

Chapter 6

Well-posedness in the Gevrey classes

6.1 Gevrey well-posedness

We study the same operator

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, D) &= -D_0^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2, \alpha_0 < 2} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha = P_2 + P_1 + P_0 \\ &= -D_0^2 + A_1(x, D') D_0 + A_2(x, D') \end{aligned}$$

as in the preceding chapter. As before we assume that p vanishes exactly of order 2 on a C^∞ manifold Σ on which σ has constant rank and p is noneffectively hyperbolic, that is we assume that $\Sigma = \{(x, \xi) \mid p(x, \xi) = 0, dp(x, \xi) = 0\}$ is a C^∞ manifold and (4.1.1) is satisfied.

We assume (5.1.1) but not (5.1.2). Thus the Hamilton flow H_p may touch Σ tangentially. If the Hamilton map really touches Σ tangentially then the Cauchy problem is no more C^∞ well posed even though under the Levi condition (which will be proved in Chapter 8). What is the best we can expect is the well-posedness in much smaller function space, that is in the Gevrey class s with $1 \leq s \leq 5$ under the Levi condition. We start with the definition of the Gevrey classes.

Definition 6.1.1 *We say $f(x) \in \gamma^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Gevrey class of order s (≥ 1) if for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exist $C > 0$, $h > 0$ such that*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq Ch^{-|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^s, \quad x \in K, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

holds. We also set

$$\gamma_0^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \gamma^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Theorem 6.1.1 *Assume (4.1.1), (5.1.1) and that $P_{sub} = 0$ everywhere on Σ . Then the Cauchy problem for P is well posed in $\gamma^{(s)}$ with $1 \leq s \leq 5$, that is*

for any $f(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}; \gamma_0^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ vanishing in $x_0 \leq 0$ there is $u(x)$ which is C^∞ , vanishing in $x_0 \leq 0$ satisfying

$$Pu = f$$

near the origin.

The optimality of the index 5 will be discussed in Chapter 8.

6.2 Preliminaries

Let fix $\rho \in \Sigma$ and we work near ρ . Thanks to Proposition 3.3.2, p admits a decomposition verifying the conditions in Proposition 3.3.2. We extend these ϕ_j (given in Proposition 3.3.2) outside a neighborhood of ρ so that they belong to $S(\langle \xi' \rangle, g_0)$ and zero outside another neighborhood of ρ . Using thus extended ϕ_j let us write

$$p = -(\xi_0 + \phi_1)(\xi_0 - \phi_1) + \sum_{j=2}^r \phi_j^2$$

which of course coincides with the original p near ρ . Take $0 \leq \chi_1(x', \xi') \leq 1$, homogeneous of degree 0 in ξ' ($|\xi'| \geq 1$), which is 1 in a conic neighborhood of ρ' where $\rho = (0, \rho')$ and supported in another small conic neighborhood of ρ' on which Proposition 3.3.2 holds. We now define $f(x, \xi')$ solving

$$(6.2.1) \quad \{\xi_0 - \phi_1, f\} = 0, \quad f(0, x', \xi') = (1 - \chi_1(x', \xi'))|\xi'|.$$

Note that $f(x, \xi') = |\xi'|$ outside some neighborhood of ρ' because $\phi_1 = 0$ and $\chi_1 = 0$ outside some neighborhood of ρ' .

Lemma 6.2.1 *Let $f(x, \xi')$ be as above. Taking $M > 0$ large and $\tau > 0$ small we have a decomposition*

$$p = -(\xi_0 + \phi_1)(\xi_0 - \phi_1) + \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \phi_j^2$$

in $|x_0| < \tau$ with $\phi_{r+1} = Mf(x, \xi')$ such that

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} C_{jk} \phi_k$$

and

$$\{\phi_2, \phi_1\} + |\phi_{r+1}| \geq c|\xi'|$$

with some $c > 0$.

Proof: By a compactness argument there are $c > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ such that we have

$$f(x, \xi') \geq c|\xi'|$$

outside a neighborhood of ρ' if $|x_0| \leq \tau$. We may assume that one can write $\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j\} = \sum_{j=1}^r c_{jk} \phi_k$ in a neighborhood V and $|\phi_{r+1}| \geq c|\xi'|$ outside V . Thus one can write

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j\} - \sum_{j=1}^r c_{jk} \phi_k = c\phi_{r+1}$$

with a smooth c which proves the first assertion. The second assertion is obvious. \square

Lemma 6.2.2 *Assume that P satisfies the Levi condition on Σ . Then P_{sub} can be written*

$$P_{sub} = \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} C_j \phi_j$$

where $\phi_0 = \xi_0$.

Proof: Let $P_{sub} - C_0 \xi_0$ be independent of ξ_0 . Then in a neighborhood V of ρ' , $|x_0| \leq \tau$ we can write

$$P_{sub} - C_0 \xi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r C_j \phi_j$$

thanks to the Levi condition where $C_j \in S(1, g_0)$. The rest of the proof is just a repetition of the proof of Lemma 6.2.1. \square

We now make a dilation of the variable; $x_0 \rightarrow \mu x_0$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu^2 p(x, \xi, \mu) \\ &= -(\xi_0 + \phi_1(\mu x_0, x', \mu \xi'))(\xi_0 - \phi_1(\mu x_0, x', \mu \xi')) + \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \phi_j(\mu x_0, x', \mu \xi')^2. \end{aligned}$$

We simply write $\phi_j(\mu x_0, x', \mu \xi')$ as $\phi_j(x, \xi', \mu)$ or sometimes $\phi_j(x, \xi')$. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= |dx'|^2 + \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-2} |d\xi'|^2, \\ \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^2 &= \mu^{-2} + |\xi'|^2 = \mu^{-2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

The symbol class $S(m, g_1)$ is defined in Definition 4.2.1 with $C_{\alpha\beta}$ which is independent of μ . Namely by $S(m, g_1)$ we denote the set of all smooth $a(x, \xi', \mu)$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha a(x, \xi', \mu)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} m(x, \xi', \mu) \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-|\alpha|}$$

with some $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ independent of $0 < \mu < \mu_0$.

Lemma 6.2.3 *Let $a(x, \xi') \in S(\langle \xi' \rangle^k, g_0)$. Then we have*

$$a(\mu x_0, x', \mu \xi') \in S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^k, g_1).$$

Proof: Easy. □

Let us set

$$(6.2.2) \quad w = \sqrt{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} \phi_1^2 + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-4/5}}, \quad \Phi = \sqrt{1 - aw}.$$

with some constant $a > 0$ so that $1 - aw \geq a_1 > 0$. Let us define

$$g = w^{-2}(|dx'|^2 + \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-2} |d\xi'|^2) = w^{-2} g_1$$

then we have

$$w \in S(w, g), \quad \phi_1 \in S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle w, g).$$

That is w verifies the estimates

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha w(x, \xi', \mu)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} w(x, \xi', \mu) w^{-|\beta|} (w \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu)^{-|\alpha|}$$

with some $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ independent of $0 < \mu < \mu_0$. We rewrite p as

$$p = -(\xi_0 + \phi_1 \Phi)(\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi) + \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \phi_j^2 + aw \phi_1^2$$

because $1 - \Phi^2 = aw$. Remark that

$$\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi = \xi_0 - \phi_1 - \phi_1(\Phi - 1) = \xi_0 - \phi_1 + \phi_1 \psi$$

where

$$\psi = 1 - \Phi = \frac{aw}{1 + \sqrt{1 - aw}} \in S(w, g).$$

Lemma 6.2.4 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \psi &\in S(w^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g), \quad \phi_1(1 + \psi) \in S(w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g), \\ \partial_x^\alpha (\phi_1 \Phi) &\in S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g), \quad |\alpha| = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The first two assertions are clear. To check the third assertion it is enough to note that $\partial_x^\alpha \Phi \in S(1, g)$ for $|\alpha| = 1$. □

Then Lemma 6.2.1 shows

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j\} = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} C_{jk} \phi_k, \quad C_{jk} \in \mu S(1, g_1),$$

$$\{\phi_2, \phi_1\} + \mu \phi_{r+1}^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-1} \geq c \mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle.$$

In what follows we set

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{5}, \quad \delta = \frac{4}{5}$$

and assume that $a = 1$ without restrictions. Since $w \geq \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta/2}$ and hence $w^{-1/2} \leq \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\delta/4} = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1/5} = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa$ so that $w^{-1/2} \in S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$.

In the next lemma we summarize a few important properties of the calculus of μ dependent pseudodifferential operators introduced above.

Lemma 6.2.5 *Let $a \in S(m_1, g)$ and $b \in S(m_2, g_1)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} a\#a - a^2 &\in \mu^2 S(m_1^2 w^{-4} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}, g), \\ a\#b - b\#a - \frac{1}{i} \{a, b\} &\in \mu^3 S(m_1 m_2 w^{-3} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-3}, g), \\ a\#b + b\#a - 2ab &\in \mu^2 S(m_1 m_2 w^{-2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}, g). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.2.1 *Let $a \in S(m_1, g)$ and $b \in S(m_2, g_1)$ be real. Then we have*

$$([ab]^w u, u) = \operatorname{Re}(b^w u, a^w u) + (T^w u, u)$$

with $T \in \mu^2 S(m_1 m_2 w^{-2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}, g)$.

