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We answer the question: for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$ and $p \neq-q$, what are the greatest value $\lambda=\lambda(p, q)$ and the least value $\mu=\mu(p, q)$, such that the double inequality $M_{\lambda}(a, b)<$ $\sqrt{M_{p}(a, b) M_{q}(a, b)}<M_{\mu}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ ? Where $M_{p}(a, b)$ is the $p$ th power mean of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$.

## 1. Introduction

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the $p$ th power mean $M_{p}(a, b)$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined by

$$
M_{p}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}, & p \neq 0  \tag{1.1}\\ \sqrt{a b}, & p=0\end{cases}
$$

It is well known that $M_{p}(a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Many classical means are special case of the power mean, for example, $M_{-1}(a, b)=H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b), M_{0}(a, b)=G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$, and $M_{1}(a, b)=A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2$ are the harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic means of $a$ and $b$, respectively. Recently, the power mean has been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities and properties for the power mean can be found in literature [1-15].

Let $L(a, b)=(a-b) /(\log a-\log b)$ and $I(a, b)=1 / e\left(a^{a} / b^{b}\right)^{1 /(a-b)}$ be the logarithmic and identric means of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ with $a \neq b$, respectively. Then it is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(a, b)=M_{-1}(a, b)<G(a, b)=M_{0}(a, b)<L(a, b)<I(a, b)<A(a, b)=M_{1}(a, b) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In [16-22], the authors presented the sharp power mean bounds for $L, I,(I L)^{1 / 2}$, and $(L+I) / 2$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{0}(a, b)<L(a, b)<M_{1 / 3}(a, b), \quad M_{2 / 3}(a, b)<I(a, b)<M_{\log 2}(a, b), \\
M_{0}(a, b)<\sqrt{L(a, b) I(a, b)}<M_{1 / 2}(a, b), \quad \frac{1}{2}(L(a, b)+I(a, b))<M_{1 / 2}(a, b) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Alzer and Qiu [12] proved that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(L(a, b)+I(a, b))>M_{p}(a, b) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq \log 2 /(1+\log 2)=0.40938 \ldots$.
The following sharp bounds for the sum $\alpha A(a, b)+(1-\alpha) L(a, b)$, and the products $A^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ and $G^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ in terms of power means were proved in $[5,8]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{\log 2 /(\log 2-\log \alpha)}(a, b)<\alpha A(a, b)+(1-\alpha) L(a, b)<M_{(1+2 \alpha) / 3}(a, b), \\
M_{0}(a, b)<A^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{(1+2 \alpha) / 3}(a, b),  \tag{1.5}\\
M_{0}(a, b)<G^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{(1-\alpha) / 3}(a, b)
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In [2, 7], the authors answered the question: for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$, what are the greatest values $p_{1}=p_{1}(\alpha), p_{2}=p_{2}(\alpha), p_{3}=p_{3}(\alpha)$, and $p_{4}=p_{4}(\alpha)$, and the least values $q_{1}=q_{1}(\alpha)$, $q_{2}=q_{2}(\alpha), q_{3}=q_{3}(\alpha)$, and $q_{4}=q_{4}(\alpha)$, such that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{p_{1}}(a, b)<P^{\alpha}(a, b) L^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{q_{1}}(a, b) \\
& M_{p_{2}}(a, b)<A^{\alpha}(a, b) G^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{q_{2}}(a, b),  \tag{1.6}\\
& M_{p_{3}}(a, b)<G^{\alpha}(a, b) H^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{q_{3}}(a, b), \\
& M_{p_{4}}(a, b)<A^{\alpha}(a, b) H^{1-\alpha}(a, b)<M_{q_{4}}(a, b)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ ?

