

**ON THE REGULARITY AND RIGIDITY THEOREMS  
AND PROBLEMS FOR THE SOLUTIONS  
OF SOME CLASS OF THE DEGENERATE  
ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

SONG-YING LI

ABSTRACT. This is a short survey paper based on the topics of the author's research along with his collaborators. Main topics presented in the paper are on the regularity and rigidity theorems for the solutions of the elliptic differential equations. In particular, the author poses several open problems in these topics for further study. The paper contains six sections. Regularity theorems for the elliptic equations of the non-divergence form with rough coefficients are presented in Section 1. In Section 2, we introduce and summarize some recent developments on the rigidity problems and theorems for the solution of some linear degenerate elliptic equations. A typical example is the rigidity problem for the solution of the Dirichlet problem of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with Bergman metric. In Section 3, the rigidity theorems and problems for harmonic maps between two complete non-compact Kähler manifolds are discussed. In Section 4, we summarize the approximation formula for the potential function of the Kähler-Einstein metric. In Section 5, we summarize some rigidity theorems for the degenerate Monge-Ampère equations as well as some characterization theorems for some strictly pseudoconvex pseudo-Hermitian manifolds. Finally, in Section 6, we summarize some recent results on the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operators on Kähler manifolds.

1. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR UNIFORM ELLIPTIC PDES

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $A(x) = [a^{ij}(x)]$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix-valued function on  $\Omega$ . Let

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

Then we say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is elliptic if there are non-negative functions  $\lambda(x)$  and  $\Lambda(x)$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda(x)I_n \leq A(x) \leq \Lambda(x)I_n, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

We say that  $\mathcal{L}_A$  is uniformly elliptic if there are two positive constants  $\lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_0 \leq \lambda(x) \leq \Lambda(x) \leq \Lambda_0, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

We say that  $\mathcal{L}_A$  is degenerate elliptic if  $\mathcal{L}$  is not uniformly elliptic on  $\Omega$ . For

---

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32-XX; Secondary 35A05, 35J87.  
*Key words and phrases.* Degenerate elliptic operators, rigidity theorems.

any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we let  $C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega) = C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  denote the set of all continuous functions  $u$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} = \sup \left\{ |u(x)| + \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\alpha} : x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y \right\} < \infty.$$

For any positive integer  $k$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we let  $C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega) = C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  denote the set of all functions  $u$  having all partial derivatives up to order  $k$  and satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{C^k(\overline{\Omega})} + \sup \left\{ \frac{\sum_{|\beta|=k} |D^\beta u(x) - D^\beta u(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\alpha} : x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y \right\} < \infty,$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad D^\beta u(x) = \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} u}{\partial x^\beta}(x), \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta| = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j.$$

With the above notations, one may prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^{k,\alpha}$  boundary. Then  $(C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega); \|\cdot\|_{C^{k,\alpha}})$  forms a Banach space for any non-negative integer  $k$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .*

**1.1. Existence, uniqueness and regularity.** The following theorem is a well-known result in the elliptic theory of linear PDEs, which can be found in the book of Gilbarg and Trudinger [23] and in the book of Evans [20].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^{k,\alpha}$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a uniformly elliptic operator with  $a^{ij} \in C^{k-2,\alpha}(\Omega)$  and if  $\phi \in C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $f \in C^{k-2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ . Then the Dirichlet boundary value problem:*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_A u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \phi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

- If there are no any smooth assumptions on  $a^{ij}$ , then the boundary value problem for the non-divergence form elliptic equation (1.7) may not have a weak solution because integration by parts may not make sense.
- If  $a^{ij} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ , then (1.7) has a unique weak solution (see [23]). Even if a weaker condition,  $a^{ij} \in VMO(\Omega)$ , (1.7) still has a unique solution (see [12, 13]). S. Byun and L. Wang wrote a series papers on how to weaken the condition on  $a^{ij}$  so that (1.7) has a unique weak solution and provide regularities (see [5, 6, 7]). Here, we only state a theorem by Chiarenza, Frasca and Longo in [12, 13].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^{1,1}$  boundary and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_A$  be the uniform elliptic operator satisfying (1.2) and (1.3) with  $a^{ij} \in VMO(\Omega)$ . Then the boundary value problem (1.7) with  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  has a unique solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and*

$$(1.8) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{n,p,\Omega} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

where  $C_{n,p,\Omega}$  is constant depending only on  $p, n, \Omega, \lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$ .

It is well known that  $u$  may not belong to  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  when  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  when  $p = 1$  and  $\infty$  even if  $a^{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ . Instead, one may replace  $L^\infty$  by  $BMO$  and  $L^1$  by  $H^1$ . The following theorem was proved by Chang and Li in [9].

• A function  $a$  on  $\Omega$  is said to be in  $Dini(\Omega)$  if

$$(1.9) \quad \|a\|_{Dini(\Omega)} = \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(a, t)}{t} dt,$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad \omega(a, t) = \sup\{|a(x) - a(y)| : |x - y| \leq t, x, y \in \Omega\}.$$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_A$  be the uniform elliptic operator satisfying (1.2) and (1.3). Then*

(i) *If  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^{1,1}$  and  $a^{ij} \in Dini(\Omega)$ , then*

$$(1.11) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \mathcal{L}_A^{-1}(f) \right\|_{BMO_r(\Omega)} \leq C_{A,\Omega} \|f\|_{BMO_z(\Omega)}$$

(ii) *If  $\partial\Omega$  is Lipschitz ( $C^{0,1}$ ) and  $a^{ij} \in VMO(\Omega)$ , then*

$$(1.12) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \mathcal{L}_A^{-1}(f) \right\|_{H_r^1(\Omega)} \leq C_{A,\Omega} \|f\|_{H_z^1(\Omega)}$$

where  $BMO_r(\Omega)$  is the restriction of  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on  $\Omega$  and  $BMO_z(\Omega)$  is a subset of  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with zero value on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . One can define  $H_r^1(\Omega)$  and  $H_z^1(\Omega)$  respectively in a similar manner.

• **Uniqueness:** Uniqueness can be obtained by the Maximum Principle.

• **Existence:** Existence can be obtained by the method of continuity plus an *a priori* estimate. We will explain the idea here; details can be found in the book of Gilbarg and Trudinger [23] and references therein.

• **Method of Continuity:**

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  be two densely defined, bounded linear operators from Banach space  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ . Assume that there is a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(1.13) \quad \|u\|_{X_1} \leq C \|((1-t)T_0 + tT_1)u\|_{X_2}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \text{Dom}(T_0) \cap \text{Dom}(T_1)$$

and all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $T_0 : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is onto, then  $T_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is onto.

*Proof.* Write

$$(1.14) \quad T_t = (1-t)T_0 + tT_1.$$

Then

$$\|T_t u\|_{X_2} = \|(1-t)T_0 u + tT_1 u\|_{X_2}.$$

Let  $I = \{t \in [0, 1] : T_t(X_1) = X_2\}$ . Then  $0 \in I$ . It is sufficient to  $1 \in I$ . Assume that  $t_1 \in I$ . Then  $T_{t_1}^{-1} : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$  is bounded, and  $\|T_{t_1}^{-1}\| \leq C$ . Notice that

$$(1.15) \quad T_t = T_{t_1} + (t - t_1)(T_1 - T_0) = T_{t_1}[I + (t - t_1)T_{t_1}^{-1}(T_1 - T_0)].$$

One can easily see that if

$$(1.16) \quad |t - t_1| \|T_{t_1}^{-1}\| (\|T_0\| + \|T_1\|) < 1,$$

then  $t \in I$ . By (1.11), if  $T_t$  is invertible, then  $\|T_t^{-1}\| \leq C$ . After repeating the above process  $N =: [C(\|T_0\| + \|T_1\|) + 1]$  times, one has that  $1 \in I$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

- *A priori* estimate (1.13) for  $T_t$  with  $T_0 = \Delta$  and  $T_1 = \mathcal{L}_A$ .

Let

$$(1.17) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 = \min\{\lambda_0, 1\} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda}_0 = \min\{\Lambda_0, 1\}.$$

Then

$$(1.18) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 I_n \leq t I_n + (1-t)A \leq (t + \Lambda_0(1-t))I_n = \tilde{\Lambda}_0 I_n.$$

Notice the fact that

$$(1.19) \quad t\Delta + (1-t)\mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{tI_n + (1-t)A}.$$

To prove  $t\Delta + (1-t)\mathcal{L}_A$  satisfies *a priori* estimate (1.13), by (1.18) and (1.19), it suffices to prove *a priori* estimate for  $\mathcal{L}_A$  with  $A$  satisfying (1.2) and (1.3).

We will sketch the main idea of the proof of (1.8) as originally proved in [12] and [13] and the idea of the proofs of (1.11) and (1.12) in [9] using integral operators. Without loss of generality, we may only consider the case  $n \geq 3$ .

## 1.2. Potential functions.

- It is well known that the the Newton potential for  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$(1.20) \quad N(x, y) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $\omega_n$  is the area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which means that

$$(1.21) \quad \Delta_x N(x, y) = \delta_y$$

where  $\delta_y$  is the Dirac mass concentrated at  $y$ . Let

$$(1.22) \quad \|x-y\|_{A(w)}^2 =: \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(w)(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with  $[a^{ij}(w)]$  being the inverse matrix of  $A(w)$ .

- When  $A = [a^{ij}(x)]$  is a constant positive definite matrix, then the potential function (Green function) for  $\mathcal{L}_A$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$(1.23) \quad N_A(x, y) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_n \sqrt{\det A}} \|x-y\|_A^{-(n-2)}.$$

This means that

$$(1.24) \quad (\mathcal{L}_A)_x N_A(x, y) = \delta_y, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- When  $A = [a^{ij}(x)]$  is not a constant matrix, then the Green function for  $\mathcal{L}_A$  on  $\Omega$  is much more complicated. However, one may divide the domain

into many small pieces and use constant matrices to approximate  $A(x)$  in each small piece. In order to do this, we will use the following notation:

$$(1.25) \quad \Gamma(w; \xi) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_n \sqrt{\det A(w)}} \|\xi\|_{A(w)}^{-n+2}, \quad w \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$(1.26) \quad \Gamma_i(w; \xi) = \frac{\partial \Gamma(w; \xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \quad \Gamma_{ij}(w; \xi) = \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma(w; \xi)}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

For  $w \in \Omega$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we will use the following notations:

$$(1.27) \quad \Gamma(w; x, y) = \Gamma(w; x - y)$$

and similarly,  $\Gamma_i(w; x, y) = \Gamma_i(w; x - y)$ ,  $\Gamma_{ij}(w; x, y) = \Gamma_{ij}(w; x - y)$ .

