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## §0. Introduction

Most known non-standard examples of compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds are constructed via Riemannian submersions. Here the word "standard"means that the Einstein metric on a homogeneous manifold is constructed from the irreducible isotropy representation of the homogeneous manifold. However, such a method does not work effectively if the isotropy representation associated with the homogeneous manifold decomposes into more than two irreducible representations. In fact, only few examples (cf. Wang [8]) of such homogeneous Einstein manifolds are known so far.

Let $M=G / K$ be a Kähler C-space, where $G$ is a compact connected simple Lie group. Then $M$ carries a complex structure $J$ and a Kähler metric $g$, with respect to $J$, such that the group Aut $(M, J, g)$ of holomorphic isometries of the Kähler manifold ( $M, J, g$ ) acts transitively on $M$. Assuming now that the associated isotropy representation of $K$ decomposes into non-equivalent three irreducible components, we construct in § 2 examples of such Kähler C-spaces . In § 3, in view of the method of Wang and Ziller (cf. § 1), we find all $G$-invariant Einstein metrics on the Kähler C-spaces $G / K$ constructed in the preceding section. On the other hand, given a $G$-invariant complex structure on $G / K$, we have a unique $G$-invariant Einstein-Kähler metric on $G / K$ up to homotheties (cf. § 2). Thus if a $G$-invariant Einstein metric on $G / K$ found in $\S 3$ is Kähler with respect to some $G$-invariant complex structure on $G / K$, then it is nothing but a known metric. Therefore, we check in § 4 whether the $G$-invariant Einstein metrics found in § 3
are Kähler or not by taking suitable $G$-invariant complex structures on $G / K$. We now state our Main Theorem.

Main Theorem. Let $G / K$ be a Kähler $C$-space which is locally isomorphic to one of the following.
(1) $E_{6} / U(2) \times S U(3) \times S U(3)$,
(2) $E_{7} / U(3) \times S U(5)$,
(3) $E_{7} / U(2) \times S U(6)$,
(4) $E_{8} / U(2) \times E_{6}$,
(5) $E_{8} / U(8)$,
(6) $F_{4} / U(2) \times S U(3)$,
(7) $G_{2} / U(2)$,
(8) $S U(\ell+m+n) / S(U(\ell) \times U(m) \times U(n))$,
(9) $S O(2 \ell) / U(1) \times U(\ell-1)$,
$(10) E_{6} / U(1) \times U(1) \times \operatorname{Spin}(8)$,
where $\ell, m$ and $n$ are positive integers in the case of (8), and $4 \leqq \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the case of (9). Then the isotropy representation of compact homogeneous space $G / K$ is decomposed into non-equivalent three irreducible components (cf. Proposition 2.6).
[I] If $G / K$ is either (1),(2),(3),(4),(5),(6), or (7), then $G / K$ has exactly three G-invariant Einstein metrics up to homotheties (cf. Theorem 3.2). One of them is Kähler for a $G$-invariant complex structure on $G / K$ and the other two are non-Kähler for any complex structure on $G / K$ (cf. Remark 4.2).
[II] If $G / K$ is either (8), (9), or (10), then $G / K$ has exactly four $G$ invariant Einstein metrics, up to homotheties, which are written down very explicitly (cf. Theorem 3.2). Three of them are Kähler for suitable $G$-invariant complex structures on $G / K$ and the rest is non-Kähler for any complex structure on $G / K$ (cf. Examples 4.3, 4.4, 4.5).

Note, in the above theorem, that the non-Kähler $G$-invariant Einstein metrics in the case of (8) with $\ell=m=n$ and (10) are known metrics of $G / K$, coming from the Killing form.

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitudes to Professor Yusuke Sakane for his valuable and inspiring suggetions.

## §1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some results of Wang and Ziller [10].
Let $G$ be a compact connected simple Lie group, $K$ a connected closed subgroup of $G$, and let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebras of $G, K$ respectively. For the compact connected homogeneous manifold $M=G / K$, we assume that the isotropy representation of $G / K$ is decomposed into non-equivalent three irreducible components. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the orthogonal
complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the negative of the Killing form $-B$ of $g$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{1}+\mathfrak{m}_{2}+\mathfrak{m}_{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the irreducible decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$. Note that each $G$-invariant Riemannian metric on $M$ can be represented by an inner product $\left.x_{1} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}+$ $\left.x_{2} B\right|_{m_{2}}+\left.x_{3} B\right|_{m_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0\right)$ on $\mathfrak{m}$. From now on we identify $G$ invariant Riemannian metrics on $M$ with inner products on $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all $G$-invariant Riemannian metrics on $M$ with volume 1 . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x_{1}{ }^{d_{1}} x_{2}{ }^{d_{2}} x_{3}{ }^{d_{3}}=1 / V^{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{i}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}_{i}(i=1,2,3), V=\operatorname{Vol}\left(M,\left.B\right|_{m}\right)$. Let $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a $B$-orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{m}$ adapted to (1.1). We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}^{k}=\sum_{\substack{e_{\alpha} \in m_{i} \\ e_{\beta} \in m_{j} \\ e_{\gamma} \in m_{k}}} B\left(\left[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right], e_{\gamma}\right)^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j, k=1,2,3$. Note that $C_{i j}^{k}$ is independent of the choice of $B$ orthonormal bases of $\mathfrak{m}$ adapted to (1.1) and symmetric in all three indices. We denote by $S(g)$ the scalar curvature of a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(g)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \frac{d_{i}}{x_{i}}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j, k} C_{i j}^{k} \frac{x_{k}}{x_{i} x_{j}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g=\left.x_{1} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}+\left.x_{2} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{2}}+\left.x_{3} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0\right)$ (cf. [10]). Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Wang-Ziller [10]). Let $M=G / K$ be as above and $\operatorname{dim} M \geqq 3$. Then $g \in \mathcal{M}$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial u}(g)=\frac{\partial S}{\partial v}(g)=0
$$