We now prepare several lemmas which we use in Section 6.4 to derive energy estimates.

Lemma 6.2.6 *Let $a \in \mu S(1, g)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2, \\ \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_j^2]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2]^w u, u) + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{2\kappa} u\|^2, \quad j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2, \\ \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_j^2]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2, \quad j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in \mu S(1, g)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[a\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j]^w u\|^2 &\leq C\mu^2 \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) + C\mu^4 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2, \\ \|[a\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \sqrt{w} \phi_1]^w u\|^2 &\leq C\mu^2 \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) + C\mu^4 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: It is enough to prove the case $a \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(A^w u, u) = ([\operatorname{Re} A]^w u, u)$ we may assume that a is real. Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} C\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 w - a\phi_1^2 w &= C\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 w (1 - C^{-1} \mu^{-1} a \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa}) \\ &= C\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 w \psi^2 = C\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_1 \sqrt{w} \psi \# \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_1 \sqrt{w} \psi \\ &\quad + \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{3\kappa}, g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) - \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) \geq -C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2$$

which shows the first assertion. From Corollary 6.2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} ([a\phi_j^2]^w u, u) &= \operatorname{Re}([\mu^{1/2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j]^w u, [\mu^{-1/2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} a\phi_j]^w u) \\ &\quad + (T^w u, u) \end{aligned}$$

with $T \in \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w^{-2}, g) \subset \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g)$. Since one can write

$$\mu^{-1/2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} a \phi_j = \mu^{-1/2} a \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa} \# \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j + \mu^{3/2} S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} w^{-1}, g)$$

and $S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} w^{-1}, g) \subset S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1/2}, g)$ we have

$$\|[\mu^{-1/2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} a \phi_j]^w u\|^2 \leq C \mu \|[\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j]^w u\|^2 + C \mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2.$$

This proves the assertion.

We turn to the next assertion. Note that

$$a \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j = a \# \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j + \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1/2}, g)$$

and hence

$$\| [a \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j]^w u \|^2 \leq C \mu^2 \| [\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j]^w u \|^2 + C \mu^4 \| \langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u \|^2.$$

Since $\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j \# \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2 + \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ we apply the assertion just proven to get the assertion. Finally we note

$$a \sqrt{w} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_1 \# a \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_1 = a^2 w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 + \mu^4 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{3\kappa}, g)$$

which, together with the above assertion, proves the desired assertion. \square

Lemma 6.2.7 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} c([\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1+\kappa} \sqrt{w}]^w u, u) &\leq \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 w]^w u, u) \\ &+ \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_2^2]^w u, u) + \operatorname{Re}([\phi_{r+1}^2]^w u, u) + C \mu \| \langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u \|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with some $c > 0$.

Proof: Put $A = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_1 \sqrt{w}$, $B = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_2$ and note that

$$A \in S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1+\kappa/2} w^{3/2}, g), \quad B \in S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1+\kappa/2}, g_1).$$

Note that $|([A^w, B^w] u, u)| \leq ([A \# A]^w u, u) + ([B \# B]^w u, u)$ and recall

$$[A^w, B^w] = \frac{1}{i} \{A, B\}^w + \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-1+\kappa} w^{-3/2}, g).$$

Thus we have

$$i[A^w, B^w] = \{A, B\}^w + \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa}, g).$$

Let us consider the first term in the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\} &= \{\phi_1 \sqrt{w}, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2}\} \phi_2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} + \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2}, \phi_2\} \sqrt{w} \phi_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \\ &+ \{\sqrt{w}, \phi_2\} \phi_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa + \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \sqrt{w} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa = K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\{\phi_1 \sqrt{w}, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2}\} \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \sqrt{w}, g), \quad \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2}, \phi_2\} \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2}, g_1)$$

we see that the first and the second term can be written as

$$T_1 \# B + R_1, \quad T_2 \# A + R_2$$

where $T_i \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2}, g)$ and $R_i \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, g)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(K_1 u, u) &\geq -C\mu(\|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} u\|^2 + \|B^w u\|^2) - C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2, \\ \operatorname{Re}(K_2 u, u) &\geq -C\mu(\|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} u\|^2 + \|A^w u\|^2) - C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consider K_3 . Note that

$$\{\sqrt{w}, \phi_2\} = \frac{1}{4} w^{-3/2} (2\{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \phi_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} + \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}, \phi_2\} \phi_1^2 + \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}, \phi_2\}).$$

Since $w^{-5/2} \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} \in \mu S(1, g)$ and $w^{-5/2} \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}, \phi_2\} \phi_1 \in \mu S(1, g)$ and $w^{-3/2} \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}, \phi_2\} \in \mu S(w^{-3/2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}, g)$ one can write

$$K_3 = a\phi_1^2 w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa + b\phi_1$$

with $a \in \mu S(1, g)$, $b \in \mu S(1, g)$. We first consider $\operatorname{Re}([b\phi_1]^w u, u)$. Note that

$$2\operatorname{Re}([b\phi_1]^w u, u) \geq -\mu^{-1} \|[\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \# b\phi_1]^w u\|^2 - \mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} u\|^2$$

and $\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \# b\phi_1 = b\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \phi_1 + \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2}, g)$. Remarking that

$$b\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \# b\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} = b^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa} \phi_1^2 + \mu^4 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{3\kappa}, g)$$

and $b^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa} \phi_1^2 = a \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2$ with $a \in \mu^2 S(1, g)$ we conclude that, applying Lemma 6.2.6

$$2\operatorname{Re}([b\phi_1]^w u, u) \geq -C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) - C\mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2.$$

From Lemma 6.2.6 again we see that

$$\operatorname{Re}(K_3^w u, u) \geq -C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) - C\mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2.$$

We turn to K_4 . From the assumption we may assume that

$$C\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle \geq \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} + \mu \phi_{r+1}^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-1} = T \geq c\mu \langle \mu \xi' \rangle$$

with some $C > 0$, $c > 0$. Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} C(K_4 + \mu \phi_{r+1}^2 \sqrt{w} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-1+\kappa}) - \mu \sqrt{w} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1+\kappa} \\ = C\sqrt{w} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa T (1 - C^{-1} \mu T^{-1} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle) \\ = \psi \# \psi + \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{3\kappa}, g) \end{aligned}$$

from which we see that

$$\begin{aligned} C\operatorname{Re}(K_4^w u, u) &\geq \operatorname{Re}([\mu \sqrt{w} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1+\kappa}]^w u, u) \\ &\quad - C\mu \operatorname{Re}((\sqrt{w} \phi_{r+1}^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-1+\kappa})^w u, u) - C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimates show

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\{A, B\}^w u, u) &\geq c\operatorname{Re}([\mu\sqrt{w}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{1+\kappa}]^w u, u) \\ &\quad - C\mu(\|A^w u\|^2 + \|B^w u\|^2 + \|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2) \\ &\quad - C\mu\operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) - C\mu\|[\phi_{r+1}^2]^w u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

because $\phi_{r+1}^2\sqrt{w}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-1+\kappa} = \phi_{r+1}\#(\phi_{r+1}\sqrt{w}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-1+\kappa}) + \mu^{4/5}S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{2\kappa}, g)$. Let us turn to $A\#A, B\#B$. Since $A \in S(w^{3/2}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{1+\kappa/2}, g)$, $B \in S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{1+\kappa/2}, g_1)$ we see

$$\begin{aligned} A\#A &= w\phi_1^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa + \mu^2S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{3\kappa}, g), \\ B\#B &= \phi_2^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa + \mu^2S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa, g_1) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^w u\|^2 &= ([A\#A]^w u, u) \leq \operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^2\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2, \\ \|B^w u\|^2 &= ([B\#B]^w u, u) \leq \operatorname{Re}([\phi_2^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^2\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

These prove the assertion. \square

Corollary 6.2.2 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{1/2} u\|^2 &\leq C\operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + C\operatorname{Re}([\phi_2^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\operatorname{Re}([\phi_{r+1}^2]^w u, u) + C\mu\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Write

$$\begin{aligned} &C\mu\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{1+\kappa}\sqrt{w} - \mu\langle\mu\xi'\rangle \\ &= C\mu\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{1+\kappa}\sqrt{w}(1 - C^{-1}w^{-1/2}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa}) \\ &= C\mu\psi\#\psi + \mu^3S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{3\kappa}, g) \end{aligned}$$

with $\psi = \langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{(1+\kappa)/2}w^{1/4}(1 - C^{-1}w^{-1/2}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa})^{1/2}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &C\mu\operatorname{Re}([\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{1+\kappa}\sqrt{w}]^w u, u) - \mu\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{1/2} u\|^2 \\ &\geq -C\mu^3\|\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion. \square

