## Research Article

# Oscillatory Behavior of Second-Order Nonlinear Neutral Differential Equations 

Tongxing Li ${ }^{1}$ and Yuriy V. Rogovchenko ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Mathematics, Linyi University, Linyi, Shandong 276005, China<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Agder, P.O. Box 422, 4604 Kristiansand, Norway

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuriy V. Rogovchenko; yuriy.rogovchenko@uia.no
Received 28 March 2014; Accepted 16 April 2014; Published 11 June 2014
Academic Editor: Qi-Ru Wang
Copyright © 2014 T. Li and Y. V. Rogovchenko. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.


#### Abstract

We study oscillatory behavior of solutions to a class of second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations under the assumptions that allow applications to differential equations with delayed and advanced arguments. New theorems do not need several restrictive assumptions required in related results reported in the literature. Several examples are provided to show that the results obtained are sharp even for second-order ordinary differential equations and improve related contributions to the subject.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the oscillation of a class of second-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left((x(t)+p(t) x(\eta(t)))^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}+f(t, x(g(t)))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{0}>0$. The increasing interest in problems of the existence of oscillatory solutions to second-order neutral differential equations is motivated by their applications in the engineering and natural sciences. We refer the reader to [121] and the references cited therein.

We assume that the following hypotheses are satisfied:
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right) \gamma$ is a quotient of odd natural numbers, the functions $r, p \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and $r(t)>0 ;$
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)$ the functions $\eta, g \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{h}_{3}\right)$ the function $f(t, u) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u f(t, u)>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \neq 0$ and there exists a positive continuous function $q(t)$ defined on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, u)| \geq q(t)|u|^{\gamma} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a solution of (1), we mean a function $x$ defined on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$ for some $T_{x} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x+p \cdot x \circ \eta$ and $r\left((x+p \cdot x \circ \eta)^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}$ are continuously differentiable and $x$ satisfies (1) for all $t \geq T_{x}$. In what follows, we assume that solutions of (1) exist and can be continued indefinitely to the right. Recall that a nontrivial solution $x$ of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it is not of the same sign eventually; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is termed oscillatory if all its nontrivial solutions are oscillatory.

Recently, Baculíková and Džurina [6] studied oscillation of a second-order neutral functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)(x(t)+p(t) x(\eta(t)))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x(g(t))=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) r, p, q \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), r(t)>0,0 \leq p(t) \leq p_{0}<\infty, \\
& \quad \text { and } q(t)>0 ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) g \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right) \text { and } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty ; \\
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \eta \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \eta^{\prime}(t) \geq \eta_{0}>0 \text {, and } \eta \circ g=g \circ \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

They established oscillation criteria for (5) through the comparison with associated first-order delay differential inequalities in the case where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1}(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Han et al. [9], Li et al. [15], and Sun et al. [20] obtained oscillation results for (5), one of which we present below for the convenience of the reader. We use the notation

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(t):=\min \{q(t), q(\eta(t))\}, \quad \rho_{+}^{\prime}(t):=\max \left\{0, \rho^{\prime}(t)\right\}, \\
\varphi(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} r^{-1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 1 (cf. [9, Theorem 3.1] and [20, Theorem 2.2]). Assume that conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ and (7) hold. Suppose also that $g(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq t$ and $g^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. If there exists a function $\rho \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} & {[\rho(s) Q(s)} \\
& \left.\quad-\left(1+\frac{p_{0}}{\eta_{0}}\right) \frac{r(g(s))\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho(s) g^{\prime}(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty  \tag{9}\\
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} & {\left[\varphi(s) Q(s)-\frac{1+\left(p_{0} / \eta_{0}\right)}{4 \varphi(s) r(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty }
\end{align*}
$$

