



CHAPTER 15

**The  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -problem for extendable currents defined on a half space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , by M. Eramane Bodian, W. Ndiaye and S. Sambou**

**Mamadou Eramane Bodian.** Email : m.bodian2966@zig.univ.sn

**Waly Ndiaye.** Email : walyunivzig@yahoo.fr.

**Salomon Sambou.** Email : ssambou@univ-zig.sn

Université Assane Seck University de Ziguinchor, (SENEGAL).

**Abstract.** We solve the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -problem for extendable currents defined on a half space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\diamond$

**Keywords.** half-space; extensible currents; de Rham's cohomology group .

**AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 32F32.

*Cite the chapter as :*

Bodian E. M., Ndiaye W. and Sambou S.(2018). The  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -problem for extendable currents defined on a half space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

In *A Collection of Papers in Mathematics and Related Sciences, a festschrift in honour*, [275–281](#)

Doi : 10.16929/sbs/2018.100-03-03

©Spas Editions, Saint-Louis - Calgary 2018 H. Seydi *et al.* (Eds.) A Collection of Papers in Mathematics and Related Sciences, a festschrift in honour of the late Galaye Dia. Doi : 10.16929/sbs/2018.100

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we solve the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -problem for extendable currents defined on  $\Omega = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{Im}(z_n) < 0\}$  that is an example of unbounded pseudo-convex domain as well as its complement. The de Rham cohomology group of the boundary  $H^j(b\Omega)$  is trivial for  $1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$ . In this context, we first solve the equation  $dS = T$  where  $T$  is an extendable current defined on  $\Omega$  and we have :

**THEOREM 40.** *The de Rham cohomology group for extendable currents*

$$\check{H}^j(\Omega) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 2n - 1.$$

◇

The domain  $\Omega$  is fat i.e  $\overset{\circ}{\bar{\Omega}} = \Omega$  therefore according to [Martineau \(1996\)](#) the extendable currents defined on  $\Omega$  are topological dual of differential forms with compact support on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . for that we are led to solve the equation  $df = g$  where  $f$  et  $g$  are differential forms with compact support on  $\Omega$  and go to the extendable currents by duality. The first particularity lies on the resolution with prescribed support by the operator  $d$  because if we solve with compact support in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then we can not as in [Bodian et al. \(2017b\)](#) correct by the solution with compact support. We use the results of [Brinkschulte \(2004\)](#) and [Seeley \(2002\)](#) to get a solution with compact support and then as the concave case, we use the same techniques to correct the solutions because the space of differential forms with compact support on  $\bar{\Omega}$  is not a Frechet space but rather an inductive limit of Frechet spaces.

The second particularity compared to [Bodian et al. \(2016\)](#) and [Bodian et al. \(2017b\)](#) lies to resolution of the  $\bar{\partial}$  with prescribed support because  $\Omega$  being the unbounded Levi flat domain, we can not use the techniques of [Sambou \(2002a\)](#). Then we use the results of [Brinkschulte \(2004\)](#) to solve with prescribed support the equation  $\bar{\partial}S = T$  in the unbounded domain  $\Omega$  in order to establish:

**THEOREM 41.** *Let  $\Omega = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{Im}(z_n) < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain, then for all extendable  $(p, q)$ -current  $T$  defined on  $\Omega$  and  $d$ -closed, there is  $S$  an extendable  $(p-1, q-1)$ -current defined on  $\Omega$  such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}S = T$  for  $1 \leq p, q \leq n-1$ .*

### 1.1. Notations.

we note by  $\check{D}_X^p(\Omega)$  the space of  $p$ -currents defined on  $\Omega$  and extendable in  $X$ ,  $D^p(\bar{\Omega})$  the space of smooth differential  $p$ -forms defined in  $X$  with compact

support in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . If  $X$  is a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ , then we note by  $D_X^{p,q}(\Omega)$  the space of extendable  $(p, q)$ -currents defined in  $\Omega$  and  $D^{p,q}(\bar{\Omega})$  the space of differential  $(p, q)$ -forms with compact support in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . We note by  $\check{H}^p(\Omega)$  the de Rham cohomology group for extendable currents defined in  $\Omega$ ,  $\check{H}^{p,q}(\Omega)$  the Dolbeault cohomology group for extendable currents defined in  $\Omega$ .  $H_\infty^p(X)$  is the cohomology group of de Rham for smooth differential  $p$ -forms defined in  $X$ ,  $H_c^p(X)$  is the de Rham cohomology group for smooth differential  $p$ -forms defined in  $X$  with compact support in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and finally  $\Lambda^p(\Omega)$  the space of smooth differential  $p$ -forms in  $\Omega$ .