where $u=x_{2} / x_{1}, v=x_{3} / x_{1} \quad$ (cf. (1.4)).

## §2. Kähler C-spaces

In this section we construct some examples of a Kähler C-space $M=G / K$ such that $G$ is a compact connected simple Lie group and that the corresponding isotropy representation of $K$ is decomposed into non-equivalent three irreducible components.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexifications of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ respectively. We identify an element of the root system $\Delta$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with an element of $\sqrt{-1} t$ by the duality defined by the Killing form $($,$) of \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{\ell}\right\}$ be a fundamental system of $\Delta$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{1}, \cdots, \Lambda_{\ell}\right\}$ the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to П; i.e.,

$$
\frac{2\left(\Lambda_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)}=\delta_{i j} \quad(1 \leqq i, j \leqq \ell)
$$

Let $\Pi_{0}$ be a subset of $\Pi$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi-\Pi_{0}=\left\{\alpha_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \alpha_{i_{r}}\right\} \quad\left(1 \leqq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r} \leqq \ell\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
\left[\Pi_{0}\right]=\Delta \cap\left\{\Pi_{0}\right\}_{\mathbb{Z}}
$$

where $\left\{\Pi_{0}\right\}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the subgroup of $\sqrt{-1} t$ generated by $\Pi_{0}$. Consider the root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$

We define a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{u}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\Pi_{0}\right] \cup \Delta^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$

where $\Delta^{+}$is the set of all positive roots relative to $\Pi$. Let $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a simply connected complex simple Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $U$ the parabolic subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by $\mathfrak{u}$. As is well known, the complex homogeneous manifold $M=G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$ is compact simply connected and $G$ acts transitively on $M$. Note also that $K=G \cap U$ is a connected closed subgroup of $G$ and $M=G / K$ as $C^{\infty}$-manifold and $M$ admits a $G$-invariant Kähler metric (cf. [4], [7]). Hence, $M$ is a Kähler C-space.

We take a Weyl basis $E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha \in \Delta)$ with

$$
\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right]=-\alpha \quad(\alpha \in \Delta)
$$

$$
\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\right]= \begin{cases}N_{\alpha, \beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}, & \text { if } \alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Delta \\ 0, & \text { if } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta, \alpha+\beta \notin \Delta\end{cases}
$$

where $0 \neq N_{\alpha, \beta}=N_{-\alpha,-\beta} \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Delta)$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{t}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left\{\mathbb{R}\left(E_{\alpha}+E_{-\alpha}\right)+\mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1}\left(E_{\alpha}-E_{-\alpha}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of $K$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{t}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\Pi_{0}\right]}\left\{\mathbb{R}\left(E_{\alpha}+E_{-\alpha}\right)+\mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1}\left(E_{\alpha}-E_{-\alpha}\right)\right\} .
$$

For positive integers $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$, we put

$$
\Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} m_{j} \alpha_{j} \in \Delta^{+} \mid m_{i_{1}}=k_{1}, \cdots, m_{i_{r}}=k_{r}\right\} .
$$

For $\Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we define an $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}(K)$-invariant subspace $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)}\left\{\mathbb{R}\left(E_{\alpha}+E_{-\alpha}\right)+\mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1}\left(E_{\alpha}-E_{-\alpha}\right)\right\} .
$$

Denote by $B$ the negative of the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $B$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}} \mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)
$$

is a $B$-orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$. If $r=1$, Omura [6] proved that each $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is irreducible as $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}(K)$-module. We give a sufficient condition for the irreducibility of $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ as $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}(K)$-module below (cf. [6]).

