

Research Article

Stability of an n -Dimensional Mixed-Type Additive and Quadratic Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces

Yang-Hi Lee¹ and Soon-Mo Jung²

¹ Department of Mathematics Education, Gongju National University of Education, Gongju 314-711, Republic of Korea

² Mathematics Section, College of Science and Technology, Hongik University, Jochiwon 339-701, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Soon-Mo Jung, smjung@hongik.ac.kr

Received 5 August 2011; Accepted 28 November 2011

Academic Editor: Xianhua Tang

Copyright © 2012 Y.-H. Lee and S.-M. Jung. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate the stability problems for the n -dimensional mixed-type additive and quadratic functional equation $2f(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) = (n+1)\sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) + (n-1)\sum_{j=1}^n f(-x_j)$ in random normed spaces by applying the fixed point method.

1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam [1] gave a wide-ranging talk before a mathematical colloquium at the University of Wisconsin, in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

Let G_1 be a group, and let G_2 be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

If the answer is affirmative, we say that the functional equation for homomorphisms is stable. Hyers [2] was the first mathematician to present the result concerning the stability of functional equations. He answered the question of Ulam for the case where G_1 and G_2 are assumed to be Banach spaces. This result of Hyers is stated as follows.

Let $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a function between Banach spaces such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta \tag{1.1}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and for all $x, y \in E_1$. Then the limit $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$ exists for each $x \in E_1$, and $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is the unique additive function such that $\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \delta$ for every $x \in E_1$. Moreover, if $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed $x \in E_1$, then function A is linear.

We remark that the additive function A is directly constructed from the given function f , and this method is called the *direct method*. The direct method is a very powerful method for studying the stability problems of various functional equations. Taking this famous result into consideration, the additive Cauchy equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ is said to have the *Hyers-Ulam stability* on (E_1, E_2) if for every function $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ satisfying the inequality (1.1) for some $\delta \geq 0$ and for all $x, y \in E_1$, there exists an additive function $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $f - A$ is bounded on E_1 .

In 1950, Aoki [3] generalized the theorem of Hyers for additive functions, and in the following year, Bourgin [4] extended the theorem without proof. Unfortunately, it seems that their results failed to receive attention from mathematicians at that time. No one has made use of these results for a long time.

In 1978, Rassias [5] addressed the Hyers's stability theorem and attempted to weaken the condition for the bound of the norm of Cauchy difference and generalized the theorem of Hyers for linear functions.

Let $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a function between Banach spaces. If f satisfies the functional inequality

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.2)$$

for some $\theta \geq 0$, p with $0 \leq p < 1$ and for all $x, y \in E_1$, then there exists a unique additive function $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq (2\theta / (2 - 2^p))\|x\|^p$ for each $x \in E_1$. If, in addition, $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed $x \in E_1$, then the function A is linear.

This result of Rassias attracted a number of mathematicians who began to be stimulated to investigate the stability problems of functional equations. By regarding a large influence of Ulam, Hyers, and Rassias on the study of stability problems of functional equations, the stability phenomenon proved by Rassias is called the *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability*. For the last thirty years, many results concerning the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of various functional equations have been obtained (see [6–17]).

In this paper, applying the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the n -dimensional mixed-type additive and quadratic functional equation

$$2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) = (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) + (n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n f(-x_j) \quad (1.3)$$

in random normed spaces. Every solution of (1.3) is called a *quadratic-additive function*.

Throughout this paper, let n be an integer larger than 1.

2. Preliminaries

We introduce some terminologies, notations, and conventions usually used in the theory of random normed spaces (see [18, 19]). The set of all probability distribution functions is

denoted by

$$\Delta^+ := \{F : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid F \text{ is left-continuous and nondecreasing on } [0, \infty), \\ F(0) = 0, \text{ and } F(\infty) = 1\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us define $D^+ := \{F \in \Delta^+ \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = 1\}$. The set Δ^+ is partially ordered by the usual pointwise ordering of functions, that is, $F \leq G$ if and only if $F(t) \leq G(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. The maximal element for Δ^+ in this order is the distribution function $\varepsilon_0 : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.1 (See [18]). A function $\tau : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a *continuous triangular norm* (briefly, *continuous t-norm*) if τ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) τ is commutative and associative;
- (b) τ is continuous;
- (c) $\tau(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (d) $\tau(a, b) \leq \tau(c, d)$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ with $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$.