Lemma 6.2.8 *Let $j \neq 1$ and $a \in \mu S(1, g)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_1\phi_j]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu\operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + C\mu\operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^3\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We may assume that a is real. Consider

$$a\phi_1\phi_j = \operatorname{Re}(\mu^{1/2}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{\kappa/2}\phi_j\#\mu^{-1/2}a\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}\phi_1) + \mu^3S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{2\kappa}, g).$$

Since $\mu^{1/2}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{\kappa/2}\phi_j\#\mu^{1/2}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{\kappa/2}\phi_j = \mu\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa\phi_j^2 + \mu^3S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa, g_1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu^{-1/2}a\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}\phi_1\#\mu^{-1/2}a\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}\phi_1 \\ &= (\mu^{-1}a^2w^{-1}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-2\kappa})\phi_1^2w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa + \mu^3S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{3\kappa}, g) \end{aligned}$$

we see that, noting $\mu^{-1}a^2w^{-1}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-2\kappa} \in \mu S(1, g)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_1\phi_j]^w u, u) &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\mu\|[\phi_j\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{\kappa/2}]^w u\|^2 + \mu^{-1}\|[a\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}\phi_1]^w u\|^2) \\ &\quad + C\mu^3\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa u\|^2 \leq C\mu\operatorname{Re}([\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa\phi_j^2]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + C\mu\operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^3\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2}u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is the assertion. □

Lemma 6.2.9 *Let $a \in \mu S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle w, g)$. Then for $j \neq 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_j]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu^{1/2}\operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ &+ C\mu^{1/2}\operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^{1/2}\operatorname{Re}([\phi_2^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ &+ C\mu^{1/2}\operatorname{Re}([\phi_{r+1}^2]^w u, u) + C\mu^{3/2}\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2}u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let us write

$$a\phi_j = \operatorname{Re}(\mu^{1/4}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{\kappa/2}\phi_j\#\mu^{-1/4}\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}a) + \mu^3S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{2\kappa}, g)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([a\phi_j]^w u, u) &\leq \mu^{1/2}\|[\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{\kappa/2}\phi_j]^w u\|^2 \\ &+ \mu^{-1/2}\|[\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}a]^w u\|^2 + C\mu^3\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}a\#\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}a = \langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa}a^2 + \mu^4S(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{3\kappa}, g)$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa}a^2 &= (w^{-2}a^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-2})w^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{2-\kappa} \\ &= b(\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-2}\phi_1^2 + \langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\delta})\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{2-\kappa} \\ &= b\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-2\kappa}w^{-1}(\phi_1^2w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa) + b\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{2-\delta-\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

where $b = w^{-2}a^2\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-2} \in \mu^2S(1, g)$. Since $2 - \delta - \kappa = 1$ thanks to Lemma 6.2.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{-1/2}\|[\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^{-\kappa/2}a]^w u\|^2 &\leq C\mu^{3/2}\operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2w\langle\mu\xi'\rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ &+ C\mu^{5/2}\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{3\kappa/2}u\|^2 + C\mu^{3/2}\|\langle\mu D'\rangle^{1/2}u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Corollary 6.2.2 we get the assertion. □

We now estimate $\{\xi_0 - \phi_1\Phi, \phi_j^2\}$, $\{\xi_0 - \phi_1\Phi, w\phi_j^2\}$. Recall that

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1\Phi, \phi_j^2\} = \{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j^2\} + \{\phi_1\psi, \phi_j^2\}.$$

From Lemma 6.2.1 we have

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j^2\} = 2\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_j\}\phi_j = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} C_{jk}\phi_k\phi_j$$

where $C_{jk} \in \mu S(1, g_1)$. Note that for $j, k \geq 2$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([C_{jk}\phi_k\phi_j]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_k^2]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2]^w u, u) + C\mu^3 \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $C_{j1}\phi_1\phi_j$ we apply Lemma 6.2.8 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}([C_{j1}\phi_1\phi_j]^w u, u) &\leq C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + C\mu \operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider for $j \geq 2$

$$\{\phi_1\psi, \phi_j^2\} = 2\{\phi_1, \phi_j\}\phi_j\psi + 2\{\psi, \phi_j\}\phi_j\phi_1.$$

Write

$$\{\phi_1, \phi_j\}\phi_j\psi = \operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \phi_j \# \{\phi_1, \phi_j\} \psi \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2}) + \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, g)$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi_1, \phi_j\}^2 \psi^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa} &= (\{\phi_1, \phi_j\}^2 \psi^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} w^{-2}) w^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2-\kappa} \\ &= T w^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2-\kappa} = T(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} \phi_1^2 + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}) \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2-\kappa} \\ &= (T w^{-1} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2\kappa}) w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2 + T \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2-\delta-\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

with $T = \{\phi_1, \phi_j\}^2 \psi^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} w^{-2}$ and hence we have $T w^{-1} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2\kappa} \in \mu^2 S(1, g)$, $T \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2-\delta-\kappa} \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g)$. We now apply Lemma 6.2.8 and Corollary 6.2.2 to get the desired estimate.

We turn to estimate $\{\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi, w\phi_1^2\}$. Consider

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, w\phi_1^2\} = 2\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_1\}\phi_1 w + \{\xi_0 - \phi_1, w\}\phi_1^2.$$

For the first term of the right-hand side we remark that

$$\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_1\} = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} C_{1k}\phi_k$$

and apply Lemma 6.2.8 and Lemma 6.2.6 to get the estimates. Let us study

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_0 - \phi_1, w\} &= \frac{1}{2} w^{-1} \{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} \phi_1^2 + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} w^{-1} \{\phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}\} \phi_1^2 + w^{-1} \{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_1\} \phi_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} w^{-1} \{\phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $w^{-1}\{\phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}\} \phi_1^2$, $w^{-1}\{\phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}\} \in \mu S(w, g)$ and apply Lemma 6.2.6 to $w^{-1}\{\phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}\} \phi_1^4$ and $w^{-1}\{\phi_1, \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}\} \phi_1^2$ to obtain the estimates. As for the second term on the right-hand side it is enough to note that

$$w^{-1}\{\xi_0 - \phi_1, \phi_1\} \phi_1^3 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} = \sum_{k=1}^r T_k \phi_k \phi_1$$

with $T_k \in \mu S(w, g)$. We finally consider

$$\{\phi_1 \psi, \phi_1^2 w\} = \{\phi_1, w\} \phi_1^2 \psi + \{\psi, w\} \phi_1^3 + 2\{\psi, \phi_1\} \phi_1^2 w.$$

Note that $\{\phi_1, w\}$, $\{\psi, \phi_1\} \in \mu S(1, g)$ and apply Lemma 6.2.6. Recall that

$$\{w, \psi\} = \frac{1}{2} w^{-1} (2\{\phi_1, \psi\} \phi_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} + \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2}, \psi\} \phi_1^2 + \{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\delta}, \psi\}).$$

Hence one can write $\{w, \psi\} \phi_1^3 = T w \phi_1^2$ with $T \in \mu S(1, g)$ we apply Lemma 6.2.8 and Lemma 6.2.6 again to obtain the desired estimate.

Proposition 6.2.1 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} |\operatorname{Re}(\{\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi, \phi_j^2\}^w u, u)|, |\operatorname{Re}(\{\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi, w \phi_1^2\}^w u, u)| \\ & \leq C \mu \left(\sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) + \operatorname{Re}([w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2]^w u, u) \right) \\ & \quad + C \mu^2 \| \langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

6.3 A lemma on composition

In this section, to simplify notations we use ξ , x instead of ξ' and x' . Let us consider

$$e^{\phi(D, \mu)} b^w(x, D, \mu) e^{-\phi(D, \mu)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\phi(D, \mu)} b^w(x, D, \mu) v &= \int e^{i(x\xi - z\xi + (z-y)\eta)} e^{\phi(\xi, \mu)} \\ & \quad \times b\left(\frac{z+y}{2}, \eta, \mu\right) v(y) dy d\eta dz d\xi \end{aligned}$$

inserting

$$e^{-\phi(D, \mu)} u(y) = \int e^{iy\zeta - \phi(\zeta, \mu)} \hat{u}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

into v we have

$$e^{\phi(D, \mu)} b^w(x, D, \mu) e^{-\phi(D, \mu)} u = \int e^{ix\zeta} I(x, \zeta, \mu) \hat{u}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

where

$$I = \int e^{i(x\xi - z\xi + (z-y)\eta + y\zeta - x\zeta)} e^{\phi(\xi, \mu)} b\left(\frac{z+y}{2}, \eta, \mu\right) e^{-\phi(\zeta, \mu)} dy d\eta dz d\xi.$$

