© 2013 by Institut Mittag-Leffler. All rights reserved

# A modification of the Hodge star operator on manifolds with boundary

Ryszard L. Rubinsztein

**Abstract.** For smooth compact oriented Riemannian manifolds M of dimension 4k+2, k>0, with or without boundary, and a vector bundle F on M with an inner product and a flat connection, we construct a modification of the Hodge star operator on the middle-dimensional (parabolic) cohomology of M twisted by F. This operator induces a canonical complex structure on the middle-dimensional cohomology space that is compatible with the natural symplectic form given by integrating the wedge product. In particular, when k=0 we get a canonical almost complex structure on the non-singular part of the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface, with monodromies along boundary components belonging to fixed conjugacy classes when the surface has boundary, that is compatible with the standard symplectic form on the moduli space.

### 1. Introduction

Let M be a smooth compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension n, with or without boundary. Let F be a smooth real vector bundle over M, of finite fiber dimension, equipped with a positive-definite inner product B and a flat connection. We denote by  $H^*(M;F)$  the (de Rham) cohomology of M with coefficients in the local system given by F.

Let  $*: H^*(M;F) \to H^*(M;F)$  be the Hodge star operator given by the orientation and the Riemannian metric on M (see Section 3).

For n=2m the wedge product of forms and the inner product B define a bilinear form  $\omega: H^m(M;F) \otimes H^m(M;F) \to \mathbb{R}$ . If n=4k+2, the form  $\omega$  is skew-symmetric.

If the boundary of M is empty, then the form  $\omega$  is non-degenerate and gives a symplectic structure on the vector space  $H^{2k+1}(M;F)$ . It is well known that in this case the Hodge star operator \* gives a complex structure on  $H^{2k+1}(M;F)$ compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ .

In the general case, when M may have a non-empty boundary, we replace  $H^*(M;F)$  by the parabolic cohomology  $H^*_{par}(M;F)$  of M with coefficients in the local system given by F (see Section 3). Thus  $H^*_{par}(M;F)$  is the kernel of the homomorphism of restriction to the boundary,

$$H^*_{\mathrm{par}}(M\,;F) = \mathrm{Ker}(r\colon H^*(M\,;F) \,{\to}\, H^*(\partial M\,;F)).$$

If n=4k+2, the restriction of the skew-symmetric form  $\omega$  to the parabolic cohomology  $H_{\mathrm{par}}^{2k+1}(M;F)$  is again non-degenerate and equips it with a structure of a symplectic vector space.

It is the aim of this note to show that, if the boundary of M is non-empty and n=4k+2, then there is a canonical modification of the Hodge star operator which gives an operator on parabolic cohomology, denoted here by  $J_{\rm par}$ ,

$$J_{\mathrm{par}} \colon H^{2k+1}_{\mathrm{par}}(M\,;F) \longrightarrow H^{2k+1}_{\mathrm{par}}(M\,;F).$$

The operator  $J_{\text{par}}$  satisfies  $J_{\text{par}}^2 = -\text{Id}$  and gives a complex structure on the vector space  $H_{\text{par}}^{2k+1}(M;F)$  compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$  on it. When the boundary of M is empty then  $H_{\text{par}}^*(M;F) = H^*(M;F)$  and  $J_{\text{par}}$  is equal to the ordinary Hodge star operator.

If n=2, i.e. if M is a compact oriented surface one can consider the moduli space  $\mathscr{M}$  of flat connections on the trivial principal bundle  $M\times G$ , G being a compact Lie group with a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The flat connections have monodromies along boundary components restricted to fixed conjugacy classes in G. We choose a real-valued invariant positive-definite inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The moduli space  $\mathscr{M}$  is a manifold with singularities. Away from the singular points, the tangent spaces to  $\mathscr{M}$  can be identified with the parabolic cohomology  $H^1_{\mathrm{par}}(M;\mathfrak{g}_\phi)$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_\phi$  is the trivial vector bundle over M with fiber  $\mathfrak{g}$  and connection  $\phi$ . Let  $\Sigma\subset\mathscr{M}$  denote the singular locus. The symplectic form  $\omega$  is closed as a 2-form on  $\mathscr{M}\setminus\Sigma$  and turns it into a symplectic manifold [3].

