) - (y, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad (14)$$

$$(y_{tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}, w) = (f + u, w), \quad \forall w \in W, \quad (15)$$

$$y(x, 0) = y_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (16)$$

$$y_t(x, 0) = y_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (17)$$

$$(A^{-1}\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) - (z, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = -(g'_1(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad (18)$$

$$(z_{tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}, w) = (g'_2(y), w), \quad \forall w \in W, \quad (19)$$

$$z(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (20)$$

$$z_t(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (21)$$

$$\int_0^T (j'(u) + z, \tilde{u} - u)_U dt \geq 0, \quad \forall \tilde{u} \in K, \quad (22)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_U$ is the inner product of U and g'_1, g'_2 , and j' are the derivatives of g_1, g_2 , and j . For simplification, the product $(\cdot, \cdot)_U$ will be denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) .

For ease of exposition, we will assume that Ω and Ω_U are both polygons. Let \mathcal{T}_h and $\mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_U)$ be regular triangulations or rectangulations of Ω and Ω_U , respectively. They are assumed to satisfy the angle condition which means that there is a positive constant C such that, for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_h$ ($\tau_U \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_U)$), $C^{-1}h_\tau^2 \leq |\tau| \leq Ch_\tau^2$, $C^{-1}h_{\tau_U}^2 \leq |\tau_U| \leq Ch_{\tau_U}^2$, where $|\tau|$ is the area of τ , $|\tau_U|$ is the area of τ_U , h_τ is the diameter of τ , and h_{τ_U} is the diameter of τ_U . Let $h = \max h_\tau$ ($h_U = \max h_{\tau_U}$). In addition, C or c denotes a general positive constant independent of h .

Let $\mathbf{V}_h \times W_h \subset \mathbf{V} \times W$ denote the order k Raviart-Thomas space [23] associated with the triangulations or rectangulations \mathcal{T}_h of Ω . P_k denotes the space of polynomials of total degree at most k and $Q_{m,n}$ indicates the space of polynomials of degree no more than m and n in x and y , respectively. If τ is a triangle, $\mathbf{V}(\tau) = \{\mathbf{v} \in P_k^2(\tau) + x \cdot P_k(\tau)\}$, and if τ is a rectangle, $\mathbf{V}(\tau) = \{\mathbf{v} \in Q_{k+1,k}(\tau) \times Q_{k,k+1}(\tau)\}$, $W(\tau) = P_k(\tau)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_h &:= \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V} : \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}_h, \mathbf{v}_h|_\tau \in \mathbf{V}(\tau)\}, \\ W_h &:= \{w_h \in W : \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}_h, w_h|_\tau \in W(\tau)\}, \\ U_h &:= \{\tilde{u}_h \in U : \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega_U), \tilde{u}_h|_\tau \in W(\tau)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By the definition of finite element subspace, the mixed finite element discretization of (13) is as follows: compute $(\mathbf{p}_h, y_h, u_h) \in L^2(\mathbf{V}_h) \times L^2(W_h) \times K_h$ such that

$$\min_{u_h \in K_h \subset U_h} \left\{ \int_0^T (g_1(\mathbf{p}_h) + g_2(y_h) + h(u_h)) dt \right\},$$

$$(A^{-1}\mathbf{p}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) - (y_h, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h,$$

$$(y_{htt}, w_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}_h, w_h) = (f + u_h, w_h), \quad \forall w_h \in W_h,$$

$$y_h(x, 0) = y_0^h(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

$$y_{ht}(x, 0) = y_1^h(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

(24)

where $K_h = U_h \cap K$ and $y_0^h(x)$ and $y_1^h(x) \in W_h$ are two finite element approximations of $y_0(x)$ and $y_1(x)$.

It is well known (see, e.g., [7, 20]) that the optimal control problem (24) again has a unique solution (\mathbf{p}_h, y_h, u_h) and that a triplet (\mathbf{p}_h, y_h, u_h) is the solution of (24) if and only if there is a costate $(\mathbf{q}_h, z_h) \in L^2(\mathbf{V}_h) \times L^2(W_h)$ such that $(\mathbf{p}_h, y_h, \mathbf{q}_h, z_h, u_h)$ satisfies the following optimality conditions:

$$(A^{-1}\mathbf{p}_h, \mathbf{v}) - (y_h, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (25)$$

$$(y_{htt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}_h, w) = (f + u_h, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h, \quad (26)$$

$$y_h(x, 0) = y_0^h(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (27)$$

$$y_{ht}(x, 0) = y_1^h(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (28)$$

$$(A^{-1}\mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{v}) - (z_h, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = -(g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h), \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (29)$$

$$(z_{htt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}_h, w) = (g'_2(y_h), w), \quad \forall w \in W_h, \quad (30)$$

$$z_h(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (31)$$

$$z_{ht}(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (32)$$

$$\int_0^T (j'(u_h) + z_h, \tilde{u} - u_h)_U dt \geq 0, \quad \forall \tilde{u} \in K_h. \quad (33)$$

