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An equilibrium problem is investigated based on a hybrid projection iterative algorithm. Strong convergence theorems for solutions of the equilibrium problem are established in a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property.

## 1. Introduction

Equilibrium problems which were introduced by Fan [1] and Blum and Oettli [2] have had a great impact and influence on the development of several branches of pure and applied sciences. It has been shown that the equilibrium problem theory provides a novel and unified treatment of a wide class of problems which arise in economics, finance, image reconstruction, ecology, transportation, network, elasticity, and optimization. It has been shown [3-8] that equilibrium, problems include variational inequalities, fixed point, the Nash equilibrium, and game theory as special cases. A number of iterative algorithms have recently been studying for fixed point and equilibrium problems, see [9-26] and the references therein. However, there were few results established in the framework of the Banach spaces. In this paper, we suggest and analyze a projection iterative algorithm for finding solutions of equilibrium in a Banach space.

## 2. Preliminaries

In what follows, we always assume that $E$ is a Banach space with the dual space $E^{*}$. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. We use the symbol $J$ to stand for the normalized duality mapping from $E$ to $2^{E^{*}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J x=\left\{f^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, f^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|f^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \quad \forall x \in E \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing of elements between $E$ and $E^{*}$.
Let $U_{E}=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$ be the unit sphere of $E$. $E$ is said to be strictly convex if $\|(x+y) / 2\|<1$ for all $x, y \in U_{E}$ with $x \neq y$. It is said to be uniformly convex if for any $\epsilon \in(0,2]$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $x, y \in U_{E}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \quad \text { implies }\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \leq 1-\delta . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex; for details see [27] and the references therein.

Recall that a Banach space $E$ is said to have the Kadec-Klee property if a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $E$ satisfies that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x \in C$, where - denotes the weak convergence, and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$, where $\rightarrow$ denotes the strong convergence, and then $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. It is known that if $E$ is uniformly convex, then $E$ enjoys the Kadec-Klee property; for details see [26] and the references therein.
$E$ is said to be smooth provided $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(\|x+t y\|-\|x\|) / t$ exists for all $x, y \in U_{E}$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for all $x, y \in U_{E}$.

It is well known that if $E^{*}$ is strictly convex, then $J$ is single valued; if $E^{*}$ is reflexive, and smooth, then $J$ is single valued and demicontinuous; for more details see [27,28] and the references therein.

It is also well known that if $D$ is a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$, and $P_{D}: H \rightarrow D$ is the metric projection from $H$ onto $D$, then $P_{D}$ is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes the Hilbert spaces, and consequently, it is not available in more general Banach spaces. In this connection, Alber [29] introduced a generalized projection operator $\Pi_{D}$ in the Banach spaces which is an analogue of the metric projection in the Hilbert spaces.

