

## FOURIER TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH HOLOMORPHIC DISCRETE SERIES

*Takeshi Kawazoe*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Plancherel formula on semisimple Lie groups  $G$  implies that each  $L^2$  function  $f$  on  $G$  has a decomposition:  $f = f_p + \circ f$ , where  $f_p$  consists of wave packets and  $\circ f$  the discrete part of  $f$ , that is, a linear combination of the matrix coefficients of the discrete series of  $G$ . We assume that  $\Omega = G/K$ ,  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , is one of classical bounded symmetric domains. Then we shall give a characterization of  $\circ L^p(G)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ), the discrete part of  $L^p$  functions on  $G$ , by using the Fourier transform associated with the holomorphic discrete series realized on a Bergman space on  $\Omega$ . This characterization is related to the theory of the weighted Bergman spaces on  $\Omega$  and the fractional derivatives of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ .

In this introduction we shall treat the case of  $\Omega =$  the open unit disk; in the rest of two sections we shall state the generalization on bounded symmetric domains of classical type.

First we shall recall the Fourier transform on the open unit disk  $D = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} ; |z| < 1 \}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \partial D$ , the boundary of  $D$ , it is given by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda, b) = \int_D f(z) \left( \frac{1-|z|^2}{|z-b|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(-i\lambda+1)} dz.$$

As well known, we can identify  $D$  with the symmetric space  $G/K$ , where  $G = SU(1,1)$  and  $K = SO(2)$ . By this identification  $G$  acts on  $D$  transitively and a function  $f(z)$  on  $D$  corresponds to the function  $\tilde{f}(g)$  on  $G$  given by  $\tilde{f}(g) = f(g \cdot 0)$ , where  $0 \in D$  and " $\cdot$ " means the action of  $G$ . Then we can rewrite the above integral as follows.

$$\hat{f}(\lambda, b) = \int_D \tilde{f}(g) V_{0, \frac{1}{2}}(-i\lambda+1) (g^{-1}) l(b) dg,$$

where  $(V_{j,s}, L^2(\partial D))$  ( $j=0, \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ) is the principal series representation of  $G$  (cf. [Su], p.212) and  $l(b)$  is the constant function in  $L^2(\partial D)$  taking value 1. Then this transform has the same properties as the Euclidean Fourier transform; if we regard it as the transform of functions on  $G$  by the identification, we see that the image of  $L^2(G)$  is exactly given by  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial D, \lambda \frac{1}{2} \lambda d\lambda db)$ , however, the one for  $L^p(G)$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ) is not clear.

Now we shall recall that  $G$  has other irreducible representations, namely, the so called holomorphic discrete series :  $(T_n, A_{2, n-1}(D))$  ( $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \geq 1$ ), where  $A_{2, n-1}(D)$  is the weighted Bergman space which will be define below. Then we reach the following problem.

*Problem* Let  $F_n$  be the Fourier transform associated with the discrete series  $T_n$  defined by

$$F_n(f)(z) = \int_G f(g) T_n(g^{-1}) l(z) dg.$$

*Then what is the image  $F_n(L^p(G))$  of  $L^p(G)$  ?*

Before stating the answer we shall give the definition of the weighted Bergman space on  $D$  and recall the fractional derivatives of holomorphic functions on  $D$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  we put

$$A_{p,r}(D) = \{F: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; \text{(i) } F \text{ is holomorphic on } D \\ \text{(ii) } \|F\|_{p,r}^p = \int_D |F(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{2r} dz < \infty\}.$$

Since it consists of holomorphic functions on  $D$ ,  $A_{p,r}(D) = \{0\}$  if  $r \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be a holomorphic function on  $D$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Then the fractional derivative  $F^{[\alpha]}$  of  $F$  of order  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$F^{[\alpha]}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1)} a_n z^n.$$

Then Duren, Romberg and Shields obtained the following,

Theorem ([DRS]) *If  $r > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $F \in A_{1,r}(D)$ , then  $F^{[\alpha]} \in A_{1,r+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(D)$ .*

This theorem gives a relation between weighted Bergman spaces and fractional derivatives. Moreover, the answer of the problem gives a group theoretical interpretation of this theorem.