Typical examples of continuous t -norms are $\tau_P(a, b) = ab$, $\tau_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$, and $\tau_L(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$.

Definition 2.2 (See [19]). Let X be a vector space, τ a continuous t -norm, and let $\Lambda : X \rightarrow D^+$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (R₁) $\Lambda_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (R₂) $\Lambda_{\alpha x}(t) = \Lambda_x(t/|\alpha|)$ for all $x \in X$, $\alpha \neq 0$, and for all $t \geq 0$;
- (R₃) $\Lambda_{x+y}(t+s) \geq \tau(\Lambda_x(t), \Lambda_y(s))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t, s \geq 0$.

A triple (X, Λ, τ) is called a *random normed space* (briefly, *RN-space*).

If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, we can define a function $\Lambda : X \rightarrow D^+$ by

$$\Lambda_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then (X, Λ, τ_M) is a random normed space, which is called the *induced random normed space*.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, Λ, τ) be an RN-space.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be *convergent* to a point $x \in X$ if, for every $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\Lambda_{x_n-x}(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for every $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\Lambda_{x_n-x_m}(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq m \geq N$.

- (iii) An RN-space (X, Λ, τ) is called *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .

Definition 2.4. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if and only if d satisfies

- (M₁) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
 (M₂) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
 (M₃) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We now introduce one of the fundamental results of the fixed point theory. For the proof, we refer to [20] or [21].

Theorem 2.5 (See [20, 21]). *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space. Assume that $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X$ is a strict contraction with the Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. If there exists a nonnegative integer n_0 such that $d(\Lambda^{n_0+1}x, \Lambda^{n_0}x) < \infty$ for some $x \in X$, then the following statements are true:*

- (i) *the sequence $\{\Lambda^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point x^* of Λ ;*
 (ii) *x^* is the unique fixed point of Λ in $X^* = \{y \in X \mid d(\Lambda^{n_0}x, y) < \infty\}$;*
 (iii) *if $y \in X^*$, then*

$$d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Lambda y, y). \quad (2.4)$$

In 2003, Radu [22] noticed that many theorems concerning the Hyers-Ulam stability of various functional equations follow from the fixed point alternative (Theorem 2.5). Indeed, he applied the fixed point method to prove the existence of a solution of the inequality (1.1) and investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive Cauchy equation (see also [23–26]). Furthermore, Miheţ and Radu [27] applied the fixed point method to prove the stability theorems of the additive Cauchy equation in random normed spaces.

In 2009, Towanlong and Nakmahachalasint [28] established the general solution and the stability of the n -dimensional mixed-type additive and quadratic functional equation (1.3) by using the direct method. According to [28], a function $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a quadratic-additive function, where E_1 and E_2 are vector spaces, if and only if there exist an additive function $a : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and a quadratic function $q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $f(x) = a(x) + q(x)$ for all $x \in E_1$.

3. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability

Throughout this paper, let X be a real vector space and let (Y, Λ, τ_M) be a complete RN-space. For a given function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we use the following abbreviation:

$$\begin{aligned} & Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ & := 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) - (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) - (n-1) \sum_{j=1}^n f(-x_j) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

We will now prove the stability of the functional equation (1.3) in random normed spaces by using fixed point method.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a real vector space, (Z, Λ', τ_M) an RN-space, (Y, Λ, τ_M) a complete RN-space, and let $\varphi : (X \setminus \{0\})^n \rightarrow Z$ be a function. Assume that φ satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (i) $\Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) \leq \Lambda'_{\varphi(nx_1, nx_2, \dots, nx_n)}(t)$ for some $0 < \alpha < n$;
- (ii) $\Lambda'_{\varphi(nx_1, nx_2, \dots, nx_n)}(t) \leq \Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t)$ for some $\alpha > n^2$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\Lambda_{Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) \geq \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, then there exists a unique function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\Lambda_{f(x)-F(x)}(t) \geq \begin{cases} M(x, 2(n-\alpha)t) & \text{if } \varphi \text{ satisfies (i),} \\ M(x, 2(\alpha-n^2)t) & \text{if } \varphi \text{ satisfies (ii)} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, where $M(x, t) := \tau_M(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\hat{x})}(t), \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{-x})}(t))$, and $\hat{x} = (x, x, \dots, x)$.