Let $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexifications of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ respectively. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\Pi_{0}\right]} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} \\
\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{m}^{+}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)+\mathfrak{m}^{-}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}^{ \pm}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{q}_{\mp \alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$ be the semi-simple part of the complex reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$; i.e,

$$
\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}=\left[\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}\right]=\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\Pi_{0}\right]} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$

where $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{0}} \mathbb{C} \alpha$. Note that each $\mathfrak{m}^{ \pm}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ is an $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}\left(\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}\right)$ invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Now we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. For each $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$, the following (1) (2) (3) are equivalent.
(1) $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right|_{\mathfrak{k}}, \mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)\right)$ is a real irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{k}$.
(2) $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}\right|_{\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{m}^{+}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)\right)$ is a complex irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$.
(3) $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset\right|_{\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{m}^{-}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)\right)$ is a complex irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We prove only the equivalence between (1) and (2). Since $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}\right|_{\mathfrak{k}}, \mathfrak{m}^{+}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)\right)$ is equivalent to $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right|_{\mathfrak{k}}, \mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)\right)$ as real representation of $\mathfrak{k}$, we get $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Conversely if $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ is a non-trivial $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{k})$-invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$, then there exists a non-trivial subset $\Delta_{1}$ of $\Delta$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{1}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}}\left\{\mathbb{R}\left(E_{\alpha}+E_{-\alpha}\right)+\mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1}\left(E_{\alpha}-E_{-\alpha}\right)\right\}
$$

Since $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-trivial $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}\left(\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}\right)$-invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{m}^{+}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$, hence we get $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$.
Q.E.D.

We can consider that an element of $\Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ is a weight of the representation $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}\right|_{\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{m}^{-}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)\right)$ of $\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}^{-}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the decomposition into the weight spaces.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that there exists $\beta_{0} \in \Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ satisfying the following properties: (1) $\beta_{0}+\alpha_{i} \notin \Delta$ for any $\alpha_{i} \in \Pi_{0}$, (2) if $\alpha$ is an element of $\Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$, either $\beta_{0}-\alpha \in \Delta$ or there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in\left[\Pi_{0}\right] \cap \Delta^{+}$such that $\beta_{0}-\alpha=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \in \Delta$. Then $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}(K)$-irreducible.

Proof. Since $E_{\beta_{0}}$ is primitive, the $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}\left(\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}\right)$-submodule $W$ of $\mathfrak{m}^{-}\left(k_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, k_{r}\right)$ generated by $E_{\beta_{0}}$ is irreducible. For $\alpha \in \Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$, if $\beta_{0}-\alpha \in$
$\Delta, E_{\alpha-\beta_{0}} \in \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and thus $\left[E_{\alpha-\beta_{0}}, E_{\beta_{0}}\right]=\lambda E_{\alpha}(0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C})$. Hence $E_{\alpha} \in W$. If $\beta_{0}-\alpha \notin \Delta$, there are $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ such that $E_{-\beta_{1}}, E_{-\beta_{2}} \in \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} \in \Delta$, and thus $\left[E_{-\beta_{2}},\left[E_{-\beta_{1}}, E_{\beta_{0}}\right]\right]=\mu E_{\alpha}(0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C})$. Hence $E_{\alpha} \in W$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}(K)$-irreducible from Lemma 2.2.
Q.E.D.

Remark 2.4. Note that $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ are non-equivalent each other and $\overline{\mathfrak{m}^{+}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)}=\mathfrak{m}^{-}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$.

We put $\delta_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}-\left[\Pi_{0}\right]} \alpha$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{m}}=c_{i_{1}} \Lambda_{i_{1}}+\cdots+c_{i_{r}} \Lambda_{i_{r}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i_{1}}, \cdots, c_{i_{r}}>0$ (cf. Borel-Hirzebruch [2]). Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the highest root of $\Delta$ and

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_{i} \alpha_{i} \quad\left(0 \leqq m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Now we construct our Kähler C-spaces $M=G / K$. If we regard $M$ as the complex manifold $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U, M$ is represented by the pair $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right)$ of the Dynkin diagram. Our Kähler C-space $M=G / K$ is represented by the pair $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right)$ such that either $\Pi-\Pi_{0}=\left\{\alpha_{p}\right\}$ where $m_{p}=3$ or $\Pi-\Pi_{0}=\left\{\alpha_{p}, \alpha_{q}\right\}$ where $m_{p}=m_{q}=1$. Next proposition can be easily checked by Lemma 2.3, Remark 2.4 and (2.5).

Proposition 2.6. Let $G$ be a compact connected simple Lie group corresponding to the following Dynkin diagram $\Pi$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$ the complex manifold corresponding to the following pair $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right)$. Put $K=G \cap U$. Then $G / K$ is a Kähler $C$-space and the isotropy representation of $G / K$ is decomposed into non-equivalent three irreducible components.
[I] $\quad\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right):$


F4

G2

[II] $\quad\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right):$



DI-(ii)



E6

where the vertices contained in $\Pi-\Pi_{0}$ are denoted by " $\times$ ".

