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We present the largest values $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, and $\alpha_{3}$ and the smallest values $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, and $\beta_{3}$ such that the double inequalities $\alpha_{1} M(a, b)+$ $\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) H(a, b)<A(a, b)<\beta_{1} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) H(a, b), \alpha_{2} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) \bar{H}(a, b)<A(a, b)<\beta_{2} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) \bar{H}(a, b)$, and $\alpha_{3} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) H e(a, b)<A(a, b)<\beta_{3} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) H e(a, b)$ hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $M(a, b), A(a, b)$, $H e(a, b), H(a, b)$ and $\bar{H}(a, b)$ denote the Neuman-Sándor, arithmetic, Heronian, harmonic, and harmonic root-square means of $a$ and $b$, respectively.

## 1. Introduction

For $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ the Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$ [1] is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b)=\frac{a-b}{2 \sinh ^{-1}((a-b) /(a+b))} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sinh ^{-1}(x)=\log \left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}+1}\right)$ is the inverse hyperbolic sine function.

Recently, the Neuman-Sándor mean has been the object intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$ can be found in the literature [1-10].

Let $\bar{H}(a, b)=\sqrt{2} a b / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}, H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)$, $G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}, \operatorname{He}(a, b)=(a+\sqrt{a b}+b) / 3, L(a, b)=$ $(b-a) /(\log b-\log a), P(a, b)=(a-b) /[4 \arctan \sqrt{a / b}-$ $\pi], A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2, T(a, b)=(a-b) /[2 \arctan ((a-$ b)/(a+b))], $Q(a, b)=\sqrt{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2}$, and $C(a, b)=$ $\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) /(a+b)$ be the harmonic root-square, harmonic, geometric, Heronian, logarithmic, first Seiffert, arithmetic,
second Seiffert, quadratic, and contraharmonic means of $a$ and $b$, respectively. Then it is known that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{H}(a, b) & <H(a, b)<G(a, b)<L(a, b) \\
& <H e(a, b)<P(a, b)<A(a, b)  \tag{2}\\
& <M(a, b)<T(a, b)<Q(a, b)<C(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Neuman and Sándor [1,2] proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\pi}{4 \log (1+\sqrt{2})} T(a, b)<M(a, b)<\frac{A(a, b)}{\log (1+\sqrt{2})}, \\
\sqrt{2 T^{2}(a, b)-Q^{2}(a, b)}<M(a, b)<\frac{T^{2}(a, b)}{Q(a, b)}, \\
H(T(a, b), A(a, b))<M(a, b)<L(A(a, b), Q(a, b)), \\
H(M(a, b), Q(a, b))<T(a, b), \quad M(a, b)<\frac{A^{2}(a, b)}{P(a, b)},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
A^{2 / 3}(a, b) Q^{1 / 3}(a, b)<M(a, b)<\frac{2 A(a, b)+Q(a, b)}{3}, \\
\sqrt{A(a, b) T(a, b)}<M(a, b)<\sqrt{A^{2}(a, b)+T^{2}(a, b)}, \\
\frac{G(x, y)}{G(1-x, 1-y)}<\frac{L(x, y)}{L(1-x, 1-y)}<\frac{P(x, y)}{P(1-x, 1-y)} \\
<\frac{A(x, y)}{A(1-x, 1-y)}<\frac{M(x, y)}{M(1-x, 1-y)} \\
<\frac{T(x, y)}{T(1-x, 1-y)}, \\
\frac{1}{A(1-x, 1-y)}-\frac{1}{A(x, y)}<\frac{1}{M(1-x, 1-y)}-\frac{1}{M(x, y)} \\
<\frac{1}{T(1-x, 1-y)}-\frac{1}{T(x, y)} \\
A(x, y) A(1-x, 1-y)<M(x, y) M(1-x, 1-y) \\ \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ and $x, y \in(0,1 / 2]$ with $a \neq b$ and $x \neq y$. All the results stated above are in fact particular cases of more general and stronger results for the Schwab-Borchardt means [ 1,2 ]. Some of them are based on the sequential method of Sándor [11]. In particular, Neuman and Sándor [1] also found that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(a, b)<L\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n \geq 0$ and $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $a_{0}=Q(a, b)$, $b_{0}=A(a, b), a_{n+1}=\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) / 2$, and $b_{n+1}=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}}$.