In [4], the authors presented the greatest value $p=p(\alpha, \beta)$ and the least value $q=$ $q(\alpha, \beta)$ such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(a, b)<A^{\alpha}(a, b) G^{\beta}(a, b) H^{1-\alpha-\beta}(a, b)<M_{q}(a, b) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and $\alpha, \beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta<1$.
It is the aim of this paper to answer the question: for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p \neq q$ and $p \neq-q$, what are the greatest value $\lambda=\lambda(p, q)$ and the least value $\mu=\mu(p, q)$, such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\curlywedge}(a, b)<\sqrt{M_{p}(a, b) M_{q}(a, b)}<M_{\mu}(a, b) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ ?

## 2. Main Result

In order to establish our main result, we need a lemma which we present in this section.
Lemma 2.1. Let $p, q \neq 0, p \neq q$ and $x>1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)<M_{(p+q) / 2}^{2}(x, 1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p+q>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)>M_{(p+q) / 2}^{2}(x, 1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p+q<0$.
Proof. From (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \left[M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)\right]-\log M_{(p+q) / 2}^{2}(x, 1) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^{p}}{2}+\frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^{q}}{2}-\frac{4}{p+q} \log \frac{1+x^{(p+q) / 2}}{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^{p}}{2}+\frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^{q}}{2}-\frac{4}{p+q} \log \frac{1+x^{(p+q) / 2}}{2}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1)=0,  \tag{2.5}\\
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\left(1-x^{(p+q) / 2}\right)\left(x^{p / 2}-x^{q / 2}\right)^{2}}{x\left(1+x^{p}\right)\left(1+x^{q}\right)\left(1+x^{(p+q) / 2}\right)} . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (2.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)<0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p+q>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p+q<0$.
Therefore, inequality (2.1) follows from (2.3)-(2.5) and inequality (2.7), and inequality (2.2) follows from (2.3)-(2.5) and inequality (2.8).

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p=q\right\}, & E_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p=-q\right\}, \\
E_{1}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p, q>0, p>q\right\}, & E_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p, q>0, p<q\right\}, \\
E_{2}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p, q<0, p>q\right\}, & E_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p, q<0, p<q\right\}, \\
E_{3}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p>0, q=0\right\}, & E_{3}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p=0, q>0\right\}, \\
E_{4}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p>0, q<0, p+q>0\right\}, & E_{4}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p<0, q>0, p+q>0\right\}, \\
E_{5}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p=0, q<0\right\}, & E_{5}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p<0, q=0\right\}, \\
E_{6}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p>0, q<0, p+q<0\right\}, & E_{6}^{\prime}=\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p<0, q>0, p+q<0\right\} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we clearly see that $\mathbb{R}^{2}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{6} E_{i} \bigcup_{i=0}^{6} E_{i}^{\prime}$, and it is not difficult to verify that the identity $\sqrt{M_{p}(a, b) M_{q}(a, b)}=M_{(p+q) / 2}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ if $(p, q) \in E_{0} \cup E_{0}^{\prime}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda= \begin{cases}\frac{2 p q}{(p+q)}, & (p, q) \in E_{1} \cup E_{1}^{\prime}, \\
\frac{(p+q)}{2}, & (p, q) \in E_{2} \cup E_{2}^{\prime} \cup E_{5} \cup E_{5}^{\prime} \cup E_{6} \cup E_{6}^{\prime} \\
0, & (p, q) \in E_{3} \cup E_{3}^{\prime} \cup E_{4} \cup E_{4}^{\prime}\end{cases} \\
& \mu= \begin{cases}\frac{2 p q}{(p+q)}, & (p, q) \in E_{2} \cup E_{2}^{\prime} \\
\frac{(p+q)}{2}, & (p, q) \in E_{1} \cup E_{1}^{\prime} \cup E_{3} \cup E_{3}^{\prime} \cup E_{4} \cup E_{4}^{\prime}, \\
0, & (p, q) \in E_{5} \cup E_{5}^{\prime} \cup E_{6} \cup E_{6}^{\prime}\end{cases} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have Theorem 2.2 as follows.