then (5) is oscillatory.
Replacing (6) with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \gamma}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Baculíková and Džurina [7] extended results of [6] to a nonlinear neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left((x(t)+p(t) x(\tau(t)))^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are quotients of odd natural numbers. Hasanbulli and Rogovchenko [10] studied a more general second-order nonlinear neutral delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)(x(t)+p(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f(x(t), x(\sigma(t)))=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that $0 \leq p(t) \leq 1, \sigma(t) \leq t, \sigma^{\prime}(t)>0$, and (6) holds. To introduce oscillation results obtained for (1) by Erbe et al. [8], we need the following notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{D}:=\left\{(t, s): t \geq s \geq t_{0}\right\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_{0}:=\left\{(t, s): t>s \geq t_{0}\right\}, \\
& h_{-}(t, s):=\max \{0,-h(t, s)\}, \\
& \theta(t, u):=\frac{\int_{u}^{g(t)} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s}{\int_{u}^{t} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We say that a continuous function $H: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ belongs to the class $\mathfrak{H}$ if
(i) $H(t, t)=0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ and $H(t, s)>0$ for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{D}_{0}$;
(ii) $H$ has a nonpositive continuous partial derivative $\partial H / \partial s$ with respect to the second variable satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H(t, s)-H(t, s) \frac{\delta^{\prime}(s)}{\delta(s)}=\frac{h(t, s)}{\delta(s)}(H(t, s))^{\gamma /(\gamma+1)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $h \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ and for some $\delta \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)\right.$, $(0, \infty)$ ).

Theorem 2 (see [8, Theorem 2.2, when $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}]$ ). Let conditions (10) and $\left(h_{1}\right)-\left(h_{3}\right)$ hold. Suppose that $0 \leq p(t)<1, \eta(t) \leq$ $t$, and $g(t) \geq t$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \\
\times & \int_{T}^{t}\left[\delta(s) q(s) H(t, s)(1-p(g(s)))^{\gamma}\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \left.-\frac{r(s)\left(h_{-}(t, s)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^{\gamma}(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Theorem 3 (see [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}]$ ). Let conditions (10) and $\left(h_{1}\right)-\left(h_{3}\right)$ be satisfied. Suppose also that $0 \leq p(t)<1$, $\eta(t) \leq t$, and $g(t) \leq t$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T_{*} \geq t_{0}$ and for some $T>T_{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} & \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \\
\times & \int_{T}^{t}\left[\delta(s) \theta^{\gamma}\left(s, T_{*}\right) H(t, s) q(s)(1-p(g(s)))^{\gamma}\right.  \tag{16}\\
& \left.\quad-\frac{r(s)\left(h_{-}(t, s)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^{\gamma}(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty,
\end{align*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \gamma}(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Li et al. [16] extended results of [10] to a nonlinear neutral delay differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r(t)\left((x(t)+p(t) x(t-\tau))^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{18}\\
& \quad+q(t) f(x(t), x(\sigma(t)))=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma \geq 1$ is a ratio of odd natural numbers. Han et al. [9, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2] established sufficient conditions for
the oscillation of (1) provided that (17) is satisfied, $0 \leq p(t)<$ 1 , and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t)=t-\tau \leq t, \quad p^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad g(t) \leq t-\tau . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Xu and Meng [21] studied (1) under the assumptions that (17) holds, $0 \leq p(t)<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t)=t-\tau \leq t, \quad p^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)=A \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtaining sufficient conditions for all solutions of (1) either to be oscillatory or to satisfy $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$; see [21, Theorem 2.3]. Saker [17] investigated oscillatory nature of (1) assuming that (17) is satisfied,