Li et al. [3] proved that the double inequality $L_{p_{0}}(a, b)<$ $M(a, b)<L_{2}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $L_{p}(a, b)=\left[\left(b^{p+1}-a^{p+1}\right) /((p+1)(b-a))\right]^{1 / p}(p \neq-1,0)$, $L_{0}(a, b)=1 / e\left(b^{b} / a^{a}\right)^{1 /(b-a)}$, and $L_{-1}(a, b)=(b-a) /(\log b-$ $\log a)$ is the $p$ th generalized logarithmic mean of $a$ and $b ; p_{0}=$ $1.843 \cdots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(p+1)^{1 / p}=$ $2 \log (1+\sqrt{2})$.

In [4], Neuman proved that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha Q(a, b)+(1-\alpha) A(a, b) \\
& \quad<M(a, b)<\beta Q(a, b)+(1-\beta) A(a, b) \\
& \lambda C(a, b)+(1-\lambda) A(a, b)  \tag{5}\\
& \quad<M(a, b)<\mu C(a, b)+(1-\mu) A(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq[1-\log (1+$ $\sqrt{2})] /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \log (1+\sqrt{2})]=0.3249 \cdots, \beta \geq 1 / 3, \lambda \leq[1-$ $\log (1+\sqrt{2})] / \log (1+\sqrt{2})=0.1345 \cdots$, and $\mu \geq 1 / 6$.

The main purpose of this paper is to find the largest values $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, and $\alpha_{3}$ and the smallest values $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, and $\beta_{3}$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) H(a, b) \\
& \quad<A(a, b)<\beta_{1} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) H(a, b), \\
& \alpha_{2} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) \bar{H}(a, b) \\
& \quad<A(a, b)<\beta_{2} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) \bar{H}(a, b),  \tag{6}\\
& \alpha_{3} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) H e(a, b) \\
& \quad<A(a, b)<\beta_{3} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) H e(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. All numerical computations are carried out using Mathematical software.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to establish our main results we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 1 (see [12, Lemma 1.1]). Suppose that the power series $f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$ have the radius of convergence $r>0$ and $a_{n}, b_{n}>0$ for all $n \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. Let $h(x)=f(x) / g(x)$; then the following hold.
(1) If the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing), then $h(x)$ is also (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r)$.
(2) If the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) for $0<n \leq n_{0}$ and (strictly) decreasing (increasing) for $n>n_{0}$, then there exists $x_{0} \in(0, r)$ such that $h(x)$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on ( $0, x_{0}$ ) and (strictly) decreasing (increasing) on $\left(x_{0}, r\right)$.

## Lemma 2. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{t[\cosh (2 t)+2 \cosh (t)-3]}{\sinh (2 t)+t \cosh (2 t)-3 t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly decreasing on $(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$, where $\sinh (t)=\left(e^{t}-\right.$ $\left.e^{-t}\right) / 2$ and $\cosh (t)=\left(e^{t}+e^{-t}\right) / 2$ denote the hyperbolic sine and hyperbolic cosine functions, respectively.