Theorem (Answer) *Let  $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ .*

*If  $(n,p) \neq (1,1)$ , then  $F_n(L^p(G)) = A_{p, \frac{1}{2}np-1}(D)$ .*

*If  $(n,p) = (1,1)$ , then  $F_1(L^1(G)) = H_0^1(D)$ .*

Here the space  $H_0^1(D)$  is defined as follows. First we shall fix a positive number  $\alpha$ . Then

$$H_0^1(D) = \{F: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \text{ (i) } F \text{ is holomorphic on } D \\ \text{(ii) } F^{[\alpha]} \in A_{1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)}(D) \}.$$

The theorem of [DRS] asserts that the second condition (ii) does not depend on  $\alpha$ , that is, the definition of  $H_0^1(D)$  is independent of  $\alpha > 0$ ; for example, we can replace (ii) by (ii)'  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \in A_{1,0}(D)$ . On the other hand the answer-the above theorem-also asserts the independence, because the left hand side  $F_1(L^1(G))$  does not depend on  $\alpha > 0$ . In this sense

the answer gives a group theoretical interpretation of the theorem of [DRS]. This is important in generalizing the classical theorem to other bounded symmetric domains.

Next we shall give a sketch of the proof. The proof is based on some properties of the matrix coefficients of the discrete series  $T_n$ . We put

$$e_n^m(z) = B(m+1, 2n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} z^m \quad (m=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then  $\{e_n^m(z); m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a complete orthonormal system of  $A_{2, n-1}(D)$  and the normalized matrix coefficients of  $T_n$  are given by

$$f_{pq}^n(g) = [T_n(g)e_n^q, e_n^p]_{n-1} / \|[T_n(\cdot)e_n^q, e_n^p]_{n-1}\|_2,$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{n-1}$  is the inner product in  $A_{2, n-1}(D)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  the  $L^p$  norm on  $G$ . Then these matrix coefficients satisfy the following properties.

Facts

- (1)  $f_{pq}^n * f_{p'q'}^{n'} = c_n \delta_{nm} \delta_{qp'} f_{pq}^n$ ,
- (2) If  $n > 1$ , then  $f_{pq}^n \in L^1(G)$ .  
If  $n = 1$ , then  $f_{pq}^1 \notin L^1(G)$ , but  $\in L^q(G)$  for all  $q > 1$ .
- (3) We put  $f_m^n = f_{m0}^n$  and  $\psi_n = f_{00}^n$ . Then  $F_n(f_m^n)(z) = c_n e_n^m(z)$ .
- (4)  $F_n(f)(z) = F_n(f * \psi_n)(z)$ .
- (5)  $\|F_n(f)\|_{p, \frac{1}{2}np-1} = c_n \|f * \psi_n\|_p$  (if finite).

(1) and (2) are easy consequence from the explicit forms of the matrix coefficients which are given by hypergeometric functions (cf. [Sa]); (3) and (4) follow from (1) and the relation:  $T_n(g^{-1})1(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m^n(g) e_n^m(z)$ ; (5) follows from (4) and the integral formula on  $G$  corresponding to the Cartan decomposition of  $G$  (cf. [Su], p.252).

If the norm in (5) is finite, that is, the convolution operator:  $f \rightarrow f * \psi_n$  is  $L^p$ -bounded on  $G$ , then the desired characterization of  $F_n(L^p(G))$  is given by the weighted Bergman space  $A_{p, \frac{1}{2}np-1}(D)$ . Actually, except for case of  $(n,p)=(1,1)$ , we have the following,

**Proposition** *Let  $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $(n,p) \neq (1,1)$ . Then*

$$\|f * \psi_n\|_p \leq c_n \|f\|_p \quad \text{for } f \in L^p(G).$$

When  $n > 1$ ,  $\psi_n \in L^1(G)$  by (2), and thus, the inequality holds as in the Euclidean case. When  $n=1$ ,  $\psi_1 \in L^q(G)$  for all  $q > 1$ . Then the desired one is nothing but the Kunze-Stein phenomenon on  $G$  (cf. [Cow]).

