

Research Article

A Viscosity Hybrid Steepest Descent Method for Equilibrium Problems, Variational Inequality Problems, and Fixed Point Problems of Infinite Family of Strictly Pseudocontractive Mappings and Nonexpansive Semigroup

Haitao Che and Xintian Pan

School of Mathematics and Information Science, Weifang University, Weifang, Shandong 261061, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Haitao Che; haitaoche@163.com

Received 28 May 2013; Accepted 18 June 2013

Academic Editor: Sehie Park

Copyright © 2013 H. Che and X. Pan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, modifying the set of variational inequality and extending the nonexpansive mapping of hybrid steepest descent method to nonexpansive semigroups, we introduce a new iterative scheme by using the viscosity hybrid steepest descent method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a system of equilibrium problems, the set of fixed points of an infinite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings, the set of solutions of fixed points for nonexpansive semigroups, and the sets of solutions of variational inequality problems with relaxed cocoercive mapping in a real Hilbert space. We prove that the sequence converges strongly to a common element of the above sets under some mild conditions. The results shown in this paper improve and extend the recent ones announced by many others.

1. Introduction

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and let $F : C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a bifunction. We consider the following equilibrium problem (EP) which is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\text{EP: } F(x^*, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1)$$

The set of solutions of EP is denoted by $\text{EP}(F)$.

Let $\{F_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be a finite family of bifunctions from $C \times C$ into R , where R is the set of real numbers. The system of equilibrium problems for $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_N\}$ is to find a common element $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x^*, y) &\geq 0, & \forall y \in C, \\ F_2(x^*, y) &\geq 0, & \forall y \in C, \\ &\vdots \\ F_N(x^*, y) &\geq 0, & \forall y \in C. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We denote the set of solutions of (2) by $\bigcap_{k=1}^N \text{SEP}(F_k)$, where $\text{SEP}(F_k)$ is the set of solutions to the equilibrium problems, that is,

$$F_k(x^*, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (3)$$

If $N = 1$, then the problem (2) is reduced to the equilibrium problems.

If $N = 1$ and $F(x^*, y) = \langle Tx^*, y - x^* \rangle$, then the problem (2) is reduced to the variational inequality problems of finding $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\langle Tx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (4)$$

The set of solutions of (4) is denoted by $\text{VI}(C, T)$.

The equilibrium problem is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, fixed point problems, variational inequality problems, optimization problems, Nash equilibrium problems in noncooperative games, and numerous problems in physics, economics, and others. Some methods have been proposed to solve $\text{VI}(C, T)$, $\text{EP}(F)$, and $\text{SEP}(F_k)$; see, for example, [1–29] and references therein. Formulations

(2) extend this formulism to such problems, covering in particular various forms of feasibility problems [30, 31].

Definition 1. One-parameter family mapping $\Gamma = \{T(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ from C into itself is said to be a nonexpansive semigroup on C if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $T(0)x = x$ for all $x \in C$,
- (ii) $T(s + t) = T(s)T(t)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,
- (iii) for each $x \in C$, the mapping $T(t)x$ is continuous,
- (iv) $\|T(t)x - T(t)y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Remark 2. We denote by $F(\Gamma)$ the set of all common fixed points of Γ , that is, $F(\Gamma) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} F(T(t)) = \{x \in C : T(t)x = x\}$.

Let $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping. Now, we recall the following definitions.

(1) B is said to be monotone if

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (5)$$

(2) $B : C \rightarrow C$ is called ω -Lipschitzian if there exists a positive constant ω such that

$$\|Bx - By\| \leq \omega \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (6)$$

(3) B is said to be η -strongly monotone if there exists a positive constant η such that

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \geq \eta \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (7)$$

(4) B is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Bx - By\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (8)$$

And $F(B)$ denotes the set of fixed points of the mapping B , that is, $F(B) = \{x \in C : Bx = x\}$.

(5) $B : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping if there exists a constant $0 \leq k < 1$ such that

$$\|Bx - By\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - B)x - (I - B)y\|^2, \quad (9)$$

$$\forall x, y \in C.$$

(6) B is said to be α -inverse-strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha \|Bx - By\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (10)$$

(7) B is said to be relaxed (u, v) -cocoercive if there exist positive real numbers u, v such that

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \geq (-u) \|Bx - By\|^2 + v \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (11)$$

(8) A linear bounded operator B is strong positive if there exists a constant $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ with the property

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma} \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (12)$$

(9) A set-valued mapping $Q : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is called monotone if for all $x, y \in H, f \in Qx$ and $g \in Qy$ imply $\langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0$.

(10) A monotone mapping $Q : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is called maximal if the graph $G(Q)$ of Q is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is well known that a monotone mapping Q is maximal if and only if for $(x, f) \in H \times H, \langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0$ for every $(y, g) \in G(Q)$ implies $f \in Qx$.

Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings have recently been applied to solve convex minimization problems. Convex minimization problems have a great impact and influence on the development of almost all branches of pure and applied sciences. A typical problem is to minimize a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping on a real Hilbert space H :

$$\theta(x) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle x, b \rangle, \quad \forall x \in F(S), \quad (13)$$

where A is a linear bounded operator, $F(S)$ is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping S , and b is a given point in H [16].

For finding a common element of the set of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and the set of the variational inequalities, in 2006, Marino and Xu [16] introduced the general iterative method and proved that for a given $x_0 \in H$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by the algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \alpha_n B)Tx_n, \quad (14)$$

where T is a self-nonexpansive mapping on H, f is an α -contraction of H into itself (i.e., $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in H$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$), $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfies certain conditions, and B is strongly positive bounded linear operator on H and converges strongly to fixed point x^* of T which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (\gamma f - B)x^*, x^* - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T), \quad (15)$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in F(S) \cap \text{EP } 2} \frac{1}{2} \langle Bx, x \rangle - h(x), \quad (16)$$

where h is a potential function for rf (i.e., $h'(x) = rf(x)$ for $z \in H$).

Takahashi and Toyoda [32] introduced the following iterative scheme:

$$x_0 \in C, \quad (17)$$

$$x_{n+1} = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) \text{SP}_C(x_n - \alpha_n Bx_n),$$

where B is a ξ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\xi)$. They showed that if $F(S) \cap VI(C, B) \neq \emptyset$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (17) converges weakly to some $z \in F(S) \cap VI(C, B)$.

Yamada [33] introduced the following iterative scheme called the hybrid steepest descent method:

$$x_{n+1} = Sx_n + \alpha_n \mu B S x_n, \quad n \in N, \tag{18}$$

where $x_1 = x \in H$, $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, and let $B : H \rightarrow H$ be a strongly monotone and Lipschitz continuous mapping and μ is a positive real number. He proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (18) converges strongly to the unique solution of $F(S) \cap VI(C, B)$.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Given $r > 0$ the operators $J_r^F : H \rightarrow C$ defined by

$$J_r^F x = \left\{ z \in C : F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} (y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\} \tag{19}$$

are called the resolvent of F (see [19]). It is shown in [19] that under suitable hypotheses on F (to be stated precisely in Section 2), $J_r^F : H \rightarrow C$ is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive and satisfied $F(J_r^F) = EP(F), \forall r > 0$.

For finding a common element of $EP(F) \cap F(S)$, S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi [23] introduced an iterative scheme by the viscosity approximation method for finding a common element of the set of solution (1) and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space. Let $S : C \rightarrow H$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Starting with arbitrary initial point $x_1 \in H$, define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ recursively by

$$F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{20}$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) S u_n, \quad \forall n \in N.$$

They proved that under certain appropriate conditions imposed on $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$, the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge strongly to $z \in F(S) \cap EP(F)$, where $z = P_{F(S) \cap EP(F)} f(z)$.