• If we write

$$(1.28) \quad \mathcal{L}_{A(x)}u(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

then for  $u \in C_0^2(\Omega)$ , for any fixed  $w \in \Omega$ , one has that

$$(1.29) \quad u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(w; x - y) \mathcal{L}_{A(w)}u(y) dy, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

By applying the Divergence theorem, if one applies  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  to (1.29), one has that

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{ij}(w; x - y) \mathcal{L}_{A(w)}u(y) + \mathcal{L}_{A(w)}u(x) \int_{|t|=1} t_j \Gamma_i(w, t) d\sigma(t). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(1.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A(x)}u(y) &= (\mathcal{L}_{A(x)} - \mathcal{L}_{A(y)})u(y) + \mathcal{L}_{A(y)}u(y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a^{ij}(x) - a^{ij}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + \mathcal{L}_{A(y)}u(y). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $w$  by  $x$  in (1.30), and combining it with (1.31), one has

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x - y) \mathcal{L}_{A(x)}u(y) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}_{A(x)}u(x) \int_{|t|=1} t_j \Gamma_i(x, t) d\sigma(t) \\ &= \text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x - y) \sum_{k,\ell} (a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy \\ &\quad + \text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x - y) \mathcal{L}_{A(y)}u(y) dy \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}_{A(x)}u(x) \int_{|t|=1} t_j \Gamma_i(x, t) d\sigma(t). \end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$(1.33) \quad T_{ij}(f)(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x-y)f(y),$$

then it was verified that  $T_{ij}$  is a standard Calderòn-Zygmund operator (see [12] and [9] for details). Thus,

$$(1.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{p.v.} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x-y) \sum_{k,\ell} (a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy \\ = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n [M_{a^{k\ell}}, T_{ij}] \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y_k \partial y_\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

It has been proved in [17], [43], [2], [16] and references therein that

$$(1.35) \quad \|[M_{a^{k\ell}}, T_{ij}]\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq C_{p,n} \|a_{ij}\|_{BMO(\Omega)}$$

for all  $1 < p < \infty$ . Moreover, it was proved in [9] that

$$(1.36) \quad \|[M_{a^{k\ell}}, T_{ij}]\|_{BMO_z \rightarrow BMO_r} \leq C_{\Omega} \|a_{ij}\|_{LMO(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega} \|a_{ij}\|_{Dini(\Omega)}$$

and

$$(1.37) \quad \|[M_{a^{k\ell}}, T_{ij}]\|_{H_z^1 \rightarrow H_r^1} \leq C_{\Omega} \|a_{ij}\|_{LMO(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega} \|a_{ij}\|_{Dini(\Omega)},$$

where  $B_r(x) =: B(x, r)$  and

$$(1.38) \quad \|a\|_{LMO(\Omega)} =: \sup_{B_r(x) \subset \Omega} \left\{ \frac{|\log |B_r(x)||}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f_{B_r(x)}| dy \right\}.$$

We use a partition of unity: Choose  $\psi_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp}(\psi_k) \subset B(x_k, 2\epsilon)$  and  $\psi_k = 1$  on  $B(x_k, \epsilon)$  and  $0 \leq \psi_k \leq 1$  with  $x_k \in \Omega$  so that

$$(1.39) \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \psi_k = 1, \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Let  $u$  be the unique solution of (1.7). Then

$$(1.40) \quad u = \sum_{k=1}^N u \psi_k, \quad z \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

We separate our argument into the following two cases:

- (i) Interior estimate when  $\text{supp}(\psi_k) \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) Boundary estimate when  $\text{supp}(\psi_k) \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ .

• For the interior estimate: One can apply the formula (1.32) to the function  $u\psi_k$ , then use (1.34)–(1.37) to get an interior estimate.

• For the boundary estimate: One can not apply the formula (1.32) directly to the function  $u\psi_k$ . Instead, one may use the standard technique of flattening the boundary through changes of variables. The operator  $\mathcal{L}_A$  will be changed to  $\mathcal{L}_B + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_B$  remains uniform elliptic. Without loss of generality, one may assume that  $B = A$ ,  $\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < 2\epsilon, x_n > 0\}$  and  $u^k =: u\psi_k = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_k$  with  $x_n \neq 0$ . Let

$$(1.41) \quad T(x, y) = x - \frac{2x_n}{a_{nn}(x)} (a_{n1}(y), \dots, a_{nn}(y)) = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

and

$$(1.42) \quad T_{nj}(x) = \delta_{nj} - \frac{2}{a_{nn}(x)} a_{nj}(x).$$

Without loss of generality, one may also assume that  $u(x', 0) = 0$  for  $\|x'\| < 2\epsilon$ . It was proved in [13] that one has the following formula for  $u^k$  holds:

$$(1.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega_k} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x-y) \sum_{k,\ell} (a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy \\ &+ \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega_k} \Gamma_{ij}(x; x-y) \mathcal{L}_{A(y)} u(y) dy \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{A(x)} u(x) \int_{|\xi|=1} t_j \Gamma_i(x, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) + I_{ij}(u)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.44) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{ij} &= \int_{\Omega_k} \Gamma_{ij}(x; T(x) - y) \sum_{k,\ell} (a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_k} \Gamma_{ij}(x; T(x) - y) \mathcal{L}_{A(y)} u(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.45) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{in} = I_{ni} &= \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}(x; T(x) - y) T_{nj}(x) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k,\ell} (a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_k} \Gamma_{ij}(x; T(x) - y) T_{nj}(x) \mathcal{L}_{A(y)} u(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , and

$$(1.46) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{nn} &= \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}(x; T(x) - y) T_{ni}(x) T_{nj}(x) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k,\ell} (a^{k\ell}(x) - a^{k\ell}(y)) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dy \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_k} \Gamma_{ij}(x; T(x) - y) T_{ni}(x) T_{nj}(x) \mathcal{L}_{A(y)} u(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above formulae (1.35)–(1.37), (1.43)–(1.46), the embedding  $W^{2,p} \subset W^{1,p}$  being compact and interior estimate, one can obtain *a priori* estimates stated in (1.11) and (1.12).

## 2. DEGENERATE LINEAR PDES

There are many kinds of degenerate linear elliptic PDEs, here, we will introduce one which is from complex analysis and complex geometry.

**2.1. Basic knowledge on domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .** First, we introduce some notations and definitions:

• A bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $C^2$  boundary is pseudoconvex (strictly pseudoconvex) if there is a function, called defining function,  $\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$  so that

- (i)  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) < 0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\partial\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) = 0\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\nabla\rho(z) \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$
- (vi)  $L_\rho(z, w) := \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \rho(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} w_i \bar{w}_j \geq 0$  ( $> 0$ ) for all  $w \in H_z(\partial\Omega)$  and  $w \neq 0$ , where

$$(2.1) \quad H_z(\partial\Omega) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho(z)}{\partial z_j} w_j = 0 \right\}.$$

• A real-valued function  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is said to be strictly plurisubharmonic (plurisubharmonic) in  $\Omega$  if the complex Hessian matrix  $H(u)(z)$  is positive definite (positive semi-definite) for all  $z \in \Omega$ , where

$$(2.2) \quad H(u)(z) = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \right]$$

is  $n \times n$  self-adjoint matrix.

The following is a summary for some properties of pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , one may find them in the books of Chen and Shaw [14] and Krantz [40] and (iv) below can be found in [8] and [61].

**Proposition 6.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i) Every bounded domain in complex plane is strictly pseudoconvex;
- (ii) Every convex (strictly convex) domains are pseudoconvex (strictly pseudoconvex);
- (iii) Every smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain  $D$ , there is a defining function  $\rho$  so that  $u = -\log(-\rho)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic ( $H(u)$  is positive definite) on  $D$ .
- (iv) If  $D$  is a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then there is a defining function  $\rho \in C^\infty(\bar{D})$  so that  $H(\rho)(z)$  is positive definite on  $\bar{D}$ .
- (v) For any weakly smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  there is  $\epsilon_D \in (0, 1]$  and function  $\rho \in C^{\epsilon_D}(\bar{D})$  with  $\rho < 0$  on  $D$ ,  $\rho(z) = 0$  on  $\partial D$  and  $H(\rho)(z)$  is positive definite. In fact, we can make  $H(\rho) \geq I_n$ .
- (vi)  $A(0, 1, 2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 < |z| < 2\}$  (with  $n > 1$ ) is not a pseudoconvex domain.

• The following question is a very important in several complex variables.

**Question:** For a given smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , does there exist a smooth plurisubharmonic defining function for  $D$  ?

The above question has been studied by several authors (see the book of Krantz [40] and the book of Chen and Shaw [14]). Well-known Worm domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  constructed by Diederich and Fornæss [19] is a counterexample to the



above question. The Diederich-Fornaess Worm domain is defined as follows:

$$D = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \left| z_1 + e^{i \log |z_2|^2} \right| < 1 - \Phi(\log |z_2|^2) \right\},$$

where  $\Phi \in C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$  vanishes identically on some interval  $[-r, r]$  of positive length. Moreover,  $\Phi$  can be chosen so that  $D$  is pseudoconvex, but  $D$  does not admit a smooth plurisubharmonic defining function.

• A real-valued function  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is said to be a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function for  $\Omega$  if

- 1)  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : u(z) < \infty\}$
- 2)  $\partial\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : u(z) = \infty\}$
- 3) Complex hessian  $H(u)(z) = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \right]$  is positive definite for  $z \in \Omega$ .

Then metric  $g = \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  is a Kähler metric, in many cases, it is also a complete metric on  $\Omega$ .

• Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to the metric  $g$  above is given as:

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_g = \Delta_u := -4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n u^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad [u^{i\bar{j}}]^t = H(u)^{-1}.$$

• We know that  $-\Delta_u$  is elliptic, but, in general, it is not uniformly elliptic on  $\Omega$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $\Omega = B_n$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\rho(z) = |z|^2 - 1$  and

$$(2.4) \quad u(z) = -\log(-\rho(z)) = -\log(1 - |z|^2), \quad z \in B_n.$$

Then

$$(2.5) \quad H(u) = \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} \left[ \delta_{ij} + \frac{\bar{z}_i z_j}{1 - |z|^2} \right], \quad u^{i\bar{j}} = (1 - |z|^2)(\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j).$$

Then  $u$  is strictly plurisubharmonic exhausted function for  $B_n$  and the metric induced by  $u$  is the well-known Bergman metric as well as Kähler-Einstein metric. Moreover,

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_u = -4(1 - |z|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}$$

is a degenerate elliptic operator, the degeneracy for  $\Delta_u$  is on  $\partial\Omega$ .

## 2.2. Dirichlet boundary value problem/homogeneous case.

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_u h(z) = 0, & z \in \Omega \\ h(z) = \phi(z), & z \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

• Question: For  $\phi \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ , what regularity does the solution  $u$  of (2.7) satisfy?

