## TRANSFERRING FOURIER MULTIPLIERS

A.H. Dooley

1. FOURIER MULTIPLIERS OF $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{G})$

Let $G$ be a compact Lie group, and $\hat{G}$ is dual (a maximal set of irreducible representations of $G$ ). The Fourier transform of f $\in L^{1}(G)$ associates to $\sigma \in \hat{G}$, the $d_{\sigma} \times d_{\sigma}$ matrix $\int_{G} f(x) \sigma\left(x^{-1}\right) d x$ (where $d_{\sigma}$ is the dimension of the space in which $\sigma$ acts).

The Fourier multipliers of $L^{p}(G)$ are sequences $\left(A_{\sigma}\right)$ of matrices so that if $(\hat{\mathrm{I}}(\sigma))$ is the Fourier series of an $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{p}}$ function, so is ( $\left.A_{\sigma} \hat{f}(\sigma)\right)$.

Example. If $G=\operatorname{SU}(2), \hat{G} \equiv\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots\right\}$ and if $\ell \in \hat{G}, \sigma_{\ell}$ has dimension $2 \ell+1$, and we look for sequences $A_{0} \cdot A_{\frac{1}{2}} \ldots$. where $A_{l}$ is a $(2 \ell+1) \times(2 \ell+1)$ matrix.

## 2. EXAMPLES OF MULTIPLIERS

(i) Central multipliers. We restrict to $A_{\sigma}=c_{\sigma} I$ for $c_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{C}$. This is the case which has bsen most studied. For example, Bonami and Clere [1] and Clere [2] have shown that the

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Poisson kernel } & e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{R}}} I_{\sigma_{\ell}} \\
\text { Gauss kernel } & e^{-\frac{\ell}{R}} I_{\sigma_{\ell}} \\
\text { Riesz kernel } & \left(1-\frac{\ell}{R}\right)^{\delta}+I_{\sigma_{\ell}} \quad(\delta>1)
\end{array}
$$

are bounded summability kernels in $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$. These results also
hold for general $G$. where $\ell$ is replaced by $\mu_{\sigma}$. the eigenvalue of $\chi_{\sigma}$ under the biinvariant Laplacian on $G$.

Coifman and Weiss [4] unified these results; $m_{\ell}{ }^{I} \sigma_{\ell}$ is a multiplier of $L^{p}(S U(2))$ if $\ell \mapsto(2 \ell+1) m_{\ell}-(2 \ell-1) m_{\ell-1}$ is a multiplier of Fourier series. This result also holds in some generality. This criterion can be applied to the above kernels.

The idea is to use the Weyl integration formula to reduce the problem to the maximal torus.
(ii) Noncentral multipliers. Very little has been proved in generality. Coifman and Weiss [3] gave an extremely detailed study of SU(2), and showed that the Riesz kernels are bounded. One defines operators $B_{1}, \bar{B}_{1}, B_{2}, \bar{B}_{2}$, as certain differential operators and computes their Fourier transforms to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{B}_{1}\left(\sigma_{l}\right)=\frac{1}{2 l}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\sqrt{2 l} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2 l(2 l-1)} & \cdots & 0 \\
& & & & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \sqrt{2 l} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \hat{\bar{B}}_{1}=\hat{B}_{1} *
\end{aligned}
$$

shows that, for a given harmonic polynomial which is zero at the origin, $F=f+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{j} f_{j}$ is a generalized analytic function, where $\varepsilon_{j}$ are a basis for the quaternions and $f_{j}$ are the Riesz transforms

$$
\tilde{f}_{1}=i\left(B_{1}-\bar{B}_{1}\right) f, \quad f_{2}=i\left(B_{1}+\bar{B}_{1}\right) f, \quad f_{3}=-i\left(\bar{B}_{2}-B_{2}\right) f
$$

This generalizes the classical Riesz transform. The critical point is to prove that the $B_{j}$ are bounded on $L^{p}(S U(2))$ for then one may attempt an $H^{p}$ theory.

The proof that the $B_{j}$ are bounded given by Coifman and Weiss depends on a detailed structural analysis of $S U(2)$, together with some theory of pseudo differential operators. It is hard to estimate the multiplier norm of the $B_{j}$.

I wish to propose a new approach, based upon some of my recent work on contractions of Lie groups which gives a simpler proof plus better control over the constants.
3. CONTRACTION OF $\xlongequal{g}$ ONTO G We consider, as have many other authors, the family of maps $\pi_{\lambda}: \underline{\underline{g}} \rightarrow G: X \mapsto \exp \frac{X}{\lambda}(\lambda>0)$. We use some results of C.S. Herz [6], on periodification of multipliers to "transfer" multipliers of $L^{\mathrm{p}}(\underline{\underline{g}})$ onto multipliers of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{G})$. Specifically, we can prove

Theorem. There is a canonical norm non-increasing map
$i_{\lambda}: A_{p}(G) \rightarrow B_{p}(\underline{\underline{g}})$.
Proof. For $X$ locally compact Hausdorff, Herz defines $V_{p}(X)$ to be the set of functions of two variables which are pointwise multipliers of $l^{p} \otimes l^{p^{\prime}}(X) ; B_{p}$ may be identified as the elements of $V_{p}$ invariant under right translation in both variables. According to a theorem of Herz, $F \mapsto F \circ \pi_{\lambda} \times \pi_{\lambda}$ is a norm non-increasing map $V_{p}(G) \rightarrow V_{p}(\underline{g})$. The map which takes $F \in V_{p}(\underline{g})$ to the invariant mean $\tilde{F}$ of $Z \mapsto F(X+Z, Y+Z)$ gives a projection $V_{p}(\underline{\underline{g}}) \rightarrow B_{p}(\underline{\underline{g}})$. Combining these maps with the injection $A_{p}(G) \subset V_{p}(G)$ gives the map ${ }^{i_{\lambda}}$.