In 2012, Chamnarnpan and Kumam [34] introduced the following explicit viscosity scheme with respect to W -mappings for an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings

$$x_{n+1} = \varepsilon_n r f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n) I - \varepsilon_n A) W_n J_r^F x_n. \tag{21}$$

They prove that sequence $\{x_n\}$ and J_r^F converge strongly to $z \in (\cap_{n=1}^\infty F(T_n)) \cap EP(F)$, where z is an equilibrium point for F and is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (rf - A)z, x - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F(T_n) \cap EP(F). \tag{22}$$

In 2012, Kangtunyakarn [35] modify the set of variational inequality to construct a new iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of fixed point problems of

infinite family of k_i pseudocontractive mappings and the set of equilibrium problem and two sets of variational inequality problems. Let

$$F := \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \{F(T_i)\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^M \text{SEP}(F_k) \right) \tag{23}$$

$$\cap VI(C, A) \cap VI(C, B).$$

Starting with arbitrary initial point $x_1 \in C$, define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ recursively by

$$F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) S_n P_C (I - \gamma(aA + (1 - a)B) u_n), \tag{24}$$

$$\forall n \in N,$$

where $\{S_n : C \rightarrow C\}$ is the sequence defined by (37), A, B is α and β -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, respectively, $a \in (0, 1)$, $0 < r < \min\{2\alpha, 2\beta\}$ and $\{r_n\} \subset [a, b] \subset (0, \min\{2\alpha, 2\beta\})$. Under certain appropriate conditions they proved that the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge strongly to $z \in F$, where $z = P_F u$.

Let $A_i : C \rightarrow H$ be a mapping, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By modification of (4), for $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$VI\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i\right) = \left\{ x^* \in C : \left\langle y - x^*, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i x^* \right\rangle \geq 0, \right. \tag{25}$$

$$\left. \forall y \in C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i = 1 \right\}.$$

In this paper, motivated by the above results, we extend the nonexpansive mapping of hybrid steepest descent method (18) to nonexpansive semigroups and introduce a new iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a system of equilibrium problems, the set of fixed points of an infinite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings, the set of solutions of fixed points for nonexpansive semigroups, and the set of solutions of variational inequality problems for relaxed cocoercive mapping in a real Hilbert space by the hybrid steepest descent method. The results shown in this paper improve and extend the recent ones announced by many others.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we always assume that C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . We write $x_n \rightarrow x$ to indicate that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to x . $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to x . We denote by N and R the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively. For any $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in C , denoted by $P_C x$, such that

$$\|x - P_C x\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{26}$$

Such a P_C is called the metric projection of H onto C . It is known that P_C is nonexpansive. Furthermore, for $x \in H$ and $u \in C$,

$$u = P_C x \iff \langle x - u, u - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (27)$$

It is widely known that H satisfies Opial's condition [8], that is, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$, the inequality

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\| \quad (28)$$

holds for every $y \in H$ with $y \neq x$.

In order to solve the equilibrium problem for a bifunction $F : C \times C \rightarrow R$, we assume that F satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $F(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in C$,
- (A2) F is monotone, that is, $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0, \forall x, y \in C$,
- (A3) $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} F(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq F(x, y), \forall x, y, z \in C$,
- (A4) For each $x \in C, y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Let us recall the following lemmas which will be useful for our paper.

Lemma 3 (see [19]). *Let F be a bifunction from $C \times C$ into R satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3), and (A4). Then, for any $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that*

$$F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r}(y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (29)$$

Furthermore, if $J_r^F x = \{z \in C : F(z, y) + (1/r)(y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}$, then the following hold:

- (1) J_r^F is single-valued,
- (2) J_r^F is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$\|J_r^F x - J_r^F y\|^2 \leq \langle J_r^F x - J_r^F y, x - y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad (30)$$

- (3) $F(J_r^F) = EP(F)$,
- (4) $EP(F)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 4 (see [12]). *Let C be a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $\Gamma = \{T(s) : s \in R^+\}$ from C be a nonexpansive semigroup on C , then for all $h > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in C} \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x ds - T(h) \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x ds \right) \right\| = 0. \quad (31)$$

Lemma 5 (see [13]). *Let C be a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence, and let $\Gamma = \{T(s) : s \in R^+\}$ from C be a nonexpansive*

semigroup on C , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $x_n \rightarrow z$,
- (ii) $\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(s)x_n - x_n\| = 0$,

then, $z \in F(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 6 (see [36]). *In a Hilbert space H , there holds the inequality*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle y, x + y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (32)$$

Lemma 7 (see [16]). *Assume A be a strongly positive linear bounded operator on H with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 \leq \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$, then $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho \bar{\gamma}$.*

Lemma 8 (see [37]). *Let B be a monotone mapping of C into H and let $N_C \omega_1$ be the normal cone to C at $\omega_1 \in C$, that is,*

$$N_C \omega_1 = \{\omega \in H : \langle \omega_1 - \omega_2, \omega \rangle \geq 0, \forall \omega_2 \in C\}, \quad (33)$$

and define a mapping Q on C by

$$Q\omega_1 = \begin{cases} B\omega_1 + N_C \omega_1, & \omega_1 \in C, \\ \emptyset, & \omega_1 \notin C. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Then Q is maximal monotone and $0 \in Q\omega_1$ if and only if $\langle B\omega_1, \omega_1 - \omega_2 \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\omega_2 \in C$.

Lemma 9 (see [27]). *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space E and $\{\gamma_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following condition:*

$$0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n < 1. \quad (35)$$

Suppose that $x_{n+1} = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n, n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup(\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 10 (see [28]). *Assume that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - b_n) a_n + c_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (36)$$

where $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in R , such that

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i = \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup(c_n/b_n) \leq 0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |c_n| < \infty$.

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be mapping of C into self. For all $j = 1, 2, \dots$, let $\rho_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j) \in I \times I \times I$ where $I = [0, 1]$ and

$\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$. For every $n \in N$, we define the mapping $S_n : C \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{n,n+1} &:= I, \\ U_{n,n} &:= \alpha_1^n T_n U_{n,n+1} + \alpha_2^n U_{n,n+1} + \alpha_3^n I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{n,k} &:= \alpha_1^k T_k U_{n,k+1} + \alpha_2^k U_{n,k+1} + \alpha_3^k I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{n,2} &:= \alpha_1^2 T_2 U_{n,3} + \alpha_2^2 U_{n,3} + \alpha_3^2 I, \\ S_n = U_{n,1} &:= \alpha_1^1 T_1 U_{n,2} + \alpha_2^1 U_{n,2} + \alpha_3^1 I. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

This mapping is called S-mapping generated by T_1, \dots, T_n and ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n .

Lemma 11 (see [38]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a k_i -strict pseudocontractive mapping of C into self with $\kappa = \sup_i k_i$ and let $\rho_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ where $I = [0, 1]$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j \leq b < 1$, and $\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j \in (\kappa, 1)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For every $n \in N$, let S_n and S-mapping generated by T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n and $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$ and T_1, T_2, \dots , and ρ_1, ρ_2, \dots , respectively. Then, for every $x \in C$ and $k \in N$, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,k} x$ exists.*

In view of the previous lemma, we will define the mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$Sx := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,1} x, \quad x \in C. \tag{38}$$

Remark 12 (see [38]). For each $n \in N$, S_n is nonexpansive and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in D} \|S_n x - Sx\| = 0$ for every bounded subset D of C .

Lemma 13 (see [38]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a k_i -strict pseudocontractive mapping of C into self such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ with $\kappa = \sup_i k_i$ and let $\rho_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j) \in I \times I \times I$ where $I = [0, 1]$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_3^j = 1$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j \leq b < 1$, and $\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^j \in (\kappa, 1)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For every $n \in N$, let S_n and S-mapping generated by T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n and $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$ respectively. Then, $F(S) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$.*

3. Main Results

In this section, we will present our main results. To establish our results, we need the following technical lemmas.

Lemma 14. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and let $A_i : C \rightarrow H$ be ω_i -Lipschitz continuous and relaxed (u_i, v_i) -cocoercive mappings with*

$v_i - u_i \omega_i^2 > 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. If $\bigcap_{i=1}^N VI(C, A_i) \neq \emptyset$, then, for $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i = 1$,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^N VI(C, A_i) = VI\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i\right). \tag{39}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction. This holds for $N = 2$. In fact, for $a \in (0, 1)$, it is obvious that

$$VI(C, A_1) \cap VI(C, A_2) \subseteq VI\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^2 \delta_i A_i\right). \tag{40}$$

Next, we will show that $VI(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i) \subseteq VI(C, A_1) \cap VI(C, A_2)$.