Let $P_h : W \rightarrow W_h$ be the orthogonal $L^2(\Omega)$ -projection into W_h defined by

$$(P_h w - w, \chi) = 0, \quad w \in W, \quad \chi \in W_h, \quad (34)$$

which satisfies

$$\|P_h w - w\|_{0,q} \leq C \|w\|_{s,q} h^s, \quad (35)$$

$$0 \leq s \leq k+1, \quad \text{if } w \in W \cap W^{s,q}(\Omega),$$

$$\|P_h w - w\|_{-r} \leq C \|w\|_s h^{r+s}, \quad (36)$$

$$0 \leq r, \quad s \leq k+1, \quad \text{if } w \in H^s(\Omega),$$

$$(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}, w - P_h w) = 0, \quad w \in W, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h. \quad (37)$$

Let $\pi_h : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_h$ be the Raviart-Thomas projection [24], which satisfies

$$(\operatorname{div}(\pi_h \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}), w_h) = 0, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad w_h \in W_h, \quad (38)$$

$$\|\pi_h \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\|_{0,q} \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{s,q} h^s,$$

$$\frac{1}{q} < s \leq k+1, \quad \text{if } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} \cap W^{s,q}(\Omega)^2, \quad (39)$$

$$\|\operatorname{div}(\pi_h \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v})\|_0 \leq C \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_s h^s,$$

$$0 \leq s \leq k+1, \quad \text{if } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} \cap H^s(\operatorname{div}; \Omega). \quad (40)$$

We have the commuting diagram property

$$\operatorname{div} \circ \pi_h = P_h \circ \operatorname{div} : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow W_h, \quad \operatorname{div} (I - \pi_h) \mathbf{V} \perp W_h, \quad (41)$$

where I denotes identity matrix. We point out $(\pi_h \mathbf{v})_t = \pi_h \mathbf{v}_t$ and $(P_h w)_t = P_h w_t$.

In the rest of the paper, we will use some intermediate variables. For any control function $\tilde{u} \in K$, we first define the state solution $(\mathbf{p}(\tilde{u}), y(\tilde{u}), \mathbf{q}(\tilde{u}), z(\tilde{u}))$ associated with \tilde{u} that satisfies

$$(A^{-1} \mathbf{p}(\tilde{u}), \mathbf{v}) - (y(\tilde{u}), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad (42)$$

$$(y_{tt}(\tilde{u}), w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}(\tilde{u}), w) = (f + \tilde{u}, w), \quad \forall w \in W, \quad (43)$$

$$y(\tilde{u})(x, 0) = y_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (44)$$

$$y_t(\tilde{u})(x, 0) = y_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (45)$$

$$(A^{-1} \mathbf{q}(\tilde{u}), \mathbf{v}) - (z(\tilde{u}), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = - (g'_1(\mathbf{p}(\tilde{u})), \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad (46)$$

$$(z_{tt}(\tilde{u}), w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}(\tilde{u}), w) = (g'_2(y(\tilde{u})), w), \quad \forall w \in W, \quad (47)$$

$$z(\tilde{u})(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (48)$$

$$z_t(\tilde{u})(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (49)$$

Correspondingly, we define the discrete state solution $(\mathbf{p}_h(\tilde{u}), y_h(\tilde{u}), \mathbf{q}_h(\tilde{u}), z_h(\tilde{u}))$ associated with $\tilde{u} \in K$ that satisfies

$$(A^{-1} \mathbf{p}_h(\tilde{u}), \mathbf{v}) - (y_h(\tilde{u}), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (50)$$

$$(y_{htt}(\tilde{u}), w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}_h(\tilde{u}), w) = (f + \tilde{u}, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h, \quad (51)$$

$$y_h(\tilde{u})(x, 0) = y_0^h(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (52)$$

$$y_{ht}(\tilde{u})(x, 0) = y_1^h(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (53)$$

$$(A^{-1} \mathbf{q}_h(\tilde{u}), \mathbf{v}) - (z_h(\tilde{u}), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = - (g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(\tilde{u})), \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (54)$$

$$(z_{htt}(\tilde{u}), w) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}_h(\tilde{u}), w) = (g'_2(y_h(\tilde{u})), w), \quad \forall w \in W_h, \quad (55)$$

$$z_h(\tilde{u})(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (56)$$

$$z_{ht}(\tilde{u})(x, T) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (57)$$