• When  $\Omega = B_n$ , the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the Dirichlet boundary value problem (2.7) becomes:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2 h(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} = 0, & z \in \Omega \\ h(z) = \phi(z), & z \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has the unique solution (see Hua [35], Rudin [77]):

$$(2.9) \quad h(z) = P[\phi](z) = \int_{\partial B_n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n}} \phi(w) d\sigma(w), \quad z \in B_n.$$

- When  $n = 1$ ,  $\Delta_g$  is not degenerate since

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} = 0 \iff \frac{\partial^2 h(z)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = 0.$$

Therefore, the uniform elliptic theory implies that

**Proposition 7.** *If  $n = 1$  and if  $\phi \in C^\infty(\partial B_n)$ , then  $h = P[\phi] \in C^\infty(\bar{B}_n)$ .*

- When  $n > 1$ ,  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}$  is not uniformly elliptic in  $B_n$ . By the results in [24] and [70], one has that

**Proposition 8.** *If  $\phi \in C^\infty(\partial B_n)$  with  $n > 1$ , there are two functions  $f, g \in C^\infty(\bar{B}_n)$  so that the unique solution  $h$  of (2.8) or (2.9) satisfies:*

$$(2.11) \quad h(z) = f(z) + g(z)(1 - |z|^2)^n \log(1 - |z|^2), \quad z \in B_n.$$

**2.3. Rigidity of smooth solutions.** By (2.11) in Proposition 2.3, one has that

- $P[\phi] \in C^{n-\epsilon}(\bar{B}_n)$  if  $\phi \in C^n(\partial B_n)$ .

An example given by Garnett and Krantz [41] and by Graham [24] shows that

- $P[\phi] \notin C^2(\bar{B}_2)$  if even  $\phi(z) = |z_1|^2$  is smooth on  $\partial B_2$ .

• **Question:** Assume  $\phi \in C^\infty(\partial B_n)$  so that  $P[\phi] \in C^\infty(\bar{B}_n)$ . What can one say about  $\phi$ ?

- R. C. Graham [24] gave a perfect answer of the above question. He proved the following celebrated theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *If  $P[\phi](z) \in C^\infty(\bar{B}_n)$ , then  $P[\phi](z)$  must be pluriharmonic, real part of holomorphic function in  $B_n$ .*

- When  $D$  is polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the following theorem was proved by Li and Simon in [67].

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $D = D(0, 1)^n$  be the unit polydisc and the subharmonic defining function for  $D(0, 1)$  be  $\rho_j(z_j) \in C^\infty(\overline{D(0, 1)})$ . Let*

$$u(z) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \log(-\rho_j(z_j))$$

*introduce the Kähler metric  $u$ . If  $h \in C(\overline{D(0, 1)^n})$  is  $u$ -harmonic, then  $h(z)$  must be harmonic in each variable  $z_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .*

Furthermore, one may ask the following questions:

- **Question 1)** *Does the above theorem of Graham hold for  $\Delta_u$  in  $B_n$  with more general potential function  $u$ ?*

- 2) *Does it hold for more general strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ?*

• The questions were studied by C. R. Graham and J. Lee in [32]. They studied the problem on a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with some symmetry (invariant under one-parameter group) as well as the potential satisfies similar symmetry. They proved the above theorem of Graham holds on those special domains. In particular, they consider the rotation symmetric defining function  $\rho(z) = \psi(|z|^2)$  for the unit ball  $B_n$ . Then  $u(z) = -\log(-\psi(|z|^2))$  is strictly plurisubharmonic on  $B_n$  if and only if

$$(GL) \quad \psi \in C^\infty[0, 1], \quad \psi(1) = 0, \quad \psi'(t) > 0 \quad (-\psi)\psi'' + (\psi')^2 > 0 \text{ on } [0, 1].$$

Let  $u$  be the Kähler metric induced by  $u = -\log(-\psi(|z|^2))$  satisfying (GL). Then the following theorem was proved by Graham and Lee in [32]

**Theorem 11.** *If  $u = -\log(-\psi(|z|^2))$  and  $\psi$  satisfying (GL), then*

(i) *For  $n = 2$ , if  $h \in C^\infty(\overline{B}_2)$  and  $\Delta_u h = 0$  in  $B_2$ , then  $h(z)$  must be pluriharmonic.*

(ii) *For any  $n > 2$ , there is a  $\psi$  satisfying (GL) and  $u(z) = -\log(-\psi(|z|^2))$ , and there is a  $h \in C^\infty(\overline{B}_n)$  with  $\Delta_u h = 0$  in  $B_n$ , but  $h(z)$  is not pluriharmonic in  $B_n$ .*

• In order to understand the above theorem of Graham and Lee better, a joint work by the author and D. Wei in [70], we obtained the following results:

1) We give a formula to construct  $\rho = \psi(|z|^2)$  satisfying (GL).

**Proposition 12.** *If  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  satisfies (GL), then there is negative function  $B_0 \in C^\infty([0, 1])$  so that*

$$(LW) \quad \psi(t) = \psi(0) \exp \left[ \frac{\psi'(0)}{\psi(0)} \int_0^t \exp \left( \int_0^{t_1} \frac{B_0(s)}{s-1} ds \right) dt_1 \right].$$

**Remark:** If  $-\psi(0) = \psi'(0) = -B_0(s) = 1$ , (LW) gives  $\psi(t) = t - 1$ .

2) We give a characterization on  $\rho(z) = \psi(|z|^2)$  when the theorem of Graham type holds; when it fails. Let  $u$  be the metric induced by the plurisubharmonic function  $u = -\log(-\psi(|z|^2))$ , where  $\psi$  is given by (LW). We also assume that  $\psi(t)$  is real analytic at  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ . The following theorem was proved in [70].

**Theorem 13.** *For  $n > 1$ , if  $h \in C^n(\overline{B}_n) \cap C^\infty(\partial B_n)$  is  $u$ -harmonic in  $B_n$ , then  $h \in C^\infty(\overline{B}_n)$ .*

• By the example of Graham and Lee, in general  $h$  is not pluriharmonic for all  $u = -\log(-\rho)$  with  $\rho$  satisfying (LW).

• We will formulate a necessary and sufficient condition to guarantee the theorem of Graham type holds.

For any negative function  $B_0 \in C^\infty([0, 1])$ , which is real analytic at  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ , after a normalization, we may write

$$(LW1) \quad B_0(t) = -1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j (t-1)^j.$$

- In [70], the author and Wei were able to formulate a condition  $Q_n$  such that coefficients  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-2} \in Q_n$  if and only if that  $h(z) \in C^n(\overline{B}_n)$  and  $\Delta_u h(z) = 0$  on  $B_n$  imply  $h(z)$  is pluriharmonic in  $B_n$ .
- The statement of our theorem for general  $n$  is a little bit complicated. It is very clean when  $n = 3$ .

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $Q_3 = \{-\frac{1}{2}(1+p)(1+q) : p, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $b_1 \notin Q_3$  if and only that for any  $h \in C^3(\overline{B}_3)$  is  $u$ -harmonic in  $B_3$  implies  $h$  is plurisubharmonic in  $B_3$ .*

- Let  $b_0 = -1$ . For  $n \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 1$ , one has the following recursively relations [70].

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(RF)} \quad & (n-k-2)g_{k+2} \\
 &= \left[ p+q+k+(n-1)b_1(k+1)+pq \right] \frac{g_{k+1}}{k+2} \\
 &+ \sum_{j=2}^k \left[ (n-1)j(b_{k+2-j}+b_{k+1-j})-jpb_{k+1-j} \right] \frac{g_j}{k+2} \\
 &+ b_k \frac{(n-1-pq)}{(k+2)(n-1)} pq
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad g_2 = \frac{(1+p+q+pq)}{2(n-2)(n-1)} pq, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Let  $Q_n$  be the set of  $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-2})$  so that the right hand side of (RF) is zero where  $n = k+2$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are any positive integers. This set is uniquely determined by (RF). The following theorem was proved by the author and Wei in [70].

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $B_0 \in C^n([0, 1])$  be negative with  $b_0 = -1$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}) \notin Q_n$ ;
- (ii) *If  $h \in C^n(\overline{B}_n)$  is  $u$ -harmonic in  $B_n$  then  $h$  is pluriharmonic in  $B_n$ .*

**Remark:** Question about whether one has Graham type's theorem for domain  $D$  without symmetry. A natural example for such domain  $D$  is the following convex domain

$$(2.13) \quad D(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) = |z|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j z_j^2 - 1 < 0\} \quad A_j \in (-1, 1).$$

The boundary of  $D(A)$  is real ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Kähler metric induced by  $u = -\log(-\rho)$  is complete without any symmetry. It is natural to ask: Does the Graham type theorem hold for  $(D(A), \Delta_u)$ ? This problem is still open. In [85], Wei proved some partial result on this problem.

## 3. RIGIDITY THEOREM AND PROBLEMS FOR HARMONIC MAPS

Let  $M^m$  and  $N^n$  be two Kähler manifolds with Kähler metrics  $h = h_{i\bar{j}}dz_i d\bar{z}_j$  and  $g = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dw^\alpha d\bar{w}^\beta$ , respectively. Let  $u : M \rightarrow N$  be a map from  $M$  to  $N$ . When  $M$  and  $N$  are compact, we say that  $u$  is harmonic if  $u$  minimizes the the energy functional

$$(3.1) \quad E[u] =: \int_M e[u] dv_h,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad e[u](z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n h^{i\bar{j}} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} (\partial_i u^\alpha \bar{\partial}_j u^\beta + \partial_{\bar{j}} u^\alpha \bar{\partial}_i u^\beta).$$

Let  $\Gamma_{t\gamma}^s$  be the Christoffel symbols of the Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $N$ , and let  $u = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n) : M \rightarrow N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a map. We can define harmonic map in local coordinates as follows which works if  $M$  is not compact:

(a) We say that  $u$  is *harmonic* if the tension field

$$(3.3) \quad \tau^s[u] = \Delta_M u^s + \sum_{t,\gamma=1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^m \Gamma_{t\gamma}^s h^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i u^t \bar{\partial}_j u^\gamma = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq n$$

where  $\Delta_M = h^{i\bar{j}} \partial_{i\bar{j}}^2$  and  $(h^{i\bar{j}})$  is the inverse matrix of the matrix  $(h_{i\bar{j}})$ .

(b) We say that  $u$  is *pluriharmonic* if

$$(3.4) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} u^s + \sum_{t,\gamma} \Gamma_{t\gamma}^s \partial u^t \bar{\partial} u^\gamma = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq n.$$

(c) We say that  $u$  is *holomorphic*. if  $\bar{\partial} u^s = 0$  for  $1 \leq s \leq n$ .

• **Implication relations.** Since  $N$  is Kähler, it is well-known that any pluriharmonic maps are harmonic, and any holomorphic or anti-holomorphic maps are pluriharmonic.

(d) We say that Kähler manifold  $(N, g)$  has strongly negative (semi-negative) curvature in the sense of Siu if curvature tension  $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}$  satisfy the following condition:

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} \xi_{i\bar{j}} \bar{\xi}_{k\bar{\ell}} > 0 \quad (\text{respectively } \geq 0)$$

for any nonzero matrix  $(\xi_{i\bar{j}})$  of the form:

$$(3.6) \quad \xi_{i\bar{j}} = A_i \bar{B}_j - C_i \bar{D}_j.$$

• **Strong rigidity theorem of Siu:** When both  $M$  and  $N$  are compact, Siu [79] gave the following celebrated strong rigidity theorem on harmonic map.