Given a Riemannian metric on M, the modified Hodge star operator  $J_{\text{par}}$  on  $H^1_{\text{par}}(M;\mathfrak{g}_\phi)$  constructed in Section 4 gives a canonical almost complex structure on the non-singular part of the moduli space  $\mathscr{M} \setminus \Sigma$  compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ . This applies both when the boundary of M is empty and when it is non-empty.

## 2. A linear problem

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , equipped with a real-valued positive-definite inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  such that the

operator of multiplication by the complex number  $i=\sqrt{-1}$  is an isometry. We denote this operator by J. (In other words,  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  is the real part of a hermitian inner product on V.)

Let U be a real subspace of V satisfying

$$(1) J(U) \cap U^{\perp} = \{0\}.$$

Here  $U^{\perp}$  denotes the orthogonal complement of U in V with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)$ . The condition (1) is equivalent to the requirement that the alternating 2-form  $\omega(u,v)=(Ju,v)$  is non-degenerate on U and, hence, equips U with a structure of a symplectic space.

The aim of this section is to show that the complex structure of V induces a specific complex structure on every real subspace U satisfying (1). This complex structure will be compatible with the symplectic 2-form  $\omega(u,v)=(Ju,v)$  on U.

Let U be a real subspace of V. We denote by  $p_U: V \to U$  the orthogonal projection of V onto U and define  $G: U \to U$  by  $G(u) = p_U(J(u))$  for  $u \in U$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) For every real subspace U of V, the real linear operator  $G: U \rightarrow U$  is skew-symmetric with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

(ii) If U satisfies the condition (1) then G is invertible and the symmetric operator  $G^2 = G \circ G \colon U \to U$  is negative definite.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $u, v \in U$ . Since  $p_U$  is symmetric, while J is skew-symmetric with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on V, it follows that

$$(G(u), v) = (p_U J(u), v) = (J(u), p_U(v)) = (J(u), v)$$
  
=  $-(u, J(v)) = -(p_U(u), J(v)) = -(u, p_U J(v)) = -(u, G(v)).$ 

Thus  $G: U \rightarrow U$  is skew-symmetric.

(ii) If U satisfies the condition (1) then  $\operatorname{Ker}(p_U)$  intersects the image of  $J|_U$  trivially and G is injective and hence invertible. For  $u \in U$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , we have

$$(G^2(u),u) = -(G(u),G(u)) < 0$$

and thus  $G^2$  is negative definite.  $\square$ 

Let U satisfy the condition (1) and let  $R: U \to U$  be the positive square root of the positive-definite symmetric operator  $-G^2: U \to U$ ,  $R = (-G^2)^{1/2}$ . The operator G commutes with  $-G^2$  and maps its eigenspaces to themselves. It follows that G commutes with R. We define the operator  $J_U: U \to U$  by  $J_U = R^{-1}G$ .

Let 
$$\omega(u,v)=(Ju,v)$$
 for  $u,v\in U$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** If U is a real subspace of V satisfying the condition (1) then the operator  $J_U: U \rightarrow U$  satisfies

- (i)  $J_U^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$ ;
- (ii)  $(J_U(u), J_U(v)) = (u, v) \text{ for } u, v \in U;$
- (iii)  $\omega(J_U(u), J_U(v)) = \omega(u, v)$  for  $u, v \in U$ ;
- (iv)  $\omega(u, J_U(u)) > 0$  for all  $u \in U, u \neq 0$ ;

that is,  $J_U$  is a complex structure and an isometry on U, and it is compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ .

Proof. (i) 
$$J_U^2 = R^{-1}GR^{-1}G = R^{-2}G^2 = (-G^2)^{-1}G^2 = -\text{Id.}$$

(ii) Since R is symmetric, G is skew-symmetric and R and G commute, we have for  $u,v\!\in\!U,$ 

$$(J_U(u), J_U(v)) = (R^{-1}G(u), R^{-1}G(v)) = (G(u), R^{-2}G(v))$$
$$= (u, -GR^{-2}G(v)) = (u, R^{-2}(-G^2)(v)) = (u, v).$$

(iii) Furthermore, we have  $GJ_U=GR^{-1}G=J_UG$  and  $J_U(v)=p_UJ_U(v)$  since  $J_U(v)\in U$ . Therefore

$$\omega(J_U(u), J_U(v)) = (JJ_U(u), J_U(v)) = (JJ_U(u), p_U J_U(v))$$

$$= (p_U JJ_U(u), J_U(v)) = (GJ_U(u), J_U(v)) = (J_U G(u), J_U(v))$$

$$= (G(u), v) = (p_U J(u), v) = (J(u), v) = \omega(u, v).$$

(iv) Finally, if  $u \in U$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , then

$$\omega(u, J_U(u)) = (J(u), J_U(u)) = (p_U J(u), J_U(u)) = (G(u), J_U(u))$$

$$= (u, -GJ_U(u)) = (u, -GR^{-1}G(u))$$

$$= (u, R^{-1}(-G^2)(u)) = (u, R^{-1}R^2(u)) = (u, R(u)) > 0$$

since R is a positive-definite symmetric operator on U.  $\square$ 

Example 2.3. Let  $V=\mathbb{C}^2$  equipped with the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  identified with  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Choose a real number  $r\in\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u_1=(1,0),\ u_2(r)=(i,r)\in V$  and  $U_r=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{u_1,u_2(r)\}$ . Thus  $n=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}U_r=2$ . Identifying  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $\mathbb{R}^4$  via  $\mathbb{C}^2\ni (z_1,z_2)\leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Re}(z_1),\operatorname{Im}(z_1),\operatorname{Re}(z_2),\operatorname{Im}(z_2))\in\mathbb{R}^4$  we obtain  $U_r=\{(a,b,br,0)|a,b\in\mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $J(U_r)=\{(-b,a,0,br)|a,b\in\mathbb{R}\}$  and  $U_r^\perp=\{(0,-cr,c,d)|c,d\in\mathbb{R}\}$ . It follows that for every  $r\in\mathbb{R}$ , the real subspace  $U_r$  satisfies the condition (1):  $J(U_r)\cap U_r^\perp=\{0\}$ . If  $r\neq 0$ , then  $U_r$  satisfies the additional property

$$(2) J(U_r) \cap U_r = \{0\},$$

that is,  $U_r$  is a totally real subspace of V. Taking direct sums of pairs  $(V, U_r)$  one gets examples of subspaces U satisfying the condition (1) in every even dimension n. The skew-symmetric operator  $G\colon U_r\to U_r$  is given by  $G(u_1)=(1/(1+r^2))u_2(r)$  and  $G(u_2(r))=-u_1$ . Hence,  $G^2=-(1/(1+r^2))\operatorname{Id}_{U_r}$ ,  $R=(1/\sqrt{1+r^2})\operatorname{Id}_{U_r}$ , and the complex structure  $J_{U_r}\colon U_r\to U_r$  is given by  $J_{U_r}(u_1)=(1/\sqrt{1+r^2})u_2(r)$  and  $J_{U_r}(u_2(r))=-\sqrt{1+r^2}u_1$ .

Real subspaces U satisfying both properties (1) and (2) are typical of the geometric context in which the observations of the present section will be applied.

# 3. Hodge theory on manifolds with boundary

This section is devoted to a recollection of background material on Hodge theory on manifolds with boundary that will be used in the following sections.