Thus, as we defined, the exact solution and its approximation can be written in the following way:

$$(\mathbf{p}, y, \mathbf{q}, z) = (\mathbf{p}(u), y(u), \mathbf{q}(u), z(u)), \quad (58)$$

$$(\mathbf{p}_h, y_h, \mathbf{q}_h, z_h) = (\mathbf{p}_h(u_h), y_h(u_h), \mathbf{q}_h(u_h), z_h(u_h)).$$

In the following, we further assume that g'_1, g'_2 , and j' are locally Lipschitz continuous, that $g''_1(\cdot)$ and $g''_2(\cdot)$ are bound functions on $(L^2(\Omega))^2$ and $L^2(\Omega)$, and that there is a $c > 0$ such that

$$(j'(u) - j'(v), u - v) \geq c \|u - v\|_{L^2(\Omega_U)}^2, \quad \forall u, v \in L^2(\Omega_U). \quad (59)$$

For $\varphi \in W_h$, we will write

$$\phi(\varphi) - \phi(\rho) = \tilde{\phi}'(\varphi)(\varphi - \rho), \quad (60)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}'(\varphi) = \int_0^1 \phi'(\varphi + s(\rho - \varphi)) ds$ is bounded function in $\overline{\Omega}$ [25].

3. A Priori Error Estimates

Now we will construct an analogue of the family of elliptic projection operators defined by Wheeler [26] in her thesis. Let $(\mathbf{p}, y, \mathbf{q}, z)$ be the solution of (14)–(18). Then, define the elliptic projection of $(\mathbf{p}, y, \mathbf{q}, z)$ to be (P, Y, Q, Z) by the following relations:

$$(A^{-1} P, \mathbf{v}_h) - (Y, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (61)$$

$$(\operatorname{div} P, w_h) = (f + u - y_{tt}, w_h), \quad \forall w_h \in W_h, \quad (62)$$

$$(A^{-1} Q, \mathbf{v}_h) - (Z, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h) = - (g'_1(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{v}_h), \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (63)$$

$$(\operatorname{div} Q, w_h) = (g'_2(y) - z_{tt}, w_h), \quad \forall w_h \in W_h, \quad (64)$$

where we assume that $Z(x, T) = Z_t(x, T) = 0$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= y - Y, & \sigma_1 &= \mathbf{p} - P, \\ \tau_2 &= z - Z, & \sigma_2 &= \mathbf{q} - Q. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

From (14)–(18) and (61)–(64), we can easily derive the following error equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{-1} \sigma_1, \mathbf{v}_h) - (\tau_1, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h) &= 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h, \\ (\operatorname{div} \sigma_1, w_h) &= 0, \quad \forall w_h \in W_h, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$(A^{-1} \sigma_2, \mathbf{v}_h) - (\tau_2, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h,$$

$$(\operatorname{div} \sigma_2, w_h) = 0, \quad \forall w_h \in W_h.$$

Estimates for $\tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ are given in [27] and are presented in Lemma 1 without proof.

Lemma 1. Assume that the optimal control problems (1)–(5) have a unique solution (\mathbf{p}, y, u) . For $t \in J$ and for h sufficiently small, there is a positive constant C independent of h such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_1\|_0 + \|\tau_1\|_0 &\leq Ch^{k+1}\|y\|_{k+2}, \quad \text{if } y \in H^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \|\sigma_2\|_0 + \|\tau_2\|_0 &\leq Ch^{k+1}\|y\|_{k+2}, \quad \text{if } y \in H^{k+2}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

By using Lemma 3 in [22], we can obtain the following technical results.

Lemma 2. For $t \in J$ and for h sufficiently small, if $y_t, y_{tt}, y_{ttt} \in H^{k+2}(\Omega)$, there is a positive constant C independent of h such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_{1t}\|_0 + \|\tau_{1t}\|_0 &\leq Ch^{k+1}\|y_t\|_{k+2}, \\ \|\sigma_{1tt}\|_0 + \|\tau_{1tt}\|_0 &\leq Ch^{k+1}\|y_{tt}\|_{k+2}, \\ \|\sigma_{1ttt}\|_0 + \|\tau_{1ttt}\|_0 &\leq Ch^{k+1}\|y_{ttt}\|_{k+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

By Theorem 3 in [28], we can establish the following useful result.