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $(M^m, h)$  and  $(N^n, g)$  be two compact Kähler manifolds with  $(N, g)$  having strongly negative curvature in the sense of Siu. Then any harmonic map  $u : M \rightarrow N$  with the rank of  $du$  at one point being greater than or equal to four must be holomorphic or antiholomorphic.*

- Note that the last condition excludes the case of complex dimension one when the theorem is obviously false.
- The key of the proof of Siu's super rigidity theorem is his  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Bochner formula:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial}(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}u_{\bar{i}}^{\alpha}u_j^{\beta}d\bar{z}_i \wedge dz_j) &= R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}u_{\bar{i}}^{\alpha}u_j^{\beta}u_k^{\gamma}u_{\bar{\ell}}^{\delta}d\bar{z}_i \wedge dz_j \wedge dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_{\ell} \\ &\quad - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}D\bar{\partial}u^{\alpha} \wedge \bar{D}\partial\bar{u}^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

• **Idea of Siu's proof:** When  $M$  is a compact manifold, the integration of the left hand side, after wedging a  $(m-2)$  power of the Kähler form, is zero from integration by parts. It was shown in [79], that both terms of the right hand side are non-negative when  $u$  is a harmonic map and the curvature of  $N$  is strongly negative, and therefore they are pointwise zero. This fact coupling with the the rank assumption on  $du$  shows that  $u$  must be holomorphic or antiholomorphic (cf. [79]).

• **General question:** A general question one may ask is when a harmonic map  $u$  is holomorphic or antiholomorphic if  $N$  is Kähler with strongly negative curvature.

When  $M$  is a complete (noncompact) manifold,  $N$  is strongly negative is not enough guarantee the harmonic map becomes holomorphic or antiholomorphic. Let us see a simple example. Let  $N = B^n$  the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $g$  is Bergman or Kähler-Einstein metric

$$(3.8) \quad g = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \log(1-|z|^2)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[ \frac{\delta_{ij}}{1-|z|^2} + \frac{\bar{z}_i z_j}{(1-|z|^2)^2} \right] dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$$

Then curvature tensor for  $(N, g)$  is:

$$(3.9) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} = (g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{\ell}} + g_{k\bar{j}}g_{i\bar{\ell}}).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.10) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}\xi_{i\bar{j}}\bar{\xi}_{k\bar{\ell}} = \left| \sum_{i\bar{j}} \xi_{i\bar{j}} \right|^2 + g_{i\bar{\ell}}g_{k\bar{j}}\xi_{i\bar{j}}\bar{\xi}_{k\bar{\ell}} > 0 \text{ if } [\xi_{i\bar{j}}] \neq 0.$$

and the Christoffel's symbols for  $(N, g)$  is:

$$(3.11) \quad \Gamma_{t\gamma}^s[w] = (1-|w|^2)^{-1}(\bar{w}^{\gamma}\delta_{ts} + \bar{w}^t\delta_{\gamma s}).$$

Therefore, the boundary value problem:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \tau^{\alpha}[u] = \Delta_M u^{\alpha} + \sum_{i,j=1}^m h^{i\bar{j}} \sum_{t,\gamma=1}^n \frac{(\bar{w}^{\gamma}\delta_{ts} + \bar{w}^t\delta_{\gamma s})\partial_i u^t \bar{\partial}_j u^{\gamma}}{(1-|u|^2)} = 0, & \text{if } z \in D \\ u = \phi(z), & \text{if } z \in \partial D \end{cases}$$

with  $\phi : \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $|\phi(z)| \leq c_0 < 1$  on  $\partial D$  always has a solution if  $\Delta_M$  is uniform elliptic. Such  $u$  can not be either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic if  $\phi$  is not a boundary value of a holomorphic map or anti-holomorphic map.

With some extra condition, the following natural question was studied by the author and Ni [64].

**Problem 1.** Let  $h, g$  denote the Bergman metrics on  $B_m$  and  $B_n$ , respectively; and let  $u : (B_m, h) \rightarrow (B_n, g)$  be a proper harmonic map so that  $u$  can

be extended to  $C^1$  map up to the boundary  $\partial B_m$ . Is  $u$  either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic?

A closely related problem of Problem 1 is the existence and regularity of proper harmonic maps, namely

**Problem 2.** Let  $\phi : \partial B_m \rightarrow \partial B_n$  be a smooth map. Does there exist a proper harmonic map  $u$  so that  $u = \phi$  on  $\partial B_m$ ? If such harmonic map  $u$  exists what can one say about the regularity of  $u$ ?

For the real hyperbolic space, Peter Li and L. F. Tam initiated the systematic study of the existence, uniqueness and regularity of proper harmonic maps from the unit ball  $D^m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $D^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the hyperbolic metrics (cf. [48, 49, 50]). In [48, 49], among other things, they proved that if  $\phi : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  is a  $C^1$  map with energy density  $e(\phi)(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in S^{m-1}$  (here  $e(\phi)$  is defined with respect to the standard metrics on  $S^{2m-1}$  and  $S^{2n-1}$ ) then there is a unique proper harmonic map extension  $u : D^m \rightarrow D^n$  with boundary value  $\phi$ . Moreover, if  $\phi \in C^m(S^{m-1}, S^{n-1})$  then  $u \in C^{m-1, \alpha}(\overline{D}^m, \overline{D}^n)$  for any  $\alpha < 1$ . They also proved that if  $e(\phi) \neq 0$  on  $S^{m-1}$  then the energy density  $e[u]$  of the harmonic map  $u$  with respect to the hyperbolic metric satisfying

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow S^{m-1}} e[u](x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow S^{m-1}} h^{ij} g_{k\ell} \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u^\ell}{\partial x_j}(x) = m, \quad x \in S^{m-1}.$$

where  $h = h_{ij} dx_i dx_j$  is the hyperbolic metric for  $D^m$  and  $g = g_{ij} dy_i dy_j$  is the hyperbolic metric for  $D^n$ , and  $(h^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of  $(h_{ij})$ .

For the complex case when the domain and target manifolds are rank one symmetric space of noncompact type, the problem was first studied by H. Donnelly [18]. He generalized the above existence and uniqueness results of Li-Tam to the setting with some necessary contact conditions on the boundary map  $\phi$ .

When  $e(\phi)$  vanishes on  $S^{m-1}$ , the existence of a proper harmonic extension becomes less tractable, partial progress was made by J. Wang [84], where he proved the existence under the assumption that  $e(\phi)$  has finitely many zeros on  $S^{m-1}$  and  $\phi$  is locally rotationally symmetric around those points.

The answer with lower regularity solution to Problem 1 is negative. The example was constructed by the author and Ni in [64].

**Theorem 17.** For any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there is a proper harmonic map  $u \in C^{2-\epsilon}(\overline{B}_2)$  from  $B_2$  to  $B_3$  with respect to the Bergman metric, which is neither holomorphic nor anti-holomorphic.

This theorem tells us, in general, a proper harmonic map is not necessarily holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. It is natural to find out what are the necessary and sufficient conditions under which the proper harmonic map is holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. The following definition was given in [64]:

•(e) We say that a map  $u : B_m \rightarrow B_n$  is  $k$ -harmonic with respect to the origin 0 if the restriction of  $u$  is harmonic on the intersection of  $B_m$  and to any  $k$ -dimensional complex linear subspace through the origin.

• **Remark:** It is clear that a map is harmonic if and only if it is  $m$ -harmonic with respect to the origin, and pluriharmonic map are  $k$ -harmonic with respect to the origin for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

The following theorem was proved in [64].

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $u \in C^2(\overline{B}_m, \overline{B}_n)$  ( $m > 1$ ) be a proper map from  $B_m$  to  $B_n$  with respect to the Bergman metrics. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $u$  is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic;
- (ii)  $(m - 1)$ -harmonic with respect to the origin;
- (iii)  $u$  is harmonic; and  $\mathcal{L}u$  is orthogonal to  $u$  on  $\partial B_m$  where  $\mathcal{L} = (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}$ ;
- (iv)  $u$  is harmonic and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} e[u](rz) = m \quad \text{on} \quad \{z \in \partial B_m : E_b[u] = |\bar{\partial}_b \bar{u}(z)|^2 + |\bar{\partial}_b u(z)|^2 \neq 0\},$$

where the energy density  $e[u]$  is given by

$$e[u](z) = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)}{(1 - |u(z)|^2)^2} (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) (\delta_{\alpha\beta} (1 - |u|^2) + \bar{u}^\alpha u^\beta) \\ \times (\partial_i u^\alpha \bar{\partial}_j u^\beta + \partial_{\bar{j}} u^\alpha \bar{\partial}_i u^\beta).$$

Here we sum  $i, j$  from 1 to  $m$ , and sum  $\alpha, \beta$  from 1 to  $n$ .

Combining Theorem 3.3 and a recent work of X. Huang [31] on the rigidity of proper holomorphic maps we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 19.** *Let  $u \in C^2(\overline{B}_m, \overline{B}_n)$  ( $m > 1$ ) be a proper  $(m - 1)$ -harmonic map with respect to the origin from  $B_m \rightarrow B_n$  with respect to the Bergman metric with  $n \leq 2m - 2$ . Then there are  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(B_m)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$  such that either  $\psi \circ u \circ \phi$  or  $\psi \circ \bar{u} \circ \phi$  is a holomorphic linear map.*

Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be two smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , respectively. Let  $\rho$  is smooth strictly plurisubharmonic defining function for  $\Omega_1$  and  $r$  for  $\Omega_2$ . Let

$$h = -\frac{\partial^2 \log(-\rho)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j, \quad g = -\frac{\partial^2 \log(-r)}{\partial w^i \partial \bar{w}^j} dw^i \otimes d\bar{w}^j$$

• The rigidity problem for proper harmonic map from  $u : (\Omega_1, h) \rightarrow (\Omega_2, g)$  was studied by the author and Simon [68]. They generalize Theorem 3.3 in this setting.

With arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.3 and Fefferman's asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel function of a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (cf. [21]) and the result in [68], one has the following corollary in [68].