Theorem 2.2. The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\lambda}(a, b)<\sqrt{M_{p}(a, b) M_{q}(a, b)}<M_{\mu}(a, b) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, and $M_{\lambda}(a, b)$ and $M_{\mu}(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper power mean bounds for the geometric mean of $M_{p}(a, b)$ and $M_{q}(a, b)$.

Proof. From (1.1), we clearly see that $M_{p}(a, b)$ is symmetric and homogenous of degree 1 . Without loss of generality, we assume that $b=1, a=x>1$ and $p>q$. We divide the proof of inequality (2.11) into three cases.

Case 1. $(p, q) \in E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. Then from Lemma 2.1, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}<M_{(p+q) / 2}(x, 1) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(p, q) \in E_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}>M_{(p+q) / 2}(x, 1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(p, q) \in E_{2}$.
From (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\log [ & \left.M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)\right]-\log M_{2 p q /(p+q)}^{2}(x, 1) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^{p}}{2}+\frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^{q}}{2}-\frac{p+q}{p q} \log \frac{1+x^{2 p q /(p+q)}}{2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^{p}}{2}+\frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^{q}}{2}-\frac{p+q}{p q} \log \frac{1+x^{2 p q /(p+q)}}{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(1)=0  \tag{2.16}\\
F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{q} G(x)}{x\left(1+x^{p}\right)\left(1+x^{q}\right)\left(1+x^{2 p q /(p+q)}\right)}, \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(x)=x^{p-q}-x^{\left(2 p q+p^{2}-q^{2}\right) /(p+q)}+2 x^{p}-x^{2 p q /(p+q)}-2 x^{q(p-q) /(p+q)}+1,  \tag{2.18}\\
G(1)=0,  \tag{2.19}\\
G^{\prime}(x)=x^{\left(p q-q^{2}-p-q\right) /(p+q)} H(x), \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(x)=(p-q) x^{p(p-q) /(p+q)}-\frac{2 p q+p^{2}-q^{2}}{p+q} x^{p}+2 p x^{\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right) /(p+q)}  \tag{2.21}\\
-\frac{2 p q}{p+q} x^{q}-\frac{2 q(p-q)}{p+q}, \\
H(1)=\frac{2(p-q)^{2}}{p+q},  \tag{2.22}\\
H^{\prime}(x)=\frac{p}{p+q} x^{p-1} I(x), \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
I(x)=(p-q)^{2} x^{-2 p q /(p+q)}+2\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right) x^{-q(p-q) /(p+q)}-2 q^{2} x^{-(p-q)}-2 p q-p^{2}+q^{2},  \tag{2.24}\\
I(1)=2(p-q)^{2},  \tag{2.25}\\
I^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2 q(p-q)}{p+q} x^{\left(q^{2}-p q-p-q\right) /(p+q)} J(x), \tag{2.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
J(x)=-p(p-q) x^{-q}+q(p+q) x^{-p(p-q) /(p+q)}-p^{2}-q^{2},  \tag{2.27}\\
J(1)=-2 p(p-q),  \tag{2.28}\\
J^{\prime}(x)=p q(p-q) x^{-q-1}\left(1-x^{\left(q^{2}-p^{2}+2 p q\right) /(p+q)}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $(p, q) \in E_{1}$, then (2.15), (2.18), (2.21), (2.22), (2.24), (2.25), (2.27), and (2.28) lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F(x)=0,  \tag{2.30}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} G(x)=-\infty,  \tag{2.31}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} H(x)=-\infty,  \tag{2.32}\\
H(1)>0,  \tag{2.33}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} I(x)=-2 p q-p^{2}+q^{2}<0,  \tag{2.34}\\
I(1)>0,  \tag{2.35}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} J(x)=-\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)<0,  \tag{2.36}\\
J(1)<0 . \tag{2.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

We divide the discussion into two subcases.
Subcase 1.1. $(p, q) \in E_{1}$. Then (2.26) and (2.29) together with inequalities (2.36) and (2.37) imply that $I(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1,+\infty)$. In fact, if $\left(q^{2}-p^{2}+2 p q\right) /(p+q) \geq 0$, then (2.29) and inequality (2.37) imply that $J(x)<0$ for $x \in[1,+\infty)$. If $\left(q^{2}-p^{2}+2 p q\right) /(p+q)<0$, then (2.29) and inequality (2.36) lead to the conclusion that $J(x)<0$ for $x \in[1,+\infty)$.