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq p(t)<1, \quad p^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 \\
g(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq t, \quad \eta^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{T}^{s} q(u)(1-p(u))^{\gamma} \varphi^{\gamma}(u) \mathrm{d} u\right)^{1 / \gamma} \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $T \geq t_{0}$, where $\varphi(u):=\int_{u}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \gamma}(t) \mathrm{d} t$. Li et al. [12] studied oscillation of (1) under the conditions that (17) holds, $\eta$ and $g$ are strictly increasing, $p(t)>1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either } \quad g(t) \geq \eta(t) \quad \text { or } \quad g(t) \leq \eta(t) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Li et al. [13] investigated (1) in the case where $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold, $\gamma \geq 1, \eta(t) \geq t$, and $g(t) \geq t$. In particular, sufficient conditions for all solutions of (1) either to be oscillatory or to satisfy $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0$ were obtained under the assumptions that (17) holds and $0 \leq p(t) \leq p_{1}<1$; see [13, Theorem 3.8]. Sun et al. [19] established several oscillation results for (1) assuming that $\left(\mathrm{h}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),(17)$, and (23) are satisfied. The following notation is used in the next theorem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(t):=\min \{q(t), q(\eta(t))\}, \quad \rho_{+}^{\prime}(t):=\max \left\{0, \rho^{\prime}(t)\right\}, \\
\varphi(t):=\int_{\tau(t)}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4 (see [19, Theorem 4.1]). Let conditions $\left(h_{3}\right)$, $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$, and (17) be satisfied. Assume also that $\gamma \geq 1$, $g(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq t$, and $g^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. Suppose further that there exist functions $\rho \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $\tau \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\tau(t) \geq t, \tau^{\prime}(t)>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}[ & \frac{\rho(s) Q(s)}{2^{\gamma-1}}-\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\gamma}}{\eta_{0}}\right) \\
& \left.\times \frac{r(g(s))\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}\left(\rho(s) g^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\gamma}}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty  \tag{25}\\
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} & {\left[\frac{\varphi^{\gamma}(s) Q(s)}{2^{\gamma-1}}-\left(1+\frac{p_{0}^{\gamma}}{\eta_{0}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\times \frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1} \tau^{\prime}(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \varphi(s) r^{1 / \gamma}(\tau(s))}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.

Our principal goal in this paper is to analyze the oscillatory behavior of solutions to (1) in the case where (17) holds and without assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),(19)-(23)$, and $\gamma \geq 1$.

## 2. Oscillation Criteria

In what follows, all functional inequalities are tacitly assumed to hold for all $t$ large enough, unless mentioned otherwise. We use the notation

$$
\begin{gather*}
z(t):=x(t)+p(t) x(\eta(t)), \\
R(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

A continuous function $K: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to belong to the class $\mathfrak{\Omega}$ if
(i) $K(t, t)=0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ and $K(t, s)>0$ for $(t, s) \in \mathbb{D}_{0}$;
(ii) $K$ has a nonpositive continuous partial derivative $\partial K / \partial s$ with respect to the second variable satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} K(t, s)=-\zeta(t, s)(K(t, s))^{\gamma /(\gamma+1)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\zeta \in L_{\text {loc }}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$.
Theorem 5. Let all assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose that there exists a function $m \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m(t)}{r^{1 / \gamma}(t) R(t)}+m^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad 1-p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)}>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists a function $K \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} & {\left[K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}\right.} \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)}\right)^{\gamma}-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(\eta(t))>0$, and $x(g(t))>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then $z(t) \geq x(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$, and by virtue of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{\prime} \leq-q(t) x^{\gamma}(g(t))<0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}$ is strictly decreasing for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Hence, $z^{\prime}(t)$ does not change sign eventually; that is, there
exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that either $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ or $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. We consider each of the two cases separately.

Case 1. Assume first that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Proceeding as in the proof of [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}]$, we obtain a contradiction to (15).