**Corollary 20.** *Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  ( $m > 1$ ) and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , respectively. Let  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_1, \overline{\Omega}_2)$  be a proper  $(m - 1)$ -harmonic map with respect to the origin from  $\Omega_1$  to  $\Omega_2$  with respect to the Bergman metrics on  $\Omega_1$  and on  $\Omega_2$ . Then  $u$  is either holomorphic or antiholomorphic.*



• **Open Questions**

One can see that if  $u : (B_m, h) \rightarrow (B_n, g)$  is a harmonic map with  $h$  is Bergman or Kähler-Einstein metric, then  $u$  satisfies a system of  $n$  semi-linear degenerate partial differential equations and we know solution of (3.12) can be up to  $C^{m-\epsilon}(\overline{B}_m)$  with some necessary boundary condition on  $\phi$  [64]. The natural question arose as follows:

**QUESTION.** *Let  $h, g$  denote the Bergman metrics on  $B_m$  and  $B_n$ , respectively; and let  $u : (B_m, h) \rightarrow (B_n, g)$  be a harmonic map so that  $u \in C^n(\overline{B}_m)$  with  $m > 1$ . Is  $u$  either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic?*

4. KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRIC/MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS

Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $u \in C^2(D)$  be a strictly plurisubharmonic function on  $D$ . Let

$$(4.1) \quad u_{i\bar{j}}(z) = \frac{\partial^2 u(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  defines a Kähler metric on  $D$  since

$$(4.2) \quad d\omega = d \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j = 0.$$

**Fact 1:** If  $g = g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  is a Kähler metric, then the Ricci curvature for  $g$  is

$$(4.3) \quad R_{k\bar{\ell}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_\ell} \log \det[g_{i\bar{j}}].$$

After a normalization, we have the following definition:

**Definition 21.** *We say that Kähler metric  $g = g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  is Einstein metric if  $R_{k\bar{\ell}} = -(n+1)g_{k\bar{\ell}}$ .*

**Fact 2:** If  $u$  is a strictly plurisubharmonic solution of the Monge-Ampère equation:

$$(4.4) \quad \det H(u)(z) = e^{(n+1)u}, \quad z \in D$$

then the metric  $u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  defines a Kähler-Einstein metric.

The following theorem was proved by Cheng and Yau (1980) in [15].

**Theorem 22.** *Let  $D$  be a smoothly bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then*

(i) *If  $D$  is pseudoconvex, the Einstein equation (4.4) with boundary condition:*

$$(4.5) \quad u = +\infty \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

*has a unique plurisubharmonic solution on  $D$ , and metric  $u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  defines a complete metric on  $D$*

(ii) *If  $D$  is strictly pseudoconvex, then  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u(z)} \in C^{n+3/2}(\overline{D})$*

**Remark:** The smoothness assumption in Part (i) of the theorem of Cheng and Yau has been replaced by a very weak condition by Mok and Yau [74]. Part (ii) of the theorem of Cheng and Yau has been sharpened by J. Lee and Melrose in [46]. They gave the following asymptotic expansion theorem on  $\rho$ .

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $D$  be a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $u$  be the plurisubharmonic solution of (4.4) and (4.5), and let  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u}$ . Then for given a defining function  $\rho_0(z) \in C^\infty(\overline{D})$  of  $D$ , there are functions  $a_j \in C^\infty(\overline{D})$  such that*

$$(4.6) \quad \rho(z) = \rho_0(z) \left[ a_0(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j(z) [\rho_0^{(n+1)} \log(-\rho_0(z))]^j \right],$$

where  $a_0(z) > 0$  on  $\partial D$ . In particular, one has  $\rho \in C^{n+2-\epsilon}(\overline{D})$ .

• **Approximating  $\rho$ .** Question about how approximate the solution  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u}$  was first studied by C. Fefferman in [22] who studied the following fully non-linear operator:

$$(4.7) \quad J[\rho](z) = -\det \begin{bmatrix} \rho & \overline{\partial}\rho \\ (\overline{\partial}\rho)^* & H(\rho) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{\partial}\rho(z) = \left[ \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_n} \right].$$

The relation between  $J[\rho]$  and  $\det H(u)$  was given by Cheng and Yau [15] when  $J[\rho] = 1$ , the general case was given in [58], which can be stated as the following theorem.

**Proposition 24.** *If  $\rho(z) = -\exp(u(z))$ , then*

$$(4.8) \quad \det H(u) = J[\rho] e^{(n+1)u}$$

Therefore, one has that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \det H(u) = e^{(n+1)u} \text{ in } D \\ u = +\infty \text{ on } \partial D. \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \det J[\rho] = 1 \text{ in } D \\ \rho = 0 \text{ on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

In (4.6), one can write

$$(4.10) \quad a_0(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{0j}(z) \rho_0(z)^j$$

Question about how to compute  $a_{0,j}$  in (4.10) in terms of  $\rho_0$  explicitly has been studied by C. Fefferman [22] and R. Graham [25] and others. Graham [25] provided an iteration formula to evaluate  $a_{0,j}$ . An alternative formula for  $a_{0,j}$  or approximation for  $\rho$  in terms of  $\rho_0$  was given by the author [63] as follows.

**Theorem 25.** *Let  $r(z)$  be a smooth negative defining function for  $D$  so that  $\ell(\rho) := -\log(-r(z))$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$ . Let*

$$(4.11) \quad \rho_0(z) = r(z), \quad \rho_{j+1}(z) = \rho_j(z) J(\rho_j)^{-1/(n+1)} e^{-B_j}$$

with

$$(4.12) \quad B_j(z) = \frac{\text{tr}(H(\ell(\rho_j))^{-1} H(\log J(\rho_j)))}{(j+2)(n-j)(n+1)}.$$

Then

$$(4.13) \quad J(\rho_{j+1})(z) = 1 + O(\delta(z)^{j+2}), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad \delta(z) = \text{dist}(z, \partial D), \quad a_0(z) = \frac{\rho_n(z)}{\rho_0(z)}$$

Moreover, if

$$(4.15) \quad B_n = \frac{\text{tr}(H(\ell(\rho_n))^{-1}H(\log J(\rho_n)))}{(n+2)(n+1)}\ell(\rho_n(z))$$

then

$$(4.16) \quad J(\rho_{n+1}) = 1 + O(\delta(z)^{n+2} \log \delta(z)).$$

• **Condition on Ricci lower bound:** By (4.3), (4.4) and Proposition 4.4, one has the following corollary.

**Corollary 26.** *Let  $u$  be strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$  and  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u}$ . Let  $g = \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  be the Kähler metric induced by  $u$ . Then there is a relation between the Ricci curvature  $R_{i\bar{j}}$  and plurisubharmonicity of  $-\log J[\rho]$  as follows:*

$$(4.17) \quad R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}} \text{ in } D \iff -\log J[\rho] \text{ is plurisubharmonic in } D.$$

• **Plurisubharmonicity for  $\rho(z)$ .**

Let  $D$  be a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $u$  be the plurisubharmonic potential function for Kähler-Einstein metric (the solution of (4.9)). Let  $\rho(z) = \rho_D(z) =: -e^{-u(z)}$ .

**Question.** What pseudoconvex domain  $D$  has plurisubharmonic  $\rho_D(z)$ ?

• A simple example is  $D = B_n$ , the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $u(z) = -\log(1-|z|^2)$  and  $\rho(z) = |z|^2 - 1$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $B_n$ .

• The result was proved by the author in [63].

**Theorem 27.** *If  $D(A)$  is domain defined by (2.13) whose boundary is real ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u(z)}$  with  $u$  is the potential function for Kähler-Einstein metric on  $D(A)$ . Then  $\rho(z)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic on  $D(A)$ .*

Since  $u$  is the plurisubharmonic solution of (4.9), one can easily see that  $\rho(z)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$  if and only if  $\det H(\rho)(z) > 0$  on  $D$ . The following theorem was proved in [63] and in [70] which is helpful to verify if  $\det H(\rho) > 0$  on  $D$ .

**Theorem 28.** *Let  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u(z)}$  with  $u$  is the potential function for Kähler-Einstein metric on  $D$ . Then  $\det H(\rho)(z)$  attains its minimum over  $\bar{D}$  at some point in  $\partial D$ .*

• **Ricci-flat Kähler metric.** By (4.3), one can easily see that if  $u$  is strictly plurisubharmonic solution of

$$(4.18) \quad \det H(u) = e^{f(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

with  $f$  is plurisubharmonic, then the Ricci curvature for the Kähler metric

$$(4.19) \quad g = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \log(-\rho)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$$

is flat. In particular, when  $f = 0$ , one has

$$(4.20) \quad \det H[u] \equiv 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

It is easy to verify that if

$$(4.21) \quad u(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{i\bar{j}} z_i \bar{z}_j + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_j z_j + \bar{b}_j \bar{z}_j) + c$$

with  $[c_{i\bar{j}}]$  is positive definite matrix and  $\det[c_{i\bar{j}}] = 1$ , then  $u$  is a solution of (2.20). Thus, a natural open question arises:

**Problem** Under what geometric condition, any plurisubharmonic solution  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$  of  $\det H(u) \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a quadratic form (4.21).

• Without a strong geometric condition on  $u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$ , the answer of the above problem is negative even if  $u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  is complete.

• This problem related to the Jacobian conjecture:

**Jacobian Conjecture:** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be a holomorphic polynomial map so that  $\det \psi'(z) \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $\psi$  is one-to-one and onto.

• We know there are a counter example if we replace polynomial by entire holomorphic map (see the book of Rudin [77]).

**EXAMPLE 2.** *There is a holomorphic map  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  such that*

- (i)  $\phi(\mathbb{C}^2) \neq \mathbb{C}^2$ ;
- (ii)  $\det \phi'(z) \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Let  $u(z) = |\phi(z)|^2$ . Then

$$\det H(u)(z) = |\det \phi'(z)|^2 = 1$$

But  $u$  is not a quadratic polynomial. This means that one must assume  $g$  is complete metric or much stronger condition on  $g$  so that the solution of (4.20) can be quadratic. In general, this problem is widely open. Moreover, Jacobian conjecture is also widely open.

## 5. DEGENERATE MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION/RIGIDITY

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . If  $M$  is a pseudoconvex domain given by a  $C^\infty$  positive defining function  $\tau$  defined on  $M$ , i.e.  $\tau : M \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is onto and  $\tau \in C^\infty(M)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $M$ . The following surprising result was proved by W. Stoll in [80] and D. Burns in [3] and P.-M. Wong [87].

**Theorem 29.** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\tau : M \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic onto map. If*

$$(5.1) \quad \det H(\log \tau) = 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in M \text{ with } \tau(z) \neq 0.$$

*Then  $M$  is biholomorphic to  $B_n$ .*

There is another way to write Theorem 5.1 by using the Fefferman operator and Monge-Ampère operator which was given by the author in [59].