Let us consider $I(x, \zeta, \mu)$. Make a change of variables such that

$$\tilde{z} = (y+z)/2, \quad \tilde{y} = (y-z)/2$$

and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 2^n \int e^{i(-\tilde{z}(\xi-\zeta) + \tilde{y}(\xi-2\eta+\zeta) + x(\xi-\zeta))} e^{\phi(\xi, \mu)} b(\tilde{z}, \eta, \mu) e^{-\phi(\zeta, \mu)} d\tilde{y} d\eta d\tilde{z} d\xi \\ &= 2^n \int e^{i\tilde{y}(\xi-2\eta+\zeta)} d\tilde{y} \int e^{-i(\tilde{z}-x)(\xi-\zeta)} e^{\phi(\xi, \mu)} b(\tilde{z}, \eta, \mu) e^{-\phi(\zeta, \mu)} d\eta d\tilde{z} d\xi \\ &= 2^n \int e^{-2i(\tilde{z}-x)(\eta-\zeta)} e^{\phi(2\eta-\zeta, \mu)} b(\tilde{z}, \eta, \mu) e^{-\phi(\zeta, \mu)} d\eta d\tilde{z} \\ &= \int e^{-i\tilde{z}\eta} e^{\phi(\sqrt{2}\eta+\zeta, \mu) - \phi(\zeta, \mu)} b\left(x + \frac{\tilde{z}}{\sqrt{2}}, \zeta + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu\right) d\eta d\tilde{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\phi(D, \mu)} b^w(x, D, \mu) e^{-\phi(D, \mu)} u &= \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, \xi, \mu) u(y) dy d\xi \\ &= \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, \xi, \mu) u(y) dy d\xi = a(x, D, \mu) u \end{aligned}$$

with

$$a(x, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{-iy\eta} e^{\phi(\xi + \sqrt{2}\eta, \mu) - \phi(\xi, \mu)} b\left(x + \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}, \xi + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu\right) dy d\eta.$$

Here we remark that with

$$q(x, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{iz\zeta} p\left(x + \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}, \xi + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu\right) dz d\zeta$$

we have

$$(6.3.1) \quad q^w(x, D, \mu) = p(x, D, \mu).$$

Indeed we see

$$\begin{aligned} q^w(x, D, \mu) u &= \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} q\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi, \mu\right) u(y) dy d\xi \\ &= \int e^{i(x\xi - y\xi + z\zeta)} p\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}, \xi + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu\right) u(y) dy d\xi dz d\zeta \\ &= \int e^{i((x-y-z)\xi + z\zeta)} p\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}, \zeta, \mu\right) u(y) dy d\xi dz d\zeta \\ &= \int e^{iz\zeta} p(x, \zeta, \mu) u(x-z) dz d\zeta \\ &= \int e^{i(x-z)\zeta} p(x, \zeta, \mu) u(z) dz d\zeta = p(x, D, \mu) u. \end{aligned}$$

From (6.3.1) it follows that

$$c^w(x, D, \mu) = a(x, D, \mu)$$

with

$$c(x, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{iz\zeta} a\left(x + \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}, \xi + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu\right) dz d\zeta.$$

Insert the expression of $a(x, \xi, \mu)$ into the above formula to get

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, \xi, \mu) &= \int e^{i(z\zeta - y\eta)} e^{\phi(\sqrt{2}\eta + \xi + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu) - \phi(\xi + \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu)} \\ &\quad \times b\left(x + \frac{z+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \xi + \frac{\eta+\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}, \mu\right) dy d\eta dz d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Make a change of variables

$$\tilde{z} = \frac{z+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{y-z}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tilde{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta+\eta}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\eta-\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, \xi, \mu) &= \int e^{-i(\tilde{z}\tilde{\eta} + \tilde{y}\tilde{\zeta})} e^{\phi(\frac{3\tilde{\zeta}}{2} + \xi + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi + \frac{\tilde{\zeta}}{2} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}, \mu)} \\ &\quad \times b(x + \tilde{z}, \xi + \tilde{\zeta}, \mu) d\tilde{y} d\tilde{\eta} d\tilde{z} d\tilde{\zeta} \\ &= \int e^{-i\tilde{z}\tilde{\eta}} e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}, \mu)} b(x + \tilde{z}, \xi, \mu) d\tilde{z} d\tilde{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

Lemma 6.3.1 *We have*

$$e^{\phi(D, \mu)} b^w(x, D, \mu) e^{-\phi(D, \mu)} = c^w(x, D, \mu)$$

where

$$c(x, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{-iy\eta} e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} b(x + y, \xi, \mu) dy d\eta.$$

Here we recall

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^2 &= \mu^{-2} + |\xi'|^2 = \mu^{-2} \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^2, \\ w &= \sqrt{\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-2} \phi_1^2 + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-4/5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < \sigma < 1$ and we define the metric \bar{g}

$$\bar{g} = \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma (|dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-2} |d\xi|^2)$$

and recall

$$g = w(x, \xi, \mu)^{-2} (|dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-2} |d\xi|^2).$$

Definition 6.3.1 We say $b(x, \xi, \mu) \in \gamma^{(s)}S(m(x, \xi, \mu), \bar{g})$ if $b(x, \xi, \mu)$ verifies the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b(x, \xi, \mu)| &\leq C_\beta m(x, \xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\beta|} \\ &\quad \times A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

for every α, β .

We assume that $b(x, \xi, \mu)$ is independent of x for $|x| \geq M$ with a large M . Here we note that if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b(x, \xi, \mu)| \leq C_\beta m(x, \xi, \mu) \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-|\beta|} A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$$

then it is clear that $b(x, \xi, \mu) \in \gamma^{(s)}S(m(x, \xi, \mu), \bar{g})$.

Lemma 6.3.2 Let $a_i(x, \xi, \mu) \in \gamma^{(s)}S(m_i(x, \xi, \mu), \bar{g})$, $i = 1, 2$. Then we have

$$a_1(x, \xi, \mu) a_2(x, \xi, \mu) \in \gamma^{(s)}S(m_1(x, \xi, \mu) m_2(x, \xi, \mu), \bar{g}).$$

Proof: It is enough to note that

$$\sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} A_1^{|\alpha'|} |\alpha'|^{s/2} A_2^{|\alpha - \alpha'|} |\alpha - \alpha'|^{s/2} \leq A_1^{1+|\alpha|} (A_1 - A_2)^{-1} |\alpha|^{s/2}$$

for $A_1 > A_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha'|} (|\alpha - \alpha'|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha - \alpha'|} \\ \leq (|\alpha|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma)^{|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

which is easily checked. □

We now show

Lemma 6.3.3 Let $s \geq 4$. Assume that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta f(x, \xi, \mu)| \leq C_\beta \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-|\beta|} A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^s$$

for every α, β . Then we have

$$w(x, \xi, \mu) = \sqrt{f(x, \xi, \mu)^2 + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma}} \in \gamma^{(s)}S(w(x, \xi, \mu), \bar{g}).$$

We first show the next lemma.

Lemma 6.3.4 Let $s \geq 4$ and assume that

$$(6.3.2) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq C_1 C_2^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^s, \quad |\alpha| \geq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

with positive constants C_1, C_2 . We define $w(x) = \sqrt{f(x)^2 + B^{-2}}$ with a positive constant B . Then there exist positive constant A_i such that

$$(6.3.3) \quad \begin{cases} |\partial_x^\alpha w(x)| \leq w(x) A_1^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + B)^{|\alpha|}, & |\alpha| \geq 1, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha w^{-1}(x)| \leq w^{-1}(x) A_2^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + B)^{|\alpha|}, & |\alpha| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Note that

$$(6.3.4) \quad w(x)\partial_x^e w(x) = f(x)\partial_x^e f(x) = F(x), \quad |e| = 1$$

where we may assume that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha F(x)| \leq A^{|\alpha|+1}|\alpha|^s, \quad |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Assume that the inequalities (6.3.3) hold for $|\alpha| \leq n$ and study $\partial_x^\alpha w(x)$ with $|\alpha| = n + 1$. Using (6.3.4) we have

$$(6.3.5) \quad w\partial_x^{\alpha+e}w = - \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} \partial_x^\beta w \partial_x^{\alpha+e-\beta} w + \partial_x^\alpha F$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |w\partial_x^{\alpha+e}w| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} w A_1^j j!^{s/2} (j^{s/2} + B)^j w A_1^{n+1-j} (n+1-j)!^{s/2} \\ &\quad \times ((n+1-j)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1-j} + A^{n+1} n!^s \\ &\leq w^2 A_1^{n+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} j!^{s/2} (n+1-j)!^{s/2} (j^{s/2} + B)^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times ((n+1-j)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1-j} + w^{-2} (A/A_1)^{n+1} n!^s \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(j^{s/2} + B)^j ((n+1-j)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1-j} \leq ((n+1)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1}$$

and $w^{-2} \leq B^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &((n+1)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1} (n+1)!^{s/2} \\ &\geq \frac{(n+1)n}{2} (n+1)^{(n-1)s/2} B^2 (n+1)!^{s/2} \\ &\geq \frac{(n+1)n}{2} B^2 n!^s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} |w^{(n+1)}| &\leq w A_1^{n+1} ((n+1)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1} (n+1)!^{s/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n+1}{j}^{-s/2} + \left(\frac{A}{A_1} \right)^{n+1} \frac{2}{(n+1)n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now check that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n+1}{j}^{-s/2} + \left(\frac{A}{A_1} \right)^{n+1} \frac{2}{(n+1)n} \leq 1$$

if $A/A_1 \leq 1$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n+1}{j}^{-s/2} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n+1-j}{n} \binom{n+1}{j}^{1-s/2} \\ &\leq n \binom{n+1}{n}^{1-s/2} = \frac{n}{(n+1)^{s/2-1}} \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

because $s \geq 4$ and hence the first assertion.