Let M be a smooth compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension n, with or without boundary. Let F be a smooth real vector bundle over M, of finite fiber dimension, equipped with a positive-definite inner product  $B(\cdot,\cdot)$  and a flat connection A. Let  $d_A \colon \Omega^0(F) \to \Omega^1(F)$  be the covariant derivative operator corresponding to A. Here we use  $\Omega^p(F)$  to denote smooth sections of  $\Lambda^pT^*M \otimes F$ , the p-forms with values in F. We also write  $d_A \colon \Omega^p(F) \to \Omega^{p+1}(F)$  for the unique extension of the covariant derivative that satisfies the Leibniz rule. Since A is a flat connection, we have  $d_A d_A = 0$  and get a cochain complex

$$(3) 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0}(F) \xrightarrow{d_{A}} \Omega^{1}(F) \xrightarrow{d_{A}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{A}} \Omega^{p}(F) \xrightarrow{d_{A}} \Omega^{p+1}(F) \longrightarrow \dots$$

The Riemannian metric, the orientation on M and the inner product B on F give rise to the  $L^2$  inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  on  $\Omega^*(F)$ ,

$$(\alpha,\beta) = \int_M B(\alpha \wedge *\beta),$$

where \* denotes the Hodge star operator. (The Hodge star operator \* on  $\Lambda^*T^*M \otimes F$  is defined as the tensor product of the usual Hodge star operator on  $\Lambda^*T^*M$  with the identity on F.) We have also the codifferential

$$\delta_A = (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d_A *: \Omega^p(F) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p-1}(F),$$

which on closed manifolds is the  $L^2$ -adjoint of the operator  $d_A$ .

From now on the operators  $d_A$  and  $\delta_A$  will be denoted by d and  $\delta$  respectively. For the Hodge decomposition theorem on manifolds with boundary we refer to [4] and [1]. A form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(F)$  is called *closed* if it satisfies  $d\omega = 0$  and *coclosed* if it satisfies  $\delta\omega = 0$ . We denote by  $C^p$  and  $cC^p$  the spaces of closed respectively coclosed p-forms. We define  $E^p = d(\Omega^{p-1}(F))$  and  $cE^p = \delta(\Omega^{p+1}(F))$ .

Along the boundary  $\partial M$  every p-form  $\omega \in \Omega^p(F)$  can be decomposed into tangential and normal components (depending on the Riemannian metric on M). For  $x \in \partial M$ , one has

(4) 
$$\omega(x) = \omega_{\text{tan}}(x) + \omega_{\text{norm}}(x),$$

where  $\omega_{\text{norm}}(x)$  belongs to the kernel of the restriction homomorphism

$$r^*: \Lambda^* T_x^* M \otimes F_x \longrightarrow \Lambda^* T_x^* (\partial M) \otimes F_x,$$

while  $\omega_{tan}(x)$  belongs to the orthogonal complement of that kernel,

$$\omega_{\mathrm{tan}}(x) \in \mathrm{Ker}(r^*)^{\perp} \subset \Lambda^* T_x^* M \otimes F_x.$$

Note that  $r^*$  maps the orthogonal complement  $\operatorname{Ker}(r^*)^{\perp}$  of the kernel isomorphically onto  $\Lambda^*T_x^*(\partial M)\otimes F_x$ .

Following [1], we define  $\Omega_N^p$  to be the space of smooth *p*-forms from  $\Omega^p(F)$  satisfying *Neumann boundary conditions* at every point of  $\partial M$ ,

$$\Omega_N^p = \{ \omega \in \Omega^p(F) \mid \omega_{\text{norm}} = 0 \},$$

and  $\Omega_D^p$  to be the space of smooth *p*-forms from  $\Omega^p(F)$  satisfying *Dirichlet boundary* conditions at every point of  $\partial M$ ,

$$\Omega_D^p = \{ \omega \in \Omega^p(F) \mid \omega_{\text{tan}} = 0 \}.$$

Furthermore, we define  $cE_N^p = \delta(\Omega_N^{p+1})$  and  $E_D^p = d(\Omega_D^{p-1})$  and let

$$CcC^p=C^p\cap cC^p=\{\omega\in\Omega^p(F)\:|\:d\omega=0\text{ and }\delta\omega=0\},$$

$$CcC_N^p = \{\omega \in \Omega^p(F) \mid d\omega = 0, \ \delta\omega = 0 \ \text{and} \ \omega_{\text{norm}} = 0\},$$

$$CcC_D^p = \{\omega \in \Omega^p(F) \mid d\omega = 0, \ \delta\omega = 0 \ \text{and} \ \omega_{\tan} = 0\}.$$

If the boundary is empty,  $\partial M = \emptyset$ , then, trivially, every form  $\omega$  satisfies  $\omega_{\text{norm}} = \omega_{\text{tan}} = 0$ , the space  $CcC^p = CcC^p_N = CcC^p_D$  consists of all forms which are both closed and coclosed, and this space is equal to the space of harmonic p-forms, that is, to the kernel of the Laplacian  $\Delta = \delta d + d\delta$  acting on  $\Omega^p(F)$ .