Moreover, in the case $[\mathrm{I}]$, the triple $(|\Delta(1)|,|\Delta(2)|,|\Delta(3)|)$ is
(1) $(18,9,2), \quad$ for $G$ of type $E_{6}$,
(2) $(30,15,4)$, for $G$ of type $E_{7}-(\mathrm{i})$,
(3) $(30,15,2)$, for $G$ of type $E_{7}$-(ii),
(4) $(54,27,2)$, for $G$ of type $E_{8}$-(i),
(5) $(56,28,8), \quad$ for $G$ of type $E_{8}$-(ii),
(6) $(12,6,2), \quad$ for $G$ of type $F_{4}$,
(7) $(2,1,2), \quad$ for $G$ of type $G_{2}$,
and, in the case $[\mathrm{II}]$, the quadruple $\left(|\Delta(1,0)|,|\Delta(0,1)|,|\Delta(1,1)|, \delta_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is
(1) $\left(\ell m, m n, \ell n, \frac{\ell+m}{2} \Lambda_{\ell}+\frac{m+n}{2} \Lambda_{\ell+m}\right)$,
for $G$ of type $A_{\ell+m+n-1}$,
(2) $\quad\left(\ell-1, \ell-1, \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{2}, \frac{\ell}{2} \Lambda_{\ell-1}+\frac{\ell}{2} \Lambda_{\ell}\right)$, for $G$ of type $D_{\ell}$-(i),
(3) $\left(\ell-1, \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{2}, \ell-1, \frac{\ell}{2} \Lambda_{1}+(\ell-2) \Lambda_{\ell}\right)$, for $G$ of type $D_{\ell}$-(ii),
(4) $\left(\ell-1, \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{2}, \ell-1, \frac{\ell}{2} \Lambda_{1}+(\ell-2) \Lambda_{\ell-1}\right)$, for $G$ of type $D_{\ell}$-(iii),
(5) $\left(8,8,8,4 \Lambda_{1}+4 \Lambda_{6}\right)$,
for $G$ of type $E_{6}$,
where $1 \leqq \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the case of type $A_{\ell+m+n-1}$ and $4 \leqq \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the case of type $D_{\ell}$.

## §3. $G$-invariant Einstein metrics

In this section we find all $G$-invariant Einstein metrics on the Kähler C-spaces of Proposition 2.6. We will use the same notation as in $\S 2$. The next theorem is well-known.

Theorem 3.1 (Borel-Hirzebruch [2], cf. [7]). Let $M=G / K$ be
the Kähler C-space in Proposition 2.6. We put

$$
g= \begin{cases}\left.B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1)}+\left.2 B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(2)}+\left.3 B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(3)}, & \text { in the case }[\mathrm{I}] \\ \left.c_{p} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1,0)}+\left.c_{q} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(0,1)}+\left.\left(c_{p}+c_{q}\right) B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1,1)}, & \text { in the case }[\mathrm{II}],\end{cases}
$$

where $\delta_{\mathrm{m}}=c_{p} \Lambda_{p}+c_{q} \Lambda_{q}$ in the case [II]. Then $g$ is a unique $G$-invariant' Einstein-Kähler metric on $M=G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$ up to homotheties, where we consider the natural complex structure on $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$.

We obtain the following theorem by Theorem 1.5.
Theorem 3.2. Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler $C$-space in Proposition 2.6. In the case [I], $M$ has three $G$-invariant Einstein metrics up to homotheties. In the case [II], $M$ has four $G$-invariant Einstein metrics $g$, up to homotheties, expressed explicitly in the form

$$
g=\left.x_{1} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1,0)}+\left.x_{2} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(0,1)}+\left.x_{3} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1,1)}
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is given as follows:
If $G$ is of type $A_{\ell+m+n-1}$,
(1) $(\ell+m, m+n, \ell+2 m+n)$,
(2) $(\ell+m, m+n, \ell+n)$,
(3) $(\ell+m, 2 \ell+m+n, \ell+n)$,
(4) $(\ell+m+2 n, m+n, \ell+n)$.

If $G$ is of type $D_{\ell^{-}}(\mathrm{i})$,
(1) $(1,1,2),(2)(\ell, \ell, 2 \ell-4)$,
(3) $(\ell, 3 \ell-4,2 \ell-4),(4)(3 \ell-4, \ell, 2 \ell-4)$.

If $G$ is of type $D_{\ell^{\prime}}$-(ii) or $D_{\ell^{-}}$-(iii),
(1) $(\ell, 2 \ell-4,3 \ell-4),(2)(\ell, 2 \ell-4, \ell)$,
(3) $(1,2,1),(4)(3 \ell-4,2 \ell-4, \ell)$.