Therefore, if  $(n,p) \neq (1,1)$ , we easily conclude that  $F_n(L^p(G)) = A_{p, \frac{1}{2}np-1}(D)$ . Next we shall consider the case of  $(n,p)=(1,1)$ . In this case the above proposition does not hold, and the above characterization of  $F_n(L^p(G))$  is nonsense, because  $A_{1, -\frac{1}{2}}(D)$  consists of only 0 function. Therefore, we must think out another approach. The basic idea is the following,

**Lemma** *For each  $f_m^1$  there exists an  $L^1$  function  $[f_m^1]$  on  $G$  such that*

$$[f_m^1] * \psi_1 = f_m^1.$$

Actually, for a fixed positive  $\alpha$  - this  $\alpha$  corresponds to the order of the fractional derivative - the desired  $[f_m^1]$  is given by

$$[f_m^1](g) = c_m^\alpha |\psi_1(g)|^\alpha f_m^1(g), \text{ where}$$

$$c_m^\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(m+2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(m+2)} = \left( \int_G |\psi_1(g)|^\alpha |f_m^1(g)|^2 dg \right)^{-1}.$$

Since  $|\psi_1(g)|^\alpha$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) decays fast,  $[f_m^1]$  belongs to  $L^1(G)$ , and the orthogonal relation of the matrix coefficients over  $K$  deduces that  $[f_m^1] * \psi_1 = f_m^1$ .

Now we shall prove that  $F_1(L^1(G)) = H_0^1(D)$ . We have to show that

(into):  $(F_1(f))^{[\alpha]} \in A_{1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)}(D)$  for all  $f \in L^1(G)$ ; (onto): for each  $F \in H_0^1(D)$  there exists an  $L^1$  function  $h$  on  $G$  such that  $F_1(h) = F$ .

(into) follows from a direct calculation.

(onto) is proved by using the Lemma. For  $F(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m e_1^m(z)$  in  $H_0^1(D)$  we put  $f(g) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m f_m^1(g)$ . Then (3) means that  $F_1(f) = F$ , however, this  $f$  does not belong to  $L^1(G)$  (see (2)). So we need a modification of  $f$ . In fact we put  $h(g) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m [f_m^1](g)$  (see Lemma). Then we see that  $F_1(h) = F_1(h * \psi_1) = F_1(f) = F$  by (4) and Lemma;  $\|h\|_1 = \|z^{-1}(zF)^{[\alpha]}\|_{1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)}$  by the integral formula on  $G$ . Since  $F$  belongs to  $H_0^1(D)$ , we easily see that the last norm is finite and thus  $h$  belongs to  $L^1(G)$ . Therefore,  $h$  satisfies the desired conditions.

This completes the proof of the answer to the problem in the case of  $\Omega = D$ .

Remark.  $H_0^1(D)$  is contained in  $H^1(D)$ , the classical Hardy space on  $D$ ; it is not equal to, but dense in  $H^1(D)$  (see [K]).