If, on the other hand, the boundary is non-empty,  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$  and M is connected then the intersection  $CcC_N^p \cap CcC_D^p = \{0\}$  ([1], Lemma 2) and the kernel of the Laplacian  $\Delta$  contains all forms which are both closed and coclosed but can be strictly larger than the space of such forms ([1], Example).

In the following the symbol  $\oplus$  will denote an orthogonal direct sum.

**Theorem 3.1.** (Hodge decomposition theorem) Let M be a compact connected oriented smooth Riemannian n-manifold, with or without boundary and let F be a smooth real vector bundle over M, of finite fiber dimension, equipped with an inner product and a flat connection A. Then the space  $\Omega^p(F)$  of F-valued smooth p-forms decomposes into the orthogonal direct sum

(5) 
$$\Omega^p(F) = cE_N^p \oplus CcC^p \oplus E_D^p.$$

Furthermore, we have the orthogonal direct sum decompositions

(6) 
$$CcC^{p} = CcC_{N}^{p} \oplus (E^{p} \cap cC^{p}) = (C^{p} \cap cE^{p}) \oplus CcC_{D}^{p}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 see [4].

We denote by  $H^*(M;F)$  the cohomology of the complex (3) and define  $H^*(\partial M;F|_{\partial M})$  and  $H^*(M,\partial M;F)$  accordingly.

It follows from (5) that the space  $C^p$  of closed p-forms decomposes as  $C^p = CcC^p \oplus E_D^p$ . Hence, from (6), we get  $C^p = CcC^p \oplus E_D^p = CcC_N^p \oplus (E^p \cap cC^p) \oplus E_D^p$ . Using (6) once again we see that  $(E^p \cap cC^p) \oplus E_D^p = E^p$ . Therefore,

(7) 
$$C^p = CcC^p \oplus E_D^p = CcC_N^p \oplus (E^p \cap cC^p) \oplus E_D^p = CcC_N^p \oplus E^p.$$

Thus,  $CcC_N^p$  is the orthogonal complement of the exact p-forms within the closed ones, so  $CcC_N^p \cong H^p(M;F)$ . In a similar way, the space  $cC^p$  of coclosed p-forms decomposes as

(8) 
$$cC^p = cE_N^p \oplus CcC^p = cE_N^p \oplus (C^p \cap cE^p) \oplus CcC_D^p = cE^p \oplus CcC_D^p.$$

It follows again from (5) and (6) that  $CcC_D^p \cong H^p(M, \partial M; F)$ .

# 4. A modified Hodge star operator on parabolic cohomology

The main aim of this section is to define a modified Hodge star operator on the parabolic cohomology (the definition of parabolic cohomology is recalled below).

As in Section 3, M is a smooth compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension n, with or without boundary and F is a smooth real vector bundle over M with a positive-definite inner product  $B(\cdot,\cdot)$  and a flat connection A.

Let now  $r^*: H^*(M;F) \to H^*(\partial M;F|_{\partial M})$  be the homomorphism of the restriction to the boundary.

We define the parabolic cohomology  $H_{par}^*(M;F)$  of the manifold M with coefficients in the bundle F with the flat connection A to be the kernel of the restriction homomorphism  $r^*$ ,

$$H^*_{\mathrm{par}}(M\,;F) := \mathrm{Ker}\,(r^* \colon H^*(M\,;F) \,{\to}\, H^*(\partial M\,;F|_{\partial M}))$$

(cf. [5] and [3], Section 3).