To check the second assertion we assume that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha w^{-1}| \leq w^{-1} A_2^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + B)^{|\alpha|}$$

holds for $|\alpha| \leq n$. From $ww^{-1} = 1$ we have with $|\alpha| = n+1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha w^{-1}| &\leq w^{-1} A_2^{n+1} (n+1)!^{s/2} ((n+1)^{s/2} + B)^{n+1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{j} \binom{n+1}{j}^{-s/2} \left(\frac{A_1}{A_2}\right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to take A_2 so that $A_1/A_2 \leq 1/2$ to get the desired estimates for $|\partial_x^\alpha w^{-1}|$. \square

Corollary 6.3.1 *Assume that $s \geq 4$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha w^{-m}| \leq w^{-m} A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + B)^{|\alpha|}$$

with some $A > 0$.

Proof: It is clear from Lemma 6.3.4 and the proof of Lemma 6.3.2. \square

Proof of Lemma 6.3.3: Note that one can write

$$(6.3.6) \quad \partial_\xi^\beta w = \partial_\xi^\beta (w^2)^{1/2} = \sum C_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k} w^{1-2k} (\partial_\xi^{\beta_1} w^2) \cdots (\partial_\xi^{\beta_k} w^2)$$

where $\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k = \beta$ and $|\beta_j| \geq 1$. Remarking

$$|\partial_\xi^\beta w^2| \leq C_\beta w \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-1} \leq C_\beta w^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\sigma/2} \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-1}$$

for $|\beta| = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta w^2| &\leq C_\beta \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-|\beta|} A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} \leq C_\beta w^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\sigma \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu^{-|\beta|} A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} \\ &\leq C_\beta w^2 (\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu)^{-|\beta|} A_1^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

for $|\alpha + \beta| \geq 2$ ($|\beta| \geq 1$) we conclude that

$$(6.3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha (\partial_\xi^{\beta_j} w^2)| &\leq C_{\beta_j} w^2 (\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi' \rangle_\mu)^{-|\beta_j|} \\ &\quad \times A_1^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

for any α . Applying Corollary 6.3.1 to w^{1-2k} with $B = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\sigma/2}$ we get

$$(6.3.8) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha w^{1-2k}| \leq C w^{1-2k} A_1^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^{s/2} (|\alpha|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha|}.$$

Then the assertion follows immediately from (6.3.7), (6.3.8) and (6.3.6). \square

Let

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{s}$$

and assume that $\sigma + \kappa \leq 1$. As for $\phi(\xi, \mu)$ we assume that

$$(6.3.9) \quad \begin{cases} \phi(\xi, \mu) \in S(\langle \mu \xi \rangle^\kappa, |dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-2} |d\xi|^2), \\ \phi(\xi + \eta, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \eta, \mu) \leq C \langle \mu \eta \rangle^\kappa. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

Proposition 6.3.1 *Let $\sigma + \kappa \leq 1$ and*

$$b(x, \xi, \mu) \in \gamma^{(1/\kappa)} S(m(x, \xi, \mu), \bar{g}) \cap \gamma^{(1/\kappa)} S(\tilde{m}(\xi, \mu), \bar{g}).$$

Assume (6.3.9). Let $e^{\phi(D, \mu)} b^w(x, D, \mu) e^{-\phi(D, \mu)} = c^w(x, D, \mu)$ then one can write

$$c(x, \xi, \mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_j(x, \xi, \mu) + R_N(x, \xi, \mu)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &= \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\eta^\alpha e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \Big|_{\eta=0} b_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi, \mu) \\ &\in \mu^j S(m(x, \xi, \mu) \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-j(1-\kappa-\sigma/2)}, \bar{g}), \\ R_N(x, \xi, \mu) &\in \mu^N S(\tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-N(1-\kappa-\sigma/2)+n\sigma/2}, \bar{g}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We divide the proof into two parts. In the first part we prove the assertions for c_j . Recall that

$$(6.3.10) \quad c(x, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{-iy\eta} e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} b(x + y, \xi, \mu) dy d\eta.$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} b(x + y, \xi, \mu) &= \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} b_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi, \mu) (iy)^\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{N}{\alpha!} (iy)^\alpha \int_0^1 (1-s)^{N-1} b_{(\alpha)}(x + sy, \xi, \mu) ds \end{aligned}$$

and insert this expression into (6.3.10) to get

$$(6.3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} c(x, \xi, \mu) &= \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \int e^{-iy\eta} e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} b_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi, \mu) (iy)^\alpha dy d\eta \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha| = N} \frac{N}{\alpha!} \int e^{-iy\eta} e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} (iy)^\alpha dy d\eta \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 (1-s)^{N-1} b_{(\alpha)}(x + sy, \xi, \mu) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right-hand side is

$$(6.3.12) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\eta^\alpha e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \Big|_{\eta=0} b_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi, \mu)$$

because $e^{-iy\eta} (iy)^\alpha = (-\partial_\eta)^\alpha e^{-iy\eta}$. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\eta^\alpha e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} &= \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \partial_\eta^\beta e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \partial_\eta^\gamma e^{-\phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \\ &= 2^{-|\alpha|} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \partial_\xi^\beta e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} (-\partial_\xi)^\gamma e^{-\phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} = 2^{-|\alpha|} \alpha! H_\alpha(\xi, \eta, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$H_\alpha(\xi, \eta, \mu) = \frac{2^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\eta^\alpha e^{\phi(\xi + \eta/2, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \eta/2, \mu)}.$$

Then the second term on the right-hand side of (6.3.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-N} \sum_{|\alpha| = N} N \int e^{-iy\eta} H_\alpha(\xi, \eta, \mu) dy d\eta \int_0^1 (1-s)^{N-1} b_{(\alpha)}(x + sy, \xi, \mu) ds \\ &= 2^{-N} \sum_{|\alpha| = N} N \int \int_0^1 e^{ix\eta} (1-s)^{N-1} H_\alpha(\xi, s\eta, \mu) d\eta ds \int e^{-iy\eta} b_{(\alpha)}(y, \xi, \mu) dy. \end{aligned}$$

With

$$B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{-iy\eta} b_{(\alpha)}(y, \xi, \mu) dy$$

this can be written as

$$(6.3.13) \quad 2^{-N} \sum_{|\alpha| = N} N \int \int_0^1 e^{ix\eta} (1-s)^{N-1} H_\alpha(\xi, s\eta, \mu) B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu) d\eta ds.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\partial_\eta^\alpha e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \Big|_{\eta=0}$$

is a linear combination of terms such as

$$\partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} \phi(\xi, \mu) \cdots \partial_\xi^{\alpha_s} \phi(\xi, \mu), \quad \sum \alpha_p = j, \quad |\alpha_p| \geq 1$$

which are in $S(\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{j\kappa} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-j}, |dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-2} |d\xi|^2)$. Thus it is clear that

$$c_j = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\eta^\alpha e^{\phi(\xi+\frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi-\frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \Big|_{\eta=0} b_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi, \mu) \\ \in \mu^j S(m(x, \xi, \mu) \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-j(1-\kappa-\sigma/2)}, \bar{g}).$$

In the second part we prove the assertion for R_N . We consider

$$R_N = 2^{-N} \sum_{|\alpha|=N} N \int \int_0^1 e^{ix\eta} (1-s)^{N-1} H_\alpha(\xi, s\eta, \mu) B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu) d\eta ds.$$

Lemma 6.3.5 *One can choose $M > 0$ so that we have*

$$|\partial_\xi^\delta B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu)| \leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ \times e^{-c|\eta|^\kappa}, \quad |\eta| \geq M \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma, \\ |\partial_\xi^\delta B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu)| \leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ \times \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|\sigma/2} e^{-c(\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} |\eta|)^\kappa}, \quad |\eta| \leq M \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma$$

with some $c > 0$.

