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We introduce an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem, and the set of solutions of the variational inequality problem for a $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone mapping in Banach spaces. Then we study the strong convergence of the algorithm. Our results improve and extend the corresponding results announced by many others.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminary

Let $E$ be a Banach space with the dual $E^{*}$. A mapping $A: D(A) \subset E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is said to be monotone if, for each $x, y \in D(A)$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ is said to be $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone if there exists a positive real number $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x-y, A x-A y\rangle \geq r\|A x-A y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in D(A) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A$ is $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone, then it is Lipschitz continuous with constant $1 / \gamma$, that is, $\|A x-A y\| \leq(1 / \gamma)\|x-y\|$, for all $x, y \in D(A)$, and hence uniformly continuous.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$ and $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bifunction, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers. The equilibrium problem for $f$ is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\widehat{x}, y) \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in C$. The set of solutions of (1.3) is denoted by $\operatorname{EP}(f)$. Given a mapping $T: C \rightarrow E^{*}$, let $f(x, y)=\langle T x, y-x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$. Then $\hat{x} \in \operatorname{EP}(f)$ if and only if $\langle T \hat{x}, y-\hat{x}\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$; that is, $\widehat{x}$ is a solution of the variational inequality. Numerous problems in physics, optimization, engineering, and economics reduce to find a solution of (1.3). Some methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problem; see, for example, Blum and Oettli [1] and Moudafi [2]. For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $f(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $f$ is monotone, that is, $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
(A3) for each $x, y, z \in C, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y)$;
(A4) for each $x \in C$, the function $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
Let $E$ be a Banach space with the dual $E^{*}$. We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $E$ to $2^{E^{*}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. Let $\operatorname{dim} E \geq 2$, and the modulus of smoothness of $E$ is the function $\rho_{E}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{E}(\tau)=\sup \left\{\frac{\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|}{2}-1:\|x\|=1 ;\|y\|=\tau\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space $E$ is said to be smooth if $\rho_{E}(\tau)>0$, for all $\tau>0$ and $E$ is called uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\rho_{E}(t) / t\right)=0$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be strictly convex if $\|x+y\| / 2<1$ for $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $x \neq y$. The modulus of convexity of $E$ is the function $\delta_{E}:(0,2] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{E}(\epsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|:\|x\|=\|y\|=1 ; \epsilon=\|x-y\|\right\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E$ is called uniformly convex if and only if $\delta_{E}(\epsilon)>0$ for every $\epsilon \in(0,2]$. Let $p>1$, then $E$ is said to be $p$-uniformly convex if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\delta_{E}(\epsilon) \geq c \epsilon^{p}$ for all $\epsilon \in(0,2]$. Observe that every $p$-uniformly convex space is uniformly convex. We know that if $E$ is uniformly smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive, then the normalized duality mapping $J$ is single-valued, one-to-one, onto and uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded subset of $E$. Moreover, if $E$ is a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space with a strictly
convex dual, then $J^{-1}$ is single-valued, one-to-one, surjective, and it is the duality mapping from $E^{*}$ into $E$ and thus $J J^{-1}=I_{E^{*}}$ and $J^{-1} J=I_{E}$ (see, [3]). It is also well known that $E$ is uniformly smooth if and only if $E^{*}$ is uniformly convex.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a mapping. A point $x \in C$ is said to be a fixed point of $T$ provided $T x=x$. A point $x \in C$ is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ provided $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $x$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$. In this paper, we use $F(T)$ and $\widetilde{F(T)}$ to denote the fixed point set and the asymptotic fixed point set of $T$ and use $\rightarrow$ to denote the strong convergence and weak convergence, respectively. Recall that a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is called nonexpansive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is called asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ of real numbers with $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Goebel and Kirk [4] in 1972. They proved that if $C$ is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $E$, then every asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping $T$ of $C$ has a fixed point. Further, the set $F(T)$ is closed and convex. Since 1972, a host of authors have studied the weak and strong convergence problems of the iterative algorithms for such a class of mappings (see, e.g., [4-6] and the references therein).

It is well known that if $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and $P_{C}: H \rightarrow C$ is the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$, then $P_{C}$ is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes Hilbert spaces and, consequently, it is not available in more general Banach spaces. In this connection, Alber [7] recently introduced a generalized projection operator $\Pi_{C}$ in a Banach space $E$ which is an analogue of the metric projection in Hilbert spaces.