Of course, the parabolic cohomology  $H^*_{par}(M;F)$  is equal to the image of  $j^*: H^*(M,\partial M;F) \to H^*(M;F)$ .

We assume now that the manifold M has dimension n=4k+2. When p=2k+1, the Hodge star operator \* maps  $\Omega^p(F)$  onto itself,  $*: \Omega^p(F) \to \Omega^p(F)$ , and satisfies  $**=-\mathrm{Id}$ . Moreover, it maps  $CcC^p$  onto itself, mapping  $CcC^p_N$  onto  $CcC^p_D$  and vice versa. Thus \* gives a complex structure on  $\Omega^p(F)$  and on  $CcC^p$ . For the rest of this section we shall denote the Hodge star operator \* on  $\Omega^p(F)$  by J. We have

$$(9) \hspace{1cm} J(CcC^p) = CcC^p, \hspace{1cm} J(CcC^p_N) = CcC^p_D \hspace{1cm} \text{and} \hspace{1cm} J(CcC^p_D) = CcC^p_N.$$

Since M is compact, the cohomology groups  $H^p(M;F)$  and  $H^p(M,\partial M;F)$  and, hence,  $CcC_N^p$  and  $CcC_D^p$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces. Denote by  $P_N\colon CcC^p\to CcC_N^p$  and  $P_D\colon CcC^p\to CcC_D^p$  the orthogonal projections of  $CcC^p$  onto  $CcC_N^p$  and  $CcC_D^p$  respectively. By (6) the kernel  $\mathrm{Ker}(P_N)$  is equal to  $E^p\cap cC^p$ , while the kernel  $\mathrm{Ker}(P_D)$  is equal to  $C^p\cap cE^p$ . Since J is an isometry of  $CcC^p$ , it follows from (9) that  $P_N\circ J=J\circ P_D$ . Let  $\mathscr{P}_N\colon CcC_D^p\to CcC_N^p$  be the restriction of  $P_N$  to  $CcC_D^p$  and let  $\mathscr{P}_D\colon CcC_N^p\to CcC_D^p$  be the restriction of  $P_D$  to  $CcC_N^p$ . We have

$$(10) \mathscr{P}_N \circ J = J \circ \mathscr{P}_D.$$

When  $H^p(M, \partial M; F)$  is identified with  $CcC_D^p$  and  $H^p(M; F)$  with  $CcC_N^p$ , the homomorphism  $j^*: H^p(M, \partial M; F) \to H^p(M; F)$  is identified with  $\mathscr{P}_N : CcC_D^p \to CcC_N^p$ . The parabolic cohomology group  $H^p_{\mathrm{par}}(M; F)$  is thus identified with the image of  $\mathscr{P}_N : CcC_D^p \to CcC_N^p$  which we denote by  $U, U = \mathrm{Im}(\mathscr{P}_N) \subset CcC_N^p$ .

It follows then from (10) that J(U) is equal to the image of  $\mathscr{P}_D \colon CcC_N^p \to CcC_D^p$ . We denote this image by T,  $T=\operatorname{Im}(\mathscr{P}_D)=J(U)\subset CcC_D^p$ .

Let  $T^{\perp}$  be the orthogonal complement of T in  $CcC_D^p$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** The kernel of  $\mathscr{P}_N : CcC_D^p \to CcC_N^p$  is equal to  $T^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in T^{\perp} \subset CcC_D^p$ . Let  $x \in CcC_N^p$ . As  $P_D$  is a symmetric mapping and since  $\mathscr{P}_D(x) \in T$ , we get that  $(w,x) = (P_D(w),x) = (w,P_D(x)) = (w,\mathscr{P}_D(x)) = 0$ . Hence w is orthogonal to  $CcC_N^p$  and therefore  $\mathscr{P}_N(w) = 0$ . Thus  $T^{\perp} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\mathscr{P}_N)$ . On the other hand

$$\dim T^{\perp} = \dim CcC_D^p - \dim T = \dim CcC_D^p - \dim U$$
$$= \dim CcC_D^p - \dim \operatorname{Im}(\mathscr{P}_N) = \dim \operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{P}_N).$$

Thus 
$$T^{\perp} = \text{Ker}(\mathscr{P}_N)$$
.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $v \in T = J(U)$ . If v is orthogonal to U then v = 0.