Proof. We will first treat the case where φ satisfies the condition (i). Let S be the set of all functions $g : X \rightarrow Y$ with $g(0) = 0$, and let us define a generalized metric on S by

$$d(g, h) := \inf\{u \in [0, \infty] \mid \Lambda_{g(x)-h(x)}(ut) \geq M(x, t) \forall x \in X \setminus \{0\}, t > 0\}. \quad (3.5)$$

It is not difficult to show that (S, d) is a complete generalized metric space (see [29] or [30, 31]).

Consider the operator $J : S \rightarrow S$ defined by

$$Jf(x) := \frac{f(nx) - f(-nx)}{2n} + \frac{f(nx) + f(-nx)}{2n^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then we can apply induction on m to prove

$$J^m f(x) = \frac{f(n^m x) - f(-n^m x)}{2n^m} + \frac{f(n^m x) + f(-n^m x)}{2n^{2m}} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f, g \in S$ and let $u \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, f) \leq u$. For some $0 < \alpha < n$ satisfying the condition (i), it follows from the definition of d , (R_2) , (R_3) , and (i) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{Jg(x)-Jf(x)}\left(\frac{\alpha ut}{n}\right) &= \Lambda_{((n+1)(g(nx)-f(nx))/2n^2)-((n-1)(g(-nx)-f(-nx))/2n^2)}\left(\frac{\alpha ut}{n}\right) \\ &\geq \tau_M\left(\Lambda_{(n+1)(g(nx)-f(nx))/2n^2}\left(\frac{(n+1)\alpha ut}{2n^2}\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Lambda_{(n-1)(g(-nx)-f(-nx))/2n^2}\left(\frac{(n-1)\alpha ut}{2n^2}\right)\right) \\ &\geq \tau_M\left(\Lambda_{g(nx)-f(nx)}(\alpha ut), \Lambda_{g(-nx)-f(-nx)}(\alpha ut)\right) \\ &\geq \tau_M\left(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\bar{nx})}(\alpha t), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-\bar{nx})}(\alpha t)\right) \\ &\geq M(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, which implies that

$$d(Jf, Jg) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n}d(f, g). \quad (3.9)$$

That is, J is a strict contraction with the Lipschitz constant $0 < \alpha/n < 1$.

Moreover, by (R_2) , (R_3) , and (3.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{f(x)-Jf(x)}\left(\frac{t}{2n}\right) &= \Lambda_{(-(n+1)Df(\bar{x})+(n-1)Df(-\bar{x}))/4n^2}\left(\frac{t}{2n}\right) \\ &\geq \tau_M\left(\Lambda_{(n+1)Df(\bar{x})/4n^2}\left(\frac{(n+1)t}{4n^2}\right), \Lambda_{(n-1)Df(-\bar{x})/4n^2}\left(\frac{(n-1)t}{4n^2}\right)\right) \\ &\geq \tau_M\left(\Lambda_{Df(\bar{x})}(t), \Lambda_{Df(-\bar{x})}(t)\right) \\ &\geq M(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. Hence, it follows from the definition of d that

$$d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2n} < \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Now, in view of Theorem 2.5, the sequence $\{J^m f\}$ converges to the unique “fixed point” $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of J in the set $T = \{g \in S \mid d(f, g) < \infty\}$ and F is represented by

$$F(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(n^m x) - f(-n^m x)}{2n^m} + \frac{f(n^m x) + f(-n^m x)}{2n^{2m}} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By Theorem 2.5, (3.11), and the definition of d , we have

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha/n} d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2(n - \alpha)}, \quad (3.13)$$

that is, the first inequality in (3.4) holds true.