If $G$ is of type $E_{6}$,
$(1)(1,1,2),(2)(1,1,1),(3)(1,2,1),(4)(2,1,1)$.
Moreover, in each type, the case (1) is a Kähler metric on $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$.
Proof. First we consider the case [I]. We put $g=\left.x_{1} B\right|_{m(1)}+$ $\left.x_{2} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(2)}+\left.x_{3} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(3)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0\right)$. Then we get the following from (1.4).

$$
S(g)=\sum_{i} \frac{d_{i}}{x_{i}}-\frac{1}{4}\left\{C_{11}^{2}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{2}{x_{2}}\right)+2 C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{x_{3}}{x_{1} x_{2}}+\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1} x_{3}}+\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)\right\}
$$

where $d_{i}=|\Delta(i)| \quad(i=1,2,3)$. Note that $d_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are known by Proposition 2.6. We put $u=x_{2} / x_{1}, v=x_{3} / x_{1}$ and $N=d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$. By Theorem 1.5, $g$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{1} u v-\left(d_{2}-\frac{1}{2} C_{11}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{N}{d_{2}}-1\right) v+d_{3} u-\frac{1}{4} C_{11}^{2}\left(\frac{N}{d_{2}}+1\right) u^{2} v  \tag{3.3}\\
+\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{2}}-1\right) v^{2}-\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{2}}+1\right) u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{2}}-1\right)=0 \\
d_{1} u v+\left(d_{2}-\frac{1}{2} C_{11}^{2}\right) v-d_{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{3}}-1\right) u-\frac{1}{4} C_{11}^{2} u^{2} v  \tag{3.4}\\
-\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{3}}+1\right) v^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{3}}-1\right) u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{N}{d_{3}}-1\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $u=2, v=3$ is a common root of (3.3) and (3.4) by Theorem 3.1, we get $C_{11}^{2}$ and $C_{12}^{3}$. From (3.3) and (3.4), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{c\left(u-u_{1}\right)\left(u-u_{2}\right)}{\left(u-u_{3}\right)\left(u-u_{4}\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $u>0, v>0$, we get the domain $I$ of $u$ from (3.5). Substitute (3.5) to (3.3) and multiply it by a constant multiple of $\left(u-u_{3}\right)^{2}\left(u-u_{4}\right)^{2} /(u-2)$. Then we have an equation $f(u)=$ 0 , where $f(u)$ is a polynomial of $u$ with an integral coefficient. We have a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\{u=2\} \cup\{u \in I \mid f(u)=0\}$ and the set of $G$-invariant Einstein metrics on $M$ up to homotheties. Consider the case of type $E_{6}$. In this case, we see that

$$
C_{11}^{2}=6, C_{12}^{3}=3 / 2
$$

and

$$
u_{1}=-2, u_{2}=10 / 11, u_{3}=11 / 7+\sqrt{249} / 21, u_{4}=11 / 7-\sqrt{249} / 21
$$

Hence

$$
I=\left(0, u_{4}\right) \cup\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
f(u)=532 u^{5}-3800 u^{4}+8809 u^{3}-9398 u^{2}-4860 u-1000 .
$$

Now we obtain the following result from Strum's theorem.

$$
\left|\left\{u \in\left(0, u_{4}\right) \mid f(u)=0\right\}\right|=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\left\{u \in\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \mid f(u)=0\right\}\right|=1
$$

Therefore $M$ has three $G$-invariant Einstein metrics up to homotheties. Results for other types in the case [I] are obtained by the same method.

Next we consider the case [II]. We put $g=\left.x_{1} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1,0)}+\left.x_{2} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(0,1)}+$ $\left.x_{3} B\right|_{m(1,1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0\right)$. Then by (1.4)

$$
S(g)=\sum_{i} \frac{d_{i}}{x_{i}}-\frac{1}{2} C_{12}^{3}\left(\frac{x_{3}}{x_{1} x_{2}}+\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1} x_{3}}+\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)
$$

where $d_{1}=|\Delta(1,0)|, d_{2}=|\Delta(0,1)|, d_{3}=|\Delta(1,1)|$. By Theorem 1.5, $g$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{12}^{3}\left(d_{1}+d_{3}\right) v^{2}+2 d_{2}\left(d_{1} u-d_{1}-d_{3}\right) v  \tag{3.6}\\
-C_{12}^{3}\left(d_{1}+2 d_{2}+d_{3}\right) u^{2}+2 d_{2} d_{3} u+C_{12}^{3}\left(d_{1}+d_{3}\right)=0 \\
-C_{12}^{3}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+2 d_{3}\right) v^{2}+2 d_{3}\left(d_{1} u+d_{2}\right) v \\
+C_{12}^{3}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) u^{2}-2 d_{3}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) u+C_{12}^{3}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u=x_{2} / x_{1}, v=x_{3} / x_{1}$. We put $\delta_{\mathrm{m}}=c_{p} \Lambda_{p}+c_{q} \Lambda_{q}$. Note that $d_{i}$ $(i=1,2,3), c_{p}$ and $c_{q}$ are known by Proposition 2.6. Since $u=c_{q} / c_{p}$, $v=\left(c_{p}+c_{q}\right) / c_{p}$ is a common root of (3.6) and (3.7) by Theorem 3.1, we get $C_{12}^{3}$. Therefore we can get all positive common roots $(u, v)$ of (3.6) and (3.7) for each type of the case [II] by the same method as in the case [I].
Q.E.D.