## 2. Resolution of the equation $dS = T$ for a half space $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

we consider

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{n+1} < 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

a convex domain, its boundary  $b\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$  and the interior of its complement

$$\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \bar{\Omega} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \{x_{n+1} > 0\}.$$

$\Omega$  is convex and unbounded and so is its complement  $\mathfrak{C}$ . So we have  $H^j(\Omega) = 0$  and  $H^j(b\Omega) = 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Then the principal result of this part is :

**Theorem 40.** *The de Rham cohomology group for extendable currents*

$$\check{H}^j(\Omega) = 0 \quad \text{pour } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

For giving the proof we need the following lemma :

LEMMA 28.

$$D^p(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \ker d = d(D^{p-1}(\bar{\Omega}))$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in D^p(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \ker d$ , then there is  $\Omega'$  a ball of center  $z_0$  and radius  $R$  such that for  $f \in D^p(\Omega') \cap \ker d$ ,  $0 < p \leq n$ , there is  $g \in D^{p-1}(\Omega')$  with  $dg = f$ . This implies that  $dg|_B = 0$  where  $B = \Omega' \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \Omega)$ . If  $p = 1$ , then  $g$  is a constant with compact support so  $g = 0$  in  $B$ .

If  $1 < p \leq n$ , then  $g|_B$  is a differential  $(p-1)$ -form  $d$ -closed then it exists a differential smooth  $(p-2)$ -form  $h$  in  $\bar{B}$  such that  $dh = g|_B$ . Let  $\tilde{h}$  a smooth extension with compact support of  $h$  in  $\Omega'$  (we can use the extension operator of Seeley Seeley (2002)),  $u = g - d\tilde{h}$  is a smooth differential  $(p-1)$ -form in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \Omega$  with compact support in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and  $du = f$ .  $\square$

**Proof (Theorem 40).** . According to Martineau Martineau (1996), since  $\overset{\circ}{\bar{\Omega}} = \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$ , the currents defined in  $\Omega$  and extendable in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  are the elements of  $(D^p(\bar{\Omega}))'$  topological dual of smooth differential  $p$ -forms in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with compact support in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . However  $\bar{\Omega}$  being unbounded,  $D^p(\bar{\Omega})$  is an inductive limit of Fréchet spaces.

we consider a compact  $K \subset \bar{\Omega}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $D^p(K)$  the space of  $p$ -forms in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with compact support in  $K$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} L_T^K : d(D^p(\Omega) \cap D^p(K) \cap \ker d) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \bar{\partial}\varphi &\longmapsto \langle T, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

a continuous linear application, and then  $L_T^K$  extend as an continuous linear operator :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_T^K : D^{p+1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap D^{p+1}(K) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}. \text{ It is an extendable current and} \\ d\tilde{L}_T^K &= (-1)^{n-p+1}T \text{ on } \overset{\circ}{K}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider a family  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of compacts set of  $\bar{\Omega}$  then we can find in  $K_n$ , a current  $S_n$  extendable such that  $dS_n = T$  in  $\overset{\circ}{K}_n$  with  $K_n \Subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{n+1}$ .  $S_{n+1} - S_n$  is  $d$ -closed and  $S_{n+1} - S_n = dv_n$  in  $\overset{\circ}{K}_{n+1}$ .

Let  $\chi$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with compact support in  $\overset{\circ}{K}_{n+1}$  such that  $\chi = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $K_n$  contained in  $K_{n+1}$  and

$$S_{n+1} - d(\chi v_n) = S_n + d(1 - \chi)v_n \quad \text{on } \overset{\circ}{K}_n.$$

Let us put  $U_{n+1} = S_{n+1} - d(\chi v_n)$  and  $U_n = S_n + d(1 - \chi)v_n$ .

We have  $dU_{n+1} = dU_n = T$  in  $\overset{\circ}{K}_n$  and  $U_{n+1} = U_n$  in  $K_n$ . We set

$$S = \lim_n U_{n+1}.$$

This is an extendable current in  $\Omega$  and verifies  $dS = T$ .

### 3. Resolution of the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ for extendable currents in a half space of type $\{\text{Im}(z_n) < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$

We give the following fundamental result of  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with prescribed support:

**THEOREM 42.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain and  $f \in D^{p,q}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ . Then it exists  $g \in D^{p,q-1}(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $\bar{\partial}g = f$  ;  $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$ .*

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the result of a resolution of the  $\bar{\partial}$  with prescribed support (Theorem 4.2 in Brinkschulte (2004)). If the support of  $f$  is compact in  $\Omega$ , then we choose pseudo-convex domain  $\Omega'$  in  $\Omega$  which contains the support of  $f$ . According to Theorem 4.2 in Brinkschulte (2004), there is  $g \in D^{p,q-1}(\bar{\Omega}')$  such that  $\bar{\partial}g = f$ .