Proof. Making use of power series $\sinh (t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{2 n+1} /(2 n+$ $1)$ ! and $\cosh (t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{2 n} /(2 n)$ !, the function $g(t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(2^{2 n+2}+2\right) /(2 n+2)!\right] t^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[(2 n+5) 2^{2 n+2} /(2 n+3)!\right] t^{2 n}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\frac{2^{2 n+2}+2}{(2 n+2)!}, \quad b_{n}=\frac{(2 n+5) 2^{2 n+2}}{(2 n+3)!} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then simple computation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}-\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}=\frac{c_{n}}{(2 n+5)(2 n+7) 2^{2 n+3}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=2^{2 n+5}-\left(12 n^{2}+60 n+59\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (11) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{0}=-27, \quad c_{1}=-3,  \tag{12}\\
c_{n} \geq 128 n^{2}-\left(12 n^{2}+60 n+59\right)=116 n^{2}-60 n-59>0 \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $n \geq 2$.
Equations (10) and (12) together with inequality (13) lead to the conclusion that the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq n \leq 1$ and strictly increasing for $n \geq 2$. Then from Lemma 1(2) and (8) together with (9) we clearly see that there exists $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $g(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ and strictly increasing on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Let $t^{*}=\log (1+\sqrt{2})$. Then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\sinh \left(t^{*}\right) & =1,  \tag{14}\\
\sinh \left(2 t^{*}\right) & =2 \sqrt{2},
\end{align*} \quad \cosh \left(t^{*}\right)=\sqrt{2}, ~\left(2 t^{*}\right)=3 . ~ \$
$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)=-2 t^{* 2}+(2-\sqrt{2}) t^{*}+1=-0.03734 \cdots<0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the piecewise monotonicity of $g(t)$ and inequality (15) we clearly see that $t^{*}=\log (1+\sqrt{2})<t_{0}$, which implies that $g(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$.

Lemma 3. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1-t^{2}}>1-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}-\frac{t^{6}}{16}-\frac{5 t^{8}}{128}-\frac{35 t^{10}}{128} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $t \in(0,1)$.
Proof. Simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}-\frac{t^{6}}{16}-\frac{5 t^{8}}{128}-\frac{35 t^{10}}{128}\right)^{2} \\
& =1-t^{2}-\frac{t^{10}}{16384} \\
& \quad \times\left(8064-4704 t^{2}-1200 t^{4}-585 t^{6}-350 t^{8}-1225 t^{10}\right) \\
& <1-t^{2}-\frac{t^{10}}{16384}(8064-4704-1200-585-350-1225) \\
& <1-t^{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
Lemma 4. The function $f(t)=\left[t-\sinh ^{-1}(t)\right] /[(1-$ $\left.\left.\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(t)\right]$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$.

Proof. Differentiating $f(t)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(t) \\
& =\left(t\left(1-t^{2}-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\left[t \sinh ^{-1}(t)+\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-1\right] \sinh ^{-1}(t)\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\left(1-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)^{2} \sqrt{1-t^{4}}\left[\sinh ^{-1}(t)\right]^{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the power series

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{1+t^{2}} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(2 n)!}{(2 n-1) 4^{n}(n!)^{2}} t^{2 n}  \tag{19}\\
& =1+\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}+\frac{t^{6}}{16}-\frac{5 t^{8}}{128}+\frac{7 t^{10}}{256}-\cdots, \\
\sqrt{1-t^{2}} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n)!}{(1-2 n) 4^{n}(n!)^{2}} t^{2 n}  \tag{20}\\
& =1-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}-\frac{t^{6}}{16}-\frac{5 t^{8}}{128}-\frac{7 t^{10}}{256}-\cdots, \\
\sinh ^{-1}(t) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2 n)!}{(2 n+1) 4^{n}(n!)^{2}} t^{2 n+1}  \tag{21}\\
& =t-\frac{t^{3}}{6}+\frac{3 t^{5}}{40}-\frac{5 t^{7}}{112}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sqrt{1+t^{2}} \sinh ^{-1}(t)=t+\frac{t^{3}}{3}-\frac{2 t^{5}}{15}+\frac{8 t^{7}}{105}-\frac{1091 t^{9}}{13440}+\cdots \\
<t+\frac{t^{3}}{3}-\frac{2 t^{5}}{15}+\frac{8 t^{7}}{105}  \tag{22}\\
t \sinh ^{-1}(t)+\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-1 \\
\quad=\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{7 t^{4}}{24}+\frac{t^{6}}{80}-\frac{75 t^{8}}{896}+\cdots<\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{7 t^{4}}{34}+\frac{t^{6}}{80}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $t \in(0,1)$.
Let