## §4. $G$-invariant complex structures

Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler C-space in Proposition 2.6. We have a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathcal{J}$ of $G$-invariant complex structures $J$ on $M$ and the set $\mathcal{P}$ of parabolic subgroups $P$ of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $G \cap P=K$. If a $G$-invariant Einstein metric $g$ on $M$ is Kähler for a complex structure $J$ on $M, J$ is $G$-invariant. Suppose that $J \in \mathcal{J}$ corresponds to $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $(M, J)$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} / P$ are biholomorphic, where we consider the natural complex structure on $G^{\mathbb{C}} / P$. Thus if we regard $(M, J)$ as $G^{\mathbb{C}} / P, g$ is the form of Theorem 3.1 up to homotheties. Hence if a $G$-invariant Einstein metric is Kähler, it is a known metric.

On the other hand we obtain the following results from Nishiyama [5]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{J}$ and the set $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ of elements $\sigma$ of the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ with $\sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right) \subset \Pi$. Suppose that $J \in \mathcal{J}$
corresponds to $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$. Then let $U_{\sigma}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ whose Lie algebra $u_{\sigma}$ is

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{\sigma}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right] \cup \Delta^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} .
$$

And let $f$ be the diffeomorphism from $M$ to $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U_{\sigma}$ induced from the automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $\sigma$. Then $f$ is a biholomorphic map from $(M, J)$ to $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U_{\sigma}$. Moreover, $K_{\sigma}=G \cap U_{\sigma}$ is a connected closed subgroup of $G, M=G / K_{\sigma}$ as $C^{\infty}$-manifold, and $f$ defines a $G$-equivariant isometry from $\left(G / K,\left.B\right|_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ to $\left(G / K_{\sigma},\left.B\right|_{\mathrm{m}^{\sigma}}\right)$, where $\mathfrak{m}^{\sigma}$, $\Delta^{\sigma}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\sigma}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ for $G / K_{\sigma}$ are corresponding to that of $\mathfrak{m}, \Delta\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{m}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)$ for $G / K$. $G$-invariant complex structures $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ on $M$ are said to be equivalent if the complex manifolds $(M, J)$ and $\left(M, J^{\prime}\right)$ are biholomorphic. Let $J, J^{\prime}$ be $G$-invariant complex structures on $M$ and let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be the elements of $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $J, J^{\prime}$ respectively. Then $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a graph automorphism $\gamma$ of the Dynkin diagram $\Pi$ such that $\gamma\left(\sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right)=\sigma^{\prime}\left(\Pi_{0}\right)$. Moreover, in this case the pairs $\left(\Pi, \sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right)$, ( $\Pi, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\Pi_{0}\right)$ ) of the Dynkin diagrams are called equivalent.

Remark 4.1. Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler C-space of Proposition 2.6. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}= \begin{cases}\Delta(1), & \text { if } M \text { is in the case [I] }, \\
\Delta(1,0), & \text { if } M \text { is in the case [II], }\end{cases} \\
& \Delta_{2}= \begin{cases}\Delta(2), & \text { if } M \text { is in the case [I], } \\
\Delta(0,1), & \text { if } M \text { is in the case [II], }\end{cases} \\
& \Delta_{3}= \begin{cases}\Delta(3), & \text { if } M \text { is in the case [I], } \\
\Delta(1,1), & \text { if } M \text { is in the case [II], }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we define $\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}, \mathfrak{m}_{3}$ similarly. Then we get the followings.
(1) Let $\sigma$ be an element of $\mathcal{W}$ with $\sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right) \subset \Pi$, and $f$ the above $G$ equivariant diffeomorphism from $G / K$ to $G / K_{\sigma}$ induced by $\sigma$. Suppose that $g_{1}$ is a $G$-invariant Riemannian metric on $G / K_{\sigma}$. We put

$$
g_{1}=\left.x_{1} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\sigma}}+\left.x_{2} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{2}^{\sigma}}+\left.x_{3} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{3}^{\sigma}} \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0\right) .
$$