Lemma 3. Suppose $v \in L^2(J; H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(J; H^1(\Omega)^*)$. Then,

$$v \in C(J; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\|v(t)\|_0^2 = 2(v'(t), v(t)), \quad \forall t \in J. \quad (69)$$

Now, we investigate the intermediate error estimates between $(\mathbf{p}, y, \mathbf{q}, z)$ and the intermediate solution $(\mathbf{p}_h(u), y_h(u), \mathbf{q}_h(u), z_h(u))$. Benefit from the previous results in this section, we only need to estimate $\|P - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|, \|Y - y_h(u)\|$ and $\|P_h \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|, \|\pi_h z - z_h(u)\|$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= Y - y_h(u), & \beta_1 &= P - \mathbf{p}_h(u), \\ \alpha_2 &= P_h z - z_h(u), & \beta_2 &= \pi_h \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Lemma 4. Assume that the optimal control problems (1)–(5) have a unique solution (\mathbf{p}, y, u) and that Ω is 2-regular. Assume that the regularity assumptions (10) are valid. There is a positive constant $C > 0$, independent of h , such that

$$\|P - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} + \|Y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq Ch^{k+1}, \quad (71)$$

$$\|\pi_h \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} + \|P_h z - z_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq Ch^{k+1}. \quad (72)$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove the first inequality (71). From (61)–(62) and (50)–(51), we can derive the following error equations:

$$(A^{-1}\beta_1, \mathbf{v}) - (\alpha_1, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (73)$$

$$(\alpha_{1tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \beta_1, w) = -((y - Y)_{tt}, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h. \quad (74)$$

Differentiating (73) with respect to t , we obtain

$$(A^{-1}\beta_{1t}, \mathbf{v}) - (\alpha_{1t}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h. \quad (75)$$

Taking $t = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} = \beta_1(0)$ in (75) and choosing $y_0^h = Y(x, 0)$ and $y_1^h = Y_t(x, 0)$, we can derive that

$$\alpha_1(0) = \alpha_{1t}(0) = \beta_1(0) = \operatorname{div} \beta_1(0) = 0. \quad (76)$$

Next, taking $t = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} = \beta_{1t}(0)$ in (75) and choosing $y_0^h = Y(x, 0)$ and $y_1^h = Y_t(x, 0)$, we also find that $\beta_{1t}(0) = 0$. Now, choosing $w = \alpha_1$ and $\mathbf{v} = \beta_1$ as test functions in (73) and (74), we have

$$\|\alpha_{1t}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\beta_1\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \leq Ch^{k+1}\|y_{tt}\|_{L^2(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))}. \quad (77)$$

From (76), we find that $\alpha_1(0) = 0$, and then we have

$$\|\alpha_1\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\|\alpha_{1t}\|_{L^2(J; L^2(\Omega))}. \quad (78)$$

Then we obtain (71) from (77), (78), and the triangle inequality.

Furthermore, we prove the second inequality (72). By using (34), subtract (18)–(19) and (46)–(47) to get the following error equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{-1}\beta_2, \mathbf{v}) - (\alpha_2, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) &= - (A^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q}) + g'_1(\mathbf{p}) - g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(u)), \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h,$$

$$(\alpha_{2tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \beta_2, w) = (g'_2(y) - g'_2(y_h(u)), w), \quad (80)$$

$$\forall w \in W_h.$$

Noting that $\alpha_2(T) = P_h z(T) - z_h(u)(T) = 0$ and taking $t = T$ in (79), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{-1}\beta_2(T), \mathbf{v}) &= - (A^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q})(T) + g'_1(\mathbf{p}(T)) - g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(u)(T)), \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h.$$

By using Lemma 1 and (77), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\beta_2(T)\| \\
& \leq C \|(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q})(T)\| \\
& \quad + C \left\| g_1'(\mathbf{p}(T)) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - g_1'(\mathbf{p}_h(u)(T)) \right\| \\
& \leq C \|(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q})(T)\| \\
& \quad + C \left\| \tilde{g}_1''(\mathbf{p}(T))((\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u))(T)) \right\| \quad (82) \\
& \leq Ch^{k+1} \|\mathbf{q}\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))^2} \\
& \quad + C \|\mathbf{p} - P\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
& \quad + C \|P - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
& \leq Ch^{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $t = 0$ and $w = \alpha_{1tt}(0)$ in (74), since $\operatorname{div} \beta_1(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\alpha_{1tt}(0)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} & \leq C \|(y - Y)_{tt}(0)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\
& \leq Ch^{k+1} \|y_{tt}\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))}. \quad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (75) and (74) with respect to t , we obtain

$$(A^{-1} \beta_{1tt}, \mathbf{v}) - (\alpha_{1tt}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (84)$$

$$(\alpha_{1ttt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \beta_{1t}, w) = -((y - Y)_{ttt}, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h. \quad (85)$$