Let

$$x_0 \in VI\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i\right), \tag{41}$$

$$x^* \in VI(C, A_1) \cap VI(C, A_2).$$

It follows that

$$\langle y - x^*, A_1 x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{42}$$

$$\langle y - x^*, A_2 x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{43}$$

Then, for every $a \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$\langle y - x^*, a A_1 x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{44}$$

$$\langle y - x^*, (1-a) A_2 x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

From $x_0 \in VI(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i)$ and (43), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^* - x_0, a A_1 x_0 \rangle &= \left\langle x^* - x_0, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) x_0 \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle x^* - x_0, (1-a) A_2 x_0 \rangle \\ &\geq (1-a) \langle x_0 - x^*, A_2 x_0 \rangle \\ &= (1-a) \langle x_0 - x^*, A_2 x_0 - A_2 x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + (1-a) \langle x_0 - x^*, A_2 x^* \rangle \\ &\geq (1-a) (v_2 - u_2 \omega_2^2) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which means

$$\langle x^* - x_0, A_1 x_0 \rangle \geq 0. \tag{46}$$

On the other hand, from $x^* \in \text{VI}(C, A_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x^* - x_0, A_1 x_0 \rangle &= \langle x^* - x_0, A_1 x_0 - A_1 x^* \rangle \\
 &\quad + \langle x^* - x_0, A_1 x^* \rangle \\
 &\leq \langle x^* - x_0, A_1 x_0 - A_1 x^* \rangle \\
 &\leq u_1 \|A_1 x_0 - A_1 x^*\|^2 - v_1 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \quad (47) \\
 &\leq u_1 \omega_1^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - v_1 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= (u_1 \omega_1^2 - v_1) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with (46) leads to

$$A_1 x^* = A_1 x_0. \quad (48)$$

Furthermore, for every $y \in C$, from (46) and (48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle y - x_0, A_1 x_0 \rangle &= \langle y - x^*, A_1 x_0 \rangle + \langle x^* - x_0, A_1 x_0 \rangle \\
 &\geq \langle y - x^*, A_1 x_0 \rangle \\
 &= \langle y - x^*, A_1 x^* \rangle \quad (49) \\
 &\geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$x_0 \in \text{VI}(C, A_1). \quad (50)$$

It follows from (45) and (42) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x^* - x_0, (1-a)A_2 x_0 \rangle &\geq \langle x_0 - x^*, aA_1 x_0 \rangle \\
 &= a \langle x_0 - x^*, A_1(x_0 - x^*) \rangle \\
 &\quad + a \langle x_0 - x^*, A_1 x^* \rangle \\
 &\geq a \langle x_0 - x^*, A_1(x_0 - x^*) \rangle \quad (51) \\
 &\geq a(v_1 - u_1 \omega_1^2) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It yields that

$$\langle x^* - x_0, A_2 x_0 \rangle \geq 0. \quad (52)$$

From $x^* \in \text{VI}(C, A_2)$ and (52), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \langle x^* - x_0, A_2 x_0 \rangle \\
 &= \langle x^* - x_0, A_2 x_0 - A_2 x^* \rangle \\
 &\quad + \langle x^* - x_0, A_2 x^* \rangle \\
 &\leq \langle x^* - x_0, A_2 x_0 - A_2 x^* \rangle \\
 &\leq u_2 \|A_2 x_0 - A_2 x^*\|^2 - v_2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \quad (53) \\
 &\leq u_2 \omega_2^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - v_2 \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= (u_2 \omega_2^2 - v_2) \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$A_2 x^* = A_2 x_0. \quad (54)$$

Therefore, for every $y \in C$, from (52) and (54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle y - x_0, A_2 x_0 \rangle &= \langle y - x^*, A_2 x_0 \rangle + \langle x^* - x_0, A_2 x_0 \rangle \\
 &\geq \langle y - x^*, A_2 x_0 \rangle \\
 &= \langle y - x^*, A_2 x^* \rangle \quad (55) \\
 &\geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$x_0 \in \text{VI}(C, A_2). \quad (56)$$

And hence,

$$x_0 \in \text{VI}(C, A_1) \cap \text{VI}(C, A_2). \quad (57)$$

Thus, we have

$$\text{VI}\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i\right) \subseteq \text{VI}(C, A_1) \cap \text{VI}(C, A_2). \quad (58)$$

Thus,

$$\text{VI}\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i\right) = \text{VI}(C, A_1) \cap \text{VI}(C, A_2). \quad (59)$$

Assume now that $\cap_{i=1}^k \text{VI}(C, A_i) = \text{VI}(C, \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i A_i)$ is true for some k , and we show that it continues to hold for $k + 1$. For $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \delta_i = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{VI}\left(C, \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \delta_i A_i\right) \\ &= \text{VI}\left(C, \delta_1 A_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \delta_i A_i\right) \\ &= \text{VI}\left(C, \delta_1 A_1 + (1 - \delta_1) \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_1} A_i\right) \\ &= \text{VI}(C, \delta_1 A_1) \cap \text{VI}\left(C, (1 - \delta_1) \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_1} A_i\right) \quad (60) \\ &= \text{VI}(C, A_1) \cap \text{VI}\left(C, \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \frac{\delta_i}{1 - \delta_1} A_i\right) \\ &= \text{VI}(C, A_1) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=2}^{k+1} \text{VI}(C, A_i)\right) \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{VI}(C, A_i). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, $\cap_{i=1}^k \text{VI}(C, A_i) = \text{VI}(C, \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i A_i)$ holds for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 15. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H , let $\Gamma = \{T(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ from C be a nonexpansive semigroup on C , and let $A_i : C \rightarrow H$ be ω_i -Lipschitz continuous and relaxed (μ_i, ν_i) -cocoercive mappings with $\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2 > 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Assume that $D = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i$, for $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i = 1$. If $K_n(x) = (1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n x ds$, where $\{S_n : C \rightarrow C\}$ is the sequence defined by (37) with $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq (2 \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2)) / (\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \omega_i^2)$, then $K_n - \alpha_n D K_n$ is a nonexpansive mapping in H . Furthermore, $I - \alpha_n D$ is a nonexpansive mapping in H .

Proof. Since $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq (2 \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2)) / (\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \omega_i^2)$, for every $x, y \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(K_n - \alpha_n D K_n)x - (K_n - \alpha_n D K_n)y\|^2 \\ &= \|(K_n x - K_n y) - \alpha_n (D K_n x - D K_n y)\|^2 \\ &= \|K_n x - K_n y\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle K_n x - K_n y, D K_n x - D K_n y \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha_n^2 \|D K_n x - D K_n y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|K_n x - K_n y\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2) \\ &\quad \times \|K_n x - K_n y\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \omega_i^2 \|K_n x - K_n y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(1 - 2\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2) + \alpha_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \omega_i^2\right) \\ &\quad \times \|K_n x - K_n y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Thus, we obtain that $K_n - \alpha_n D K_n$ is a nonexpansive mapping. Similarly, we can obtain that $I - \alpha_n D$ is a nonexpansive mapping in H and this completes the proof. \square

The following main results follow from Lemmas 14 and 15.