Case 2. Assume now that $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. For $t \geq t_{2}$, define a new function $\omega(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(t):=\frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}}{z^{\gamma}(t)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\omega(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$, and it follows from (30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(s) \leq\left(\frac{r(t)}{r(s)}\right)^{1 / \gamma} z^{\prime}(t) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq t \geq t_{2}$. Integrating (32) from $t$ to $l, l \geq t \geq t_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(l) \leq z(t)+r^{1 / \gamma}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \int_{t}^{l} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{r^{1 / \gamma}(s)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit as $l \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)+r^{1 / \gamma}(t) z^{\prime}(t) R(t) \geq 0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)} \geq-\frac{1}{r^{1 / \gamma}(t) R(t)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{z(t)}{m(t)}\right)^{\prime} & =\frac{z^{\prime}(t) m(t)-z(t) m^{\prime}(t)}{m^{2}(t)}  \tag{36}\\
& \geq-\frac{z(t)}{m^{2}(t)}\left[\frac{m(t)}{r^{1 / \gamma}(t) R(t)}+m^{\prime}(t)\right] \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, there exists a $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$ such that, for all $t \geq t_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =z(t)-p(t) x(\eta(t)) \geq z(t)-p(t) z(\eta(t)) \\
& \geq z(t)-p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)} z(t) \\
& =\left(1-p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)}\right) z(t) \\
& \frac{z(g(t))}{z(t)} \geq \frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating (31) and using (30), we have, for all $t \geq t_{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(t) \leq & -q(t)\left(1-p(g(t)) \frac{m(\eta(g(t)))}{m(g(t))}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& -\frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}\left(z^{\gamma}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{2 \gamma}(t)} \\
= & -q(t)\left(1-p(g(t)) \frac{m(\eta(g(t)))}{m(g(t))}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& -\gamma \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{z^{\gamma+1}(t)} . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (31) and (38), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(t) & +q(t)\left(1-p(g(t)) \frac{m(\eta(g(t)))}{m(g(t))}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{m(g(t))}{m(t)}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& +\gamma r^{-1 / \gamma}(t) \omega^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma}(t) \leq 0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{3}$. Multiplying (39) by $K(t, s)$ and integrating the resulting inequality from $t_{3}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{t_{3}}^{t} & K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& \times\left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & K\left(t, t_{3}\right) \omega\left(t_{3}\right)+\int_{t_{3}}^{t} \frac{\partial K(t, s)}{\partial s} \omega(s) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{40}\\
& \quad-\int_{t_{3}}^{t} \gamma K(t, s) r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \omega^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
= & K\left(t, t_{3}\right) \omega\left(t_{3}\right)-\int_{t_{3}}^{t} \zeta(t, s)(K(t, s))^{\gamma /(\gamma+1)} \omega(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \quad-\int_{t_{3}}^{t} \gamma K(t, s) r^{-1 / \gamma}(s)(-\omega(s))^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma} \mathrm{d} s
\end{array}
$$

In order to use the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma} A B^{1 / \gamma}-A^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} B^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma}, \quad \gamma>0, A \geq 0, B \geq 0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Li et al. [16, Lemma 1 (ii)] for details; we let

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma} & :=\gamma K(t, s) r^{-1 / \gamma}(s)(-\omega(s))^{(\gamma+1) / \gamma} \\
& B^{1 / \gamma}:=\frac{\gamma \zeta(t, s) r^{1 /(\gamma+1)}(s)}{(\gamma+1) \gamma^{\gamma /(\gamma+1)}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by virtue of (40), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{3}}^{t} & {\left[K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}\right.} \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)}\right)^{\gamma}-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s  \tag{43}\\
& \leq K\left(t, t_{3}\right) \omega\left(t_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts (29). This completes the proof.
Theorem 6. Let all assumptions of Theorem 3 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose further that there exists a function $m \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that (28) holds. If there exists a function $K \in \Omega$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} & {\left[K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}\right.} \\
& \left.-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5 and hence is omitted.

Theorem 7. Let conditions (10) and $\left(h_{1}\right)-\left(h_{3}\right)$ be satisfied, $0 \leq$ $p(t)<1, \eta(t) \geq t$, and $g(t) \geq t$. Assume that there exists a function $m \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T_{*} \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{m(t)}{r^{1 / \gamma}(t) \int_{T_{*}}^{t} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s}-m^{\prime}(t) \leq 0  \tag{45}\\
1-p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)}>0
\end{gather*}
$$

If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \int_{T}^{t} & {[ }
\end{array} \quad \delta(s) q(s) H(t, s)\right] \text {. } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \times\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& \left.-\frac{r(s)\left(h_{-}(t, s)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^{\gamma}(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{46}
\end{aligned}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Without loss of generality, assume again that (1) possesses a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ such that $x(t)>0$, $x(\eta(t))>0$, and $x(g(t))>0$ on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$.

