

# The maximum principle for degenerate parabolic PDEs with singularities

Hitoshi Ishii

## 1. Introduction

This is a preliminary version of [7]. Here we shall be concerned with the degenerate parabolic partial differential equation (PDE in short)

$$(1) \quad u_t + F(Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

Here and in what follows  $\Omega$  is a domain of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $T > 0$  is a given constant,  $u$  represents the real unknown function on  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  and  $F$  is a real function on  $\mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{S}^N$  denotes the set of real  $N \times N$  symmetric matrices.

Recent developments have revealed that equations (1) with  $F$  having singularities or discontinuities are important in the study of generalized evolutions of hypersurfaces, especially, in the level set approach.

Chen, Giga and Goto [3] and Evans and Spruck [5] initiated the level set approach, on a firm mathematical basis, to evolutions of hypersurfaces driven by their mean curvature or by some other geometric quantities alike. In the case of evolution by mean curvature,  $F$  turns out to be

$$F(p, X) = -\text{tr} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{|p|^2} \right) X.$$

Thus in their approach  $F(p, X)$  is not defined for  $p = 0$ .

According to Angenent and Gurtin [1, 2], equations (1) with  $F$  discontinuous in a set of directions of  $p$ 's arise in a mathematical model for the dynamics of a melting solid, where the boundary between the solid and liquid phases gives an evolving hypersurface.

In this model  $F$  typically has the form:

$$(2) \quad F(p, X) = \operatorname{tr} \left\{ D^2 H \left( \frac{p}{|p|} \right) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{|p|^2} \right) X \right\},$$

where  $H$  is a real, positively homogeneous function of degree 1. The convexity of  $H$  corresponds to the degenerate parabolicity of (1), i.e.

$$F(p, X) \leq F(p, Y) \quad \text{if } X \geq Y.$$

If  $H \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  and  $H$  is convex, then  $F$  has singularities only for  $p = 0$  and the situation is in the case which was studied by Chen, Giga and Goto [3].

In the above model the function  $H$  in (2) describes a quantity which may be called as interface tension energy and may be nonconvex in the viewpoint of physics. Then (1) is not parabolic and the initial (-boundary) value problem for (1) is not well-posed. In this situation an appropriate replacement of  $H$ , suggested by [1, 2], is to use the convex envelope  $\widehat{H}$  of  $H$ . The reader may find some arguments which give justifications for this replacement of  $H$  in [1, 2], [6]. Even if  $H$  is smooth,  $\widehat{H}$  is not necessarily in  $C^2(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ .

Motivated by the above model, Ohnuma and Sato [8] and Gurtin, Soner and Souganidis [6] recently studied PDEs (1) with  $F(p, X)$  which are discontinuous in a finite number of directions of  $p$ 's. This kind of singularities are typical for  $N = 2$ . When  $N > 2$ , singularities of  $F$  typically form a continuum of directions of  $p$ 's.

Here we shall establish the maximum principle for (1) with  $F(p, X)$  having discontinuities in a continuum of directions of  $p$ 's and indicate its application to motion of a phase interface.

## 2. Main results

We begin with the explanation of our assumptions on  $F$ .

- (A1) There is a  $C^2$  submanifold (without boundary)  $M$  of  $S^{N-1} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N \mid |x| = 1\}$  of dimension  $d \in \{0, \dots, N-2\}$  such that  $F$  is continuous on  $(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \overline{R}_+ M) \times \mathbf{S}^N$ .

Here and henceforth  $\mathbf{R}_+$  denotes the set  $(0, \infty)$  and so,  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+M = \{tx \mid t \geq 0, x \in M\}$ .

We need a kind of continuity of  $F$  on the set  $(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+M) \times \mathbf{S}^N$ . For  $p \in M$  let  $T_pM$  denote the tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$  and let  $\pi$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  onto  $T_pM \oplus \text{span}\{p\}$ . For  $p \in M$  and  $t > 0$  we set