Next, we assume that $E$ is a smooth Banach space. Consider the functional defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Alber [7], the generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the functional $\phi(y, x)$, that is, $\Pi_{C} x=\bar{x}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the solution to the following minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\bar{x}, x)=\inf _{y \in C} \phi(y, x) . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the definition of the function $\phi$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\|y\|-\|x\|)^{2} \leq \phi(y, x) \leq(\|y\|+\|x\|)^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $\phi(y, x)=\|y-x\|^{2}$ and $\Pi_{C}=P_{C}$ is the metric projection of $H$ onto C.

Remark 1.1 (see $[8,9]$ ). If $E$ is a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space, then for $x, y \in E, \phi(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a smooth Banach $E$ and $T$ a mapping from $C$ into itself. The mapping $T$ is said to be relatively nonexpansive if $\widetilde{F(T)}=F(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$. The mapping $T$ is said to be $\phi$-nonexpansive if $\phi(T x, T y) \leq \phi(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in C$. The mapping $T$ is said to be quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi(p, T x) \leq \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$. The mapping $T$ is said to be relatively asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists some real sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ with $k_{n} \geq 1$ and $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\widetilde{F(T)}=F(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi\left(p, T^{n} x\right) \leq$ $k_{n} \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$. The mapping $T$ is said to be $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists some real sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ with $k_{n} \geq 1$ and $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\phi\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \leq k_{n} \phi(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in C$. The mapping $T$ is said to be quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists some real sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ with $k_{n} \geq 1$ and $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi\left(p, T^{n} x\right) \leq k_{n} \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$. The mapping $T$ is said to be asymptotically regular on $C$ if, for any bounded subset $K$ of $C, \lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $\left\{\left\|T^{n+1} x-T^{n} x\right\|\right.$ : $x \in K\}=0$. The mapping $T$ is said to be closed on $C$ if, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x_{0}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}=y_{0}, T x_{0}=y_{0}$.

We remark that a $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with a nonempty fixed point set $F(T)$ is a quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, but the converse may be not true. The class of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings and quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings is more general than the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings and relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, respectively.

Recently, many authors studied the problem of finding a common element of the set of fixed points of nonexpansive or relatively nonexpansive mappings, the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem, and the set of solutions of variational inequalities in the frame work of Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces, respectively; see, for instance, [10-21] and the references therein.

In 2009, Takahashi and Zembayashi [22] introduced the following iterative process:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0}=x \in C, \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J S x_{n}\right), \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{1.12}\\
H_{n}=\left\{z \in C: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
W_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{H_{n} \cap W_{n}} x, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4), $J$ is the normalized duality mapping on $E$ and $S: C \rightarrow C$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping. They proved the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.12) converges strongly to a common point of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem (1.3) and the set of fixed points of $S$ provided the control sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ satisfy appropriate conditions in Banach spaces.

Qin et al. [8] introduced the following iterative scheme on the equilibrium problem (1.3) and a family of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in E, \quad C_{1}=C, \quad x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}} x_{0}, \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i} x_{n}\right), \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{1.13}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Strong convergence theorems of common elements are established in a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property.

Very recently, for finding a common element of $\cap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \mathrm{EP}(f, B) \cap \mathrm{VI}(A, C)$ Zegeye [23] proposed the following iterative algorithm:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in C_{0}=C, \\
z_{n}=\Pi_{C} J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right), \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i} J T_{i} z_{n}\right), \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{1.14}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ is closed quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping $(i=1, \ldots, r), f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4) and $A$ is a $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone mapping of $C$ into $E^{*}$. Strong convergence theorems for iterative scheme (1.14) are obtained under some conditions on parameters in 2-uniformly convex and uniformly smooth real Banach space $E$.

In this paper, inspired and motivated by the works mentioned above, we introduce an iterative process for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of a finite family of closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, the solution set of equilibrium problem, and the solution set of the variational inequality problem for a $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone mapping in Banach spaces. The results presented in this paper improve and generalize the corresponding results announced by many others.