From inequalities (2.34) and (2.35) together with the monotonicity of $I(x)$, we know that there exists $\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $I(x)>0$ for $x \in\left[1, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $I(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$. Then (2.23) leads to the conclusion that $H(x)$ is strictly increasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{1}\right]$ and strictly decreasing in $\left[\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$.

It follows from (2.32) and (2.33) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $H(x)$ that there exists $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $H(x)>0$ for $\left[1, \lambda_{2}\right]$ and $H(x)<0$ for $\left(\lambda_{2},+\infty\right)$. Then (2.20) leads to the conclusion that $G(x)$ is strictly increasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{2}\right]$ and strictly decreasing in $\left[\lambda_{2},+\infty\right)$.

From (2.17), (2.19) and (2.31) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $G(x)$, we clearly see that there exists $\lambda_{3}>\lambda_{2}>1$ such that $F(x)$ is strictly increasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{3}\right]$ and strictly decreasing in $\left[\lambda_{3},+\infty\right)$.

Therefore, $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}>M_{2 p q /(p+q)}(x, 1)$ follows from (2.14)-(2.16) and (2.30) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(x)$.
Subcase 1.2. $(p, q) \in E_{2}$. Then (2.30) and (2.35) again hold, and (2.18), (2.21), (2.22), and (2.28) lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} G(x)=+\infty,  \tag{2.38}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} H(x)=+\infty,  \tag{2.39}\\
H(1)<0,  \tag{2.40}\\
J(1)>0 . \tag{2.41}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.29) and inequalities $\left(q^{2}-p^{2}+2 p q\right) /(p+q)<0$ and (2.41) that $J(x)>0$ for $x \in[1,+\infty)$. Then (2.26) and inequality (2.35) lead to the conclusion that $I(x)>0$ for $x \in[1,+\infty)$. Therefore, $H(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1,+\infty)$ follows from (2.23).

It follows from (2.20) and (2.39) together with inequality (2.40) and the monotonicity of $H(x)$ that there exists $\mu_{1}>1$ such that $G(x)$ is strictly decreasing in [1, $\mu_{1}$ ] and strictly increasing in $\left[\mu_{1},+\infty\right)$.

From (2.17), (2.19) and (2.38) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $G(x)$, we clearly see that there exists $\mu_{2}>\mu_{1}>1$ such that $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \mu_{2}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\mu_{2},+\infty\right)$.