Selecting $\mathbf{v} = \beta_{1t}$ and $w = \alpha_{1tt}$ as test functions in (84) and (85), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{1ttt}, \alpha_{1tt}) + (A^{-1} \beta_{1tt}, \beta_{1t}) & = -((y - Y)_{ttt}, \alpha_{1tt}), \\
& \quad \forall w \in W_h. \quad (86)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (86) from 0 to t , using (83) and the Gronwall's Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\alpha_{1tt}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\beta_{1t}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
& \leq Ch^{k+1} \left(\|y_{tt}\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} + \|y_{ttt}\|_{L^2(J; H^{k+2}(\Omega))} \right). \quad (87)
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (79) with respect to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A^{-1} \beta_{2t}, \mathbf{v}) - (\alpha_{2t}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) \\
& = -\left(A^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q})_t + g_1'(\mathbf{p}_t) - g_1'(\mathbf{p}_{ht}(u)), \mathbf{v} \right), \quad (88) \\
& \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose $w = -\alpha_{2t}$ and $\mathbf{v} = -\beta_2$ as test function in (80) and (88), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\alpha_{2t}\| + \|A^{-1/2} \beta_2\| \right)^2 \\
& = -\left(g_2'(y) - g_2'(y_h(u)), \alpha_{2t} \right) \\
& \quad + \left(A^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q})_t + g_1'(\mathbf{p}_t) - g_1'(\mathbf{p}_{ht}(u)), \beta_2 \right) \quad (89) \\
& = -\left(\tilde{g}_2''(y)(y - y_h(u)), \alpha_{2t} \right) \\
& \quad + \left(A^{-1}(\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q})_t + \tilde{g}_1''(\mathbf{p}_t)(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u))_t, \beta_2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, integrating (89) from t into T , using (83) and (87), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\alpha_{2t}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\beta_2\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
& \leq C \left(\|y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{q}_t - \pi_h \mathbf{q}_t\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\|y - Y\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|Y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + h^{k+1} \|\mathbf{q}_t\|_{L^\infty(J; H^{k+1}(\Omega))^2} + \|\mathbf{p} - P\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|P - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} \right) \\
& \leq Ch^{k+1}. \quad (90)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $Z(x, T) = Z_t(x, T) = 0$; then $\alpha_2(T) = 0$. Since $\alpha_2(t) = \alpha_2(t) - \alpha_2(T) = -\int_t^T \alpha_{2s} ds$, we have

$$\|\alpha_2(t)\| \leq C \|\alpha_{2t}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))}. \quad (91)$$

Then we complete the proof by combining (90), (91), and the triangle inequality. \square

Using the Lemmas 1 and 4, we can also derive the following error estimates.

Theorem 5. *Assume that the optimal control problems (1)–(5) have a unique solution (\mathbf{p}, y, u) and that Ω is 2-regular. Assume that the regularity assumptions (10) are valid. There is a positive constant $C > 0$, independent of h , such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} + \|y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq Ch^{k+1}, \\
& \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))^2} + \|z - z_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq Ch^{k+1}. \quad (92)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Combining Lemmas 1 and 4, (35), (39), and the triangle inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{p} - P\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} \\ & \quad + \|P - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} \\ & \leq Ch^{k+1} + Ch^{k+1} \\ & = Ch^{k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq \|y - Y\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|Y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq Ch^{k+1} + Ch^{k+1} \\ & = Ch^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{q} - \pi_h \mathbf{q}\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} + \|\pi_h \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} \\ & \leq Ch^{k+1} + Ch^{k+1} \\ & = Ch^{k+1}, \\ & \|z - z_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq \|z - P_h z\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} + \|P_h z - z_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq Ch^{k+1} + Ch^{k+1} \\ & = Ch^{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

This proves (92). \square

By applying the results we have proved above, we only need to estimate $\|\mathbf{p}_h(u) - \mathbf{p}_h\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)}$, $\|y_h(u) - y_h\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))}$ and $\|\mathbf{q}_h(u) - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)}$, $\|z_h(u) - z_h\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))}$. For convenience, let

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= y_h(u) - y_h, & r_1 &= \mathbf{p}_h(u) - \mathbf{p}_h, \\ e_2 &= z_h(u) - z_h, & r_2 &= \mathbf{q}_h(u) - \mathbf{q}_h. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

Theorem 6. Let $(\mathbf{p}_h, y_h, \mathbf{q}_h, z_h, u_h) \in (\mathbf{V}_h \times W_h)^2 \times U_h$ be the solution of (25)–(33) and $(\mathbf{p}_h(u), y_h(u), \mathbf{q}_h(u), z_h(u)) \in (\mathbf{V} \times W)^2$ the solution of (50)–(57) with $\tilde{u} = u$. There is a constant $C > 0$, independent of h , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{p}_h(u) - \mathbf{p}_h\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} + \|y_h(u) - y_h\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J; L^2(\Omega_U))}, \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{q}_h(u) - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} + \|z_h(u) - z_h\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J; L^2(\Omega_U))}. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