In order to the main results of this paper, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.2 (see [24]). Let E be a 2-uniformly convex and smooth Banach space. Then, for all $x, y \in$ $E$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leq \frac{2}{c^{2}}\|J x-J y\| \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the normalized duality mapping of $E$ and $1 / c(0<c \leq 1)$ is the 2-uniformly convex constant of $E$.

Lemma 1.3 (see $[7,25]$ ). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x, \Pi_{C} y\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} y, y\right) \leq \phi(x, y), \quad \forall x \in C, y \in E \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.4 (see [25]). Let $E$ be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be sequences in $E$ such that either $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Lemma 1.5 (see [7]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E, let $x \in E$ and let $z \in C$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\Pi_{C} x \Longleftrightarrow\langle y-z, J x-J z\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $N_{C}(v)$ the normal cone for $C \subset E$ at a point $v \in C$, that is, $N_{C}(v)=\left\{x^{*} \in\right.$ $E^{*}:\left\langle v-y, x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0$, for all $\left.y \in C\right\}$. We shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6 (see [26]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space E and let A be a monotone and hemicontinuous operator of $C$ into $E^{*}$ with $C=D(A)$. Let $S \subset E \times E^{*}$ be an operator defined as follows:

$$
S v= \begin{cases}A v+N_{C}(v), & v \in C  \tag{1.18}\\ \emptyset, & v \notin C\end{cases}
$$

Then $S$ is maximal monotone and $S^{-1}(0)=\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$.
We make use of the function $V: E \times E^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ (see [7]). That is, $V\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\phi\left(x, J^{-1} x^{*}\right)$ for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*}$.
Lemma 1.7 (see [7]). Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space with $E^{*}$ as its dual. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x, x^{*}\right)+2\left\langle J^{-1} x^{*}-x, y^{*}\right\rangle \leq V\left(x, x^{*}+y^{*}\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*}, y^{*} \in E^{*}$.

Lemma 1.8 (see [1]). Let C be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4), and let $r>0$ and $x \in E$. Then, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.9 (see [22]). Let C be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space E. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). For $r>0$ and $x \in E$, define a mapping $T_{r}: E \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r}(x)=\left\{z \in C: f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$. Then, the following hold:
(1) $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
(2) $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for any $x, y \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y_{,} J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle_{;} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=\operatorname{EP}(f)$;
(4) $\mathrm{EP}(f)$ is closed and convex;
(5) $\phi\left(q, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(q, x)$, for all $q \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$.

Lemma 1.10 (see [8,23]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, $s>0$ a positive number and $B_{s}(0)$ a closed ball of $E$. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous, and convex function $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $g(0)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left(\alpha_{i} x_{i}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{k} \alpha_{l} g\left(\left\|x_{k}-x_{l}\right\|\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $k, l \in\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$, for all $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in B_{s}(0)=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq s\}$ and $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in$ $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{i}=1$.

Lemma 1.11 (see [27]). Let E be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, C a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$, and $T$ a closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself. Then $F(T)$ is a closed convex subset of $C$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a 2-uniformly convex and uniformly smooth real Banach space E and $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ a closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n, i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n, i}=1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $A$ be a $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone mapping of $C$ into $E^{*}$
with constant $\gamma>0$ such that $F=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap \mathrm{EP}(f) \bigcap \mathrm{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$ and $F$ is bounded. Assume that $T_{i}$ is asymptotically regular on $C$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\|A x\| \leq\|A x-A p\|$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ in the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in C_{0}=C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
z_{n}=\Pi_{C} J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right), \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{n, 1} J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}+\cdots+\alpha_{n, N} J T_{N}^{n} z_{n}\right), \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{2.1}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n}\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$, where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$, J is the normalized duality mapping on $E$ and $L_{n}=\sup \left\{\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right): p \in F\right\}<\infty$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n, 0}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n, 1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\alpha_{n, N}\right\}$ are real sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}=1$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, i}>0$, for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[s, t]$ for some $0<s<t<c^{2} \gamma / 2$, where $1 / c$ is the 2-uniformly convex constant of $E$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F} x_{0}$.

Proof. We break the proof into nine steps.
Step 1. $\Pi_{F} x_{0}$ is well defined for $x_{0} \in C$.
By Lemma 1.11 we know that $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is a closed convex subset of $C$ for every $1 \leq i \leq$
$N$. Hence $F=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap \mathrm{EP}(f) \bigcap \mathrm{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $C$. Consequently, $\Pi_{F} x_{0}$ is well defined for $x_{0} \in C$.