If now  $\text{supp}(f) \cap b\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , since  $f$  has compact support and  $b\Omega$  is Levi flat, we can find  $K \subset \bar{\Omega}$  a compact with pseudo-convex interior and smooth boundary which contains the support of  $f$ . According to Theorem 4.2 in Brinkschulte (2004), it exists  $h$  a differential  $(p, q - 1)$ -form with support in  $K$  such that  $dh = f$ . We extend  $h$  by 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and we have the desired solution. So for all  $f \in D^{p,q}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ , it exists  $g \in D^{p,q-1}(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $\bar{\partial}g = f$ .  
□

By classical duality (refer theorem 40), we have the following result:

**THEOREM 43.**

*Let  $\Omega = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{Im}(z_n) < 0\}$  and  $T$  be an extendable current of bi-degree  $(p, q)$   $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in  $\Omega$ . Then there is an extendable current  $S$  defined in  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{\partial}S = T$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n$  and  $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$ .*

We are going to establish the following result.

**Theorem 41.** *Let  $\Omega = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \text{Im}(z_n) < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain, then for all extendable  $(p, q)$ -current defined in  $\Omega$  and  $d$ -closed, it exists  $S$  a extendable  $(p - 1, q - 1)$ -current defined in  $\Omega$  such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}S = T$  with  $1 \leq p, q \leq n - 1$ .*

**Proof.**

Let  $T$  a  $(p, q)$ -current,  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and  $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$ ,  $d$ -closed defined in  $\Omega$  and extendable in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $1 \leq p + q \leq 2n - 2$ . Since the theorem 40 assures us that  $\check{H}^{p+q}(\Omega) = 0$ , it exists a extendable current  $\mu$  defined in  $\Omega$  such that  $d\mu = T$ .  $\mu$  is an extendable  $(p + q - 1)$ -current, it breaks down into  $(p - 1, q)$ -current  $\mu_1$  and into  $(p, q - 1)$ -current  $\mu_2$ . We have

$$d\mu = d(\mu_1 + \mu_2) = d\mu_1 + d\mu_2 = T.$$

Since  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ , we have for bi-degree reasons ,  $\partial\mu_2 = 0$  and  $\bar{\partial}\mu_1 = 0$ . We get by theorem 43  $\mu_1 = \partial u_1$  and  $\mu_2 = \bar{\partial} u_2$  where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are extendable currents defined in  $\Omega$ . So we have :

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \partial\mu_2 + \bar{\partial}\mu_1 \\ &= \partial\bar{\partial}u_2 + \bar{\partial}\partial u_1 \\ &= \partial\bar{\partial}(u_2 - u_1) \end{aligned}$$

We set  $S = u_2 - u_1$ , then  $S$  is an extendable  $(p - 1, q - 1)$ -current defined in  $\Omega$  such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}S = T$ .  $\square$

## Bibliography

- E. Bodian, D. Diallo, S. Sambou. *Résolution du  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  pour les courants prolongeables définis sur la boule euclidienne de  $\mathbb{C}^n$* . C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada Vol. 38 (2016), pp. 34–37.
- Bodian E., Diallo D., Sambou S.(2017) *Résolution du  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  pour les courants prolongeables définis sur une variété contractile*. Arxiv : 1707.07964v1.
- Bodian E., Hamidine I., Sambou S.(2017). *Résolution du  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  pour les courants prolongeables définis dans un anneau*. ArXiv:1707.03430v1.
- Brinkschulte J. (2004). *The  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with support conditions on some weakly pseudoconvex domains*. Ark. Mat., 42 (2004), 283-300.
- Godbillon C.(1971) *Éléments de topologie algébrique*. Hermann Paris, 1971.
- Martineau A.(1996) *Distribution et valeurs au bord des fonctions holomorphes*. Strasbourg RCP 25 (1966).
- Sambou S.(2002) *Résolution du  $\bar{\partial}$  pour les courants prolongeables définis dans un anneau*. Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse, 6<sup>e</sup> série, tome 11, n<sup>o</sup> 1, (2002), p. 105-129.
- Sambou S.(2002) *Résolution du  $\bar{\partial}$  pour les courants prolongeables*. Math. Nachrichten, Vol. 235 (2002), pg. 179-190.
- Seeley R.T.(2002) *Extension of  $C^\infty$  functions defined in a half-space*. Proceeding AMS, 15 (1964), pp. 625 - 626.