Proof. Assume that  $v \in T = J(U)$  is orthogonal to U. Since  $v \in CcC_D^p$ , we have  $\mathscr{P}_N(v) \in U = \operatorname{Im}(\mathscr{P}_N)$ . On the other hand, as  $\mathscr{P}_N$  is a projection along a space orthogonal to  $CcC_N^p$  and, hence, orthogonal to U, we get that  $\mathscr{P}_N(v)$  is also orthogonal to U. Since  $\mathscr{P}_N(v)$  both belongs to U and is orthogonal to U, we must have  $\mathscr{P}_N(v) = 0$ . Thus v belongs to  $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{P}_N)$  which, by Lemma 4.1, is equal to  $T^{\perp}$ . Belonging to T and  $T^{\perp}$  at the same time, v must be 0.  $\square$ 

Let V be the subspace of  $CcC^p$  spanned by  $CcC^p_D$  and  $CcC^p_N$ . Since both these spaces are finite-dimensional, so is V. Moreover, (9) implies that V is a complex subspace of  $CcC^p$  with respect to the complex structure J given by the Hodge star operator. V inherits the real inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  from  $CcC^p$  and J acts as an isometry. Finally,  $U \subset V$  and, according to Lemma 4.2,

$$(11) J(U) \cap U^{\perp} = 0,$$

where this time  $U^{\perp}$  denotes the orthogonal complement of U in V.

The alternating 2-form  $\omega(u,v)=(J(u),v)$  is a symplectic (non-degenerate) form on V. The property (11) implies that the restriction of  $\omega$  to U is a symplectic (non-degenerate) form on U.

Since (11) is satisfied, we can now apply the construction of Section 2 to V, U and J and obtain a linear operator

$$J_U: U \longrightarrow U$$

which equips the space U with a complex structure. When U is identified with the parabolic cohomology  $H_{par}^p(M;F)$  we denote the operator corresponding to  $J_U$  by  $J_{par}$ ,

(12) 
$$J_{\mathrm{par}} \colon H^p_{\mathrm{par}}(M;F) \longrightarrow H^p_{\mathrm{par}}(M;F)$$

and call it the modified Hodge star operator on the parabolic cohomology. We have the real inner product  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  and the symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $H^p_{par}(M;F)=U$ . Proposition 2.2 now gives the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let M be a smooth compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension n=4k+2, with or without boundary, and F be a real finite-dimensional vector bundle over M equipped with an inner product and a flat connection. Let p=2k+1. Then the modified Hodge star operator  $J_{par}: H^p_{par}(M;F) \to H^p_{par}(M;F)$  satisfies

(i) 
$$J_{\text{par}}^2 = -\text{Id}$$
;

- (ii)  $\omega(J_{\text{par}}(u), J_{\text{par}}(v)) = \omega(u, v)$  for  $u, v \in H_{\text{par}}^p(M; F)$ ;
- (iii)  $\omega(u, J_{par}(u)) > 0$  for all  $u \in H_{par}^p(M; F), u \neq 0$ ;

that is,  $J_{\text{par}}$  is a complex structure on the parabolic cohomology  $H_{\text{par}}^p(M;F)$  compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ .

Remark 4.4. (i) The symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $H^p_{\text{par}}(M;F)=U$  is the restriction of the form  $\omega$  on  $H^p(M;F)=CcC_N^p$  which in turn is given by

$$\omega(u,v) = (Ju,v) = (*u,v) = (v,*u) = \int_M B(v \wedge **u) = \int_M B(u \wedge v) =$$
$$= ([u] \cup [v])[M; \partial M],$$

where [u] and [v] denote the cohomology classes of the closed forms u and v. Thus the symplectic form  $\omega$  is given by the cup (wedge) product composed with B.