Proof. From (25)–(26) and (50)–(51), we obtain the following error equations:

$$(A^{-1}r_1, \mathbf{v}) - (e_1, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (98)$$

$$(e_{1tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} r_1, w) = (u - u_h, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h. \quad (99)$$

Let $t = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} = r_1(0)$ in (98); since $e_1(0) = 0$, we have $r_1(0) = 0$. We differentiate (98) with respect to t , and we derive

$$(A^{-1}r_{1t}, \mathbf{v}) - (e_{1t}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h. \quad (100)$$

Choose $w = e_{1t}$ and $\mathbf{v} = r_1$ as test functions and add the two relations of (99) and (100); using the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|A^{1/2} r_1\|^2 + \|e_{1t}\|^2 \right) \leq \|u - u_h\|^2 + \|e_{1t}\|^2. \quad (101)$$

Integrating (101) with respect to time from 0 to t , we derive

$$\|r_1\|^2 + \|e_{1t}\|^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|u - u_h\|^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|e_{1t}\|^2 ds. \quad (102)$$

By using Gronwall's lemma to (102), we obtain

$$\|r_1\|_{L^\infty(J; (L^2(\Omega))^2)} + \|e_{1t}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J; L^2(\Omega_U))}. \quad (103)$$

Since $e_1(t) = e_1(t) - e_1(0) = \int_0^t e_{1s} ds$, using (103), we have

$$\|e_1\| \leq C \|e_{1t}\|_{L^\infty(J; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J; L^2(\Omega_U))}. \quad (104)$$

Then we derive (96).

From (29)–(30) and (54)–(55), we obtain the following error equations:

$$(A^{-1}r_2, \mathbf{v}) - (e_2, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = -(\widehat{g}'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(u)) r_1, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \quad (105)$$

$$(e_{2tt}, w) + (\operatorname{div} r_2, w) = (\widehat{g}'_2(y_h(u)) e_1, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h. \quad (106)$$

Let $t = T$ and $\mathbf{v} = r_2(T)$ in (105); since $e_2(T) = 0$, we have

$$\|A^{1/2} r_2(T)\| \leq C \|\widehat{g}'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(u))\| \cdot \|r_1(T)\| \leq C \|r_1(T)\|. \quad (107)$$

Introduce the symbol $\widehat{\varphi} := \int_t^T \varphi(s) ds$, let $\widehat{g}'_2 e_1 = \int_t^T \widehat{g}'_2(\mathbf{p}_h(u)) e_1 ds$, and integrate (105) with respect to time from t to T , and we obtain

$$-(e_{2t}, w) + (\operatorname{div} \widehat{r}_2, w) = (\widehat{g}'_2 e_1, w), \quad \forall w \in W_h. \quad (108)$$

Set $w = e_2$ in (108) and $\mathbf{v} = \widehat{r}_2$ in (105), note that $r_2 = -(d/dt)\widehat{r}_2$, and then add those equations to derive

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|e_2\|^2 + \|A^{1/2} \widehat{r}_2\|^2 \right) = (\widehat{g}'_2 e_1, e_2) - (r_1, \widehat{r}_2), \quad (109)$$

$$\forall w \in W_h.$$

Integrating (109) with respect to time from t to T , using (107) and Yong's inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e_2\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C \left(\|r_2(T)\| + \|e_1\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|r_1\|_{L^2(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\|r_1(T)\| + \|e_1\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|r_1\|_{L^2(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)} \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\|e_1\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|r_1\|_{L^2(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Choosing $\mathbf{v} = r_2$ and $w = e_2$ as test functions in (105) and (106), it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e_{2t}\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|r_2\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C \|e_1\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + C \|r_1\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)}^2 \\ & \quad + C\delta \left(\|e_2\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|r_2\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

where δ is an arbitrary small positive constant. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e_{2t}\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|r_2\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C \|e_1\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + C \|r_1\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}. \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

Combining (103)-(104) and (110)-(112), we derive (97). \square

In the following, we estimate $\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}$ and then obtain the following main result.