Proof: Recall that we have

$$\eta^\nu \partial_\xi^\delta B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu) = \int e^{-iy\eta} \partial_\xi^\delta b_{(\alpha+\nu)}(y, \xi, \mu) dy$$

and hence one gets

$$|\partial_\xi^\delta B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu)| \leq C_\delta \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ \times A^{|\alpha+\nu|} |\alpha+\nu|^{s/2} (|\alpha+\nu|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha+\nu|} |\eta|^{-|\nu|} \\ \leq C_\delta \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} C_1 C_2^{|\nu|} |\nu|^{s/2} \\ \times (|\nu|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha+\nu|} |\eta|^{-|\nu|}$$

where $C_i = C_i(|\alpha|)$. We minimize $C_2^{|\nu|} |\nu|^{s/2} (|\nu|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\nu|} |\eta|^{-|\nu|}$. Note that

$$C_2^{|\nu|} |\nu|^{s/2} (|\nu|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\nu|} \leq (2C_2)^{|\nu|} (|\nu|^s + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma)^{|\nu|}.$$

Choose ν so that $|\nu| = [e^{-s}(2C_2)^{-1}|\eta| - \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma]^{1/s}$ assuming that

$$|\eta| \geq 4C_2 e^s \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma.$$

Then we see that we have

$$(2C_2)^{|\nu|} (|\nu|^s + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma)^{|\nu|} |\eta|^{-|\nu|} \leq e^{-c|\eta|^{1/s}}$$

with some $c > 0$. Then we conclude that

$$|\partial_\xi^\delta B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu)| \leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ \times (C|\eta|^{1/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha|} e^{-c|\eta|^\kappa} \\ \leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} e^{-c'|\eta|^\kappa}$$

with some $c' > 0$.

We turn to the case $|\eta| \leq 4C_2 e^s \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma$. Note that

$$C_2^{|\nu|} |\nu|!^{s/2} (|\nu|^{s/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\nu|} \leq (2C_2)^{|\nu|} (|\nu|^s \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\nu|}.$$

Choose ν so that $|\nu| = e^{-1} (2C_2)^{-1/s} (|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^{1/s}$ then we have

$$(2C_2)^{|\nu|} (|\nu|^s \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\nu|} |\eta|^{-|\nu|} \leq e^{-c(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^{1/s}}$$

with some $c > 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\delta B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu)| &\leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ &\times (C(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^{1/2} + \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2})^{|\alpha|} e^{-c(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^\kappa} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma|\alpha|/2} e^{-c'(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

with some $c' > 0$ which completes the proof. \square

Note that $H_\alpha(\xi, \eta, \mu)$ is a linear combination of such terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\xi^{\beta_1} \phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) \cdots \partial_\xi^{\beta_s} \phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) \partial_\xi^{\gamma_1} \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) \cdots \partial_\xi^{\gamma_t} \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) \\ &\times e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} = h_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t}(\xi, \eta, \mu) e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum \beta_j = \beta$, $\sum \gamma_j = \gamma$ and $|\beta_j| \geq 1$, $|\gamma_j| \geq 1$, $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$. It is easy to examine that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_\xi^\delta h_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t}(\xi, \eta, \mu)| \\ (6.3.14) \quad &\leq C_\delta \mu^{|\alpha|} \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|(1-\kappa)} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-|\delta|} \langle \mu \eta \rangle^{|\alpha|+|\delta|}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand noting that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3.15) \quad &\partial_\xi^\alpha \phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \partial_\xi^\alpha \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \eta_k (\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{\xi_k} \phi(\xi + \frac{\theta \eta}{2}, \mu) + \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{\xi_k} \phi(\xi - \frac{\theta \eta}{2}, \mu)) \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$(6.3.16) \quad |\partial_\xi^\delta e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)}| \leq C_\delta \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-|\delta|} \langle \mu \eta \rangle^{2|\delta|} \langle \eta \rangle^{|\delta|} e^{C \langle \mu \eta \rangle^\kappa}.$$

From Lemma 6.3.5, (6.3.14) and (6.3.16) it follows that for $|\eta| \geq M \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma$, $|\alpha| = N$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\delta (H_\alpha(\xi, \eta, \mu) B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu))| &\leq C_\delta \mu^N \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ &\times \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-N(1-\kappa)} e^{-c'' \langle \mu \eta \rangle^\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

with some $c'' > 0$. We consider the case $|\eta| \leq M \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma$. Since $\sigma < 1$, taking μ small, we have $\langle \mu(\xi + \theta \eta) \rangle \sim \langle \mu \xi \rangle$ and $\langle \xi + \theta \eta \rangle_\mu \sim \langle \xi \rangle_\mu$ where $|\theta| \leq 1$ and hence we have

$$|\partial_\xi^\delta h_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t}(\xi, \eta, \mu)| \leq C_\delta \mu^{|\alpha|} \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|(1-\kappa)} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-|\delta|}.$$

On the other hand, since $\kappa + \sigma \leq 1$ one sees from (6.3.15) and $\langle \mu \eta \rangle \leq C \langle \mu \xi \rangle^\sigma$ that

$$|\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)| \leq C \langle \mu \eta \rangle \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\kappa-1} \leq C'.$$

Then we see

$$|\partial_\xi^\delta e^{\phi(\xi + \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu) - \phi(\xi - \frac{\eta}{2}, \mu)}| \leq C_\delta \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-|\delta|}$$

using (6.3.15) again. Thus we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\delta (H_\alpha(\xi, \eta, \mu) B_\alpha(\eta, \xi, \mu))| &\leq C_\delta \mu^N \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu)^{-|\delta|} \\ &\quad \times \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{N\sigma/2 - N(1-\kappa)} e^{-c''(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

with some $c'' > 0$. Since

$$|\eta^\beta e^{-c''(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^\kappa}| \leq C_\beta \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma|\beta|/2} e^{-c'''(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^\kappa}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\delta R_N(x, \xi, \mu)| &\leq C_{\alpha, \delta} \mu^N \tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-N(1-\kappa-\sigma/2)} \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma n/2} \\ &\quad \times \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma|\alpha|/2} (\langle \mu \xi \rangle^{\sigma/2} \langle \xi \rangle_\mu^{-1})^{|\delta|} \end{aligned}$$

because $\int e^{-c'''(|\eta| \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-\sigma/2})^\kappa} d\eta \leq C \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{n\sigma/2}$. That is

$$R_N \in \mu^N S(\tilde{m}(\xi, \mu) \langle \mu \xi \rangle^{-N(1-\kappa-\sigma/2)+n\sigma/2}, \bar{g}).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 6.3.1. \square

6.4 Energy inequality

Let us denote $\Lambda = D_0 + (\phi_1 \Phi)^w = D_0 - \lambda^w$, $M = D_0 - (\phi_1 \Phi)^w = D_0 - m^w$ then thanks to Lemma 6.2.4 we see that

$$(\xi_0 + \phi_1 \Phi) \# (\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi) = \xi_0^2 - \phi_1^2 \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{i} \{\xi_0 + \phi_1 \Phi, \xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi\} + T$$

with $T \in \mu^2 S(1, g)$. From Lemma 6.2.1 one can write $\{\xi_0, \phi_1\} = \mu \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} C_j \phi_j$ with some $C_j \in S(1, g_1)$ and hence

$$\{\xi_0 + \phi_1 \Phi, \xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi\} = \mu \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} C_j \phi_j$$

with some $C_j \in S(1, g)$. On the other hand Lemma 6.2.2 shows

$$P_{sub} = C_0(\xi_0 - \phi_1 \Phi) + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} C_j \phi_j$$

with some $C_j \in S(1, \bar{g})$. Noting that $P = (p + P_{sub})^w + R$, $R \in \mu^2 S(1, g_1)$ one can write

$$P = -M\Lambda + B_0\Lambda + Q,$$

$$Q = \left[\sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \phi_j^2 + w\phi_1^2 + R \right]^w + R_0, \quad R_0 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu\xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$$

where $B_0 \in \mu S(1, g)$ and

$$(6.4.1) \quad R = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} c_j \phi_j$$

with some $c_j \in \mu S(1, g)$. We now conjugate $e^{-x_0 \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa} = e^\phi$ to P

$$e^\phi P e^{-\phi} = -e^\phi M e^{-\phi} e^\phi \Lambda e^{-\phi} + e^\phi B_0 e^{-\phi} e^{-\phi} e^\phi \Lambda e^{-\phi} + e^\phi Q e^{-\phi}.$$

Let us denote $e^\phi M e^{-\phi}$, $e^\phi \Lambda e^{-\phi}$, $e^\phi B_0 e^{-\phi}$, $e^\phi Q e^{-\phi}$ by M , Λ , B_0 , Q again. Let us consider

$$M = e^\phi (D_0 - m^w) e^{-\phi} = D_0 - i \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - e^\phi m^w e^{-\phi}.$$

Since $m \in S(w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g)$ we apply Proposition 6.3.1 with $\sigma = 4/5 = 4\kappa$. Then we have

$$(6.4.2) \quad e^\phi m^w e^{-\phi} = [m_0 + m_1 + m_2]^w, \quad m_0 = -\phi_1 \Phi$$

where $m_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ is pure imaginary and $m_2 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa}, \bar{g})$ by Lemma 6.3.1. Let us consider

$$\Lambda = e^\phi (D_0 - \lambda^w) e^{-\phi} = D_0 - i \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - e^\phi \lambda e^{-\phi}.$$