**Corollary 30.** *Let  $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$  be a negative finite strictly plurisubharmonic defining function for  $M$ . If*

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\det H(\rho)}{J(\rho)} = \text{constant on } M.$$

*Then  $M$  is biholomorphic to the ball  $B(0, m)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where*

$$(5.3) \quad m = \max\{-\rho(z) : z \in M\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\rho$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $M$ , we have  $J(\rho) > 0$  on  $M$ . Let  $z_0 \in M$  be such that  $m = -\rho(z_0)$ . Then  $\partial\rho(z_0) = 0$  and

$$(5.4) \quad J(\rho)(z_0) = m \det H(\rho)(z_0).$$

Let

$$(5.5) \quad \tau(z) = \rho(z) + m.$$

Then  $\tau : M \rightarrow [0, m)$  is smooth, onto and strictly plurisubharmonic. Since

$$(5.6) \quad |\partial\tau|_\tau^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tau^{i\bar{j}} \tau_i \tau_{\bar{j}} = |\partial\rho|_\rho^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \det H(\rho) = \det H(\tau),$$

we have

$$(5.7) \quad J[\tau] = -\det H(\tau)[\tau - |\partial\tau|_\tau^2] = -m \det H(\rho) + J(\rho).$$

Notice that

$$(5.8) \quad J(\tau) = -\tau^{n+1} \det H(\log \tau),$$

one has

$$(5.9) \quad J(\tau) = 0 \iff \det H(\log \tau) = 0 \quad M \setminus \tau^{-1}(0).$$

Therefore,

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{\det H(\rho)}{J(\rho)} \equiv \text{constant on } M \iff J(\tau) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

This completes the proof of the corollary by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

• Based on the related problems in Pseudo-Hermitian CR geometry, one may ask the following question: Does the condition (5.2) in Corollary 5.2 can be replaced by a weaker condition  $\det H(\rho)/J(\rho) = \text{constant}$  on  $\partial M$ ? The problem has been studied by the author in [59]. The following theorem was proved there.

**Theorem 31.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a defining function  $\rho \in C^3(\overline{D}) \cap C^\infty(D)$  such that  $u(z) = -\log(-\rho(z))$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$ . Let  $g = u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  be the Kähler metric induced by  $u$ . If the Ricci curvature has the lower bound:*

$$(5.11) \quad R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$$

and if

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{\det H(\rho)}{J(\rho)} \equiv \text{constant on } \partial D,$$

then

$$(5.13) \quad \frac{\det H(\rho)}{J(\rho)} \equiv \text{constant on } D.$$

In particular, combining the above and a theorem in [58], we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 32.** *Let  $D$  be a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $u$  be the potential function for Kähler-Einstein metric for  $D$  and let  $\rho(z) = -e^{-u}$ . If (5.12) holds, then there is a biholomorphic map  $\phi : D \rightarrow B_n$  so that  $\det \phi'(z)$  is constant.*

• **Remark.** The boundary condition in Theorem 5.3 can be connected to the pseudo scalar curvature when one views  $(\partial D, \theta)$ ,  $\theta = (\partial\rho - \bar{\partial}\rho)/(2i)$ , as a pseudo-Hermitian CR manifold (see Theorem 6.11 in the last section of this article).

Based on the existence and uniqueness of the Kähler-Einstein metric of Cheng and Yau [15], Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 of Stoll, Burns and Wong and other motivations in [58], one may naturally ask the following question.

**Question:** Let  $u$  be the potential function for Kähler-Einstein metric for a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain  $D$ . What is nice extra condition on  $u$  so that  $D$  is biholomorphic to  $B_n$ ?

In order to study the above question, let us study what can be a necessary condition first, which may help us to search for a sufficient condition.

Let  $\phi : D \rightarrow B_n$  be a biholomorphic map. Let  $v(z) = -\log(1 - |\phi|^2)$ . Then

$$(5.14) \quad \det H(v) = |\det \phi'(z)|^2 e^{(n+1)v}$$

and  $\log |\det \phi'(z)|^2$  is pluriharmonic in  $D$ . Moreover, we let

$$(5.15) \quad \tau(z) = 1 - e^{-v(z)} = |\phi(z)|^2$$

Then  $\tau : D \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic and onto. Moreover,  $\log \tau$  is plurisubharmonic in  $D$  and

$$(5.16) \quad \det H(\log \tau)(z) = 0, \quad \text{if } \tau(z) \neq 0.$$

Conversely, we have the following theorem was proved by the author in [58].

**Theorem 33.** *Let  $v$  be strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$  so that*

$$(5.17) \quad \det H(v) = g(z)e^{(n+1)v} \text{ in } D; \quad v = +\infty \text{ on } \partial D$$

*with  $m = \min\{v(z) : z \in D\} = 0$  and  $\log g(z)$  is pluriharmonic. If  $\log \tau$  is plurisubharmonic near  $\partial D$  with  $\tau(z) = 1 - e^{-v(z)}$  then  $D$  is biholomorphic to  $B_n$ .*

• **Remark:** In fact, in the above theorem, the condition  $\log g$  is pluriharmonic can be reduced to  $-\log g$  is plurisubharmonic or the Ricci curvature, associate metric induced by  $u$  satisfying:  $R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$ .

• **Remark.** Let  $D$  be a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $f_1(z), f_2(z) \in C^\infty(\bar{D})$  be positive functions on  $D$ . If  $u_j$  is the plurisubharmonic solution of

$$(5.18) \quad \det H(u) = f_j(z)e^{(n+1)u} > 0 \text{ in } D; \quad u = +\infty \text{ on } \partial D$$

Let  $\rho_j(z) = -e^{-u_j(z)}$ . If

$$(5.19) \quad \log f_1(z) - \log f_2(z) = O(\delta(z)^{n+1})$$

Then  $\rho^1$  and  $\rho^2$  agree on  $\partial D$  up to order  $n+1$ .

## 6. BOTTOM OF SPECTRUM OF $\Delta_g$

In this section, we consider the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a complete Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$ . We only describe some works related to my recent works in this area on the Kähler case.

**6.1. Riemannian case.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let

$$(6.1) \quad \Delta_g u = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

be the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ . Let

$$(6.2) \quad \lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_M \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dv_g : u \in C_0^\infty(M) \text{ and } \int_M u^2 dv_g = 1 \right\}.$$

• When  $M$  is compact with boundary and  $\Delta_g$  is uniformly elliptic, one has that  $\lambda_1$  is the first positive eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$  with Dirichlet boundary condition (see [51, 52] and references therein).

• When  $M$  is a complete, non-compact manifold,  $\lambda_1$  may not be eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$ . It is the bottom of the spectrum of  $\Delta_g$  (see, [11], [53, 54], etc.).

• There are many works have been done on the eigenvalues related problem, I will mention a few of them, which give a direct introduction to some works of the author [63], a joint works with M-A. Tran [69] and a joint work with X-D Wang [71].

• On the upper bound estimate of  $\lambda_1$ , the following theorem was proved by S. Y. Cheng in [11].

**Theorem 34.** *Suppose that  $M$  is an  $n$ -dim complete noncompact Riemannian manifold and Ricci curvature of  $M \geq -(n-1)k$ . Then  $\lambda_1(M) \leq (n-1)^2k/4$*

- On the extremal case  $\lambda_1 = (n-1)^2/4$ , the following rigidity type theorem was proved by P. Li and J. Wang in [53, 54]. We will state the results only for the Kähler case here.

- **Conformally compact Einstein manifolds.** Let  $M^{n+1}$  be a compact manifold with boundary  $\partial M$ . Let  $r$  be a positive defining function for  $M$  ( $M = \{x \in \bar{M} : r(x) < 0\}$ ,  $\nabla r(z) \neq 0$  on  $\partial M$ ). A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  is called conformally compact if  $\bar{g} = r^2g$  can extend as a smooth metric on  $\bar{M}$  for smoothly positive defining function  $r$ .  $\bar{g}|_{\partial M}$  gives a Riemannian metric for  $\partial M$ . If  $(M, g)$  is Einstein ( $\text{Ric}(g) + ng = 0$ ) and  $g$  is conformally compact, we say that  $(M, g)$  is a conformally compact Einstein manifold.

- On the lower bound estimate, the following was proved by J. Lee in [44].

**Theorem 35.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a conformally compact Einstein manifold. If its conformal infinity  $(\partial M, \bar{g})$  has nonnegative Yamabe invariant, then  $\lambda_1 = (n-1)^2/4$ , i.e., the spectrum is  $[(n-1)^2/4, \infty)$ .*

**6.2. Kähler case.** Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Then the Laplace-Beltrami operator is defined as

$$(6.4) \quad \Delta_g = -4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad \lambda_1 =: 4 \inf \left\{ \int_M \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_j} dv_g : u \in C_0^\infty(M) \text{ and } \int_M u^2 dv_g = 1 \right\}.$$

**6.2.1. Upper bound estimates for  $\lambda_1$ .** As a generalization of Cheng's theorem, Munteanu [73] proved the following upper bound-estimate theorem.

**Theorem 36.** *(O. Munteanu, JDG, 2009) Let  $M^m$ ,  $m \geq 2$  be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold such that the Ricci curvature is bounded from below by*

$$(6.6) \quad \text{Ric}_M \geq -2(m+1) \quad (\text{means that } R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(m+1)g_{i\bar{j}})$$

*Then  $\lambda_1(M) \leq m^2$ .*

- **Remark:** In fact, the above theorem was first proved by P. Li and J-P. Wang [55] under a stronger condition: Holomorphic bisectional curvature satisfying  $\mathcal{K}_g \geq -1$ .

**6.2.2. Lower bound estimates for  $\lambda_1$ . Question** When  $\lambda_1 = n^2$ ?

**EXAMPLE 3.** *When  $M = B_n$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $g$  is the Kähler-Einstein or the Bergman metric on  $M$ :*

$$(6.7) \quad g =: \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)} \left( \delta_{ij} + \frac{\bar{z}_i z_j}{1-|z|^2} \right) dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$$



then

$$(6.8) \quad \lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2.$$

Here, the Ricci curvature

$$(6.9) \quad R_{i\bar{j}} = -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}},$$

and curvature tensor:

$$(6.10) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{i\bar{l}}g_{k\bar{j}}).$$

In particular, the holomorphic bisectional curvature  $\mathcal{K}_g = -1$ .

• **Constructing examples for exact  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g)$ .** Before our results in [48],  $(B_n, \Delta_g)$  with the Kähler-Einstein metric  $g$  is the only known example with  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ . Our work provides a way to construct many examples of the Kähler metric  $g$  on the Kähler manifold  $D$  such that  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ . In [48], we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 37.** *Let  $D$  be a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $C^2$  boundary. Let  $\rho \in C^2(\bar{D})$  be any strictly plurisubharmonic defining function for  $D$ . Let*

$$(6.11) \quad u(z) = -\log(-\rho(z)), \quad g =: \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j.$$

Then  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ .

**6.3. Rigidity type theorems.** It is natural to consider the following questions.

**Question 1:** *Under the assumptions: Holomorphic bisectional curvature  $\mathcal{K}_g \geq -1$  and  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ . What can one say about  $M$ ?*

**Question 2:** *Under the assumptions: Ricci curvature  $R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$  and  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ . What can one say about  $M$ ?*

Questions 1 and 2 for Riemannian case was studied by P. Li and J. Wang in [53, 54], they proved a very pretty splitting theorem. In [55, 56], Li and Wang considered Kähler manifolds and also obtained a similar splitting theorem. The following theorem is their results for the Kähler case.