We will now show that F is a quadratic-additive function. It follows from (R_3) and the definition of τ_M that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) &\geq \min \left\{ \Lambda_{2(F - J^m f)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j)} \left(\frac{t}{5} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \min \left\{ \Lambda_{(F - J^m f)(x_i - x_j)} \left(\frac{t}{(5n(n-1))} \right) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j \right\}, \\ &\quad \min \left\{ \Lambda_{(n+1)(J^m f - F)(x_j)} \left(\frac{t}{(5n)} \right) \mid j = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \\ &\quad \min \left\{ \Lambda_{(n-1)(J^m f - F)(-x_j)} \left(\frac{t}{(5n)} \right) \mid j = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \\ &\quad \left. \Lambda_{DJ^m f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \left(\frac{t}{5} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$, $t > 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to the definition of F , the first four terms on the right-hand side of the above inequality tend to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

By a somewhat tedious manipulation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} DJ^m f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{2n^{2m}} Df(n^m x_1, \dots, n^m x_n) + \frac{1}{2n^{2m}} Df(-n^m x_1, \dots, -n^m x_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n^m} Df(n^m x_1, \dots, n^m x_n) - \frac{1}{2n^m} Df(-n^m x_1, \dots, -n^m x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, it follows from (R_2) , (R_3) , definition of τ_M , (3.2), and (i) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{DJ^m f(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \left(\frac{t}{5} \right) &\geq \min \left\{ \Lambda_{Df(n^m x_1, \dots, n^m x_n)/2n^{2m}} \left(\frac{t}{20} \right), \Lambda_{Df(-n^m x_1, \dots, -n^m x_n)/2n^{2m}} \left(\frac{t}{20} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Lambda_{Df(n^m x_1, \dots, n^m x_n)/2n^m} \left(\frac{t}{20} \right), \Lambda_{Df(-n^m x_1, \dots, -n^m x_n)/2n^m} \left(\frac{t}{20} \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \Lambda_{Df(n^m x_1, \dots, n^m x_n)} \left(\frac{n^{2m} t}{10} \right), \Lambda_{Df(-n^m x_1, \dots, -n^m x_n)} \left(\frac{n^{2m} t}{10} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Lambda_{Df(n^m x_1, \dots, n^m x_n)} \left(\frac{n^m t}{10} \right), \Lambda_{Df(-n^m x_1, \dots, -n^m x_n)} \left(\frac{n^m t}{10} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \min \left\{ \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \left(\frac{n^{2m}t}{(10\alpha^m)} \right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)} \left(\frac{n^{2m}t}{(10\alpha^m)} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \left(\frac{n^m t}{(10\alpha^m)} \right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)} \left(\frac{n^m t}{(10\alpha^m)} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

which tends to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. Therefore, (3.14) implies that

$$\Lambda_{DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) = 1 \quad (3.17)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. By (R_1) , this implies that $DF(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$, which ends the proof of the first part.

Now, assume that φ satisfies the condition (ii) . Let (S, d) be the same as given in the first part. We now consider the operator $J : S \rightarrow S$ defined by

$$Jg(x) := \frac{n}{2} \left(g\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - g\left(-\frac{x}{n}\right) \right) + \frac{n^2}{2} \left(g\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + g\left(-\frac{x}{n}\right) \right) \quad (3.18)$$

for all $g \in S$ and $x \in X$. Notice that

$$J^m g(x) = \frac{n^m}{2} \left(g\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) - g\left(-\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right) + \frac{n^{2m}}{2} \left(g\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) + g\left(-\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right) \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f, g \in S$ and let $u \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, f) \leq u$. From (R_2) , (R_3) , the definition of d , and (ii) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{Jg(x)-Jf(x)} \left(\frac{n^2 ut}{\alpha} \right) &= \Lambda_{((n^2+n)/2)(g(x/n)-f(x/n))+((n^2-n)/2)(g(-x/n)-f(-x/n))} \left(\frac{n^2 ut}{\alpha} \right) \\ &\geq \tau_M \left(\Lambda_{((n^2+n)/2)(g(x/n)-f(x/n))} \left(\frac{(n^2+n)ut}{(2\alpha)} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Lambda_{((n^2-n)/2)(g(-x/n)-f(-x/n))} \left(\frac{(n^2-n)ut}{(2\alpha)} \right) \right) \\ &= \tau_M \left(\Lambda_{g(x/n)-f(x/n)} \left(\frac{ut}{\alpha} \right), \Lambda_{g(-x/n)-f(-x/n)} \left(\frac{ut}{\alpha} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \tau_M \left(M \left(\frac{x}{n}, \frac{t}{\alpha} \right), M \left(-\frac{x}{n}, \frac{t}{\alpha} \right) \right) \\ &= \tau_M \left(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{x/n})} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha} \right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{-x/n})} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \tau_M \left(\Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(\widehat{x/n})}(t), \Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(\widehat{-x/n})}(t) \right) \\
&\geq \tau_M \left(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{x})}(t), \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{-x})}(t) \right) \\
&= M(x, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$, $t > 0$, and for some $\alpha > n^2$ satisfying (ii), which implies that