$$
\begin{align*}
g(t)= & t\left(1-t^{2}-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \\
& +\sqrt{1+t^{2}}\left[t \sinh ^{-1}(t)+\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-1\right] \sinh ^{-1}(t)  \tag{23}\\
= & -\left(1-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)\left[t \sqrt{1-t^{2}}+\sqrt{1+t^{2}} \sinh ^{-1}(t)\right] \\
& +t \sqrt{1+t^{2}}\left[\sinh ^{-1}(t)\right]^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case $1(t \in(0, \sqrt{10} / 5))$. Then from Lemma 3, (22), and (23) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(t)< \\
+\left(1-t^{2}-\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}-\frac{t^{6}}{16}-\frac{5 t^{8}}{128}-\frac{35 t^{10}}{128}\right)\right] \\
=\frac{t^{2}}{201600}\left(24235 t^{2}+50309 t^{4}+192 t^{6}-17920\right) \\
< \\
\frac{t^{3}}{201600}-\frac{2 t^{5}}{15}+24235\left(\frac{8 t^{7}}{5}\right) \times\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{7 t^{4}}{24}+\frac{t^{6}}{80}\right)  \tag{24}\\
\left.+192\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)^{6}-17920\right]<0
\end{gather*}
$$

Case $2(t \in[\sqrt{10} / 5,1))$. Then from Lemma 3, (19), (20), and (23) together with $\sinh ^{-1}(\sqrt{10} / 5)=0.5964 \cdots$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
g(t)<- & {\left[1-\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}-\frac{t^{6}}{16}\right)\right] } \\
\times & {\left[t\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}-\frac{t^{6}}{16}-\frac{5 t^{8}}{128}-\frac{35 t^{10}}{128}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+0.596\left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{4}}{8}\right)\right] \\
g_{1}(t)= & 0.356409 t-0.298 t^{2}-0.3217955 t^{3}-0.2235 t^{4} \\
+ & 0.08448875 t^{5}-0.03725 t^{6}+0.0847755625 t^{7} \\
- & 0.0093125 t^{8}+0.078125 t^{9}+0.00465625 t^{10} \\
+ & 0.03515625 t^{11}+0.1455078125 t^{13} \\
+ & 0.03662109375 t^{15}+0.01708984375 t^{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Numerical computations show that
$g_{1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)=-0.0000435, \quad g_{1}(1)=-0.0510683 \cdots$,
$g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)=-0.52459 \cdots, \quad g_{1}^{\prime}(1)=2.4665 \cdots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)=-1.86198 \cdots, \quad g_{1}^{\prime \prime}(1)=43.2711 \cdots,  \tag{28}\\
& g_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)=4.63578 \cdots,  \tag{29}\\
& \begin{aligned}
& g_{1}^{(4)}(t) \\
&>(-5.364+9.653865 t) \\
&+t^{2}\left(-13.41+71.2114725 t-15.645 t^{2}\right) \\
&> {\left[-5.364+9.653865 \times\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)\right] } \\
&+t^{2}\left[\left(-13.41+71.2114725 \times\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}}{5}\right)-15.645\right)\right] \\
&= 0.7416 \cdots+t^{2} \times 15.9830 \cdots>0 .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (29) and (30) that $g_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[\sqrt{10} / 5,1)$. Then (28) leads to the conclusion that there exists $t_{0} \in(\sqrt{10} / 5,1)$ such that $g_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in [ $\sqrt{10} / 5, t_{0}$ ] and strictly increasing in $\left[t_{0}, 1\right.$ ).

From (27) and the piecewise monotonicity of $g_{1}^{\prime}(t)$ we clearly see that there exists $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, 1\right)$ such that $g_{1}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[\sqrt{10} / 5, t_{1}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[t_{1}, 1\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)<g_{1}(t)<0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[\sqrt{10} / 5,1)$ follows from (25) and (26) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $g_{1}(t)$.