Then

$$
f^{*} g_{1}=\left.x_{\tau(1)} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}+\left.x_{\tau(2)} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{2}}+\left.x_{\tau(3)} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{3}}
$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ such that $\sigma\left(\Delta_{i}\right)= \pm \Delta_{\tau(i)}^{\sigma}(i=1,2,3)$.
(2) Let $\left\{J_{1}, \cdots, J_{n}\right\}$ be the set of all $G$-invariant complex structures on
$M$ up to equivalence, and $\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}$ the elements of $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $J_{1}, \cdots, J_{n}$ respectively. Suppose that $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}$ are the $G$-invariant Einstein-Kähler metrics on $G / K_{\sigma_{1}}, \cdots, G / K_{\sigma_{n}}$, respectively. For each integer $k(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$, we put

$$
g_{k}=\left.x_{1}^{k} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\sigma_{k}}}+\left.x_{2}^{k} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{2}^{\sigma_{k}}}+\left.x_{3}^{k} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{3}^{\sigma_{k}}} \quad\left(x_{1}^{k}, x_{2}^{k}, x_{3}^{k}>0\right) .
$$

If $g$ is a $G$-invariant Einstein-Kähler metric on $M$, there exist an integer $k(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ such that

$$
g=\left.x_{\tau(1)}^{k} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}+\left.x_{\tau(2)}^{k} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{2}}+\left.x_{\tau(3)}^{k} B\right|_{\mathrm{m}_{3}}
$$

up to homotheties.
Remark 4.2. Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler C-space in Proposition $2.6-[\mathrm{I}]$. Then $M$ has one and only one $G$-invariant complex structure up to equivalent (cf. [2], [5]). Let $\left.B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(1)}+\left.u B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(2)}+\left.v B\right|_{\mathrm{m}(3)}$ be a $G$ invariant Einstein metric on $M$ found newly in Theorem 3.2. Then $u$ and $v$ are irrational. Therefore they are not Kähler for any complex structure on $M$ by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 4.1-(2).

When $M=G / K$ is a Kähler C-space of Proposition 2.6-[II], we construct the root system $\Delta$ in a subspace of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of an appropriate dimension $N$ as usual. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{N}\right\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Example 4.3. Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler C-space of type $A_{\ell+m+n-1}$ of Proposition 2.6-[II]. Then $\alpha_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{i+1}(1 \leqq i \leqq \ell+$ $m+n-1$ ). When we regard $M$ as $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U, M$ is represented by the following pair ( $\Pi, \Pi_{0}$ ) of the Dynkin diagram. $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right):$


The pairs of the Dynkin diagrams corresponding to $G$-invariant complex structures on $M$ up to equivalent are as follows $\left(\Pi, \sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right)$ :

where $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ is defined by a permutation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\varepsilon_{1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell+m} & \varepsilon_{\ell+m+1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell+m+n} \\
\varepsilon_{n+1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{\ell+m+n} & \varepsilon_{1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$\left(\Pi, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right)$ :

where $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$ is defined by a permutation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\varepsilon_{1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell} & \varepsilon_{\ell+1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell+m+n} \\
\varepsilon_{m+n+1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell+m+n} & \varepsilon_{1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{m+n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that if $\ell, m$ and $n$ are all distinct, the above three pairs are not equivalent each other. Note also that if $\ell, m$ and $n$ are not all distinct, there exist the equivalent pairs. By Theorem 3.2,

$$
\left.(n+\ell) B\right|_{\mathrm{m}^{\sigma}(1,0)}+\left.(\ell+m) B\right|_{\mathrm{m}^{\sigma}(0,1)}+\left.(n+2 \ell+m) B\right|_{\mathrm{m}^{\sigma}(1,1)}
$$

is an Einstein-Kähler metric on $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$. Moreover
$\sigma(\Delta(1,0))=\Delta^{\sigma}(0,1), \sigma(\Delta(0,1))=-\Delta^{\sigma}(1,1), \sigma(\Delta(1,1))=-\Delta^{\sigma}(1,0)$.
Hence the metric (3) of Theorem 3.2 is Kähler for the $G$-invariant complex structure corresponding to $\sigma$ by Remark 4.1-(1). The metric (4) of Theorem 3.2 is Kähler for the $G$-invatiant complex structure corresponding to $\sigma^{\prime}$ similarly. On the other hand, the metric (2) of Theorem 3.2 is not Kähler for any complex structure on $M$ from Theorem 3.2 and Remark 4.1-(2). If $\ell=m=n$, the metric (2) of Theorem 3.2 is the standard metric of $G / K$, in the sence that it comes from the negative of Killing form.