Since $\lambda \in S(w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g)$ repeating the same arguments we have

$$(6.4.3) \quad e^\phi \lambda^w e^{-\phi} = [\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]^w, \quad \lambda_0 = \phi_1 \Phi$$

where $\lambda_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ is pure imaginary and $\lambda_2 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa}, \bar{g})$. Consider $e^\phi Q e^{-\phi}$. Note that

$$e^\phi [\phi_j^2]^w e^{-\phi} = [\phi_j^2 + a_j \phi_j + r_j]^w$$

where $a_j \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ is pure imaginary and $r_j \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$. We next consider

$$e^\phi [w\phi_1^2]^w e^{-\phi} = [w\phi_1^2 + a_1 w\phi_1 + r_1]^w$$

where $a_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ is pure imaginary and $r_1 \in \mu^2 S(w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$. Remark that

$$e^\phi R e^{-\phi} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} c_j \phi_j + r \right]^w$$

where $c_j \in \mu S(1, \bar{g})$ and $r \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$. Hence one can write

$$(6.4.4) \quad e^\phi Q e^{-\phi} = \left[\sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \phi_j^2 + w \phi_1^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} a_j \phi_j + a_1 w \phi_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} c_j \phi_j + r \right]^w$$

where $a_j \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ and $r \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$. We summarize

Proposition 6.4.1 *We can write*

$$e^\phi P e^{-\phi} = -M\Lambda + B_0\Lambda + Q$$

with $B_0 \in \mu S(1, \bar{g})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M &= D_0 - i\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - [m_0 + m_1 + m_2]^w = D_0 - i\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - m^w, \\ \Lambda &= D_0 - i\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - [\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]^w = D_0 - i\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - \lambda^w \end{aligned}$$

where $m_1, \lambda_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ are pure imaginary and $m_2, \lambda_2 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa}, \bar{g})$. As for Q we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= [q + q_1 + q_2 + r]^w, \quad q = \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \phi_j^2 + w \phi_1^2, \\ q_1 &= \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} a_j \phi_j + a_1 w \phi_1, \quad q_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} c_j \phi_j \end{aligned}$$

where $a_j \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ are pure imaginary, $c_j \in \mu S(1, \bar{g})$ and $r \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$.

Here we recall the energy identity. Let us put

$$P = -M\Lambda + B_0\Lambda + Q$$

where

$$M = D_0 - i\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - m^w, \quad \Lambda = D_0 - i\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa - \lambda^w.$$

Repeating the proof of Proposition 4.3.1 we get

Proposition 6.4.2 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Im}(Pu, \Lambda u) &= \frac{d}{dx_0} (\|\Lambda u\|^2 + ((\text{Re } Q)u, u)) + 2\|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\| \\ &\quad + 2((\text{Im } B_0)\Lambda u, \Lambda u) + 2\text{Re}(\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa (\text{Re } Q)u, u) \\ &\quad + 2((\text{Im } m)\Lambda u, \Lambda u) + 2\text{Re}(\Lambda u, (\text{Im } Q)u) \\ &\quad + \text{Im}([D_0 - \text{Re } \lambda, \text{Re } Q]u, u) + 2\text{Re}((\text{Re } Q)u, (\text{Im } \lambda)u). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand from (4.3.1) we have

$$-2\text{Im}(\Lambda v, v) = \frac{d}{dx_0} \|v\|^2 + 2\|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} v\|^2 + 2((\text{Im } \lambda)v, v).$$

From this it follows that

$$(6.4.5) \quad \delta^{-1} \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \Lambda \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2 \geq \frac{d}{dx_0} \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2 \\ + (2 - \delta) \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2 + 2((\operatorname{Im} \lambda) \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u, \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u).$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ one sees

$$\delta^{-1} \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \Lambda \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2 \geq \frac{d}{dx_0} \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2 + (2 - \delta - C\mu) \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2.$$

Since $\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \Lambda \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa = \langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda + \langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} [\Lambda, \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa]$ and noting $\lambda_0 \in S(w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g)$ and hence $[\Lambda, \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa] \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, \bar{g})$ we have

$$\|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} [\Lambda, \langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa] u\|^2 \leq C\mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} u\|^2.$$

Then we have

Lemma 6.4.1 *We have*

$$(6.4.6) \quad \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\|^2 \geq \frac{d}{dx_0} \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2 + (1 - C\mu) \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2.$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} m \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, \bar{g})$ it follows that

$$(6.4.7) \quad |2((\operatorname{Im} m) \Lambda u, \Lambda u)| \leq C\mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\|^2.$$

Let us study $2\operatorname{Re}(\Lambda u, (\operatorname{Im} Q)u)$. Recall that $\operatorname{Im} Q = [q_1 + \operatorname{Im} q_2 + r_1]^w$ with $r_1 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$. Then one can estimate

$$|2\operatorname{Re}(\Lambda u, (\operatorname{Im} Q)u)| \leq \mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\|^2 + \mu^{-1} \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} (\operatorname{Im} Q)u\|^2.$$

Note that with $q'_2 = \operatorname{Im} q_2$

$$\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} \#(q_1 + q'_2 + r_1) = \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} (q_1 + q'_2) + T$$

with $T \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1/2}, \bar{g})$ because $q_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1+\kappa}, g)$. Here we remark that

$$\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} c_1 \phi_1 = (c_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa} w^{-1/2}) (\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} w^{1/2} \phi_1)$$

where $c_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa} w^{-1/2} \in \mu S(1, g)$. Applying Lemma 6.2.6 to $\|[\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} (q_1 + q'_2)]^w u\|^2$ we get

Lemma 6.4.2 *We have*

$$|2\operatorname{Re}(\Lambda u, (\operatorname{Im} Q)u)| \leq \mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\|^2 + C\mu \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) \right. \\ \left. + \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) \right\} + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2.$$

Let us consider $\operatorname{Re}((\operatorname{Re} Q)u, (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)u)$. From Proposition 6.4.1 we have

$$\operatorname{Re} Q = q + q_2'' + r, \quad q_2'' = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} c_j \phi_j$$

with $c_j \in \mu S(1, \bar{g})$, $r \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$ and $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, \bar{g})$. From Lemma 6.2.6 it is clear that $|([q_2'']^w u, (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)u)|$ is bounded by constant times

$$(6.4.8) \quad \mu \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) + \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) + \mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2$$

because $\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} w^{-1/2} \operatorname{Im} \lambda \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{3\kappa/2}, \bar{g})$. Thus it suffices to study the term $\operatorname{Re}(q^w u, (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)u)$ modulo (6.4.8). Since one can write

$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda = \lambda_1 + R_N, \quad \lambda_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g), \quad R_N \in \mu^N S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1-2N\kappa+2n\kappa}, \bar{g})$$

for any N we may assume $\operatorname{Im} \lambda = \lambda_1 \in \mu S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g)$ modulo $\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2$. Note that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_1 \# q) - \lambda_1 q \in \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle, g)$$

because $5\kappa = 1$. Applying Lemma 6.2.6 we get

Lemma 6.4.3 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} |2\operatorname{Re}((\operatorname{Re} Q)u, (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)u)| &\leq C\mu \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) \right\} + C\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate $\operatorname{Im}([D_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} Q]u, u)$. Note that one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} Q &= q + q_2'' + r + R_N, \quad r \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, g), \\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda &= -\lambda_0 - \lambda_2 + R'_N, \quad \lambda_2 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{-\kappa}, g) \end{aligned}$$

where $R_N \in \mu^N S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2-2N\kappa+2n\kappa}, \bar{g})$ and $R'_N \in \mu^N S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{1-2N\kappa+2n\kappa}, \bar{g})$. This proves that $|\operatorname{Im}([D_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} Q]u, u)| = |\operatorname{Re}(\{\xi_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} Q\}^w u, u)|$ modulo $O(\mu^3 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{2\kappa} u\|^2)$. Note that

$$\{\xi_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} Q\} = \{\xi_0 - \lambda_0, q + q_2''\} - \{\lambda_2, q\} + T, \quad T \in \mu^3 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{4\kappa}, \bar{g}).$$

Since one can write $\{\lambda_2, q\} = \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} a_j \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j + a_1 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1$ with $a_j \in \mu^3 S(1, g)$ and $\{\xi_0 - \lambda_0, q_2''\} - \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} c_j \phi_j \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle, \bar{g})$ with $c_j \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, \bar{g})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im}([D_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} Q]u, u)| &\leq |\operatorname{Re}(\{\xi_0 - \lambda_0, q\}^w u, u)| \\ &\quad + C\mu^3 \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \|[\phi_j]^w u\|^2 + \|[\sqrt{w}\phi_1]^w u\|^2 + \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq |\operatorname{Re}(\{\xi_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_0, q\}^w u, u)| \\ &\quad + C\mu^3 \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2]^w u, u) + \operatorname{Re}([w\phi_1^2]^w u, u) + \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Proposition 6.2.1 we conclude that