Theorem 16. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , and let $F_k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)–(A4). Let $\Gamma = \{T(s) : 0 \leq s < \infty\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup on C and let t_n be a positive real divergent sequence. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be k_i -strict pseudo-contractive mappings of C into self with $\kappa = \sup_i k_i$ and let $\rho_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j) \in I \times I \times I$, where $I = [0, 1]$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_2^j = 1$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j \leq b < 1$, and $\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j \in (\kappa, 1)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_n and S -mapping generated by T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n and $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$ with $T_i(F(\Gamma)) \subset F(\Gamma)$. Let $A_i : C \rightarrow H$ be ω_i -Lipschitz continuous and relaxed (μ_i, ν_i) -cocoercive mappings with $\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2 > 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, let f be a contraction of H into itself with $\eta \in (0, 1)$, and let A be a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with the coefficients $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < r < \bar{\gamma}/\eta$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &:= F(\Gamma) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{F(T_i)\}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^M \text{SEP}(F_k)\right) \\ &\quad \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{VI}(C, A_i)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_1 \in C$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= J_{r_{M,n}}^{F_M} J_{r_{M-1,n}}^{F_{M-1}} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} x_n, \\ z_n &= P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right), \\ y_n &= \varepsilon_n r f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n) I - \varepsilon_n A) z_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where $\{S_n : C \rightarrow C\}$ is the sequence defined by (37) and $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i = 1$. If $\{\varepsilon_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$ and

$\{\gamma_n\} \subset [c_1, c_2] \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{r_{k,n}\}$, for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, \infty)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n = \infty,$
- (ii) $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \beta_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \beta_n < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| = 0,$
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf r_{k,n} > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{k,n+1} - r_{k,n}| = 0,$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\},$
- (iv) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [g_1, g_2] \subset (0, (2 \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (v_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2)) / (\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \omega_i^2))$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = 0,$
- (v) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| / t_n = 0.$

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $z \in \Theta,$ where z is the unique solution of variational inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle (rf - A)z, p - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Theta, \quad (64)$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{z \in \Theta} \frac{1}{2} \langle Az, z \rangle - h(z), \quad (65)$$

where h is a potential function for rf (i.e., $h'(z) = rf(z)$ for $z \in H$).

Proof. From the restrictions on control sequences, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\varepsilon_n \leq (1 - \beta_n) \|A\|^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. From Lemma 7, we know that if $0 \leq \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$, then $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho \bar{\gamma}$. We will assume that $\|I - A\| \leq 1 - \bar{\gamma}$. Since A is a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator on H , we have

$$\|A\| = \sup \{ |\langle Ax, x \rangle| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \}. \quad (66)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)x, x \rangle &= 1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \langle Ax, x \rangle \\ &\geq 1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \|A\| \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

That is, $(1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A$ is positive. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A\| \\ &= \sup \{ |\langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)x, x \rangle| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ 1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \} \\ &\leq 1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Next, We divide the proof of Theorem into five steps.

Step 1. We show that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

Take $p \in \Theta$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_n^k = J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1}$, for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_n^0 = I$, for any $n \in N$. Since $J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k}$ is nonexpansive for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and $u_n = \mathfrak{F}_n^M x_n$, we have

$$\|u_n - p\| = \|\mathfrak{F}_n^M x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^M p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|. \quad (69)$$

From Lemma 15 and (69), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\|z_n - p\| \\ &= \left\| P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) - p \right\| \\ &= \left\| P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| \quad (70) \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|u_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y_n - p\| \\ &= \|\varepsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n \\ &\quad + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)z_n - p\| \\ &= \|\varepsilon_n (\gamma f(x_n) - Ap) + \beta_n (x_n - p) \\ &\quad + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n \|\gamma (f(x_n) - f(p)) + \gamma f(p) - Ap\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \|z_n - p\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n \gamma \eta \|x_n - p\| + \varepsilon_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \|z_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_n (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\eta)) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \|y_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_n (1 - \gamma_n) (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\eta)) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\eta} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

By induction, we have

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_1 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\eta} \right\}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{73}$$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and we also obtain that $\{u_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i)(1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds\}$ and $\{f(x_n)\}$ are all bounded.

Step 2. We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0$.

From the definition of z_n and Lemma 15, for $p \in \Theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \\ &= \left\| P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_{n+1} u_{n+1} ds - \alpha_{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_{n+1} u_{n+1} ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_{n+1} u_{n+1} ds - \alpha_{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_{n+1} u_{n+1} ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \left(I - \alpha_{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_{n+1} u_{n+1} ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_{n+1} u_{n+1} ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) (S_{n+1} u_{n+1} - S_n u_n) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{t_n} \right) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} T(s) (S_{n+1} u_{n+1} - S_n u_n) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{t_n} \right) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) (S_n u_n - S_n p) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} T(s) (S_n u_n - S_n p) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\leq \|S_{n+1} u_{n+1} - S_n u_n\| + \frac{2|t_{n+1} - t_n|}{t_{n+1}} \|u_n - p\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\ &\leq \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| + \|S_{n+1} u_n - S_n u_n\| \\ &\quad + \frac{2|t_{n+1} - t_n|}{t_{n+1}} \|u_n - p\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\|. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

First, we will show that if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^k x_n\| = 0 \tag{75}$$

for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$.

From Step 2 of the proof in [4], we have for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} x_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} x_n\| = 0. \tag{76}$$

For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$, notice that

$$\mathfrak{S}_n^k = J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} = J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1}. \tag{77}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^k x_n\| \\ &= \|J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{k-1} x_n\| \\ &\leq \|J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{k-1} x_n\| \\ &\leq \|J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-2} x_n - J_{r_{k-1,n+1}}^{F_{k-1}} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-2} x_n\| \tag{78} \\ &\quad + \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{k-2} x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{k-2} x_n\| \\ &\leq \|J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-2} x_n - J_{r_{k-1,n+1}}^{F_{k-1}} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-2} x_n\| \\ &\quad + \dots + \|J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} \mathfrak{S}_n^1 x_n - J_{r_{2,n+1}}^{F_2} \mathfrak{S}_n^1 x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} x_n - J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (76), we conclude (75).

Second, we estimate $\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|$. From $u_{n+1} = \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^M x_{n+1}$ and $u_n = \mathfrak{S}_n^M x_n = J_{r_{M,n}}^{F_M} \mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & F_M(u_{n+1}, y) + \frac{1}{r_{M,n+1}} \langle y - u_{n+1}, u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1} \rangle \\ & \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \tag{79} \end{aligned}$$

$$F_M(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_{M,n}} \langle y - u_n, u_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{80}$$

Taking $y = u_n$ in (79) and $y = u_{n+1}$ in (80), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_M(u_{n+1}, u_n) + \frac{1}{r_{M,n+1}} \langle u_n - u_{n+1}, u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1} \rangle \geq 0, \\ & F_M(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{r_{M,n}} \langle u_{n+1} - u_n, u_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n \rangle \geq 0. \tag{81} \end{aligned}$$

So, from (A2), one has

$$\left\langle u_{n+1} - u_n, \frac{u_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n}{r_{M,n}} - \frac{u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}}{r_{M,n+1}} \right\rangle \geq 0. \tag{82}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle u_{n+1} - u_n, u_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n - (u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{r_{M,n}}{r_{M,n+1}}\right) (u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}) \right\rangle \geq 0. \tag{83} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{k,n} > 0$, we assume that there exists a real number such that $r_{k,n} > a > 0$ for all $n \in N$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \\ & \leq \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}\| \\ & \quad + \left|1 - \frac{r_{M,n}}{r_{M,n+1}}\right| \|u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}\| \\ & \leq \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_n\| + \|\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}\| \tag{84} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{a} |r_{M,n+1} - r_{M,n}| \|u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}\| \\ & \leq \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1} x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{a} |r_{M,n+1} - r_{M,n}| \|u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1} x_{n+1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Third, we estimate $\|S_{n+1}u_n - S_nu_n\|$. It follows from (37) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_{n+1}u_n - S_nu_n\|^2 \\ &= \|U_{n+1,1}u_n - U_{n,1}u_n\|^2 \\ &= \|\alpha_1^1 T_1 U_{n+1,2}u_n + \alpha_2^1 U_{n+1,2}u_n + \alpha_3^1 u_n \\ & \quad - (\alpha_1^1 T_1 U_{n,2}u_n + \alpha_2^1 U_{n,2}u_n + \alpha_3^1 u_n)\|^2 \\ &= \|\alpha_1^1 (T_1 U_{n+1,2} - T_1 U_{n,2}) u_n \\ & \quad + \alpha_2^1 (U_{n+1,2} - U_{n,2}) u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_1^1 \|(T_1 U_{n+1,2} - T_1 U_{n,2}) u_n\|^2 \\ & \quad + \alpha_2^1 \|(U_{n+1,2} - U_{n,2}) u_n\|^2 \\ & \quad - \alpha_1^1 \alpha_2^1 \|(T_1 U_{n+1,2} - T_1 U_{n,2}) u_n \\ & \quad \quad - (U_{n+1,2} - U_{n,2}) u_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_1^1 (\|U_{n+1,2}u_n - U_{n,2}u_n\|^2 \\ & \quad + \kappa \|(I - T_1) U_{n+1,2}u_n - (I - T_1) U_{n,2}u_n\|^2) \\ & \quad + \alpha_1^2 \|U_{n+1,2}u_n - U_{n,2}u_n\|^2 \\ & \quad - \alpha_1^1 \alpha_2^1 \|(I - T_1) U_{n+1,2}u_n - (I - T_1) U_{n,2}u_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_1^3) \|U_{n+1,2}u_n - U_{n,2}u_n\|^2 \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_3^i) \|U_{n+1,n+1}u_n - U_{n,n+1}u_n\|^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