Theorem 7. Let $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{q}, z, u) \in (\mathbf{V} \times W)^2 \times U$ and $(\mathbf{p}_h, \mathbf{y}_h, \mathbf{q}_h, z_h, u_h) \in (\mathbf{V}_h \times W_h)^2 \times U_h$ be the solutions of (14)–(22) and (25)–(33), respectively. Assume that the regularity assumptions (10) and (59) are valid. Furthermore, one assumes that

$$u \in H^{k+1}(\Omega_U), \quad j'(u) + z \in H^{k+1}(\Omega_U). \quad (113)$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))} \leq C (h^{k+1} + h_U^{k+1}), \\ & \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)} + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C (h^{k+1} + h_U^{k+1}), \\ & \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;(L^2(\Omega))^2)} + \|z - z_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C (h^{k+1} + h_U^{k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

Proof. First, in (34), let $\chi = 1$, and we have

$$\int_{\Omega} P_h u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u \, dx. \quad (115)$$

Integrating (115) from 0 to T , we can obtain that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} P_h u \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u \, dx \, dt \geq 0. \quad (116)$$

Therefore, we know that $P_h u \in K_h$. Now we choose $\tilde{u} = u_h$ in (22) and $\tilde{u}_h = P_h u$ in (33) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (j'(u) + z, u_h - u)_U \, dt \geq 0, \\ & \int_0^T (j'(u_h) + z_h, P_h u - u_h)_U \, dt \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

By using (117) and the assumption (59), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^T (j'(u) - j'(u_h), u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & = \int_0^T (j'(u) + z, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z_h, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T (j'(u_h) + z_h, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z_h, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T (j'(u_h) + z_h, P_h u - u)_U \, dt \\ & = \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z_h, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T (j'(u_h) - j'(u), P_h u - u)_U \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T (j'(u) + z, P_h u - u)_U \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T (z_h - z, P_h u - u)_U \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

From (25)–(33) and (50)–(57), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z_h, u - u_h)_U \, dt \\ & = - \int_0^T (u - u_h, e_2)_U \, dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \int_0^T (e_{1tt}, e_2) dt - \int_0^T (\operatorname{div} r_1, e_2) dt \\
 &= - \int_0^T (e_{1tt}, e_2) dt - \int_0^T (\operatorname{div} r_1, e_2) dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (A^{-1} r_1, r_2) dt - \int_0^T (e_1, \operatorname{div} r_2) dt \\
 &= - \int_0^T (e_{2tt}, e_1) dt - \int_0^T (\operatorname{div} r_2, e_1) dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (A^{-1} r_2, r_1) dt - \int_0^T (e_2, \operatorname{div} r_1) dt \\
 &= - \int_0^T ((g'_2(y_h(u)) - g'_2(y_h), e_1) \\
 &\quad + (g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(u)) - g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h), r_1)) dt \\
 &= - \int_0^T ((g'_2(y_h(u)) - g'_2(y_h), y_h(u) - y_h) \\
 &\quad + (g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h(u)) - g'_1(\mathbf{p}_h), \mathbf{p}_h(u) - \mathbf{p}_h)) dt \\
 &\leq 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

where we used the fact that g_1 and g_2 are convex functionals. By using (119) and ε -Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &c \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}^2 \\
 &\leq \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z, u - u_h)_U dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (j'(u_h) - j'(u), P_h u - u)_U dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (j'(u) + z, P_h u - u)_U dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T (z_h(u) - z, P_h u - u)_U dt \\
 &\leq C \|z_h(u) - z\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\
 &\quad + C\varepsilon \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}^2 \\
 &\quad + C \int_0^T \|j'(u) + z\|_{k+1, \Omega_U} \|P_h u - u\|_{-k-1, \Omega_U} dt \\
 &\quad + C \|P_h u - u\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}^2 \\
 &\leq C \|z_h(u) - z\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\
 &\quad + C\varepsilon \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))}^2 + Ch_U^{2(k+1)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\|P_h u - u\|_{-k-1, \Omega_U} \leq Ch^{2(k+1)} \|u\|_{k+1, \Omega_U}$ has been used. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &c \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))} \\
 &\leq C \|z_h(u) - z\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))} + Ch_U^{k+1} \leq C (h^{k+1} + h_U^{k+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

From Theorems 5 and 6 and (122), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|y - y_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
 &\quad + \|z - z_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
 &\leq \|y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
 &\quad + \|z - z_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} \\
 &\quad + \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} + \|y_h(u) - y_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} \\
 &\quad + \|\mathbf{p}_h(u) - \mathbf{p}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
 &\quad + \|z_h(u) - z_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{q}_h(u) - \mathbf{q}_h\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
 &\leq \|y - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} \\
 &\quad + \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))^2} + \|z - z_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} \\
 &\quad + C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega_U))} \\
 &\leq C (h^{k+1} + h_U^{k+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Then we complete the proof. \square

4. Conclusion and Future Works

In this paper we presented a priori error estimate for mixed finite element approximation of the general linear hyperbolic optimal control problems (1)–(5). Using the elliptic projection operators and Gronwall’s Lemma, we have established some error estimate results for both the state and the costate discrete solutions and the control approximation. To the best of our knowledge in the context of optimal control problems, these a priori error estimates for the general hyperbolic optimal control problems are new. In our future work, we will use the fully discrete mixed finite element method to deal with nonlinear hyperbolic optimal control problems. Furthermore, we will consider a priori error estimates and superconvergence of these optimal control problems.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their thanks to the referees for their helpful suggestions, which led to improvements of the presentation. This work is supported by National Science Foundation of China (11201510), Chongqing Research Program of Basic Research and Frontier Technology (cstc2012jjA00003), Scientific and Technological Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (KJ121113), and Science and Technology Project of Wanzhou District of Chongqing (2013030050).