Let $E$ be a smooth Banach space. Consider the functional defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that, in a Hilbert space $H$, (2.3) is reduced to $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in H$. The generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping that is assigned to an arbitrary point $x \in E$, the minimum point of the functional $\phi(x, y)$; that is, $\Pi_{C} x=\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the solution to the following minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\bar{x}, x)=\min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence and uniqueness of the operator $\Pi_{C}$ follow from the properties of the functional $\phi(x, y)$ and the strict monotonicity of the mapping $J$; see, for example, [27, 28]. In the Hilbert spaces, $\Pi_{C}=P_{C}$. It is obvious from the definition of the function $\phi$ that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\|y\|-\|x\|)^{2} \leq \phi(y, x) \leq(\|y\|+\|x\|)^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E  \tag{2.5}\\
\phi(x, y)=\phi(x, z)+\phi(z, y)+2\langle x-z, J z-J y\rangle, \quad \forall x, y, z \in E \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. Recall that a point $p$ in $C$ is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| x_{n}-$ $T x_{n} \|=0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{F}(T) . T$ is said to be relatively nonexpansive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}(T)=F(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad \phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in F(T) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic behavior of a relatively nonexpansive mapping was studied in [27, 29, 30].
Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers. In this paper, we consider the following equilibrium problem. Find $p \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\mathrm{EP}(f)$ to denote the solution set of the equilibrium problem (2.3). That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{EP}(f)=\{p \in C: f(p, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a mapping $Q: C \rightarrow E^{*}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\langle Q x, y-x\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $p \in \operatorname{EP}(f)$ if and only if $p$ is a solution of the following variational inequality. Find $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Q p, y-p\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To study the equilibrium problem (2.8), we may assume that $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $f(x, x)=0$, for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $f$ is monotone, that is, $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$;
(A3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \downarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in C \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A4) for each $x \in C, y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is convex and weakly lower semicontinuous.
In this paper, we study the problem of approximating solutions of equilibrium problem (2.8) based on a hybrid projection iterative algorithm in a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property. To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let E be a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space and C a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $r>0$ and $x \in E$. Then
(a) (see [2]). There exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) (see [31]). Define a mapping $T_{r}^{f}: E \rightarrow C$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r}^{f} x=\left\{z \in C: f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle, \forall y \in C\right\} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following conclusions hold:
(1) $T_{r}^{f}$ is single valued;
(2) $T_{r}^{f}$ is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping; that is, for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{r}^{f} x-T_{r}^{f} y, J T_{r}^{f} x-J T_{r}^{f} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r}^{f} x-T_{r}^{f} y, J x-J y\right\rangle ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}^{f}\right)=\operatorname{EP}(f)$;
(4) $\operatorname{EP}(f)$ is closed and convex;
(5) $T_{r}^{f}$ is relatively nonexpansive.

Lemma 2.2 (see [29]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space and C a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let $x \in E$, and $x_{0} \in C$. Then $x_{0}=\Pi_{C} x$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{0}-y_{1} J x-J x_{0}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [29]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space and C a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$, and $x \in E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{C} x\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} x, x\right) \leq \phi(y, x), \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [27]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space. Then one has the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=0 \Longleftrightarrow x=y, \quad \forall x, y \in E . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $E$ be a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property and C a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(A 1)-(A 4)$ such that $\mathrm{EP}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in E \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1}=C, \\
x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}} x_{0}, \\
y_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(y_{n}, u\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u-y_{n}, J y_{n}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in C,  \tag{3.1}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{u \in C_{n}: 2\left\langle x_{n}-u, J x_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq \phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real number sequence in $[r, \infty)$, where $r$ is some positive real number. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\bar{x}=\Pi_{\mathrm{EP}(f)} x_{0}$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.1, we see that $\mathrm{EP}(f)$ is closed and convex. Next, we show that $C_{n}$ is closed and convex. It is not hard to see that $C_{n}$ is closed. Therefore, we only show that $C_{n}$ is convex. It is obvious that $C_{1}=C$ is convex. Suppose that $C_{h}$ is convex for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we show that $C_{h+1}$ is also convex for the same $h$. Let $a, b \in C_{h+1}$ and $c=t a+(1-t) b$, where $t \in(0,1)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right) \leq 2\left\langle x_{h}-a, J x_{h}-J y_{h}\right\rangle, \quad \phi\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right) \leq 2\left\langle x_{h}-b, J x_{h}-J y_{h}\right\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in C_{h}$. From the above two inequalities, we can get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right) \leq 2\left\langle x_{h}-c, J x_{h}-J y_{h}\right\rangle \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in C_{h}$. It follows that $C_{h+1}$ is closed and convex. This completes the proof that $C_{n}$ is closed, and convex.

Next, we show that $\operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{n}$. It is obvious that $\operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C=C_{1}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{h}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $z \in \operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{h}$, we see from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(z, y_{h}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{h}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we obtain from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(z, y_{h}\right)=\phi\left(z, x_{h}\right)+\phi\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right)+2\left\langle z-x_{h}, J x_{h}-J y_{h}\right\rangle . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle x_{h}-z, J x_{h}-J y_{h}\right\rangle \geq \phi\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $z \in C_{h+1}$. This shows that $\operatorname{EP}(f) \subset C_{h+1}$. This completes the proof that $\mathrm{EP}(f) \subset C_{n}$.