$$d(Jf, Jg) \leq \frac{n^2}{\alpha} d(f, g). \tag{3.21}$$

That is, J is a strict contraction with the Lipschitz constant $0 < n^2/\alpha < 1$.

Moreover, by (R_2) , (3.2), and (ii), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{f(x)-Jf(x)} \left(\frac{t}{(2\alpha)} \right) &= \Lambda_{(1/2)Df(\widehat{x/n})} \left(\frac{t}{(2\alpha)} \right) \\
&\geq \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{x/n})} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha} \right) \\
&= \Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(\widehat{x/n})}(t) \\
&\geq \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{x})}(t) \\
&\geq M(x, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. This implies that $d(f, Jf) \leq 1/(2\alpha) < \infty$ by the definition of d . Therefore, according to Theorem 2.5, the sequence $\{J^m f\}$ converges to the unique "fixed point" $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of J in the set $T = \{g \in S \mid d(f, g) < \infty\}$ and F is represented by

$$F(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n^m}{2} \left(f \left(\frac{x}{n^m} \right) - f \left(-\frac{x}{n^m} \right) \right) + \frac{n^{2m}}{2} \left(f \left(\frac{x}{n^m} \right) + f \left(-\frac{x}{n^m} \right) \right) \right) \tag{3.23}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1 - n^2/\alpha} d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2(\alpha - n^2)}, \tag{3.24}$$

the second inequality in (3.4) holds true.

Next, we will show that F is a quadratic-additive function. As we did in the first part, we obtain the inequality (3.14). In view of the definition of F , the first four terms

on the right-hand side of the inequality (3.14) tend to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, a long manipulation yields

$$DJ^m f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{n^{2m}}{2} Df\left(\frac{x_1}{n^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^m}\right) + \frac{n^{2m}}{2} Df\left(-\frac{x_1}{n^m}, \dots, -\frac{x_n}{n^m}\right) \\ + \frac{n^m}{2} Df\left(\frac{x_1}{n^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^m}\right) - \frac{n^m}{2} Df\left(-\frac{x_1}{n^m}, \dots, -\frac{x_n}{n^m}\right). \quad (3.25)$$

Thus, it follows from (R_2) , (R_3) , definition of τ_M , (3.2), and (ii) that

$$\Lambda_{DJ^m f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}\left(\frac{t}{5}\right) \\ \geq \min\left\{\Lambda_{(n^{2m}/2)Df(x_1/n^m, \dots, x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{20}\right), \Lambda_{(n^{2m}/2)Df(-x_1/n^m, \dots, -x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{20}\right), \right. \\ \left. \Lambda_{(n^m/2)Df(x_1/n^m, \dots, x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{20}\right), \Lambda_{-(n^m/2)Df(-x_1/n^m, \dots, -x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{20}\right)\right\} \\ \geq \min\left\{\Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1/n^m, \dots, x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^{2m})}\right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-x_1/n^m, \dots, -x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^{2m})}\right), \right. \\ \left. \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1/n^m, \dots, x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^m)}\right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-x_1/n^m, \dots, -x_n/n^m)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^m)}\right)\right\} \quad (3.26) \\ \geq \min\left\{\Lambda'_{\alpha^{-m}\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^{2m})}\right), \Lambda'_{\alpha^{-m}\varphi(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^{2m})}\right), \right. \\ \left. \Lambda'_{\alpha^{-m}\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^m)}\right), \Lambda'_{\alpha^{-m}\varphi(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)}\left(\frac{t}{(10n^m)}\right)\right\} \\ = \min\left\{\Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}\left(\frac{\alpha^m t}{(10n^{2m})}\right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)}\left(\frac{\alpha^m t}{(10n^{2m})}\right), \right. \\ \left. \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}\left(\frac{\alpha^m t}{(10n^m)}\right), \Lambda'_{\varphi(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)}\left(\frac{\alpha^m t}{(10n^m)}\right)\right\},$$