- (ii) When M is without boundary,  $\partial M = \emptyset$ , then  $CcC_N^p = CcC_D^p = U = J(U)$  above and  $J_{\text{par}} = J = *$ . Thus, in that case, the parabolic cohomology  $H_{\text{par}}^p(M;F)$  is equal to the ordinary cohomology  $H^p(M;F)$  and the modified Hodge star operator is equal to the ordinary Hodge star operator.
- (iii) If M is not connected then it is obvious from the construction above that the parabolic cohomology  $H^p_{\mathrm{par}}(M;F)$  and the modified Hodge star operator  $J_{\mathrm{par}}$  are direct sums of their counter-parts on the components.
- (iv) The modified Hodge star operator  $J_{par}$  is canonically determined by the choice of the Riemannian metric and the orientation on M, and the choice of the inner product and the flat connection on F.

# 5. The moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface with boundary

Let G be a compact Lie group with a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  equipped with a real-valued positive-definite invariant inner product. Let S be a smooth compact oriented surface, with or without boundary. We consider the moduli space  $\mathcal M = \mathcal M(S;G,C_1,...,C_k)$  of gauge equivalence classes of flat connections in the trivial principal G-bundle over S with monodromies along boundary components belonging to some fixed conjugacy classes  $C_1,...,C_k$  in G, K being the number of boundary components of S (see [3]).

The space  $\mathscr{M}$  is a finite-dimensional manifold with singularities. We denote by  $\Sigma \subset \mathscr{M}$  the singular locus. Every point of  $\mathscr{M}$  can be represented by a group homomorphism  $\phi \colon \pi_1(S) \to G$  such that  $\phi$  maps elements of  $\pi_1(S)$  given by the boundary components into the corresponding conjugacy classes  $C_j$ . Let G act

on  $\mathfrak{g}$  through the adjoint representation. To every such group homomorphism  $\phi$  we can associate a bundle over S with fiber  $\mathfrak{g}$  equipped with a flat connection and an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued positive-definite inner product in the fibers. We denote that flat vector bundle by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\phi}$ . The tangent space to  $\mathscr{M}$  at a non-singular point  $[\phi] \in \mathscr{M}$  is naturally identified with the parabolic cohomology group  $H^1_{\text{par}}(S;\mathfrak{g}_{\phi})$  (see [3], Section 3, Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 and pp. 409–410).

In [3] the manifold  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \Sigma$  is equipped with a symplectic structure given by -1 times the wedge product of forms and the inner product on the bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_{\phi}$  ([3], Section 3, pp. 386–387, and Theorem 10.5). Hence, this symplectic structure is the negative of the one given by the form  $\omega$  in our paper.

It follows now from Theorem 4.3 that a choice of a Riemannian metric on the surface S gives, via the modified Hodge star operator  $J_{\rm par}$ , a canonical almost complex structure on the moduli space  $\mathscr{M} \setminus \Sigma$  compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ . To get an almost complex structure on  $\mathscr{M} \setminus \Sigma$  compatible with the symplectic form of [3] one has to take the operator  $-J_{\rm par}$ .

Note added in proof. The property (11) has also been proven in [2].

#### References

- CAPPELL, S., DETURCK, D., GLUCK, H. and MILLER, E. Y., Cohomology of harmonic forms on Riemannian manifolds with boundary, Forum Math. 18 (2006), 923– 931.
- 2. DeTurck, D. and Gluck, H., Poincaré duality angles and Hodge decomposition for Riemannian manifolds, *Preprint*, 2004.
- GURUPRASAD, K., HUEBSCHMANN, J., JEFFREY, L. and WEINSTEIN, A., Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *Duke Math. J.* 89 (1997), 377–412.
- MORREY, C. B., A variational method in the theory of harmonic integrals, II, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 137–170.
- 5. Weil, A., Remarks on the cohomology of groups, Ann. of Math. 80 (1964), 149–157.

Ryszard L. Rubinsztein
Department of Mathematics
Uppsala University
P.O. Box 480
SE-751 06 Uppsala
Sweden
ryszard@math.uu.se

Received December 6, 2012 in revised form September 11, 2013 published online December 10, 2013