**Theorem 38.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a complete, non-compact Kähler manifold. Then*

(i) *If the Ricci curvature  $R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$  and  $\lambda_1 > \frac{n+1}{2}$ , then  $M$  must have one infinite volume end;*

(ii) *If the holomorphic bisectional curvature  $\mathcal{K}_g \geq -1$  and  $\lambda_1 = n^2$ , then either  $M$  has only one end or  $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$  with  $N$  being a compact manifold. Moreover, the metric on  $M$  is of the form*

$$(6.12) \quad ds_M^2 = dt^2 + e^{4t}\omega_2^2 + e^{2t} \sum_{i=3}^{2n} \omega_i^2,$$

where  $\{\omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2n}\}$  are orthonormal basis of  $N$  with  $Jdt = \omega_2$ .

In [73], O. Munteanu proved the same result under a weaker condition:  $R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$  and  $\lambda_1 = n^2$ . In [39], Kong, Li and Zhou considered a complete Quaternionic Kähler manifold  $(M^{4n}, g)$  and proved the same theorem under the condition: the scalar curvature  $S_M \geq -16n(n+2)$  and  $\lambda_1 \geq (2n+1)^2$ .

Once again, if  $M = B_n$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $g$  is the Kähler-Einstein metric then

$$(6.13) \quad \lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2, \quad R_{i\bar{j}} = -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}, \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{k\bar{j}}g_{i\bar{l}})$$

which means that the holomorphic bisectional curvature equals  $-1$ . Comparing Obata theorem and Cheng theorem for compact Riemannian manifolds (see [51, 52]). One may ask the following rigidity question:

**Question 3:** *Assume that  $D$  is a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with a complete Kähler metric  $g$  satisfying either*

$$(6.14) \quad R_{i\bar{j}} = -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}} \quad \text{or holomorphic bisectional curvature } \mathcal{K}_g \geq -1$$

*Assume that  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ . Is  $D$  biholomorphic to the ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ?*

Let

$$(6.15) \quad D(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) = |z|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n A_j z_j^2 - 1 < 0\}$$

Then  $\partial D(A)$  is the real ellipsoid when  $A_j \in (-1, 1)$ , which is a strictly convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . By linearly holomorphic changes of variables, we may assume that

$$(6.16) \quad 0 \leq A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \dots \leq A_n < 1.$$

The following theorem was proved by S. Webster [84].

**Theorem 39.**  *$D(A)$  is biholomorphic to the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  if and only if  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n) = 0$ .*

- For  $\mathcal{K}_g \geq -1$ , case, the author [63] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 40.** *For any  $0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots \leq A_n < 2/5$ , there is a Kähler metric  $g^0$  on  $D(A)$  with  $A_n \leq 2/5$  such that the holomorphic bisectional curvature  $\mathcal{K}_{g^0} \geq -1$  and  $\lambda_1(\Delta_{g^0}) = n^2$ .*

By Theorem 6.6, one has that Theorem 6.7 answers Question 3 for the case  $\mathcal{K}_g \geq -1$  negatively with the counter examples:  $D(A)$  with  $A \neq 0$  and  $n > 1$ .

- For Kähler-Einstein metric case, the author [63] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 41.** *Let  $u$  be strictly plurisubharmonic, which is the potential function for Kähler-Einstein metric solving the Monge-Ampère equation:*

$$(6.17) \quad \det H(u) = e^{(n+1)u}, \quad z \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad u = \infty \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D(A).$$

Let

$$(6.18) \quad \rho(z) = -\exp(-u(z)), \quad z \in D(A).$$

*Then  $\rho(z)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic. In particular,  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ .*

- This also provides a counter example for Question 3 in the case of the Kähler-Einstein metric.

**6.4. Positive CR-Yamabe Invariant.** Consider a compact, integrable CR manifold  $(M, \theta)$  of dimension  $2n + 1$  and CR dimension  $n$  with contact form or pseudo-Hermitian form  $\theta$  (real, one-form on  $M$ ). Let  $H(M)$  be the holomorphic tangent bundle on  $M$  such that

$$(6.19) \quad \theta(X) = 0, \quad X \in H(M).$$

Let  $H(M)^*$  be the holomorphic cotangent bundle on  $M$ . We say that  $M$  is strictly pseudoconvex if  $L_\theta = -id\theta$  is positive definite on  $H(M) \oplus \overline{H(M)}$ . Choosing a local basis  $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$  for  $H(M)^*$ , one can write

$$(6.20) \quad d\theta = i \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \theta^\alpha \bar{\theta}^\beta$$

with  $[h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}]$  is a positive definite  $n \times n$  matrix on  $M$ . It was proved by Webster [84] that there is a unique way to write

$$(6.21) \quad d\theta^\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \theta^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\alpha + \theta \wedge \tau^\alpha$$

where  $\tau^\alpha$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -form, which is a linear combination of  $\theta^{\bar{\alpha}}$ , and  $\omega_\alpha^\beta$  is 1-form so that

$$(6.22) \quad 0 = dh_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} \omega_\alpha^\gamma - h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \omega_\beta^{\bar{\gamma}}.$$

Using  $\omega_\beta^\alpha$  as a connection, Webster [84] introduced the pseudo Ricci curvature  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  and pseudo scalar curvature  $\mathcal{R}_\theta = h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ .

- CR-Yamabe invariant is defined as:

$$(6.23) \quad \mathcal{Y}(M) = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{Y}_M(\theta) \right\},$$

where

$$(6.24) \quad \mathcal{Y}_M(\theta) = \frac{\int_M R_\theta \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n}{\left(\int_M \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n\right)^{2+\frac{2}{n}}}.$$

- **Question:** Let  $D$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $C^3$  boundary. Let  $\rho$  be a defining function for  $D$  so that  $u(z) = -\log(-\rho(z))$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$  with Kähler metric

$$(6.25) \quad g = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j.$$

If  $M$  is asymptotic Einstein and  $R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$ . If  $\mathcal{Y}(M) \geq 0$ , can one conclude that  $\lambda_1(\Delta_g) = n^2$ ?

A joint work with Xiao-Dong Wang [71], we prove the following theorem

**Theorem 42.** *If  $(D, g)$  is a Kähler manifold as above. If the Ricci curvature*

$$(6.26) \quad R_{i\bar{j}} \geq -(n+1)g_{i\bar{j}}$$

and scalar curvature  $R = -n(n+1)$  near  $\partial D$ . Let  $\theta = \frac{1}{2i}(\partial\rho - \bar{\partial}\rho)$  be the pseudo-Hermitian form for  $\partial D$ . If the pseudo-scalar curvature  $R_\theta \geq 0$  on  $\partial D$ . Then  $\lambda_1(D, g) = n^2$ .

• **Question:** In general, we don't know how to replace  $R_\theta \geq 0$  by non-negative Yamabe invariant.

In the theory of Kähler manifold, one knows the Ricci curvature:

$$R_{i\bar{j}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \log \det [g_{k\bar{l}}].$$

However, for the pseudo Hermitian case, the problem becomes very complicated. The following explicit formula for pseudo Ricci curvature  $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  was given by Li and Luk in [65]

**Theorem 43.** *Let  $D$  be a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with a defining function  $\rho \in C^3(\bar{D}) \cap C^\infty(D)$  such that  $u(z) = -\log(-\rho)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in  $D$ . Then  $J(\rho) > 0$  in  $D$ . Let  $M = \partial D$  and let  $\theta = \frac{1}{2i}(\partial\rho - \bar{\partial}\rho)$  on  $M$ . Then*

$$(6.27) \quad \text{Ric}(w, \bar{v}) = -\sum_{k,j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial^2 \log J(\rho)}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_j} w_k \bar{v}_j + (n+1) \frac{\det H(\rho)}{J(\rho)} L_\theta(w, \bar{v}),$$

for all  $w, v \in H_z(M)$ .

When  $M = S^{2n+1}$ , the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , if  $\theta = \frac{1}{2i}(\partial\rho - \bar{\partial}\rho)$  with  $\rho(z) = |z|^2 - 1$ , then  $R_\theta = \lambda(S^{2n+1}) = n(n+1)$  on  $M$ .

With the help of a formula (6.27), Li [59] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 44.** *Let  $D$  be a smoothly strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with a defining function  $\rho$  such that  $u = -\log(-\rho)$  is plurisubharmonic in  $D$ . Then*

(a) *If  $-\log J(\rho)$  is harmonic in the metric  $u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  near  $\partial D$ , then*

$$(6.28) \quad R_\theta(z) = n(n+1) \frac{\det H(\rho)(z)}{J(\rho)(z)}, \quad z \in M = \partial D.$$

(b) *If  $-\log J(\rho)$  is plurisubharmonic in  $D$  and it is harmonic in the metric  $u_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$  near  $\partial D$ , and if  $R_\theta = c$  (a positive constant) on  $\partial D$ , then  $D$  is biholomorphic to the unit ball.*

(c) *In particular, if  $u$  the potential function of the Kähler-Einstein metric for  $D$  and  $R_\theta = c > 0$  on  $\partial D$ , then  $D$  is biholomorphic to ball  $B_{n+1}$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work is partially supported by the Min-Jiang Scholar Fund from Fujian Province, China.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Beals, C. Fefferman and R. Grossman, Strictly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (N.S.) 8 (1983), 125–322.
2. F. Beatrous and S-Y. Li, Boundedness and compactness of operators of Hankel type *Jour. of Funct. Anal.* 111 (1993), 350–379.
3. D. Burns, Curvatures of Monge-Ampère foliations and parabolic manifolds, *Ann. Math.* 115 (1982), 349–373.