$$\mathbf{S}^N(tp) = \{X \in \mathbf{S}^N \mid \pi X \pi = X\},$$

so that  $\mathbf{S}^N(p) = \mathbf{S}^N(p/|p|)$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{R}_+M$ . Also, we denote by  $\mathbf{S}^N(0)$  the subset  $\{0\}$  of  $\mathbf{S}^N$ . Let  $p \in M$ . Note that  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N(p)$  if and only if  $(I - \pi)X = X(I - \pi) = 0$ , with  $\pi$  denoting the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  onto  $T_pM \oplus \text{span}\{p\}$ . Note also that if  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N(p)$ , then  $tX \in \mathbf{S}^N(p)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Moreover, observe that if  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbf{S}^N(p)$  and  $-A \leq X \leq A$ , then  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N(p)$ . Indeed, if  $\pi$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  as above, then the inequality  $-A \leq X \leq A$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle X(\pi\xi + (I - \pi)\eta), \pi\xi + (I - \pi)\eta \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \pi X \pi \xi, \xi \rangle + 2\langle \pi X (I - \pi)\eta, \xi \rangle + \langle (I - \pi)X(I - \pi)\eta, \eta \rangle| \\ &\leq \langle \pi A \pi \xi, \xi \rangle \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that  $(I - \pi)X(I - \pi) = 0$  and  $\pi X(I - \pi) = 0$ , and furthermore, that  $\pi X \pi = X$ .

(A2) If  $p \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+M$  and  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N(p)$ , then

$$F^*(p, X) = F_*(p, X).$$

Here and henceforth we use the notation:

$$F^*(\xi) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \{F(\eta) \mid \eta \in (\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+M) \times \mathbf{S}^N, \|\eta - \xi\| < \varepsilon\},$$

and  $F_* = -(-F)^*$ .

We remark that if  $e_1, \dots, e_N$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , if  $e_N \in M$  and  $t > 0$  and if  $e_1, \dots, e_d \in T_{e_N}M$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \in \mathbf{S}^N(te_N) \\ &\iff X \in \mathbf{S}^N \text{ and } x_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } d < i < N \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

The degenerate ellipticity is stated

(A3) If  $p \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \overline{R^+M}$  and  $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}^N$  and if  $X \leq Y$ , then  $F(p, X) \geq F(p, Y)$ .

We are now in a position to state the main theorem formulated for bounded domains  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold. Assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded. Let  $u \in USC(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$  and  $v \in LSC(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$  be a viscosity subsolution and a viscosity supersolution of (1), respectively. Assume that  $u \leq v$  on  $(\partial\Omega \times [0, T]) \cup (\overline{\Omega} \times \{0\})$ . Then  $u \leq v$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ .*

The case  $d = 0$  is exactly the case treated by Ohnuma and Sato [8] and Gurtin, Soner and Souganidis [6].

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us explain two lemmas, which are key ingredients in the proof of the above theorem.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u, v \in USC(V)$ , where  $V$  is an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , and define  $w \in USC(V \times V)$  by  $w(x, y) = u(x) + v(y)$ . Let  $x, y \in V$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $A \in \mathbf{S}^m$  satisfy*

$$\left( p, q, \begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ -A & A \end{pmatrix} \right) \in J^{2,+}w(x, y) \text{ and } A \geq 0.$$

*Then there are  $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}^m$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & (p, X) \in \overline{J}^{2,+}u(x), \quad (q, Y) \in \overline{J}^{2,+}v(y), \\ & -3 \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \leq 3 \begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ -A & A \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** *Under assumption (A1), there is a function  $\psi \in C(\mathbf{R}^N) \cap C^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  such that*

- (i)  $\psi$  is convex and positively homogeneous of degree 1 on  $\mathbf{R}^N$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi$  is twice continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{R}^+M$ ,

- (iv)  $x \in \mathbf{R}^+M$  if and only if  $x \neq 0$ , and  $D\psi(x) \in \mathbf{R}^+M$  and  
 (v) for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}^+M$ ,  $D^2\psi(x) \in \mathbf{S}^N(D\psi(x))$ .

With these lemmas at hand, the proof of Theorem 1 is a rather tedious repetition of the standard argument in the theory of viscosity solutions. We refer to [7] for the proof of Theorem 1.

The idea of the proof of Lemma 2 may be explained as follows. Let  $M \subset S^{N-1}$  be a  $C^2$  submanifold as in Lemma 2. Fix any point  $q \in S^{N-1}$  and a smooth strictly convex body  $K$  so that  $K$  contains the unit ball  $B(0,1) \subset \mathbf{R}^N$  and so that  $q \in \partial K$  and all the principal curvatures of  $\partial K$  at  $q$  vanish. Then, for each  $p \in M$  we define  $K_p$  as the convex set obtained by rotating  $K$  around the origin so that the new position of  $q$  is at  $p$ . The function  $\psi$  is defined as the Minkowski functional of the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the set

$$\cup\{K_p \mid p \in M\}, \quad \text{with } \varepsilon > 0.$$

The details of the proof may be found in [7].