## 2. NOTATION

Let  $G$  be a simple matrix group and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . We suppose  $G/K$  is Hermitian. Let  $\underline{g}$  and  $\underline{k}$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$ , and  $\underline{h}$  a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\underline{k}$ . We denote the complexification of an algebra  $\underline{a}$  by  $\underline{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of non zero roots for the pair  $(\underline{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \underline{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$  equipped with an order in which every non compact positive root is larger than every compact root. Let  $\underline{n}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$  be the sum of the  $\pm$

root spaces ( $\pm$  refers to "positive and negative"),  $\mathfrak{p}^\pm$  the sum of the non compact  $\pm$  root spaces and  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{C}^-$ . Let  $G_\mathbb{C}$  be an analytic subgroup of the matrices with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , and  $N_\mathbb{C}^\pm, P_\mathbb{C}^\pm, B, K_\mathbb{C}, T_\mathbb{C}$  the subgroups of  $G_\mathbb{C}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{C}^\pm, \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^\pm, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$  respectively. Then it is well known that  $BG$  is open in  $G_\mathbb{C}$  and there exists a bounded open set  $\Omega$  in  $P^+$  such that  $BG = P^-K_\mathbb{C}G = P^-K_\mathbb{C}\Omega$ . Moreover  $G$  acts transitively on  $\Omega$  as an holomorphic automorphism on  $\Omega$  and  $G \cap P^-K_\mathbb{C} = K$  is the subgroup fixing 1 in  $\Omega$ . This gives the identification:  $\Omega = K \backslash G$ .

Let  $\Lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C})^*$ , the set of complex linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ , and suppose that  $\Lambda$  is an integral form on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ , dominant with respect to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $(\tau_\Lambda, V_\Lambda)$  be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of  $K$  with highest weight  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\phi_\Lambda$  be the normalized highest weight vector in  $V_\Lambda$  and  $\chi_\Lambda$  the associated character. For a complex valued function  $f$  on  $G_\mathbb{C}$  we define

$$E_\Lambda(f)(x) = \int_K \chi_\Lambda(k^{-1}) f(kx) dk \quad (x \in G_\mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover, we shall define a function on  $P^-K_\mathbb{C}P^+$  which plays an important role in the following arguments as follows.

$$\psi_\Lambda(x) = (\tau_\Lambda(\mu(x))\phi_\Lambda, \phi_\Lambda)_{V_\Lambda} \quad (x \in P^-K_\mathbb{C}P^+),$$

where  $\mu(x)$  refers to the  $K_\mathbb{C}$ -component of  $x$  in  $P^-K_\mathbb{C}P^+$ .

Now we shall define the holomorphic discrete series of  $G$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be as above and suppose that  $\langle \Lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle < 0$  for every non compact positive root  $\alpha$ , where  $2\rho$  is the sum of all positive roots. We define

$$H_{\Lambda}^p = \{f: BG \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; (i) \quad f \text{ is holomorphic on } BG$$

$$(ii) \quad f(bx) = \xi_{\Lambda}(b)f(x) \text{ for } b \in B, x \in BG$$

$$(iii) \quad \|f\|_p^p = \int_G |f(g)|^p dg < \infty \}$$

and  $U_{\Lambda}(g)f(x) = f(xg) \quad (f \in H_{\Lambda}^p, g \in G \text{ and } x \in BG),$

where  $\xi_{\Lambda}(nh) = \exp \Lambda(\log(h))$  for  $nh \in B = N_C^{-1}T_C$ . Then  $H_{\Lambda}^2 \neq \{0\}$ , since  $H_{\Lambda}^2 \ni \psi_{\Lambda} \neq 0$ ;  $(U_{\Lambda}, H_{\Lambda}^2)$  is a continuous irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  so called the holomorphic discrete series of  $G$ . As a representation of  $K$ ,  $H_{\Lambda}^2$  is decomposed into irreducible components denoted by  $V_{\Lambda}^i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then we choose a complete orthonormal system  $\phi_j^i$  ( $1 \leq j \leq \dim V_{\Lambda}^i$ ) of  $H_{\Lambda}^2$  such that  $\phi_j^i \in V_{\Lambda}^i$ ; we may assume that  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$  and  $\phi_1^1 = \|\psi_{\Lambda}\|_2^{-1} \psi_{\Lambda}$ . We denote the matrix coefficients of  $U_{\Lambda}$  as follows.

$$f_{jj'}^{ii'}(x) = (U_{\Lambda}(x)\phi_j^{i'}, \phi_j^i)_{H_{\Lambda}^2} \quad (x \in G).$$

Clearly,  $\psi_{\Lambda} = f_{11}^{11}$ , and for simplicity we put  $f_j^i = f_{1j}^{1i}$ .