which means that

$$\|S_{n+1}u_n - S_nu_n\| \leq L_1 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_3^i), \tag{86}$$

where $L_1 \geq 0$ is a constant such that $\|U_{n+1,n+1}u_n - U_{n,n+1}u_n\| \leq L_1$, for all $n \in N$.

Next, we estimate $\|y_{n+1} - y_n\|$. Substituting (84) and (86) into (74), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \\
 &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1}x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1}x_n\| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{a} |r_{M,n+1} - r_{M,n}| \|u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1}x_{n+1}\| \\
 &\quad + L_1 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_3^i) \\
 &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \frac{2|t_{n+1} - t_n|}{t_{n+1}} \|u_n - p\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

From (61), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \\
 &= \|\varepsilon_{n+1} \gamma (f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)) \\
 &\quad + (\varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n) (\gamma f(x_n) - Az_n) \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n+1} (x_{n+1} - x_n) + (\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n) (x_n - z_n) \\
 &\quad + ((1 - \beta_{n+1})I - \varepsilon_{n+1}A) (z_{n+1} - z_n)\| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon_{n+1} \gamma \eta \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n| \\
 &\quad \times \|\gamma f(x_n) - Az_n\| \\
 &\quad + \beta_{n+1} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \|x_n - z_n\| \\
 &\quad + (1 - \beta_{n+1} - \varepsilon_{n+1} \bar{\gamma}) \|z_{n+1} - z_n\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Substitution (87) into (88) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \varepsilon_{n+1} (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \eta)) \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
 &\quad + L_2 \left(|\varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + \frac{2|t_{n+1} - t_n|}{t_{n+1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + |r_{M,n+1} - r_{M,n}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \right) \\
 &\quad + \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1}x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1}x_n\| + L_1 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_3^i),
 \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

where L_2 is an appropriate constant such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_2 = \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\| \right\}, \right. \\
 \sup_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{a} \|u_{n+1} - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1}x_{n+1}\| \right\}, \\
 \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \|\gamma f(x_n) - Az_n\| \}, \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \|x_n - z_n\| \}, \\
 \left. \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \|u_n - p\| \} \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

It follows from (89) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
 &\leq L_2 \left(|\varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + \frac{2|t_{n+1} - t_n|}{t_{n+1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + |r_{M,n+1} - r_{M,n}| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| \right) \\
 &\quad + \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{M-1}x_n - \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^{M-1}x_n\| + L_1 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_3^i).
 \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

Consequently, from (75) and the conditions in Theorem 16, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0. \tag{92}$$

Hence, by Lemma 9, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{93}$$

Since $x_{n+1} = \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n$, this shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \gamma_n) \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{94}$$

Step 3. We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - u_n\| = 0$.

Observing $y_n = \varepsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A) z_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|x_n - z_n\| \leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - z_n\| \\
 &\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \varepsilon_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Az_n\| \\
 &\quad + \beta_n \|x_n - z_n\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

which means that

$$\|x_n - z_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - y_n\| + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|\gamma f(x_n) - Az_n\|. \tag{96}$$

This together with the conditions (i) and (ii) imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0. \tag{97}$$

From (93) and (97), one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - z_n\|) = 0. \tag{98}$$

For $p \in \Theta$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \left\| P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) - p \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\alpha_n \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right), \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_n^2 \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \left(\frac{2\nu_i}{\omega_i^2} - 2\mu_i - \alpha_n \right) \\ &\quad \times \left\| A_i \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2. \tag{99} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (42) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|\varepsilon_n r f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n \\ &\quad + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A) z_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p) \\ &\quad + \beta_n(x_n - p) + \varepsilon_n(r f(x_n) - Ap)\|^2 \\ &= \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p) + \beta_n(x_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_n^2 \|r f(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ &\quad \quad r f(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, r f(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ &\leq ((1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \|z_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|)^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_n^2 \|r f(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ &\quad \quad r f(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, r f(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ &= (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + \beta_n^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \|z_n - p\| \|x_n - p\| \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 \leq & (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|z_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + \beta_n (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times (\|z_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2) \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 = & (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Substituting (99) into (100) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
 & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \|x_n - p\|^2 - a\alpha_n \left(\frac{2\nu_1}{\omega_1^2} - 2\mu_1 + \alpha_n \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\| A_1 \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad - (1 - a)\alpha_n \left(\frac{2\nu_2}{\omega_2^2} - 2\mu_2 + \alpha_n \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left\| A_2 \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \Big\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 = & (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ -\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \left(\frac{2\nu_i}{\omega_i^2} - 2\mu_i - \alpha_n \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\| A_i \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \Big\} \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 \leq & \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ -\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \left(\frac{2\nu_i}{\omega_i^2} - 2\mu_i - \alpha_n \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\| A_i \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \Big\} \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 & = \|\gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n - p\|^2 \\
 & \leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
 & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ -\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \left(\frac{2v_i}{\omega_i^2} - 2\mu_i - \alpha_n \right) A_i \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \Big\} \\
 & + (1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - e_1)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \left(\frac{2g_1 v_i}{\omega_i^2} - 2g_2 \mu_i - g_2 \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\| A_i \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \Big\} \\
 & \leq (1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ -\alpha_n \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \left(\frac{2v_i}{\omega_i^2} - 2\mu_i - \alpha_n \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left\| A_i \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \Big\} \\
 & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 & + (1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

From (94) and the condition (i), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| A_i \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| = 0. \tag{104}$$

Then, for $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i = 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| = 0. \tag{105}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
 & = \left\| P_C \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) - p \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq \left\langle \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right), z_n - p \right\rangle \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
 & \quad - \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds && \times \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & - \left. \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. && \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\} \\
 & \times \left. \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds - (z_n - p) \right\|^2 && \times \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right) \right. \\
 & - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \\
 & \times \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|x_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \\
 & - \alpha_n^2 \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha_n \left\langle \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right), \right. \\
 & \left. \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\rangle \Bigg\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z_n - p\|^2 & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha_n \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_n - p\|^2 & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n) I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \|x_n - p\|^2 - \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \right. \\
 & + 2\alpha_n \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\} \\
 & \times \|S_n u_n - z_n\| \Bigg\} \\
 & + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n) I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & = (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha_n (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| \|S_n u_n - z_n\| \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 \leq & \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha_n (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| \\
 & \times \|S_n u_n - z_n\| \\
 & + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

Therefore, from (108) and (102), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 & \leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
 & \leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \right. \\
 & \quad \times (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \quad \times \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \Big\} \\
 & + 2\alpha_n (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \|S_n u_n - z_n\| + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \Big\} \\
 = & \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha_n (1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| \\
 & \times \|S_n u_n - z_n\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n^2 \\
 & \times \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\
 & \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \beta_n \varepsilon_n \\
 & \times \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \Big\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \quad \times \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\|^2 \\
 \leq & \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha_n (1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n p ds \right) \right\| \\
 & \times \|S_n u_n - z_n\| + (1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n \\ & \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \beta_n \varepsilon_n \\ & \times \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \}. \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