Then, for all $t \geq t_{1}$, (30) is satisfied and $z(t) \geq x(t)>0$. It follows from (10) that there exists a $T_{*} \geq t_{1}$ such that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq T_{*}$. By virtue of (30), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
z(t) & =z\left(T_{*}\right)+\int_{T_{*}}^{t} \frac{\left(r(s)\left(z^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{1 / \gamma}}{r^{1 / \gamma}(s)} \mathrm{d} s  \tag{47}\\
& \geq r^{1 / \gamma}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \int_{T_{*}}^{t} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{z(t)}{m(t)}\right)^{\prime} & =\frac{z^{\prime}(t) m(t)-z(t) m^{\prime}(t)}{m^{2}(t)} \\
& \leq \frac{z(t)}{m^{2}(t)}\left[\frac{m(t)}{r^{1 / \gamma}(t) \int_{T_{*}}^{t} r^{-1 / \gamma}(s) \mathrm{d} s}-m^{\prime}(t)\right] \leq 0 \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =z(t)-p(t) x(\eta(t)) \\
& \geq z(t)-p(t) z(\eta(t))  \tag{49}\\
& \geq\left(1-p(t) \frac{m(\eta(t))}{m(t)}\right) z(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $t \geq T_{*}$, define a new function $u(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t):=\delta(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}}{z^{\gamma}(t)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u(t)>0$ for all $t \geq T_{*}$, and the rest of the proof is similar to that of $[8$, Theorem 2.2 , case $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}]$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 8. Let conditions (10) and $\left(h_{1}\right)-\left(h_{3}\right)$ be satisfied. Suppose also that $0 \leq p(t)<1, \eta(t) \geq t, g(t) \leq t$, and there exists a function $m \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that $(45)$ holds for all sufficiently large $T_{*} \geq t_{0}$. If there exists a function $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for some $T>T_{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \int_{T}^{t}[ & \delta(s) \theta^{\gamma}\left(s, T_{*}\right) q(s) H(t, s) \\
& \times\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}  \tag{51}\\
& \left.-\frac{r(s)\left(h_{-}(t, s)\right)^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1} \delta^{\gamma}(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty
\end{align*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof runs as in Theorem 7 and [8, Theorem 2.2, case $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}]$ and thus is omitted.

Theorem 9. Let all assumptions of Theorem 7 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose that there exist a function $K \in \mathfrak{\Re}$ and a function $\phi \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(t)}{r^{1 / \gamma}(t) R(t)}+\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} & {\left[K(t, s) q(s)(1-p(g(s)))^{\gamma}\right.} \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{\phi(g(s))}{\phi(s)}\right)^{\gamma}-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Without loss of generality, assume as above that (1) possesses a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ such that $x(t)>0$, $x(\eta(t))>0$, and $x(g(t))>0$ on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Then, for all $t \geq t_{1}$, (30) is satisfied and $z(t) \geq x(t)>0$. Therefore, the function $r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\gamma}$ is strictly decreasing for all $t \geq t_{1}$, and so there exists a $T_{*} \geq t_{1}$ such that either $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ or $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq T_{*}$. Assume first that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq T_{*}$. As in the proof of Theorem 7, we obtain a contradiction with (46). Assume now that $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq T_{*}$. For $t \geq T_{*}$, define $\omega(t)$ by (31). By virtue of $\eta(t) \geq t$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =z(t)-p(t) x(\eta(t)) \\
& \geq z(t)-p(t) z(\eta(t))  \tag{54}\\
& \geq(1-p(t)) z(t)
\end{align*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 5 and hence is omitted.

Theorem 10. Let all assumptions of Theorem 8 be satisfied with condition (10) replaced by (17). Suppose that there exists a function $K \in \Re$ such that, for all sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} & {\left[K(t, s) q(s)(1-p(g(s)))^{\gamma}\right.}  \tag{55}\\
& \left.-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s>0
\end{align*}
$$

Then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof resembles those of Theorems 5 and 9.
Remark 11. One can obtain from Theorems 5 and 6 various oscillation criteria by letting, for instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t)=R(t) . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, several oscillation criteria are obtained from Theorems 7-10 with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t)=\int_{T_{*}}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{r^{1 / \gamma}(s)}, \quad \phi(t)=R(t) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Examples and Discussion

The following examples illustrate applications of theoretical results presented in this paper.