4. D. Jr. Burns and S. Shnider, Spherical hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, 33 (1976), 223–246.
5. S. Byun and L. Wang, Quasilinear elliptic equations with BMO coefficients in Lipschitz domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), no. 12, 5899–5913
6. S. Byun and L. Wang, Elliptic equations with BMO coefficients in Reifenberg domains. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 57 (2004), no. 10, 1283–1310
7. S. Byun and L. Wang, Elliptic equations with measurable coefficients in Reifenberg domains. *Adv. Math.* 225 (2010), no. 5, 2648–2673.
8. L. Caffarelli, J. J. Kohn, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, II: complex Monge-Ampère, and uniformly elliptic equations, *Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math.* (1985), 209–252.
9. D-C. Chang and S-Y. Li, On the boundedness of multipliers, commutators and the second derivative of Green's operators on  $H^1$  and BMO, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Ci, Sci.* Vol. XXVIII (1999), 341–356.
10. J. Chen and S-Y. Li, Holomorphic extensions of maps from the boundary of Kähler manifolds. *Tohoko Math. J.*, **49** (1997), 586–597.
11. S. Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric application, *Math. Z.*, 143(1975), 289–297.
12. F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca and P. Longo,  $W^{2,p}$ -solvability for non divergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Ricerche Mat.* 40 (191), 149–168.
13. F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca and P. Longo,  $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **336** (1993), 841–853.
14. S.-C. Chen and M.-C. Shaw, Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, S.-T. Yau, Series Editor, *AMS/International Press*, 2000.
15. S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, On the existence of a complex Kähler metric on non-compact complex manifolds and the regularity of Fefferman's equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33 (1980) 507–544.
16. M. Christ, "Lectures on Singular Integral Operators", *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, 77 (1990), Conf. Board Mat. Sci. Washington DC.
17. R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, *Ann. Math.* 103 (1976), 611–635.
18. H. Donnelly, Dirichlet problem at infinity for harmonic maps: Rank one symmetric spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **344** (1994), 713–735.
19. K. Diederich and J. E. Fornaess, Pseudoconvex domains: An example with nontrivial Nebenhülle, *Math. Ann.*, 225(1977), 275–292.
20. L. C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, GSM, 19 (1998), Amer. Math. Soc.
21. C. Fefferman, The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mapping of pseudoconvex domains, *Invent. Math.* 65(1974), 1–65.
22. C. Fefferman, Monge-Ampère equations, the Bergman kernel, and geometry of pseudoconvex domains, *Ann. of Math.* 103(1976), 395–416.
23. D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, 2nd ed., Springer, 1983.
24. C.R. Graham, The Dirichlet problem for the Bergman Laplacian I, *Comm. in PDEs*, 8(1983), 563–641.
25. C. Robin Graham, Higher asymptotic of the complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Compositio Mathematica*, 64 (1987), 133–155.
26. C. R. Graham, Scalar boundary invariants and the Bergman type kernel, *Complex Analysis*, II (College Park, Maryland, 1985–85), Lecture Notes in Math. 1276, Springer, Berlin, 1987, 108–135.
27. B. Guan, The Dirichlet problem for complex Monge-Ampère equations and Regularity of the Green's function, *Comm. in Analysis and Geometry*, 6(1998), 687–703.
28. B. Guan and P. Guan, Convex Hypersurfaces of Prescribed Curvature, *Ann. of Math.*, 156(2002), 655–674.
29. P. Guan, Extremal Function associated to Intrinsic Norm, *Ann. of Math.*, 156(2002), 197–211.

30. P. Guan, N. Trudinger and X-J. Wang, Boundary regularity for degenerate Monge-Ampère equations, *Acta Math.*, 182(1999), 87–104.
31. X. Huang, On a linearity problem for proper holomorphic maps between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.* 51 (1999), 13–33.
32. C. R. Graham and J. M. Lee, Smooth solutions of degenerate Laplacians on strictly pseudoconvex domains, *Duke Math. J.*, **57** (1988), 697-720.
33. Xiaojun Huang, On some problems in several complex variables and CR geometry. First International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians (Beijing, 1998), 383–396,
34. Xiaojun Huang and Shanyu Ji, Global holomorphic extension of a local map and a Riemann mapping theorem for algebraic domains, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5 (1998), no. 1-2, 247–260
35. L-K. Hua, Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in classical domains, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1963.
36. Xiaojun Huang, Shanyu Ji and Stephen S.T. Yau, An example of a real analytic strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface which is not holomorphically equivalent to any algebraic hypersurface, *Ark. Mat.*, 39 (2001), 75–93.
37. D. Jerison and J. M. Lee, Extremal for the Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group and the CR Yamabe problem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1988), no. 1, 1–13.
38. L. Ji, P. Li, and J. Wang, Ends of locally symmetric spaces with maximal bottom spectrum, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 632 (2009), 1–35. 58Jxx (22Exx).
39. S. Kong, P. Li, and D. Zhou, Spectrum of the Laplacian on quaternionic Kähler manifolds, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 78 (2008), 295–332.
40. S. G. Krantz, Function theory of several complex variables. *Wadsworth & Brooks*, 2nd edition, 1992.
41. S. G. Krantz, Partial Differential Equations and Complex Analysis, *Study in Advanced Mathematics*, CRC press, 1992. *Wadsworth & Brooks*, 2nd edition, 1992.
42. S. G. Krantz and S.-Y. Li, On the Existence of Smooth Plurisubharmonic Solutions for Certain Degenerate Monge-Ampère Equations, *Complex variables*, 41(2000), 207–219.
43. S. G. Krantz and S.-Y. Li, Boundedness and Compactness of Integral Operators on Spaces of Homogeneous type and Applications, I, *Jour. of Math. Anal. and Appl.*, 258 (2001), 629–641. II, 642–657.
44. John M. Lee, The spectrum of an asymptotically hyperbolic Einstein manifold, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 3 (1995), 253-271.
45. John M. Lee, The Fefferman metric and pseudo-Hermitian invariants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 296 (1986), no. 1, 411–429.
46. J. Lee and Melrose, J. Lee and R. Melrose, Boundary behavior of the complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Acta Math.* (1982), 159–192.
47. L. Lempert, Solving the degenerate Monge-Ampère equation with one concentrated singularity, *Math. Ann.* 263 (1983), 515-532.
48. P. Li and L. F. Tam, Uniqueness and regularity of proper harmonic maps, *Ann of Math.*, **137** (1993), 167-201.
49. P. Li and L. F. Tam, Uniqueness and regularity of proper harmonic maps II, *Indiana U. Math. J.*, **42** (1993), 591–635.
50. P. Li and L. F. Tam, The heat equation and harmonic maps of complete manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, **105** (1991), 1-46.
51. P. Li, Lecture Notes on Geometric Analysis, *Lecture Notes Series*, 6, Research Institute of Mathematics and Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul National University, Korea, 1993.
52. P. Li, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds. Handbook of Geometric Analysis, No. 1, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vol. 7 (2008), International Press.
53. P. Li and J. Wang, Complete manifolds with positive spectrum *J. of Diff. Geom.*, 58 (2001), 501–534.
54. P. Li, and J. Wang, Complete manifolds with positive spectrum II, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 62 (2002), 143–162.
55. P. Li, and J. Wang, Comparison theorem for Kähler manifolds and positivity of spectrum, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 69 (2005), 43–74.

56. P. Li, and J. Wang, Complete manifolds with positive spectrum, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 58 (2001), 501–534.
57. P. Li and J. Wang, Convex hull properties of harmonic maps, *J. Differential Geometry*, 48(1998), 497–530.
58. S.-Y. Li, Characterization for Balls with Potential Function of Kähler-Einstein Metrics for domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Comm. in Analysis and Geometry*, 13( 2005), 461–478.
59. S.-Y. Li, Characterization for a class of pseudoconvex domains whose boundaries having positive constant pseudo scalar curvature, *CAG*, 17(2009), 17–35.
60. S.-Y. Li, On the existence and regularity of Dirichlet problem for complex Monge-Ampère equations on weakly pseudoconvex domains, *Calculus of Variations & PDEs*, 20 (2004), 119–132.
61. S.-Y. Li, The Neumann Problem for Complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Indiana University J. of Mathematics*, 44(1995), 1099–1122.
62. S.-Y. Li, On the Dirichlet Problem for Symmetric Function Equations of Eigenvalues of Complex Hessian, *Asian J. Math.*, 8(2004), 87–106.
63. S.-Y. Li, On the Kähler manifolds with the largest infimum of spectrum of Laplace-Beltrami operators and sharp lower bound of Ricci or holomorphic bisectional curvatures, *Communication in Analysis and geometry*, 18 (2010), 555–578.
64. S.-Y. Li and L. Ni, On the holomorphicity of proper harmonic maps between balls in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with Bergman metrics, *Math. Ann.*, 316 (2000), 333–354.
65. S.-Y. Li and H-S Luk, An explicit formula for the Webster pseudo Ricci curvature on real hypersurfaces and its application for characterizing balls in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Comm. in Anal and Geom.*, 14(2006), 673–701.
66. S.-Y. Li and H-S Luk, An explicit formula for the Webster Torsion on real hypersurfaces and its application to the torsion-free hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Science in China*, 49(2006), 1662–1682.
67. S.-Y. Li and E. Simon, Boundary behavior of harmonic functions of Bergman metric on polydisc, *Amer. J. of Math.*, 124(2002), 1045–1057.
68. S.-Y. Li and E. Simon, On proper harmonic map between two strictly pseudoconvex domains with Kähler metrics, *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 11(2007), 251–276.
69. S.-Y. Li and M-A. Tran, Infimum of the spectrum of Laplace-Beltrami operator on a bounded pseudoconvex domain with a Kähler metric of Bergman type, *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 18(2010), 375–394.
70. S.-Y. Li and D-H. Wei, On the rigidity theorem for harmonic functions in Kähler metric of Bergman type, *Science in China*, 53(2010), 779–790.
71. S.-Y. Li and X.-D. Wang, Bottom of spectrum of Kahler manifolds with strongly pseudoconvex boundary, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2012, in press.
72. Q.-K. Lu, On Kähler manifolds with constant curvature. *Acta Math. Sinica* 16, 344–363 (Chinese); translated as *Chinese Math. Acta* 8(1966), 365–384.
73. O. Munteanu, A sharp estimate for the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian on Kähler manifolds, to appear in *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 84(3) (2010), 611–621
74. N. Mok and S. T. Yau, Completeness of the Kähler-Einstein metric on bounded domains and the characterization of domains of holomorphy by curvature conditions. *The mathematical heritage of Henri Poincaré* Part 1 (Bloomington, Ind., 1980), 41–
75. S. Nishikawa and K. Ueno, Dirichlet problem at infinity for harmonic map between carnot spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 73. Ser. A(1997)
76. S. Udagawa, Compact Kähler manifolds and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, Vol. 56 (1988), No. 2, 341–349.
77. W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1980.
78. R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, Compact group actions and the topology of manifolds with nonpositive curvature, *Topology*, 18 (1979), 361–380.
79. Y.T. Siu, The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds, *Ann of Math.*, 112 (1980), 73–111.
80. Wilhelm Stoll, The characterization of strictly parabolic manifolds, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) 7 (1980), no. 1, 87–154.

81. G. Tian, Canonical Metrics in Kähler Geometry, note taken by Meike Akveld, Birkhäuser Verlag · Basel · Boston · Berlin, 2000.
82. S. Udagawa, Compact Kähler manifolds and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, Vol. 56 (1988), No. 2, 341–349.
83. X. D. Wang, On conformally compact Einstein manifolds, *Math. Res. Letters* 8 (2001), 671–688.
84. S. M. Webster, Pseudo-Hermitian structure on a real hypersurface, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 13 (1978), 25–41.
85. D-H. Wei, On the asymptotic formula for the solution of degenerate elliptic partial differential equations, *Proc. of AMS*, 2011, To appear.
86. J. Wang, , The heat flow and harmonic maps between complete manifolds, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*, 8 (1998), no. 3, 341–347.
87. P.-M. Wong, Geometry of the complex homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation. *Invent. Math.* 67 (1982), no. 2, 261–274.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92697–3875

SCHOOL OF MATH AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUJIAN, CHINA

*E-mail address:* `sli@math.uci.edu`