References

- [1] R. S. Falk, "Approximation of a class of optimal control problems with order of convergence estimates," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 44, pp. 28–47, 1973.
- [2] W. Alt and U. Mackenroth, "Convergence of finite element approximations to state constrained convex parabolic boundary control problems," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 718–736, 1989.
- [3] N. Arada, E. Casas, and F. Tröltzsch, "Error estimates for the numerical approximation of a semilinear elliptic control problem," *Computational Optimization and Applications*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 201–229, 2002.
- [4] H. Chen, R. Ewing, and R. Lazarov, "Superconvergence of mixed finite element methods for parabolic problems with nonsmooth initial data," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 495–521, 1998.
- [5] Y. Chen, Y. Huang, W. Liu, and N. Yan, "Error estimates and superconvergence of mixed finite element methods for convex optimal control problems," *Journal of Scientific Computing*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 382–403, 2010.
- [6] Y. Chen and W. Liu, "Error estimates and superconvergence of mixed finite element for quadratic optimal control," *International Journal of Numerical Analysis and Modeling*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 311–321, 2006.
- [7] Y. Chen and Z. Lu, "Error estimates of fully discrete mixed finite element methods for semilinear quadratic parabolic optimal control problem," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 199, no. 23–24, pp. 1415–1423, 2010.
- [8] Y. Chen and Z. Lu, "Error estimates for parabolic optimal control problem by fully discrete mixed finite element methods," *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 957–965, 2010.
- [9] Y. Chen, Z. Lu, and Y. Huang, "Superconvergence of triangular Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element methods for a bilinear constrained optimal control problem," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1498–1513, 2013.
- [10] G. Knowles, "Finite element approximation of parabolic time optimal control problems," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 414–427, 1982.
- [11] K. Kunisch, W. Liu, Y. Chang, N. Yan, and R. Li, "Adaptive finite element approximation for a class of parameter estimation problems," *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 645–675, 2010.
- [12] J. L. Lions, *Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1971.
- [13] W. Liu and N. Yan, "A posteriori error estimates for optimal control problems governed by parabolic equations," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 497–521, 2003.
- [14] Z. Lu and Y. Chen, "A posteriori error estimates of triangular mixed finite element methods for semilinear optimal control problems," *Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 242–256, 2009.
- [15] Z. Lu and Y. Chen, "A posteriori error estimates of lowest order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element methods for bilinear optimal control problems," *Numerical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 12, pp. 74–86, 2009.
- [16] Z. Lu, Y. Chen, and W. Zheng, "A posteriori error estimates of lowest order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element methods for bilinear optimal control problems," *East Asian Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 108–125, 2012.
- [17] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, *Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1991.
- [18] Y. Chen and W. Liu, "Posteriori error estimates for mixed finite elements of a quadratic optimal control problem," *Recent Progress in Computational and Applied PDES*, vol. 2, pp. 123–134, 2002.
- [19] Y. Chen and W. Liu, "A posteriori error estimates for mixed finite element solutions of convex optimal control problems," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 76–89, 2008.
- [20] T. Hou, "A posteriori $L^\infty(L^2)$ -error estimates of semidiscrete mixed finite element methods for hyperbolic optimal control problems," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 321–341, 2013.
- [21] X. Xing and Y. Chen, "Error estimates of mixed methods for optimal control problems governed by parabolic equations," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 735–754, 2008.
- [22] S. M. F. Garcia, "Improved error estimates for mixed finite-element approximations for nonlinear parabolic equations: the continuous-time case," *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 28, pp. 129–146, 1993.
- [23] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1977.
- [24] Y. Chen, "Mixed finite element methods for a strongly nonlinear parabolic problem," *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 209–220, 1999.
- [25] F. A. Milner, "Mixed finite element methods for quasilinear second-order elliptic problems," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 44, no. 170, pp. 303–320, 1985.
- [26] M. F. Wheeler, "A priori L_2 error estimates for Galerkin approximations to parabolic partial differential equations," *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 10, pp. 723–759, 1973.
- [27] F. A. Milner and E. J. Park, "A mixed finite element methods for a strongly nonlinear second order elliptic problem," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 211, pp. 973–988, 1995.
- [28] L. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1998.