Lemma 5. Let $p \in(0,1), \lambda_{0}=\log (1+\sqrt{2}) /[3-2 \log (1+$ $\sqrt{2})]=0.7123 \cdots$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}(t)=\frac{3 p \sqrt{1-t^{2}}}{(p-1) t+2 p-1}-\sinh ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi_{1 / 2}(t)<0$ and $\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}(t)>0$ for all $t \in(0,1)$.
Proof. We first prove that $\varphi_{1 / 2}(t)<0$ for $t \in(0,1)$. From (32) one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{1 / 2}(1)=0  \tag{33}\\
\varphi_{1 / 2}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{4 t-2 t^{2}+(1 / 4) t^{3}-(3 t-3 / 4) \sqrt{2-t^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{2-t^{2}}(2-(1 / 2) t)^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=4 t-2 t^{2}+\frac{1}{4} t^{3}-\left(3 t-\frac{3}{4}\right) \sqrt{2-t^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1)=0 \\
f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{24 t^{2}-3 t-24+\left(16-16 t+3 t^{2}\right) \sqrt{2-t^{2}}}{4 \sqrt{2-t^{2}}} \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case $1(t \in(0,0.7))$. Then we clearly see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{24 t^{2}-}{}-3 t-24+\left(16-16 t+3 t^{2}\right) \sqrt{2-t^{2}} \\
& \sqrt{2-t^{2}} 24 t^{2}-\frac{3 t+24}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(16-16 t+3 t^{2}\right) \\
&= 27 t^{2}-\left(\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{2}+16\right) t+16-12 \sqrt{2} \\
&= 27\left(t-\frac{3 \sqrt{2}+32+\sqrt{5376 \sqrt{2}-5870}}{108}\right) \\
& \times\left(t-\frac{3 \sqrt{2}+32-\sqrt{5376 \sqrt{2}-5870}}{108}\right) \\
&= 27(t-0.72105 \cdots)(t+0.04985 \cdots)<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $2(t \in[0.7,1))$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[24 t^{2}-3 t-24+\left(16-16 t+3 t^{2}\right) \sqrt{2-t^{2}}\right] \sqrt{2-t^{2}}} \\
& \quad=\left(24 t^{2}-3 t-24\right) \sqrt{2-t^{2}}+32-32 t-10 t^{2}+16 t^{3}-3 t^{4} \\
& \quad<24 t^{2}-3 t-24+32-32 t-10 t^{2}+16 t^{3}-3 t^{4} \\
& \quad=-(1-t)\left(27 t+13 t^{2}-3 t^{3}-8\right)<0 \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (32) and (33) together with Cases 1 and 2 that $f(t)<0$ for $t \in(0,1)$. Then from (34) and (35) we know that $\varphi_{1 / 2}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$.

Therefore, $\varphi_{1 / 2}(t)<0$ for $t \in(0,1)$ follows from (33) and the monotonicity of $\varphi_{1 / 2}(t)$.

Next, we prove that $\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}(t)>0$ for $t \in(0,1)$. From (32) we clearly see that we only have to prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) & =\frac{3 \lambda_{0} t}{\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) \sqrt{1-t^{2}}+2 \lambda_{0}+1}-\sinh ^{-1}(t) \\
& >0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,1)$.
Inequality (39) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}>\frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(t)}{3 t-\left(2+\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(t)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
h(t) & =\frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(t)}{3 t-\left(2+\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(t)} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+3\left[t-\sinh ^{-1}(t)\right] /\left[\left(1-\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(t)\right]} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Then inequality (40) follows from Lemma 4 and (41) together with $h(1)=\lambda_{0}$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 6. The double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) H(a, b) \\
& \quad<A(a, b)<\beta_{1} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) H(a, b) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \leq 6 / 7=$ $0.8571 \cdots$ and $\beta_{1} \geq \log (1+\sqrt{2})=0.8813 \cdots$.