From (94), (105), and condition (i), one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - z_n \right\| = 0. \tag{111}$$

Let $p \in \Theta$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. Since $J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k}$ is firmly nonexpansive, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - p\|^2 \\ & = \|J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} p\|^2 \\ & = \langle J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - p, \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - p \rangle \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - p\|^2 + \|\mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n - p\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

It follows that

$$\|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\|^2. \tag{113}$$

Consequently, from (108), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\gamma_n - p\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ & \quad + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \\ & \quad \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\ & \quad \times \|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \\ & \quad \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ & \quad \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & = (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\ & \quad \times \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \\ & \quad \times \|x_n - p\|^2 + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ & \quad \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\ & \quad \times \left(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\|^2 \right) \\ & \quad + (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ & \quad \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\ & \quad \times \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\|^2 + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ & \quad \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ & = \|\gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n - p\|^2 \\ & \leq \gamma_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \|\gamma_n - p\|^2 \\ & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \\ & \quad \times \left\{ - (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \right. \\ & \quad \times \|\mathfrak{S}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{S}_n^{k-1} x_n\|^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), \\ & \quad \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\ & \quad \left. + 2\beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \gamma_n) - (1 - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) (1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma}) \\
 & \quad \times \|\mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^{k-1} x_n\|^2 \\
 & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 & \quad + (1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Ap\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n \\
 & \quad \times \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - p), rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & \quad + 2(1 - \gamma_n) \beta_n \varepsilon_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

By condition (i) and (94), for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^{k-1} x_n\| = 0. \tag{117}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u_n - x_n\| \\
 & = \|\mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^0 x_n\| \leq \|\mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^{k-1} x_n\| \\
 & \quad + \|\mathfrak{F}_n^{k-1} x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^{k-2} x_n\| + \dots + \|\mathfrak{F}_n^1 x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^0 x_n\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

From (117), one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{119}$$

Notice that

$$\|u_n - y_n\| \leq \|u_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - y_n\|, \tag{120}$$

Applying (119) and (93), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{121}$$

Since

$$\|u_n - z_n\| \leq \|u_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - z_n\|, \tag{122}$$

this together with (94) yields that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - z_n\| = 0. \tag{123}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - u_n \right\| = 0. \tag{124}$$

Step 4. Letting $z = P_\Theta(I - A + rf)z$, we show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle (rf - A)z, x_n - z \rangle \leq 0. \tag{125}$$

We know that $P_\Theta(I - A + rf)$ is a contraction. Indeed, for any $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|P_\Theta(I - A + rf)x - P_\Theta(I - A + rf)y\| \\
 & \leq \|(I - A + rf)x - (I - A + rf)y\| \\
 & \leq (1 - (\bar{\gamma} - r\eta)) \|x - y\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

and hence $P_\Theta(I - A + rf)$ is a contraction due to $(1 - (\bar{\gamma} - r\eta)) \in (0, 1)$. Thus, Banach's Contraction Mapping Principle guarantees that $P_\Theta(I - A + rf)$ has a unique fixed point, which implies $z = P_\Theta(I - A + rf)z$.

We claim that $z \in F(\Gamma)$. Since $\{u_{n_i}\} \subset \{u_n\}$ is bounded in C , without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{u_{n_i}\} \rightharpoonup z$. Since C is closed and convex, C is weakly closed. Thus we have $z \in C$. For $0 \leq s < \infty$, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u_{n_i} - T(h)u_{n_i}\| \\
 & \leq \left\| u_{n_i} - \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right\| \\
 & \quad + \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - T(h) \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right\| \\
 & \quad + \left\| T(h) \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds - T(h)u_{n_i} \right\| \\
 & \leq 2 \left\| u_{n_i} - \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right\| \\
 & \quad + \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - T(h) \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

It follows from (124) and Lemma 4 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_i} - T(h)u_{n_i}\| = 0. \tag{128}$$

Thus, (128) and Lemma 5 assert that $z \in F(\Gamma)$. Since $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ is bounded in C , without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{x_{n_i}\} \rightharpoonup \omega$. It follows from (94) that $z_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \omega$. Since C is closed and convex, C is weakly closed. Thus we have $\omega \in C$.

Let us show $\omega \in F(S)$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $\omega \notin F(S)$, that is, $S\omega \neq \omega$. Since $z \in F(\Gamma)$, by our assumption, we have $T_i \omega \in F(\Gamma)$ and then $S_n \omega \in F(\Gamma)$. Hence $(1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n \omega ds = S_n \omega$. Therefore, by (124) and Opial condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \|u_{n_i} - \omega\| \\
 & < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \|u_{n_i} - S\omega\| \\
 & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\| u_{n_i} - \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\| \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} \omega ds \right\| \\
 & + \|S_{n_i} \omega - S\omega\| \Big\} \\
 \leq & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_i} - \omega\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

which derives a contradiction. Thus, we obtain $\omega \in F(S) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$.

Next, we claim that $\omega \in \bigcap_{i=1}^M \text{SEP}(F_i)$. Since $u_n = \mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_k(\mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n, y) \\
 & + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - \mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^{k-1} x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.
 \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

From (A2), one has

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - \mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n - \mathfrak{F}_n^{k-1} x_n \rangle \geq F(y, \mathfrak{F}_n^k x_n). \tag{131}$$

Replacing n by n_i , we have

$$\left\langle y - \mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^k x_{n_i}, \frac{1}{r_{n_i}} (\mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^k x_{n_i} - \mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^{k-1} x_{n_i}) \right\rangle \geq F_k(y, \mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^k x_{n_i}). \tag{132}$$

It follows from $(1/r_{n_i})(\mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^k x_{n_i} - \mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^{k-1} x_{n_i}) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{n_i}^k x_{n_i} \rightarrow \omega$ that

$$F_k(y, \omega) \leq 0, \quad y \in C, \tag{133}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$.

Put $z_t = ty + (1-t)\omega$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$ and $y \in C$. Then, we have $z_t \in C$ and then $F(z_t, \omega) \leq 0$. Hence, from (A1) and (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & F_k(z_t, z_t) \leq tF_k(z_t, y) + (1-t)F_k(z_t, y) \\
 \leq & tF_k(z_t, y),
 \end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

which means $F_k(z_t, y) \geq 0$. From (A3), we obtain $F_k(\omega, y) \geq 0$ for $y \in C$ and then $\omega \in \text{SEP}(F_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$, that is, $\omega \in \bigcap_{i=1}^M \text{SEP}(F_k)$.

Finally, we claim that $\omega \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{VI}(C, A_i)$.

We define the maximal monotone operator

$$Qq_1 = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) q_1 + N_C q_1, & \omega_1 \in C, \\ \emptyset, & \omega_1 \notin C. \end{cases} \tag{135}$$

Since A_i is relaxed (μ_i, ν_i) -cocoercive for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) x - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) y, x - y \right\rangle \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \langle A_i x - A_i y, x - y \rangle \\
 & \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (-\mu_i \|A_i x - A_i y\|^2 + \nu_i \|x - y\|^2) \\
 & \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^i) \|x - y\|^2 \\
 & \geq 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

which yields that $\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i$ is monotone. Thus, Q is maximal monotone. Let $(q_1, q_2) \in G(Q)$. Since $q_2 - (\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i)q_1 \in N_C q_1$ and $z_n \in C$, we have

$$\left\langle q_1 - z_n, q_2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) q_1 \right\rangle \geq 0. \tag{137}$$

On the other hand, it follows from $z_n = P_C((1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n (\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i) (1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle q_1 - z_n, z_n \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \times \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right) \right\rangle \geq 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{138}$$

and hence

$$\left\langle q_1 - z_n, \frac{z_n - (1/t_n) \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds}{\alpha_n} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) S_n u_n ds \right\rangle \geq 0. \tag{139}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, q_2 \rangle \\
 & \geq \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) q_1 \right\rangle \\
 & \geq \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) q_1 \right\rangle \\
 & \quad - \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \frac{z_{n_i} - S_{n_i} u_{n_i}}{\alpha_{n_i}} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} \right\rangle \\
 & = \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(q_1 - \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right) \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{z_{n_i} - (1/t_{n_i}) \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds}{\alpha_{n_i}} \right\rangle \\
 & = \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) (q_1 - z_{n_i}) \right\rangle \\
 & \quad + \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) (z_{n_i} - S_{n_i} u_{n_i}) \right\rangle \\
 & \quad - \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \frac{z_{n_i} - S_{n_i} u_{n_i}}{\alpha_{n_i}} \right\rangle \\
 & \geq \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left(z_{n_i} - \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds \right) \right\rangle \\
 & \quad - \left\langle q_1 - z_{n_i}, \frac{z_{n_i} - (1/t_{n_i}) \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s) S_{n_i} u_{n_i} ds}{\alpha_{n_i}} \right\rangle,
 \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

which implies that

$$\langle q_1 - \omega, q_2 \rangle \geq 0. \tag{141}$$

Since Q is maximal monotone, we obtain that $\omega \in Q^{-1}0$. From Lemma 8, we obtain $\omega \in \text{VI}(C, \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i)$, that is, $\omega \in (\cap_{i=1}^N \text{VI}(C, A_i))$. Thus, $\omega \in \Theta$.