In what follows we assume that

Assumption.  $\Omega$  is one of the classical bounded symmetric domains listed below and  $\dim \tau_{\Lambda} = 1$ .

Type	G	K	$\Omega$
I	$SU(m, n)$	$S(U(m) \times U(n))$	$\{z \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}) ; I_m - z\bar{z}' > 0\}$
II	$Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$	$U(n)$	$\{z \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{C}) ; I_n - z\bar{z}' > 0, z = z'\}$
III	$SO^*(2n)$	$Sp(n) \cap SO(2n)$	$\{z \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{C}) ; I_n + z\bar{z}' > 0, z = -z'\}$
IV	$SO(n, 2)$	$SO(n) \times SO(2)$	$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ;  zz' ^{2+1-2zz'} > 0$ $ zz'  < 1\}.$

## 3. MAIN RESULT

First we shall define weighted Bergman spaces on  $\Omega$ . Let  $w(z)$  be a positive function on  $\Omega$ . Then the  $w$ -weighted  $L^p$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) Bergman space on  $\Omega$  is defined as follows.

$$H_{w,p}^p(\Omega) = \{F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \text{ (i) } F \text{ is holomorphic on } \Omega \\ \text{(ii) } \|F\|_{p,w}^p = \int_{\Omega} |F(z)|^p w(z) dz < \infty \},$$

where  $dz$  is a Euclidean measure on  $\Omega$ . Let  $B(z, \bar{z})$  be the Bergman kernel on  $\Omega$  (cf. [Hu]). Then a  $G$ -invariant measure on  $\Omega$  is given by  $B(z, \bar{z}) dz$ .

For simplicity we put

$$w_{p,\alpha}^{\Lambda}(z) = |\psi_{\Lambda}(x)|^{(1+\alpha)p} B(z, \bar{z}) \quad (z=1 \cdot x)$$

and 
$$H_{\Lambda}^p(\Omega) = H_{w_{p,0}^{\Lambda}}^p(\Omega).$$

Next we shall consider a realization of  $U_{\Lambda}$  on  $H_{\Lambda}^2(\Omega)$ . For a complex valued function  $f$  on  $BG$  satisfying  $E_{\Lambda} f = f$  we define

$$I_{\Lambda}(f)(z) = \psi_{\Lambda}(g)^{-1} f(g) \quad (z=1 \cdot g),$$

and for a complex valued function  $F$  on  $\Omega$  we put

$$T_{\Lambda}(g)F(z) = \psi_{\Lambda}(x)^{-1} \psi_{\Lambda}(xg) F(z \cdot g) \quad (g \in G, z=1 \cdot x).$$

Under the assumption that  $\dim \tau_{\Lambda} = 1$  these definitions are well-defined and moreover, we see that

**Lemma** Let  $\Lambda$  be as in §2. Then  $(U_\Lambda, H_\Lambda^2)$  and  $(T_\Lambda, H_\Lambda^2(\Omega))$  are unitary equivalent and  $I_\Lambda$  is the norm-preserving intertwining operator of  $H_\Lambda^2$  onto  $H_\Lambda^2(\Omega)$ .

In particular, if we put  $\psi_j^i = I_\Lambda(\phi_j^i)$ ,  $\{\psi_j^i\}$  is a complete orthonormal system of  $H_\Lambda^2(\Omega)$ ; we note that  $\psi_1^1 = \|\psi_\Lambda\|_2^{-1}$ , a constant function on  $\Omega$ .