Therefore, $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}<M_{2 p q /(p+q)}(x, 1)$ follows from (2.14)-(2.16) and (2.30) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(x)$.
Case 2. $(p, q) \in E_{3} \cup E_{5}$. Clearly, we have $M_{0}(x, 1)<\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}$ for $(p, q) \in E_{3}$ and $M_{0}(x, 1)>\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}$ for $(p, q) \in E_{5}$. Therefore, we need only to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{M_{0}(x, 1) M_{r}(x, 1)}<M_{r / 2}(x, 1) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{M_{0}(x, 1) M_{r}(x, 1)}>M_{r / 2}(x, 1) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r<0$.
From (1.1), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left[M_{0}(x, 1) M_{r}(x, 1)\right]-\log M_{r / 2}^{2}(x, 1)=\frac{1}{2} \log x+\frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1+x^{r}}{2}-\frac{4}{r} \log \frac{1+x^{r / 2}}{2} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \log x+\frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1+x^{r}}{2}-\frac{4}{r} \log \frac{1+x^{r / 2}}{2} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1)=0  \tag{2.46}\\
f^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{\left(x^{r / 2}-1\right)^{3}}{2 x\left(1+x^{r}\right)\left(1+x^{r / 2}\right)} \tag{2.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $r>0$ (or $r<0$, resp.), then (2.47) leads to the conclusion that $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing (or increasing, resp.) in $[1,+\infty)$. Therefore, inequalities (2.42) and (2.43) follow from (2.44)-(2.46) and the monotonicity of $f(x)$.
Case 3. $(p, q) \in E_{4} \cup E_{6}$. Then from Lemma 2.1, we clearly see that $M_{(p+q) / 2}(x, 1)>$ $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}$ for $(p, q) \in E_{4}$ and $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}>M_{(p+q) / 2}(x, 1)$ for $(p, q) \in E_{6}$. Therefore, we need only to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}>M_{0}(x, 1) \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(p, q) \in E_{4}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}<M_{0}(x, 1) \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(p, q) \in E_{6}$.
From (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left[M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)\right]-\log M_{0}^{2}(x, 1)=\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^{p}}{2}+\frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^{q}}{2}-\log x \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{1+x^{p}}{2}+\frac{1}{q} \log \frac{1+x^{q}}{2}-\log x \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(1)=0  \tag{2.52}\\
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{p+q}-1}{x\left(1+x^{p}\right)\left(1+x^{q}\right)} \tag{2.53}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $(p, q) \in E_{4}$ (or $E_{6}$, resp.), then (2.53) implies that $f(x)$ is strictly increasing (or decreasing, resp.) in $[1,+\infty)$. Therefore, inequalities (2.48) and (2.49) follow from (2.50)(2.52) and the monotonicity of $f(x)$.

Next, we prove that $M_{\lambda}(a, b)$ and $M_{\mu}(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper power mean bounds for the geometric mean of $M_{p}(a, b)$ and $M_{q}(a, b)$. We divide the proof into six cases.

Case A. $(p, q) \in E_{1}$. Then for any $\epsilon \in(0,(p+q) / 2)$ and $x>0$, from (1.1), one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)-M_{(p+q) / 2-\epsilon}^{2}(1+x, 1) \\
=\left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{p}}{2}\right]^{1 / p}\left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{q}}{2}\right]^{1 / q}-\left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{(p+q) / 2-\epsilon}}{2}\right]^{4 /(p+q-2 \epsilon)},  \tag{2.54}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{2 p q /(p+q)+\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)}{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}=2^{\epsilon(p+q)^{2} / p q[2 p q+\epsilon(p+q)]}>1 . \tag{2.55}
\end{gather*}
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{p}}{2}\right]^{1 / p}\left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{q}}{2}\right]^{1 / q}-\left[\frac{1+(1+x)^{(p+q) / 2-\epsilon}}{2}\right]^{4 /(p+q-2 \epsilon)}}  \tag{2.56}\\
& \quad=\frac{\epsilon}{4} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.54) and (2.56) together with inequality (2.55) imply that for any $\epsilon \in(0, p+q / 2)$, there exist $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\epsilon)>0$ and $X_{1}=X_{1}(p, q, \epsilon)>1$ such that $\sqrt{M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)}>M_{(p+q) / 2-\epsilon}(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$ and $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}<$ $M_{2 p q /(p+q)+\epsilon}(x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(X_{1},+\infty\right)$.
Case B. $(p, q) \in E_{2}$. Then for $\epsilon \in(0,-(p+q) / 2)$ and $x>0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{(p+q) / 2+\epsilon}^{2}(1+x, 1)-M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)=\frac{\epsilon}{4} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) \quad(x \longrightarrow 0)  \tag{2.57}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}{M_{2 p q /(p+q)-\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)}=2^{\epsilon(p+q)^{2} / p q[2 p q-\epsilon(p+q)]}>1 \tag{2.58}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (2.57) and inequality (2.58) imply that for any $\epsilon \in(0,-(p+q) / 2)$, there exist $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}(\epsilon)>0$ and $X_{2}=X_{2}(p, q, \epsilon)>1$ such that $M_{(p+q) / 2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)>$ $\sqrt{M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{2}\right)$ and $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}>M_{2 p q /(p+q)-\epsilon}(x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(X_{2},+\infty\right)$.
Case C. $(p, q) \in E_{3}$. Then for $\epsilon \in(0, p / 2)$ and $x>0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{0}(1+x, 1)-M_{p / 2-\epsilon}^{2}(1+x, 1) & =\frac{\epsilon}{4} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) \quad(x \longrightarrow 0) \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)}{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{0}(x, 1)} & =+\infty \tag{2.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.59) leads to the conclusion that for any $\epsilon \in(0, p / 2)$, there exist $\delta_{3}=\delta_{3}(\epsilon)>$ 0 and $X_{3}=X_{3}(p, \epsilon)>1$ such that $\sqrt{M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{0}(1+x, 1)}>M_{p / 2-\epsilon}(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{3}\right)$ and $M_{\epsilon}(x, 1)>\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{0}(x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(X_{3},+\infty\right)$.