Since $z = P_{\Theta}(I - A + rf)z$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (rf - A)z, x_n - z \rangle \\
 & = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle (rf - A)z, x_{n_i} - z \rangle \\
 & = \langle (rf - A)z, \omega - z \rangle \\
 & \leq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (rf - A)z, y_n - z \rangle & = \langle (rf - A)z, y_n - x_n \rangle \\
 & \quad + \langle (rf - A)z, x_n - z \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

From (93) and (142), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (rf - A)z, y_n - z \rangle \leq 0. \tag{144}$$

Step 5. Finally, we show that x_n converges strongly to $z = P_{\Theta}(I - A + rf)z$. Indeed, from (61) and (70), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|y_n - z\|^2 \\
 & = \|\varepsilon_n rf(x_n) + \beta_n x_n \\
 & \quad + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)z_n - z\|^2 \\
 & = \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - z) \\
 & \quad + \beta_n(x_n - z) + \varepsilon_n(rf(x_n) - Az)\|^2 \\
 & \leq \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - z) + \beta_n(x_n - p)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - z) \\
 & \quad \quad + \beta_n(x_n - z) + \varepsilon_n(rf(x_n) - Az), \\
 & \quad \quad rf(x_n) - Ap \rangle \\
 & = \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)(z_n - z) + \beta_n(x_n - z)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle (y_n - z, rf(x_n) - Az) \rangle \\
 & \leq (1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A)}{1 - \beta_n} (z_n - z) \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \beta_n \|x_n - z\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 2r\varepsilon_n \langle y_n - z, f(x_n) - f(z) \rangle \\
 & \quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{(1 - \beta_n - \varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})^2}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \beta_n \|x_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\quad + r\eta \varepsilon_n (\|x_n - z\|^2 + \|y_n - z\|^2) \\
 &\quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle \\
 &= \left(1 - (2\bar{\gamma} - r\eta) + \frac{(\varepsilon_n \bar{\gamma})^2}{1 - \beta_n} \right) \|x_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\quad + r\eta \varepsilon_n \|y_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\varepsilon_n \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle,
 \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|y_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\leq \left(1 - \frac{2(\bar{\gamma} - r\eta) \varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \right) \|x_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2 \varepsilon_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - z\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

It follows from (146) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|x_{n+1} - z\|^2 \\
 &= \|\gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq \gamma_n \|x_n - z\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \|y_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - z\|^2 + (1 - \gamma_n) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2(\bar{\gamma} - r\eta) \varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \right) \|x_n - z\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}^2 \varepsilon_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - z\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left(1 - \frac{2(1 - \gamma_n)(\bar{\gamma} - r\eta) \varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \right) \|x_n - z\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}^2 \varepsilon_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - z\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

From condition (i) and (142), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \gamma_n)(\bar{\gamma} - r\eta) \varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} = \infty, \\
 &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 - \gamma_n) \varepsilon_n}{1 - r\eta \varepsilon_n} \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}^2 \varepsilon_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - z\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \langle y_n - z, f(z) - Az \rangle \right) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

we can conclude from Lemma 10 that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 16. \square

Theorem 17. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , and let $F_k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ be bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow R$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be k_i -strict pseudocontractive mappings of C into self with $\kappa = \sup_i k_i$ and let $\rho_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j) \in I \times I \times I$, where $I = [0, 1]$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_2^j = 1$, $\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j \leq b < 1$, and $\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j \in (\kappa, 1)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For every $n \in N$, let S_n and S be S -mapping generated by T_n, T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1 and $\rho_n, \rho_{n-1}, \dots, \rho_1$ and T_n, T_{n-1}, \dots and $\rho_n, \rho_{n-1}, \dots$, respectively. Let $B : C \rightarrow H$ be ω -Lipschitz continuous and relaxed (μ, ν) -cocoercive mappings with $\nu - \mu\omega^2 > 0$, let f be a contraction of H into itself with $\eta \in (0, 1)$, and let A be a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with the coefficients $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < r < \bar{\gamma}/\eta$. Assume that

$$\Theta := \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{F(T_i)\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^M \text{SEP}(F_k) \right) \cap \text{VI}(C, B). \tag{149}$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_1 \in C$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n &= J_{r_{M,n}}^{F_M} J_{r_{M-1,n}}^{F_{M-1}} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} x_n, \\
 z_n &= P_C(S_n u_n - \alpha_n B S_n u_n), \\
 y_n &= \varepsilon_n r f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n \\
 &\quad + ((1 - \beta_n) I - \varepsilon_n A) z_n, \\
 x_{n+1} &= \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n, \quad \forall n \in N,
 \end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

where $\{S_n : C \rightarrow C\}$ is the sequence defined by (37). If $\{\varepsilon_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [c_1, c_2] \subset (0, 1)$ and

$\{r_{k,n}\}$, for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n = \infty,$
- (ii) $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \beta_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \beta_n < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| = 0,$
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf r_{k,n} > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{k,n+1} - r_{k,n}| = 0,$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\},$
- (iv) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [g_1, g_2] \subset (0, (2(v - \mu\omega^2))/\omega^2)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = 0.$

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $z \in \Theta,$ where z is the unique solution of variational inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle (rf - A)z, p - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Theta, \quad (151)$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{z \in \Theta} \frac{1}{2} \langle Az, z \rangle - h(z), \quad (152)$$

where h is a potential function for rf (i.e., $h'(z) = rf(z)$ for $z \in H$).

Proof. By Theorem 16, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$ letting $A_i = B,$ we can obtain Theorem 17. \square

Theorem 18. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H,$ and let $F_k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)–(A4). Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be k_i -strict pseudo-contractive mappings of C into self with $\kappa = \sup_i k_i$ and let $\rho_j = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j) \in I \times I \times I,$ where $I = [0, 1], \alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \alpha_2^j = 1, \alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j \leq b < 1,$ and $\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_2^j \in (\kappa, 1)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$ For every $n \in \mathbb{N},$ let S_n and S be S -mapping generated by T_n, T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1 and $\rho_n, \rho_{n-1}, \dots, \rho_1$ and $T_n, T_{n-1}, \dots,$ and $\rho_n, \rho_{n-1}, \dots,$ respectively. Let $A_i : C \rightarrow H$ be ω_i -Lipschitz continuous and relaxed (μ_i, ν_i) -cocoercive mappings with $\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2 > 0,$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$ let f be a contraction of H into itself with $\eta \in (0, 1),$ and let A be a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with the coefficients $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < r < \bar{\gamma}/\eta.$ Assume that

$$\Theta := \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{F(T_i)\} \right) \cap \text{EP}(F) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{VI}(C, A_i) \right). \quad (153)$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_1 \in C$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r}(y - u_n, u_n - x_n) &\geq 0, \\ z_n &= P_C \left(S_n u_n - \alpha_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i A_i \right) S_n u_n \right), \\ y_n &= \varepsilon_n r f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A) z_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (154)$$

where $\{S_n : C \rightarrow C\}$ is the sequence defined by (37) and $\delta_i \in (0, 1), \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i = 1.$ If $\{\varepsilon_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [c_1, c_2] \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{r_{k,n}\},$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is a real

sequence in $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n = \infty,$
- (ii) $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \beta_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \beta_n < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| = 0,$
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf r_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = 0,$
- (iv) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [g_1, g_2] \subset (0, (2 \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i (\nu_i - \mu_i \omega_i^2)) / (\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \omega_i^2))$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = 0.$

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $z \in \Theta,$ where z is the unique solution of variational inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle (rf - A)z, p - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Theta, \quad (155)$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{z \in \Theta} \frac{1}{2} \langle Az, z \rangle - h(z), \quad (156)$$

where h is a potential function for rf (i.e., $h'(z) = rf(z)$ for $z \in H$).