Step 2. $C_{n}$ is closed and convex for each $n \geq 0$.
It is obvious that $C_{0}=C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that $C_{n}$ is closed and convex for some integer $n$. Since the defining inequality in $C_{n+1}$ is equivalent to the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle z, J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that $C_{n+1}$ is closed and convex. So $C_{n}$ is closed and convex for each $n \geq 0$. This in turn shows that $\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}$ is well defined.

Step 3. $F \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

We do this by induction. For $n=0$, we have $F \subset C=C_{0}$. Suppose that $F \subset C_{n}$ for some $n \geq 0$. Let $p \in F \subset C$. Putting $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have that $T_{r_{n}}$ is quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive from Lemma 1.9. Since $T_{i}$ is quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(p, T_{r_{n}} y_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, y_{n}\right) \\
& =\phi\left(p, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\|p\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, \alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|p\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n, 0}\left\langle p, J x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left\langle p, J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n, 0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left\|T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\alpha_{n, 0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} \phi\left(p, T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n, 0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} k_{n, i} \phi\left(p, z_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by Lemmas 1.3 and 1.7, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi\left(p, z_{n}\right) \\
& \quad= \phi\left(p, \Pi_{C} J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(p, J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right) \\
&= V\left(p, J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq V\left(p,\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)+\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-p, \lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle  \tag{2.4}\\
&= V\left(p, J x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-p, A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
&= \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-p, A x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n}, A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-p, A x_{n}-A p\right\rangle-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-p, A p\right\rangle \\
&+2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, since $p \in \operatorname{VI}(C, A)$ and $A$ is $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone, we have from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p, z_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n} \gamma\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (2.5), Lemma 1.2 and the fact that $\lambda_{n}<c^{2} \gamma / 2$ and $\|A x\| \leq\|A x-A p\|$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(p, z_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{n} \gamma\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+\frac{4}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \\
& =\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+2 \lambda_{n}\left(\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}-\gamma\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.6) into (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $p \in C_{n+1}$. By induction, $F \subset C_{n}$ and the iteration algorithm generated by (2.1) is well defined.

Step 4. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ exists and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Noticing that $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{0}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \in F$ and $n \geq 0$. This shows that the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. From $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$ and $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is nondecreasing. Therefore, the limit of $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ exists and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

Step 5. We have $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in C$.
By Lemma 1.3, we have, for any positive integer $m \geq n$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(x_{m}, \Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{m}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{m}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Step 4 we deduce that $\phi\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 1.4 that $\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of $C$. Since $E$ is a Banach space and $C$ is closed subset of $E$, there exists a point $x^{*} \in C$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Step 6. We have $x^{*} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
By taking $m=n+1$ in (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1.4, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.11), $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n, i}=1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq N$, and Lemma 1.4, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\| \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. It follows from (2.12) and (2.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $u_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, from (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s=\sup \left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\|,\left\|T_{1}^{n} x_{n}\right\|,\left\|T_{2}^{n} x_{n}\right\|, \ldots,\left\|T_{N}^{n} x_{n}\right\|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Since $E$ is uniformly smooth Banach space, we know that $E^{*}$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. Therefore, from Lemma 1.10 we have, for any $p \in F$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(p, T_{r_{n}} y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(p, y_{n}\right) \\
& =\phi\left(p, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\|p\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n, 0}\left\langle p, J x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left\langle p, J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \|p\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n, 0}\left\langle p, J x_{n}\right\rangle-2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left\langle p, J T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n, 0}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left\|T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, 1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \alpha_{n, 0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} \phi\left(p, T_{i}^{n} z_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, 1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{n, 0} \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} k_{n, i} \phi\left(p, z_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, 1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (2.6) and (2.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right) \leq & \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, 1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}\left(\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}-\gamma\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} k_{n, i} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from $\lambda_{n}<c^{2} \gamma / 2$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, 1} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right) \mid \\
&=\left|\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle p, J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle\right|  \tag{2.21}\\
& \quad \leq\left|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right|\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left\|J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\|\|p\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left\|J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\|\|p\| .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n, i}=1$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, 1}>0$, from (2.20) and (2.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|\right)=0 . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the property of $g$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n}-J T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J^{-1}$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $T_{1}^{n} z_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\left\|T_{1}^{n+1} z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|T_{1}^{n+1} z_{n}-T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{1}^{n} z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$, it follows from the asymptotic regularity of $T_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{1}^{n+1} z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $T_{1}\left(T_{1}^{n} z_{n}\right) \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the closedness of $T_{1}$, we get $T_{1} x^{*}=x^{*}$. Similarly, one can obtain that $T_{i} x^{*}=x^{*}$ for $i=2, \ldots, N$. So, $x^{*} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Moreover, from (2.19) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \lambda_{n}\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}\left(1-\alpha_{n, 0}\right) \\
& \quad \leq 2 \lambda_{n}\left(r-\frac{2}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i} k_{n, i}  \tag{2.27}\\
& \quad \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|=0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, Lemmas 1.3 and 1.7 imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(x_{n}, \Pi_{C} J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =V\left(x_{n}, J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq V\left(x_{n},\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)+\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n}, \lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle  \tag{2.29}\\
& =\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)+2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =2\left\langle J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-x_{n},-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq 2\left\|J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-J^{-1} J x_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Lemma 1.2 and the fact that $\|A x\| \leq\|A x-A p\|$ for all $x \in C, p \in F$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right) \leq \frac{4}{c^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4}{c^{2}} t^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.28) and Lemma 1.4 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $z_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Step 7. We have $x^{*} \in \operatorname{VI}(C, A)$.
Let $S \subset E \times E^{*}$ be an operator as follows:

$$
S v= \begin{cases}A v+N_{C}(v), & v \in C,  \tag{2.32}\\ \emptyset, & v \notin C .\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 1.6, $S$ is maximal monotone and $S^{-1}(0)=\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$. Let $(v, w) \in G(S)$. Since $w \in$ $S v=A v+N_{C}(v)$, we have $w-A v \in N_{C}(v)$. It follows from $z_{n} \in C$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v-z_{n}, w-A v\right\rangle \geq 0 . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $z_{n}=\Pi_{C} J^{-1}\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)$ and Lemma 1.5 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v-z_{n}, J z_{n}-\left(J x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v-z_{n}, \frac{J x_{n}-J z_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}-A x_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2.33) and (2.35), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle v-z_{n}, w\right\rangle & \geq\left\langle v-z_{n}, A v\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\langle v-z_{n}, A v\right\rangle+\left\langle v-z_{n}, \frac{J x_{n}-J z_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}-A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle v-z_{n}, A v-A x_{n}+\frac{J x_{n}-J z_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}\right\rangle  \tag{2.36}\\
& =\left\langle v-z_{n}, A v-A z_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle v-z_{n}, A z_{n}-A x_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle v-z_{n}, \frac{J x_{n}-J z_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq-\left\|v-z_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|A z_{n}-A x_{n}\right\|-\left\|v-z_{n}\right\| \cdot \frac{\left\|J x_{n}-J z_{n}\right\|}{s}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have $\left\langle v-x^{*}, w\right\rangle \geq 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since the uniform continuity of $J$ and $A$ imply that the right side of $(2.36)$ goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, since $S$ is maximal monotone, we have $x^{*} \in S^{-1}(0)$ and hence $x^{*} \in \mathrm{VI}(C, A)$.

Step 8. We have $x^{*} \in \operatorname{EP}(f)=F\left(T_{r}\right)$.
Let $p \in F$. From $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n},(2.3),(2.6)$ and Lemma 1.9 we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(u_{n}, y_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(T_{r_{n}} y_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(p, y_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, T_{r_{n}} y_{n}\right)  \tag{2.37}\\
& \leq \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) \phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, u_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.22) and $k_{n, i} \rightarrow 1$ that $\phi\left(u_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, by Lemma 1.4 we have that $\left\|u_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we obtain that $\left\|J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ from $u_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the assumption $r_{n}>a$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\|}{r_{n}}=0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y-u_{n}, \frac{J u_{n}-J y_{n}}{r_{n}}\right\rangle \geq-f\left(u_{n}, y\right) \geq f\left(y, u_{n}\right), \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have from $u_{n} \rightarrow x^{*},(2.38)$ and (A4) that $f\left(y, x^{*}\right) \leq 0$ (for all $y \in C$ ). For $t$ with $0<t \leq 1$ and $y \in C$, let $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) x^{*}$. Since $y \in C$ and $x^{*} \in C$, we have $y_{t} \in C$ and hence $f\left(y_{t}, x^{*}\right) \leq 0$. Now, from (A1) and (A4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=f\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right) \leq t f\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) f\left(y_{t}, x^{*}\right) \leq t f\left(y_{t}, y\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $f\left(y_{t}, y\right) \geq 0$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, from (A3), we have $f\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0$. This implies that $x^{*} \in \operatorname{EP}(f)$. Therefore, in view of Steps 6,7 , and 8 we have $x^{*} \in F$.