#### 4. Generalized evolution of a hypersurface

In addition to (A1) – (A3) we assume that (1) is geometric, i.e.

- (A4) If  $p \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+M$ ,  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$F(\lambda p, \lambda X + \mu p \otimes p) = \lambda F(p, X).$$

Now we consider the initial value problem

$$(IVP) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + F(Du, D^2u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \\ u = g & \text{on } \mathbf{R}^N \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $g$  is a given function on  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Theorem 1 and standard arguments in viscosity solutions theory yield the following:

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $g \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^N)$  and that (A1) – (A4) hold. Then there is a unique viscosity solution  $u$  of (IVP) satisfying  $u \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^N \times [0, T])$  for all  $T > 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the set of triplets  $(\Gamma, D^+, D^-)$  of a closed subset  $\Gamma$  and two open subsets  $D^+, D^-$  of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  such that

$$\Gamma \cup D^+ \cup D^- = \mathbf{R}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma, D^+, D^- \text{ are mutually disjoint.}$$

If  $(\Gamma, D^+, D^-) \in \mathcal{E}$ , then there is a function  $g \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^N)$  such that

$$(3) \quad \Gamma = \{g = 0\}, \quad D^+ = \{g > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad D^- = \{g < 0\}.$$

Conversely, if  $g \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^N)$ , then

$$(\{g = 0\}, \{g > 0\}, \{g < 0\}) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The geometricity (A4) of (1) allows us to conclude the following property, the proof of which can be found in [7].

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that (A1) – (A4) hold. Let  $g_1, g_2 \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^N)$  satisfy*

$$\{g_1 > 0\} = \{g_2 > 0\}, \quad \{g_1 < 0\} = \{g_2 < 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{g_1 = g_2\}.$$

*Let  $u_i, i = 1, 2$ , be the (unique) viscosity solutions of (IVP), with  $g = g_i$ , satisfying  $u_i \in BUC(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])$  for all  $T > 0$ . Then*

$$\{u_1 > 0\} = \{u_2 > 0\}, \quad \{u_1 < 0\} = \{u_2 < 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{u_1 = u_2\}.$$

Now a generalized evolution of a triplet  $(\Gamma, D^+, D^-) \in \mathcal{E}$  by (1) can be defined as follows. Fix any  $g \in BUC(\mathbf{R}^N)$  so that (3) holds, solve (IVP) with this initial data  $g$  and set

$$\Gamma_t = \{u(\cdot, t) = 0\}, \quad D_t^+ = \{u(\cdot, t) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_t^- = \{u(\cdot, t) < 0\}.$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Theorem 3 guarantees that the sets  $\Gamma_t, D_t^+$  and  $D_t^-$  do not depend on the choice of  $g$ . The collection  $\{E_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  of mappings

$$E_t : (\Gamma, D^+, D^-) \mapsto (\Gamma_t, D_t^+, D_t^-)$$

of  $\mathcal{E}$  into itself is the generalized evolution of  $(\Gamma, D^+, D^-)$  by (1). Theorem 2 ensures the semigroup property:

$$E_0 = id_{\mathcal{E}}, \quad E_{t+s} = E_t \circ E_s \quad \text{for all } t, s \geq 0.$$

## References

- [1] S. B. Angenent and M. E. Gurtin, Multiphase thermodynamics with interfacial structure 2. Evolution of an isothermal interface, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **108** (1989), 323 – 391.
- [2] S. B. Angenent and M. E. Gurtin, Anisotropic motion of a phase interface, preprint, 1991.
- [3] Y.-G. Chen, Y. Giga and S. Goto, Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations, J. Differential Geometry **33** (1991), 749 – 786.

- [4] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii and P.-L. Lions, User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **27** (1992), 1 – 67.
- [5] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck, Motion of level sets by mean curvature I, *J. Differential Geometry* **33** (1991), 635 – 681.
- [6] M. E. Gurtin and H. M. Soner and P. E. Souganidis, Anisotropic motion of an interface relaxed by the formation of infinitesimal wrinkles, preprint, 1992.
- [7] H. Ishii, in preparation.
- [8] M. Ohnuma and M. Sato, Singular degenerate parabolic equations with applications to geometric evolutions, preprint.

Department of Mathematics  
Chuo University  
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112  
Japan

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze the data. This includes both manual and automated processes, as well as the use of specialized software tools. The goal is to ensure that the data is both reliable and easy to interpret.

The final part of the document provides a summary of the findings and offers some recommendations for future work. It suggests that further research is needed to explore the potential of these methods in other contexts.