Proof. By Theorem 16, letting $M = 1$ for all $n \geq 1,$ we can obtain Theorem 19. \square

Theorem 19. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H,$ and let $F_k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)–(A4). Let $B : C \rightarrow H$ be ω -Lipschitz continuous and relaxed (μ, ν) -cocoercive mappings with $\nu - \mu\omega^2 > 0,$ and let f be a contraction of H into itself with $\eta \in (0, 1),$ and let A be a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with the coefficients $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < r < \bar{\gamma}/\eta.$ Assume that

$$\Theta := \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^M \text{SEP}(F_k) \right) \cap \text{VI}(C, B). \quad (157)$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_1 \in C$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= J_{r_{M,n}}^{F_M} J_{r_{M-1,n}}^{F_{M-1}} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} x_n, \\ z_n &= P_C(u_n - \alpha_n B u_n), \\ y_n &= \varepsilon_n r f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \varepsilon_n A) z_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \gamma_n x_n + (1 - \gamma_n) y_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

If $\{\varepsilon_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [c_1, c_2] \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{r_{k,n}\},$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n = \infty,$
- (ii) $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \beta_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \beta_n < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| = 0,$
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf r_{k,n} > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{k,n+1} - r_{k,n}| = 0,$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}.$
- (iv) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [g_1, g_2] \subset (0, (2(v - \mu\omega^2))/\omega^2)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = 0.$

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $z \in \Theta$, where z is the unique solution of variational inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle (rf - A)z, p - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Theta, \quad (159)$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{z \in \Theta} \frac{1}{2} \langle Az, z \rangle - h(z), \quad (160)$$

where h is a potential function for rf (i.e., $h'(z) = rf(z)$ for $z \in H$).

Proof. By Theorem 17, letting $T_n = I$ for all $n \leq 1$, we can obtain Theorem 19. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees and the editor for their constructive comments and suggestions, which greatly improved this paper. This project is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 11171180, 11171193, 11126233, and 10901096) Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant no. ZR2011AM016), and the Project of Science and Technology Program of Weifang (Grant no. 20121103).

References

- [1] E. Blum and W. Oettli, "From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems," *The Mathematics Student*, vol. 63, no. 1-4, pp. 123-145, 1994.
- [2] A. Moudafi and M. Théra, "Proximal and dynamical approaches to equilibrium problems," in *Ill-Posed Variational Problems and Regularization Techniques*, vol. 477 of *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems*, pp. 187-201, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1999.
- [3] S. Plubtieng and P. Kumam, "Weak convergence theorem for monotone mappings and a countable family of nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 614-621, 2009.
- [4] V. Colao, G. Marino, and H. K. Xu, "An iterative method for finding common solutions of equilibrium and fixed point problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 344, no. 1, pp. 340-352, 2008.
- [5] M. Tian, "A general iterative algorithm for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 689-694, 2010.
- [6] Y. Yao, Y. C. Liou, and J. C. Yao, "Convergence theorem for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of infinite family of nonexpansive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 064363, 12 pages, 2007.
- [7] V. Colao and G. Marino, "Strong convergence for a minimization problem on points of equilibrium and common fixed points of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 73, no. 11, pp. 3513-3524, 2010.
- [8] H. Iiduka and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 341-350, 2005.
- [9] C. Jaiboon, P. Kumam, and U. W. Humphries, "Weak convergence theorem by an extragradient method for variational inequality, equilibrium and fixed point problems," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 173-185, 2009.
- [10] P. Kumam and C. Jaiboon, "A system of generalized mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems for pseudocontractive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 361512, 33 pages, 2010.
- [11] T. Chamnarnpan and P. Kumam, "A new iterative method for a common solution of fixed points for pseudo-contractive mappings and variational inequalities," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 67, 2012.
- [12] T. Shimizu and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 71-83, 1997.
- [13] K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu, "The nonlinear ergodic theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 399-404, 1992.
- [14] T. Jitpeera and P. Kumam, "An extragradient type method for a system of equilibrium problems, variational inequality problems and fixed points of finitely many nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 71-91, 2010.
- [15] P. Kumam and C. Jaiboon, "Approximation of common solutions to system of mixed equilibrium problems, variational inequality problem, and strict pseudo-contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, Article ID 347204, 30 pages, 2011.
- [16] G. Marino and H. K. Xu, "A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 43-52, 2006.
- [17] P. Kumam and P. Katchang, "The hybrid algorithm for the system of mixed equilibrium problems, the general system of finite variational inequalities and common fixed points for nonexpansive semigroups and strictly pseudo-contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 84, 2012.
- [18] P. Kumam and P. Katchang, "A system of mixed equilibrium problems, a general system of variational inequality problems for relaxed cocoercive, and fixed point problems for nonexpansive semigroup and strictly pseudocontractive mappings," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 414831, 35 pages, 2012.
- [19] P. L. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga, "Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 117-136, 2005.
- [20] H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems of fixed points for κ -strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 456-462, 2008.
- [21] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, "Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 20, pp. 197-228, 1967.
- [22] P. Kumam, U. Humphries, and P. Katchang, "Common solutions of generalized mixed equilibrium problems, variational inclusions, and common fixed points for nonexpansive semigroups and strictly pseudocontractive mappings," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2011, Article ID 953903, 28 pages, 2011.

- [23] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, "Viscosity approximation methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 331, no. 1, pp. 506–515, 2007.
- [24] Y. Liu, "A general iterative method for equilibrium problems and strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 4852–4861, 2009.
- [25] K. Shimoji and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 387–404, 2001.
- [26] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 28 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [27] T. Suzuki, "Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 305, no. 1, pp. 227–239, 2005.
- [28] H. K. Xu, "Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.
- [29] S. S. Chang, H. W. J. Lee, and C. K. Chan, "A new method for solving equilibrium problem fixed point problem and variational inequality problem with application to optimization," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3307–3319, 2009.
- [30] H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, "On projection algorithms for solving convex feasibility problems," *SIAM Review*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 367–426, 1996.
- [31] P. Combettes, "The foundations of set theoretic estimation," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 182–208, 1993.
- [32] W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, "Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 417–428, 2003.
- [33] I. Yamada, "The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality problem over the intersection of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings," in *Inherently Parallel Algorithm for Feasibility and Optimization*, D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, Eds., vol. 8 of *Studies in Computational Mathematics*, pp. 473–504, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [34] T. Chamnarnpan and P. Kumam, "Iterative algorithms for solving the system of mixed equilibrium problems, fixed-point problems, and variational inclusions with application to minimization problem," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 538912, 29 pages, 2012.
- [35] A. Kangtunyakarn, "A new iterative scheme for fixed point problems of infinite family of κ_i -pseudo contractive mappings, equilibrium problem, variational inequality problems," *Journal of Global Optimization*, 2012.
- [36] S. S. Chang, "Some problems and results in the study of nonlinear analysis," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 307, pp. 4197–4208, 1997.
- [37] R. T. Rockafellar, "On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 149, pp. 75–88, 1970.
- [38] A. Kangtunyakarn, "Strong convergence theorem for a generalized equilibrium problem and system of variational inequalities problem and infinite family of strict pseudo-contractions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 23, 2011.