Next, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a convergent sequence and strongly converges to $\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{EP}(f)$. Since $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$, we see from Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x_{0}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C_{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\mathrm{EP}(f) \subset C_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-w, J x_{0}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \operatorname{EP}(f) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) & =\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(\Pi_{\mathrm{EP}(f)} x_{0}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(\Pi_{\mathrm{EP}(f)} x_{0}, x_{n}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq \phi\left(\Pi_{\mathrm{EP}(f)} x_{0}, x_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. It follows from (2.5) that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded. Since the space is reflexive, we may assume that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Since $C_{n}$ is closed and convex, we see that $\bar{x} \in C_{n}$. On the other hand, we see from the weakly lower semicontinuity of the norm that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{0}\right) & =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, J x_{0}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{0}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{0}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|\bar{x}\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In view of the Kadec-Klee property of $E$, we see that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{\operatorname{EP}(f)} x_{0} \in$ $C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, we arrive at $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right)$. This shows that $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is nondecreasing. It follows from the boundedness that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ exists. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in C_{n+1}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq 2\left\langle x_{n}-x_{n+1}, J x_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.5), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\|=\|\bar{x}\| \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J y_{n}\right\|=\|J \bar{x}\| . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\left\{J y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Note that both $E$ and $E^{*}$ are reflexive. We may assume that $J y_{n} \rightharpoonup y^{*} \in E^{*}$. In view of the reflexivity of $E$, we see that there exists an element $y \in E$ such that $J y=y^{*}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) & =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J y_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.18}\\
& =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J y_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|J y_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the both sides of the equality above yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, y^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|y^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\langle\bar{x}, J y\rangle+\|J y\|^{2}  \tag{3.19}\\
& =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\langle\bar{x}, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2} \\
& =\phi(\bar{x}, y) .
\end{align*}
$$

That is, $\bar{x}=y$, which in turn implies that $y^{*}=J \bar{x}$. It follows that $J y_{n} \rightarrow J \bar{x} \in E^{*}$. Since $E^{*}$ enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain from (3.17) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J y_{n}=J \bar{x}$. Since $J^{-1}$ : $E^{*} \rightarrow E$ is demicontinuous, we find that $y_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. This implies from (3.16) and the Kadec-Klee property of $E$ that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=\bar{x}$. This in turn implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0$. Since $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on any bounded sets, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J y_{n}-J x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that $\bar{x} \in E F(f)$. In view of Lemma 2.1, we find from $y_{n}=T_{r_{n}}^{f} x_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(y_{n}, u\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u-y_{n}, J y_{n}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in C \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from condition (A2) and (3.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\|u-y_{n}\right\|\left\|J y_{n}-J x_{n}\right\| \geq f\left(u, y_{n}\right), \quad \forall u \in C \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of condition (A4), we obtain from (3.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u, \bar{x}) \leq 0, \quad \forall u \in C . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<t<1$ and $u \in C$, define $u_{t}=t u+(1-t) \bar{x}$. It follows that $u_{t} \in C$, which yields that $f\left(u_{t}, \bar{x}\right) \leq 0$. It follows from conditions (A1) and (A4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=f\left(u_{t}, u_{t}\right) \leq t f\left(u_{t}, u\right)+(1-t) f\left(u_{t}, \bar{x}\right) \leq t f\left(u_{t}, u\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{t}, u\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, we find from condition (A3) that $f(\bar{x}, u) \geq 0$, for all $u \in C$. This implies that $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{EP}(f)$. This shows that $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{EP}(f)$.

Finally, we prove that $\bar{x}=\Pi_{\operatorname{EP}(f)} x_{0}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.8), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{x}-w, J x_{0}-J \bar{x}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \mathrm{EP}(f) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, we can obtain that $\bar{x}=\Pi_{E P(f)} x_{0}$. This completes the proof.
In the framework of the Hilbert spaces, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) such that $\mathrm{EP}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in E \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1}=C, \\
x_{1}=P_{C_{1}} x_{0}, \\
y_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(y_{n}, u\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u-y_{n}, y_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in C,  \tag{3.27}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{u \in C_{n}: 2\left\langle x_{n}-u, x_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle \geq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real number sequence in $[r, \infty)$, where $r$ is some positive real number. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\bar{x}=P_{\operatorname{EP}(f)} x_{0}$.
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