Example 4.4. Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler C-space of type $D_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ of Proposition 2.6-[II]. Then $\alpha_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{i+1}(1 \leqq i \leqq \ell-1), \alpha_{\ell}=$ $\varepsilon_{\ell-1}+\varepsilon_{\ell}$. Since the Kähler C-spaces defined by the pairs (i), (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 2.6-[II] are isomorphic as $G$-manifold each other, we regard $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U$ as (i), i.e, $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right)$ :


The pairs of the Dynkin diagrams corresponding to $G$-invariant complex structures on $M$ up to equivalent are as follows:
$\left(\Pi, \sigma\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right)$ :

where $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ is defined by a permutation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\varepsilon_{1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell-1} & \varepsilon_{\ell} \\
\varepsilon_{2} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{\ell} & \varepsilon_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\sigma(\Delta(1,0))=-\Delta^{\sigma}(1,0), \sigma(\Delta(0,1))=\Delta^{\sigma}(1,1), \sigma(\Delta(1,1))=\Delta^{\sigma}(0,1)
$$

Hence the metric (3) of Theorem 3.2-(i) is Kähler for the $G$-invariant complex structure corresponding to $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$ by Theorem 3.2-(ii) and Remark 4.1-(1). We define $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$ by a permutation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\varepsilon_{1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{\ell-1} & \varepsilon_{\ell} \\
-\varepsilon_{\ell} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{\ell-1} & \varepsilon_{\ell} \\
\varepsilon_{\ell} & -\varepsilon_{\ell-1} & \cdots & -\varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { if } \ell \text { is even. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Then if $\ell$ is odd, the pair $\left(\Pi, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\Pi_{0}\right)\right)$ of the Dynkin diagram is the type (ii) of Proposition 2.6. And if $\ell$ is even, it is the type (iii) of Proposition 2.6. Moreover

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{\prime}(\Delta(1,0))=-\Delta^{\sigma^{\prime}}(1,1), \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\Delta(0,1))=\Delta^{\sigma^{\prime}}(1,0) \\
\sigma^{\prime}(\Delta(1,1))=-\Delta^{\sigma^{\prime}}(0,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

The metric (4) of Theorem 3.2-(i) is Kähler for the complex structure corresponding to $\sigma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$ by Theorem 3.2-(ii),(iii) and Remark 4.1-(1). On the other hand the metric (2) of Theorem 3.2-(i) is not Kähler for any complex structure on $M$ by Theorem 3.2 and Remark 4.1-(2).

Example 4.5. Let $M=G / K$ be the Kähler C-space of type $E_{6}$ of Proposition 2.6-[II]. Then $M$ has one and only one $G$-invariant complex structure up to equivalent (cf. [5]). The metric (2) of Theorem 3.2 is not Kähler for any complex structure on $M$ by Theorem 3.2 and Remark 4.1-(2). But it is the standard metric of $G / K$, in the sence that it comes
from the negative of Killing form. Now we define automorphisms $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ of $\Delta$ by the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{6}, \sigma\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \sigma\left(\alpha_{3}\right)=\alpha_{5}, \sigma\left(\alpha_{4}\right)=\alpha_{4}, \sigma\left(\alpha_{5}\right)=\alpha_{2} \\
\sigma\left(\alpha_{6}\right)=-\left(\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{3}+3 \alpha_{4}+2 \alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) \\
\sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=-\left(\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{3}+3 \alpha_{4}+2 \alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) \\
\sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{5}, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)=\alpha_{4}, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{5}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{6}\right)=\alpha_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(\Delta(1,0))=\Delta(0,1), \quad \sigma(\Delta(0,1))=-\Delta(1,1) \\
\sigma(\Delta(1,1))=-\Delta(1,0)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{\prime}(\Delta(1,0))=-\Delta(1,1), \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\Delta(0,1))=\Delta(1,0) \\
\sigma^{\prime}(\Delta(1,1))=-\Delta(0,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

We define parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ by the followings:

$$
\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\Pi_{0}\right] \cup[\sigma(\Pi)]^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}+\sum_{\alpha \in\left[\Pi \Pi_{0}\right] \cup\left[\sigma^{\prime}(\Pi)\right]^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}}
$$

where $[\sigma(\Pi)]^{+}$and $\left[\sigma^{\prime}(\Pi)\right]^{+}$are the sets of all positive roots relative to $\sigma(\Pi)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(\Pi)$ respectively. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be the parabolic subgroups of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ respectively, and let $J, J_{\sigma}$ and $J_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ be the $G$-invariant complex structures on $M$ corresponding to the natural complex structures on $G^{\mathbb{C}} / U, G^{\mathbb{C}} / P$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} / P^{\prime}$ respectively (cf. [5]). Let $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ be the $G$-equivariant diffeomorphisms on $M$ defined by $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ respectively. Then $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are biholomorphic maps from $(M, J)$ to $\left(M, J_{\sigma}\right)$ and $\left(M, J_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ respectively. On the other hand, the pairs $\left(\Pi, \Pi_{0}\right),\left(\sigma(\Pi), \Pi_{0}\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{\prime}(\Pi), \Pi_{0}\right)$ of the Dynkin diagrams are all the same. Hence the metrics (3) and (4) of Theorem 3.2 are Kähler metrics on ( $M, J_{\sigma}$ ) and ( $M, J_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ ) respectively by Theorem 3.2 (cf. Remark 4.1(1)).

From above, we get our Main Theorem.
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