Step 9. We have $x^{*}=\Pi_{F} x_{0}$.
From $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x_{0}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C_{n} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-p, J x_{0}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in F . \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}-p, J x_{0}-J x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in F, \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $x^{*}=\Pi_{F} x_{0}$ by Lemma 1.5. This completes the proof.

Strong convergence theorem for approximating a common element of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a finite family of closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces may not require that $E$ be 2-uniformly convex. In fact, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth real Banach space $E$ and $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ a closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n, i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n, i}=1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) such that $F=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{EP}(f) \neq \emptyset$ and $F$ is bounded.

Assume that $T_{i}$ is asymptotically regular on $C$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ in the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in C_{0}=C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n, 0} J x_{n}+\alpha_{n, 1} J T_{1}^{n} x_{n}+\cdots+\alpha_{n, N} J T_{N}^{n} x_{n}\right), \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{2.45}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n}\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$, where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$, J is the normalized duality mapping on $E$ and $L_{n}=\sup \left\{\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right): p \in F\right\}<\infty$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n, 0}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n, 1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\alpha_{n, N}\right\}$ are real sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}=1$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, i}>0$, for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F} x_{0}$.

Proof. Put $A \equiv 0$ in Theorem 2.1. We have $z_{n}=x_{n}$. Thus, the method of proof of Theorem 2.1 gives the required assertion without the requirement that $E$ is 2 -uniformly convex.

As some corollaries of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 , we have the following results immediately.

Corollary 2.3. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and $T_{i}: C \rightarrow$ $C$ a closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n, i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n, i}=1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $A$ be a $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone mapping of $C$ into $H$ with constant $\gamma>0$ such that $F=$ $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap \mathrm{EP}(f) \bigcap \mathrm{VI}(\mathrm{C}, A) \neq \emptyset$ and $F$ is bounded. Assume that $T_{i}$ is asymptotically regular on $C$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\|A x\| \leq\|A x-A p\|$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ in the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in C_{0}=C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
z_{n}=P_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right), \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n, 0} x_{n}+\alpha_{n, 1} T_{1}^{n} z_{n}+\cdots+\alpha_{n, N} T_{N}^{n} z_{n} \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{2.46}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|z-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n}\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$, where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$ and $L_{n}=\sup \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}: p \in\right.$ $F\}<\infty$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n, 0}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n, 1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\alpha_{n, N}\right\}$ are real sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}=1$
and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, i}>0$, for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[s, t]$ for some $0<s<t<\gamma / 2$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F} x_{0}$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and $T_{i}: C \rightarrow$ $C$ a closed quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n, i}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n, i}=1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) such that $F=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \bigcap \mathrm{EP}(f) \neq \emptyset$ and $F$ is bounded. Assume that $T_{i}$ is asymptotically regular on $C$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ in the following manner:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0} \in C_{0}=C \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n, 0} x_{n}+\alpha_{n, 1} T_{1}^{n} x_{n}+\cdots+\alpha_{n, N} T_{N}^{n} x_{n} \\
u_{n} \in C, \quad f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,  \tag{2.47}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|z-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}\left(k_{n, i}-1\right) L_{n}\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$, where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$ and $L_{n}=\sup \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}: p \in\right.$ $F\}<\infty$. Assume that $\left\{\alpha_{n, 0}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n, 1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\alpha_{n, N}\right\}$ are real sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{n, i}=1$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n, 0} \alpha_{n, i}>0$, for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[s, t]$ for some $0<s<t<\gamma / 2$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F} x_{0}$.

Remark 2.5. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 extend the main results of [23] from quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings to more general quasi- $\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings.
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