It remains to compute $i_{\lambda}$. The following theorem is proved in [5].

Theorem. Let $f \in A_{p}(G)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{\lambda^{f}}^{f)}(X)=\int_{G / T} f\left(g \cdot \exp \left(\frac{g^{-1} \cdot X}{\lambda}\right)_{\underline{\underline{t}}} \cdot g^{-1}\right) d \dot{g}\right. \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is any maximal torus for $G, \stackrel{t}{=}$ is its Lie algebra, and ( ) $\underline{\underline{t}}$ denotes projection onto $\stackrel{t}{=}$.
(*) is a natural generalization of the usual periodification map $A_{p}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B_{p}(\mathbb{R})$.

We may dualize $i_{\lambda}$, obtaining $i_{\lambda}{ }^{*}: B_{p}{ }^{*}(\underline{g}) \rightarrow M_{p}(G)$. Thus, for any $f \in L^{1}\left(\underline{\underline{(g})}\right.$. $i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} f \in M_{p}(G)$ and

$$
\left\|\left\|_{\lambda}{ }^{*} f\right\|_{p} \leq\right\| f \|_{p}
$$

(where $\|I\| \|_{p}$ denotes the multiplier norm).
Using (*), we may compute the Fourier transform of $i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} f$. For simplicity, we restrict to the case $G=S U(2)$, although the formula holds in generality (when suitably modified).

Theorem. Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k=-\ell}^{\ell}$ be the usual orthonormal basis for $H_{\sigma_{\ell}}$. Then for each. $\phi \in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\underline{\underline{\mathrm{~g}}})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \phi\right)^{\wedge}\left(\sigma_{\ell}\right)_{n, m}=\sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} \int_{G / T} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{g \cdot k}{\lambda}\right) t_{n, k}^{\ell} \bar{t}_{m, k}^{\ell}(\dot{g}) d \dot{g} \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. APPLICATIONS

(i) Suppose $\phi$ is a radial multiplier of $L^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ (alias an Ad(SU(2)) invariant multiplier of $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ ).

Then $\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{g \cdot k}{\lambda}\right)$ is independent of $g$, so we may use the orthogonality relations on (**) to obtain

$$
\left(i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \phi\right)^{\wedge}\left(\sigma_{\ell}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \ell+1} \sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{k}{\lambda}\right) \cdot I_{d_{\sigma_{\ell}}}
$$

Thus $i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \phi$ is a central multiplier.
Knowing the $L^{p}$ boundedness of certain radial multipliers on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ now allows us to deduce the boundedness of certain other multipliers on SU(2) . In fact, we may solve the equation

$$
\psi=i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \phi
$$

for $\phi$, obtaining

$$
\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda}\right)=(2 \ell+1) \hat{\psi}\left(\sigma_{\ell}\right)-(2 \ell-1) \hat{\psi}\left(\sigma_{\ell-1}\right)
$$

which is effectively the theorem of Coifman and Weiss alluded to above.
(ii) More interesting are the noncentral multipliers. Take

$$
\phi(x)=f(|x|) Y_{s, q}\left(\frac{x}{|X|}\right)
$$

where $Y_{s, q}$ is a spherical harmonic of degree $s$, and $-s \leq q \leq s$. In fact, identifying $Y_{s, q}$ with $t_{q}^{S}(\dot{g})$, a matrix coefficient of SU(2) , we compute the Fourier transform of $\left(i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \phi\right)$, by using the Bochner-Hecke formula, as
$\left(i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \phi\right)^{\wedge}\left(\sigma_{\ell}\right)_{m, n}=\sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} 2 \pi i^{-s}\left(\frac{|k|}{\lambda}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mathrm{f}}\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{|k|}{\lambda}\right) \int_{S U(2)} t_{q \circ}^{s} t_{m k} \bar{t}_{n k}(g) d g$
where $\hat{\mathrm{I}}($,$) is the Bessel transform of \mathrm{f}$. The integral over SU(2) is just a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient which may be evaluated. Taking in particular $f(|x|)=|x|^{-3}$, and $y_{1,0}$, one computes easily that $2^{-3 / 2} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} i_{\lambda}{ }^{*} \Phi=I+\overline{B_{2}}$, and similarly $|x|^{-3} \overline{Y_{1,0}(x / X \mid)}$ becomes $I+B_{2}$. One may further check that

$$
\frac{y_{1,1}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^{3}}
$$

has for image $2^{3 / 2} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}(-i) B_{1}$, and that

$$
\frac{x_{1,-1}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)}{|x|^{3}}
$$

has as image $2^{3 / 2} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{i}) \overline{\mathrm{B}_{1}}$.
It follows at once from our approach that
and

$$
\left\|\left\|_{1}\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8 \pi}}\right\| \phi_{1}\| \|_{p, \mathbb{R}^{3}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{8} \pi} \frac{p}{p+1}
$$

$$
\left\|B_{2}\right\|_{p} \leq 1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{8 \pi}}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\| \|_{p, \mathbb{R}^{3}}=1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{8 \pi}} \frac{p}{p+1} .
$$

These estimates are extremely precise, and avoid completely any mention of pseudo differential operators.
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