which tends to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. Therefore, it follows from (3.14) that

$$\Lambda_{DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) = 1 \quad (3.27)$$

for any $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. By (R_1) , this implies that

$$DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$, which ends the proof. \square

By a similar way presented in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can also prove the preceding theorem if the domains of relevant functions include 0.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a real vector space, (Z, Λ', τ_M) an RN-space, (Y, Λ, τ_M) a complete RN-space, and let $\varphi : X^n \rightarrow Z$ be a function. Assume that φ satisfies one of the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.1 for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $t > 0$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and (3.2) for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $t > 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic-additive function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.4) for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Now, we obtain general Hyers-Ulam stability results of (1.3) in normed spaces. If X is a normed space, then (X, Λ, τ_M) is an induced random normed space. We get the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a real vector space, Y a complete normed space, and let $\varphi : (X \setminus \{0\})^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Assume that φ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (iii) $\varphi(nx_1, \dots, nx_n) \leq \alpha\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for some $1 < \alpha < n$;
- (iv) $\varphi(nx_1, \dots, nx_n) \geq \alpha\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for some $\alpha > n^2$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$, then there exists a unique function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\max\{\varphi(\hat{x}), \varphi(\widehat{-x})\}}{2(n - \alpha)} & \text{if } \varphi \text{ satisfies (iii),} \\ \frac{\max\{\varphi(\hat{x}), \varphi(\widehat{-x})\}}{2(\alpha - n^2)} & \text{if } \varphi \text{ satisfies (iv)} \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Let us put

$$Z := \mathbb{R}, \quad \Lambda_x(t) := \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}, \quad \Lambda'_z(t) := \frac{t}{t + |z|} \quad (3.32)$$

for all $x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and $t \geq 0$. If φ satisfies the condition (iii) for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and for some $1 < \alpha < n$, then

$$\Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(t) = \frac{t}{t + \alpha\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \leq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(nx_1, \dots, nx_n)} = \Lambda'_{\varphi(nx_1, \dots, nx_n)}(t) \quad (3.33)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, that is, φ satisfies the condition (i). In a similar way, we can show that if φ satisfies (iv), then it satisfies the condition (ii).

Moreover, we get

$$\Lambda_{Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|} \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(t) \quad (3.34)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, that is, f satisfies the inequality (3.2) for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$.

According to Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$DF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (3.35)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\Lambda_{f(x)-F(x)}(t) \geq \begin{cases} \tau_M(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{x})}(2(n-\alpha)t), \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{-x})}(2(n-\alpha)t)) & \text{if } \varphi \text{ satisfies (iii),} \\ \tau_M(\Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{x})}(2(\alpha-n^2)t), \Lambda'_{\varphi(\widehat{-x})}(2(\alpha-n^2)t)) & \text{if } \varphi \text{ satisfies (iv)} \end{cases} \quad (3.36)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, which ends the proof. \square

We now prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (1.3) in the framework of normed spaces.