Case $D .(p, q) \in E_{4}$. Then for $\epsilon \in(0,(p+q) / 2)$ and $x>0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)-M_{(p+q) / 2-\epsilon}^{2}(1+x, 1)=\frac{\epsilon}{4} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) \quad(x \longrightarrow 0) \\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)}{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}=+\infty \tag{2.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.60) implies that for any $\epsilon \in(0,(p+q) / 2)$, there exist $\delta_{4}=\delta_{4}(\epsilon)>0$ and $X_{4}=X_{4}(p, q, \epsilon)>1$ such that $M_{(p+q) / 2-\epsilon}(1+x, 1)<\sqrt{M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{4}\right)$ and $M_{\epsilon}(x, 1)>\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(X_{4},+\infty\right)$.

Case $E .(p, q) \in E_{5}$. Then for any $\epsilon \in(0,-q / 2)$ and $x>0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{q / 2+\epsilon}^{2}(1+x, 1)-M_{0}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1) & =\frac{\epsilon}{4} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) \quad(x \longrightarrow 0) \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{0}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}{M_{-\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)} & =+\infty \tag{2.61}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.61) leads to the conclusion that for any $\epsilon \in(0,-q / 2)$, there exist $\delta_{5}=$ $\delta_{5}(\epsilon)>0$ and $X_{5}=X_{5}(q, \epsilon)>1$ such that $M_{q / 2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)>\sqrt{M_{0}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{5}\right)$ and $M_{-\epsilon}(x, 1)<\sqrt{M_{0}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(X_{5},+\infty\right)$.

Case $F .(p, q) \in E_{6}$. Then for any $\epsilon \in(0,-(p+q) / 2)$ and $x>0$, making use of (1.1) and Taylor expansion, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{(p+q) / 2+\epsilon}^{2}(1+x, 1)-M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1) & =\frac{\epsilon}{4} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) \quad(x \longrightarrow 0) \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}{M_{-\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)} & =+\infty \tag{2.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.62) shows that for any $\epsilon \in(0,-(p+q) / 2)$, there exist $\delta_{6}=\delta_{6}(\epsilon)>0$ and $X_{6}=X_{6}(p, q, \epsilon)>1$ such that $M_{(p+q) / 2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)>\sqrt{M_{p}(1+x, 1) M_{q}(1+x, 1)}$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{6}\right)$ and $\sqrt{M_{p}(x, 1) M_{q}(x, 1)}>M_{-\epsilon}^{2}(x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(X_{6},+\infty\right)$.
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