Now we shall define the Fourier transform associated with the holomorphic discrete series  $T_\Lambda$  as follows. For a complex valued function  $f$  in  $L^p(G)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ) we put

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Lambda(f)(z) &= \int_G f(g) T_\Lambda(g^{-1}) 1(z) dg \\ &= I_\Lambda(\psi_\Lambda * f)(z) \quad (z \in \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Before stating the main theorem we shall give two more definitions (the definition of  $H_{\Lambda, \alpha}^p(\Omega)$ , which appears in the theorem, will be given after the statement). Let  $\Lambda$  be a dominant integral form on  $\underline{h}_C$  and  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ .

**Definition.**  $\alpha_\Lambda$  is the least number satisfying

$$|\psi_\Lambda|^{1+\alpha} \in L^1(G) \text{ for all } \alpha > \alpha_\Lambda.$$

**Definition.** We say  $(\Lambda, p)$  is regular if  $\psi_\Lambda \in L^p(G)$ .

Then the main theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem** Let  $\Lambda$  be the parameter of the discrete series of  $G$  and  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ .

If  $(\Lambda, p)$  is regular, then  $F_\Lambda(L^p(G)) = H_\Lambda^p(\Omega)$ .

If  $(\Lambda, p)$  is not regular, then  $F_\Lambda(L^p(G)) = H_{\Lambda, \alpha}^p(\Omega)$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_\Lambda$ .

In the rest we shall give the definition of  $H_{\Lambda, \alpha}^p(\Omega)$ . First we shall define the fractional derivative  $F^{[\alpha]}$  of a holomorphic function  $F$  on  $\Omega$  as follows. For  $\alpha > \alpha_{\Lambda} - 1$  and  $F(z) = \sum_{\ell, m} a_{\ell m} \psi_{\ell m}^{\ell}(z)$  we put

$$F^{[\alpha]}(z) = \sum_{\ell, m} c_{\alpha}^{\ell} a_{\ell m} \psi_{\ell m}^{\ell}(z),$$

where

$$c_{\alpha}^{\ell} = \|\psi_{\Lambda}\| \frac{2}{2} \left( \int_G |\xi_m^{\ell}(x)|^2 |\psi_{\Lambda}(x)|^{\alpha} dx \right)^{-1}.$$

We easily see that this last integral does not depend on  $m$ . Then the space  $H_{\Lambda, \alpha}^p(\Omega)$  is given by

$$H_{\Lambda, \alpha}^p(\Omega) = \{F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \text{ (i) } F \text{ is holomorphic on } \Omega \\ \text{ (ii) } F^{[\alpha]} \text{ belongs to } H_{w, p, \alpha}^p(\Omega)\}.$$

Obviously,  $H_{\Lambda, 0}^p(\Omega) = H_{\Lambda}^p(\Omega)$ .

The proof of Theorem is carried out as in the case of  $\Omega = D$  stated in the first section, and the generalization of the theorem of [DRS] also holds on  $\Omega$ . To the detail see [K2].

## REFERENCES

- [Cow] M. Cowling, *The Kunze-Stein Phenomenon*, Ann. of Math., 107 (1978), 209-234.
- [DRS] P.L. Duren, B.W. Romberg and A.L. Shields, *Linear functionals on  $H^p$  spaces with  $0 < p < 1$* , Reine Angew. Math., 238 (1969), 32-60.
- [Hu] L.K. Hua, *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, (1963).

- [K] T. Kawazoe, *Discrete part of  $L^p$  functions on  $SU(1,1)$* , Keio Univ. Research Report, 10 (1986).
- [K2] T. Kawazoe, *Fourier transform associated with holomorphic discrete series and a characterization of the discrete part of  $L^p$  functions*, preprint.
- [Sa] P.J. Sally, *Analytic continuation of irreducible unitary representations of the universal covering group of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , *Memoirs of A.M.S.*, 69 (1967).
- [Su] M. Sugiura, *Unitary Representations and Harmonic Analysis*, Wiley, New York, (1975).

Takeshi Kawazoe

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science and Technology

Keio University

3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohokuku

Yokohama 223

Japan