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a real normed space, $p \in [0, 1) \cup (2, \infty)$, and let Y be a complete normed space. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p) \quad (3.37)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and for some $\theta \geq 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic-additive function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n\theta\|x\|^p}{2(n-n^p)} & \text{if } 0 \leq p < 1, \\ \frac{n\theta\|x\|^p}{2(n^p-n^2)} & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.38)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If we put

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p), \quad (3.39)$$

then the induced random normed space (X, Λ_x, τ_M) satisfies the conditions stated in Theorem 3.2 with $\alpha = n^p$. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let X be a real normed space, $p \in (-\infty, 0)$, and let Y be a complete normed space. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, x_i \neq 0} \|x_i\|^p \quad (3.40)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and for some $\theta \geq 0$, then there exists a unique quadratic-additive function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n\theta\|x\|^p}{2(n-n^p)} & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.41)$$

Proof. If we put $Z := \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha := n^p$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_x(t) &:= \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}, & \Lambda'_z(t) &:= \frac{t}{t + |z|}, \\ \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &:= \theta \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, x_i \neq 0} \|x_i\|^p \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

for all $x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $z \in Z$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'_{\alpha\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) &= \frac{t}{t + \alpha\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(nx_1, \dots, nx_n)} \\ &= \Lambda'_{\varphi(nx_1, nx_2, \dots, nx_n)}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

that is, φ satisfies condition (i) given in Theorem 3.1 for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $t > 0$. We moreover get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t) &= \frac{t}{t + \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|} \\ &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, x_i \neq 0} \|x_i\|^p} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \Lambda'_{\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

that is, f satisfies the inequality (3.2) for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $t > 0$.

According to Theorem 3.2, there exists a unique quadratic-additive function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t + \|f(x) - F(x)\|} &= \Lambda_{f(x)-F(x)}(t) \\ &\geq M(x, 2(n - n^p)t) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2(n - n^p)t}{2(n - n^p)t + n\theta\|x\|^p} & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \{0\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

for all $t > 0$, or equivalently

$$\frac{\|f(x) - F(x)\|}{t} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n\theta\|x\|^p}{2(n - n^p)t} & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.46)$$

for all $t > 0$, which ends the proof. \square

Acknowledgment

The second author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (no. 2011-0004919).

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [4] D. G. Bourgin, "Classes of transformations and bordering transformations," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 57, pp. 223–237, 1951.
- [5] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [6] P. W. Cholewa, "Remarks on the stability of functional equations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 27, no. 1-2, pp. 76–86, 1984.
- [7] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2002.
- [8] Z. Gajda, "On stability of additive mappings," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 431–434, 1991.
- [9] P. Găvruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [10] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, Mass, USA, 1998.
- [11] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, "On the stability of an n -dimensional quadratic and additive functional equation," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 153–165, 2006.

- [12] S.-M. Jung, "On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 221–226, 1996.
- [13] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 48, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [14] Y.-H. Lee, "On the stability of the monomial functional equation," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 397–403, 2008.
- [15] Y.-H. Lee and K.-W. Jun, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 238, no. 1, pp. 305–315, 1999.
- [16] Y.-H. Lee and K.-W. Jun, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexider equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 627–638, 2000.
- [17] Y.-H. Lee and K.-W. Jun, "On the stability of approximately additive mappings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, no. 5, pp. 1361–1369, 2000.
- [18] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [19] A. N. Šerstnev, "On the concept of a stochastic normalized space," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 149, pp. 280–283, 1963.
- [20] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.
- [21] I. A. Rus, *Principles and Applications of Fixed Point Theory*, Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1979.
- [22] V. Radu, "The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 91–96, 2003.
- [23] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2003.
- [24] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed points and the stability of quadratic functional equations," *Timișoara Analele Seria Matematică-Informatică*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 25–48, 2003.
- [25] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach," *Grazer Mathematische Berichte*, vol. 346, pp. 43–52, 2004.
- [26] L. C. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 749392, 2008.
- [27] D. Miheț and V. Radu, "On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 567–572, 2008.
- [28] W. Towanlong and P. Nakmahachalasint, "An n-dimensional mixed-type additive and quadratic functional equation and its stability," *Science Asia*, vol. 35, pp. 381–385, 2009.
- [29] S.-M. Jung, "A fixed point approach to the stability of a Volterra integral equation," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 57064, 9 pages, 2007.
- [30] S.-M. Jung and T.-S. Kim, "A fixed point approach to the stability of the cubic functional equation," *Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 51–57, 2006.
- [31] S.-M. Jung, T.-S. Kim, and K.-S. Lee, "A fixed point approach to the stability of quadratic functional equation," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 531–541, 2006.