Proof. Since $H(a, b), M(a, b)$, and $A(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $x=(a-b) /(a+b)$ and $t=\sinh ^{-1}(x)$. Then $x \in(0,1), t \in(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{A(a, b)-H(a, b)}{M(a, b)-H(a, b)} & =\frac{x^{2}}{x / \sinh ^{-1}(x)-\left(1-x^{2}\right)}  \tag{43}\\
& =\frac{t \cosh (2 t)-t}{2 \sinh (t)+t \cosh (2 t)-3 t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{t \cosh (2 t)-t}{2 \sinh (t)+t \cosh (2 t)-3 t} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(t) \\
& =\frac{t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{2 n} /(2 n)!\right) t^{2 n}-t}{2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(t^{2 n+1} /(2 n+1)!\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{2 n} /(2 n)!\right) t^{2 n+1}-3 t} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{2 n} /(2 n)!\right) t^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(2+(2 n+1) 2^{2 n}\right) /(2 n+1)!\right] t^{2 n+1}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{2 n+2} /(2 n+2)!\right) t^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(2+(2 n+3) 2^{2 n+2}\right) /(2 n+3)!\right] t^{2 n}} \\
& :=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} 2^{2 n}}, \\
& \frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}-\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}=\frac{(12 n+34) 2^{2 n+2}}{\left[(2 n+3) 2^{2 n+2}+2\right]\left[(2 n+5) 2^{2 n+4}+2\right]}>0 \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

It follows from (45) together with Lemma $1(1)$ that $f(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$. Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{b_{0}}=\frac{6}{7}  \tag{46}\\
f(\log (1+\sqrt{2}))=\log (1+\sqrt{2})
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 6 follows from (43) and (44) together with (46) and the monotonicity of $f(t)$.

Theorem 7. The double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) \bar{H}(a, b) \\
& \quad<A(a, b)<\beta_{2} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) \bar{H}(a, b) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{2} \leq \log (1+\sqrt{2})=$ $0.8813 \cdots$ and $\beta_{2} \geq 9 / 10$.

Proof. Since $\bar{H}(a, b), M(a, b)$, and $A(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $x=(a-b) /(a+b)$ and $t=\sinh ^{-1}(x)$. Then $x \in(0,1), t \in(0, \log (1+\sqrt{2}))$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{A(a, b)-\bar{H}(a, b)}{M(a, b)-\bar{H}(a, b)} & =\frac{\left(\sqrt{1+x^{2}}+x^{2}-1\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}{x \sqrt{1+x^{2}}-\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}  \tag{48}\\
& =\frac{t[\cosh (2 t)+2 \cosh (t)-3]}{\sinh (2 t)+t \cosh (2 t)-3 t}
\end{align*}
$$

Simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t[\cosh (2 t)+2 \cosh (t)-3]}{\sinh (2 t)+t \cosh (2 t)-3 t}=\frac{9}{10} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \log (1+\sqrt{2})} \frac{t[\cosh (2 t)+2 \cosh (t)-3]}{\sinh (2 t)+t \cosh (2 t)-3 t}=\log (1+\sqrt{2}) \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 7 follows from Lemma 2, (48), and (49).

Theorem 8. The double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{3} M(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) H e(a, b) \\
& \quad<A(a, b)<\beta_{3} M(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) H e(a, b) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{3} \leq 1 / 2$ and $\beta_{3} \geq \log (1+\sqrt{2}) /[3-2 \log (1+\sqrt{2})]=0.7123 \cdots$.

Proof. Since $H(a, b), M(a, b)$, and $A(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we
assume that $a>b$. Let $x=(a-b) /(a+b) \in(0,1), 0<p<1$, and $\lambda_{0}=\log (1+\sqrt{2}) /[3-2 \log (1+\sqrt{2})]$; then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{A(a, b)-H e(a, b)}{M(a, b)-H e(a, b)}=\frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}{3 x-\left(2+\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)},  \tag{51}\\
& p M(a, b)+(1-p) H e(a, b)-A(a, b) \\
& =\frac{A(a, b)}{3}\left[\frac{3 p x}{\sinh ^{-1}(x)}-(p-1) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}-(1+2 p)\right] \\
& =\frac{A(a, b)\left[(1+2 p)-(1-p) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right]}{3 \sinh ^{-1}(x)} \varphi_{p}\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right), \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(t)$ is defined as in Lemma 5.
Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}{3 x-\left(2+\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}=\frac{1}{2} \\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left(1-\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}{3 x-\left(2+\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) \sinh ^{-1}(x)}=\lambda_{0} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 8 follows from (51)-(53) together with Lemma 5.
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