Example 1. For $t \geq 1$, consider a neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2}\left(x(t)+p_{0} x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{0} x(2 t)=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0} \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $q_{0}>0$ are constants. Here, $\gamma=1$, $r(t)=t^{2}, p(t)=p_{0}, \eta(t)=t / 2, q(t)=q_{0}$, and $g(t)=2 t$. Let $m(t)=t^{-1}$ and $K(t, s)=s^{-1}(t-s)^{2}$. Then $\zeta(t, s)=2 s^{-1 / 2}+$ $s^{-3 / 2}(t-s)$ and, for all sufficiently large $T \geq 1$ and for all $q_{0}$ satisfying $q_{0}\left(1-2 p_{0}\right)>1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} {\left[K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}\right.} \\
&\left.\times\left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)}\right)^{\gamma}-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
&=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t}\left[\frac{q_{0}\left(1-2 p_{0}\right)}{2} \frac{(t-s)^{2}}{s}-s\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{(t-s)^{2}}{4 s}-(t-s)\right] \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, letting $H(t, s)=s^{-1}(t-s)^{2}$ and $\delta(t)=1$, we observe that condition (15) is satisfied for $q_{0}\left(1-2 p_{0}\right)>$ $1 / 2$. Hence, by Theorem 5 , we conclude that (58) is oscillatory provided that $q_{0}\left(1-2 p_{0}\right)>1 / 2$. Observe that results reported in $[9,12,17,21]$ cannot be applied to (58) since $p(t)<1$ and conditions (19)-(22) fail to hold for this equation.

Example 2. For $t \geq 1$, consider a neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{3}\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{8} x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{0} t x\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)=0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}>0$ is a constant. Here, $\gamma=1, r(t)=t^{3}, p(t)=1 / 8$, $\eta(t)=t / 2, q(t)=q_{0} t$, and $g(t)=t / 3$. Let $m(t)=t^{-2} / 2$ and $K(t, s)=s^{-2}(t-s)^{2}$. Then $\zeta(t, s)=2 s^{-1}+2 s^{-2}(t-s)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} {\left[K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}\right.} \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
&=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t}\left[\frac{q_{0}(t-s)^{2}}{2 s}-s-\frac{(t-s)^{2}}{s}-2(t-s)\right] \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

whenever $q_{0}>2$. Let $H(t, s)=s^{-2}(t-s)^{2}$ and $\delta(t)=1$. Then (16) is satisfied for $q_{0}>2$. Therefore, using Theorem 6 , we
deduce that (60) is oscillatory if $q_{0}>2$, whereas Theorems 1 and 4 yield oscillation of (60) for $q_{0}>5 / 2$, so our oscillation result is sharper.

Example 3. For $t \geq 1$, consider the Euler differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q_{0} x(t)=0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{0}>0$ is a constant. Here, $\gamma=1, r(t)=t^{2}, p(t)=0$, $q(t)=q_{0}$, and $g(t)=t$. Choose $m(t)=t^{-1}$ and $K(t, s)=$ $s^{-1}(t-s)^{2}$. Then $\zeta(t, s)=2 s^{-1 / 2}+s^{-3 / 2}(t-s)$, and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t} {\left[K(t, s) q(s)\left(1-p(g(s)) \frac{m(\eta(g(s)))}{m(g(s))}\right)^{\gamma}\right.} \\
&\left.\times\left(\frac{m(g(s))}{m(s)}\right)^{\gamma}-\frac{r(s)(\zeta(t, s))^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
&=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t}\left[\frac{q_{0}(t-s)^{2}}{s}-s-\frac{(t-s)^{2}}{4 s}-(t-s)\right] \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that $q_{0}>1 / 4$. Let $H(t, s)=s^{-1}(t-s)^{2}$ and $\delta(t)=1$. Then (15) holds for $q_{0}>1 / 4$. It follows from Theorem 5 that (62) is oscillatory for $q_{0}>1 / 4$, and it is well known that this condition is the best possible for the given equation. However, results of Saker [17] do not allow us to arrive at this conclusion due to condition (22).

Remark 12. In this paper, using an integral averaging technique, we derive several oscillation criteria for the secondorder neutral equation (1) in both cases (10) and (17). Contrary to $[9,12,15,17,19-21]$, we do not impose restrictive conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and (19)-(23) in our oscillation results. This leads to a certain improvement compared to the results in the cited papers. However, to obtain new results in the case where (17) holds, we have to impose an additional assumption on the function $p$; that is, $p(t)<m(t) / m(\eta(t))$. The question regarding the study of oscillatory properties of (1) with other methods that do not require this assumption remains open at the moment.
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