Lemma 6.4.4 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im}([D_0 - \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \operatorname{Re} Q]u, u)| &\leq C\mu \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \operatorname{Re}([w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_1^2]^w u, u) \right\} + C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate $\operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa (\operatorname{Re} Q)u, u)$. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa [q_2'']^w u, u)| &\leq C\mu \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \|[\phi_j]^w u\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|[\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \sqrt{w} \phi_1]^w u\|^2 + \mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and hence it suffices to estimate $\operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa q^w u, u)$ modulo $O(\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \# \phi_j^2) &= \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2 + T_1, \quad T_1 \in \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \# w \phi_1^2) &= \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2 + T_2, \quad T_2 \in \mu^2 S(w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa, g) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa q^w u, u) &\geq \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) - C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa (\operatorname{Re} Q)u, u) &\geq \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa \phi_j^2]^w u, u) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re}([\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa w \phi_1^2]^w u, u) - C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.4.3, 6.4.4 and (6.4.7) we have

Proposition 6.4.3 *There exist $\mu_0 > 0$, $C > 0$, $c > 0$ such that we have*

$$\begin{aligned} C \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} P u\|^2 &\geq \frac{d}{dx_0} \{ \|\Lambda u\|^2 + ((\operatorname{Re} Q)u, u) + \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2 \} \\ &\quad + c \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\|^2 + c \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + \operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \right\} \\ &\quad + c \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2 + c\mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \mu < \mu_0$.

From Lemma 6.2.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} \operatorname{Re}([\phi_j^2 \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) + \operatorname{Re}([\phi_1^2 w \langle \mu \xi' \rangle^\kappa]^w u, u) \\ & \geq -C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2 - C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\phi_j^2 - \phi_j \# \phi_j \in \mu^2 S(1, g_1)$, $w\phi_1^2 = \sqrt{w}\phi_1 \# \sqrt{w}\phi_1 + T$, $T \in \mu^2 S(w^{-1}, g) \subset \mu^2 S(\langle \mu \xi' \rangle^{2\kappa}, g)$ it follows that

$$((\operatorname{Re} Q)u, u) \geq -C\mu^2 \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u\|^2.$$

Integrating the inequality in Proposition 6.4.3 from T_2 to t with respect to x_0 we get

Proposition 6.4.4 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_{T_2}^t \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{-\kappa/2} P u\|^2 dx_0 \geq (\|\Lambda u(t, \cdot)\|^2 + c \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^\kappa u(t, \cdot)\|^2) \\ & + c \int_{T_2}^t (\|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{\kappa/2} \Lambda u\|^2 + \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{3\kappa/2} u\|^2 + \mu \|\langle \mu D' \rangle^{1/2} u\|^2) dx_0 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \mu < \mu_0$.

Recalling $P^* = (p + \bar{P}_{sub})^w + R$, $R \in S(1, g_1)$ we have the same energy estimates for P^* . Repeating the same arguments on functional analysis in the end of Section 4.4 we conclude that for any given $F \in C^0([-T, T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ vanishing in $x_0 \leq 0$ there is a unique $U \in C^2([-T, T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ vanishing for $x_0 \leq 0$ such that

$$(6.4.9) \quad PU = F, \quad \|D_0^j U(t)\|_{(p)}^2 \leq C_p \int_{-T}^t \|F(x_0)\|_{(p)}^2 dx_0$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote $U = GF$. Then repeating similar (in fact easier because we do not need to take care of the positive trace here) arguments as in Section 6.5 one can prove that the parametrix G has finite propagation speed of WF . Let Γ_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) be open conic sets in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$ with relatively compact basis such that $\Gamma_0 \subset \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \subset \Gamma_2$. Let us take $h_i(x', \xi') \in S(1, g_1)$ with $\operatorname{supp} h_1 \subset \Gamma_0$ and $\operatorname{supp} h_2 \subset \Gamma_2 \setminus \Gamma_1$. We consider the solution $U \in C^1(I; H^\infty)$ to $PU = h_1 F$ with $F \in C^0(I; H^\infty)$ where $U = F = 0$ in $x_0 < 0$. Then we have

Proposition 6.4.5 *Let h_1 and h_2 be as above. Then there is $\delta = \delta(\Gamma_i) > 0$ such that*

$$\|D_0^j h_2 G h_1 F(t)\|_{(p)} \leq C_{pq} \int_{-T}^t \|F(x_0)\|_{(q)} dx_0$$

for $j = 0, 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ and any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$.

To avoid notational confusions, in what follows we denote by \hat{P} the original operator and by P the transformed one so that

$$e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} \hat{P} e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} = P.$$

Let $1 \leq s \leq 5$ and $f \in C^0([-T, T]; \gamma_0^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be such that $f = 0$ for $x_0 \leq 0$. It is clear that $F = e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f \in C^0([-\tau, \tau]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for small $\tau > 0$. Then as observed above, there exists a unique $U \in C^2([-\tau, \tau]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ vanishing in $x_0 \leq 0$ such that

$$e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} \hat{P} e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} U = PU = F = e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f.$$

This implies that

$$\hat{P}(e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} U) = f.$$

It is easy to check that $u = e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} U \in C^2([-\tau, \tau]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Let us denote

$$u = \hat{G}f = e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} G e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f.$$

Lemma 6.4.5 *Let h_1 and h_2 be as above. Then we have*

$$\|e^{(\tau-t)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} D_0^j h_2 \hat{G} h_1 f(t)\|_{(p)} \leq C_{pq} \int_0^t \|e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f(x_0)\|_{(q)} dx_0$$

for $j = 0, 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ with small $\delta > 0$ and for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, for any $f \in C^0([-\tau, \tau]; \gamma_0^{(1/\kappa)}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ vanishing in $x_0 \leq 0$.

Proof: Applying Proposition 6.3.1 with $\sigma = 0$ one can write

$$h_2 \hat{G} h_1 = e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} \tilde{h}_2 G \tilde{h}_1 e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa}$$

where $\tilde{h}_i \in S(1, \bar{g})$. Moreover for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write

$$\tilde{h}_i = \tilde{h}_{i0} + \tilde{h}_{iN}, \quad \tilde{h}_{iN} \in \mu^N S(\langle\mu \xi'\rangle^{-N(1-\kappa)}, \bar{g})$$

where $\text{supp } \tilde{h}_{i0} \subset \text{supp } h_i$. To prove the assertion for $e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} D_0^j h_2 \hat{G} h_1 f$ it suffices to consider

$$D_0^j \tilde{h}_{20} G \tilde{h}_{10} e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f.$$

Taking $N = N(p, q)$ large then from Proposition 6.4.5 and (6.4.9) it follows that

$$\|D_0^j \tilde{h}_{20} G \tilde{h}_{10} e^{(\tau-t)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f(t)\|_{(p)} \leq C_{pq} \int_0^t \|e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f(x_0)\|_{(q)} dx_0$$

which shows the desired assertion. \square

By a compactness argument we can assume that there are finite number of $h_\alpha(x', \xi') \in S(1, g_1)$ such that $\sum_\alpha h_\alpha = 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin and \hat{P}_α which coincides with \hat{P} in a conic neighborhood of the support of h_α and to $P_\alpha = e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} \hat{P}_\alpha e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa}$ one can apply Propositions 6.4.4 and 6.4.5

so that there exists a parametrix G_α with finite propagation speed of WF . Let us define

$$\hat{G} = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{G}_\alpha h_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha} e^{-(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} G_\alpha e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} h_\alpha.$$

Let f have small support with respect to x' and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}\hat{G}f &= \sum_{\alpha} \hat{P}\hat{G}_\alpha h_\alpha f = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{P}_\alpha \hat{G}_\alpha h_\alpha f \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha} (\hat{P} - \hat{P}_\alpha) \hat{G}_\alpha h_\alpha f = \sum_{\alpha} h_\alpha f - Rf = f - Rf \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Rf = \sum_{\alpha} (\hat{P}_\alpha - \hat{P}) \hat{G}_\alpha h_\alpha f.$$

From Lemma 6.4.5 we see that

$$\|e^{(\tau-t)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} Rf(t)\| \leq C \int^t \|e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f(x_0)\| dx_0.$$

Take $\tau > 0$ small so that $C\tau < 1$ and put

$$[f] = \sup_{0 \leq x_0 \leq \tau} \|e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} f(x_0)\|$$

and hence $[Rf] \leq C\tau[f]$. Since $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [R^j f] \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (C\tau)^j [f]$ then $(1-R)^{-1}f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^j f$ exists and $[(1-R)^{-1}f] < \infty$ for any f with $[f] < +\infty$ vanishing in $x_0 \leq 0$. We now conclude that

$$\hat{P}\hat{G}(1-R)^{-1}f = f.$$

Since $\hat{G}(1-R)^{-1}f = 0$ for $x_0 \leq 0$ we get a desired solution. It is clear that

$$e^{(\tau-x_0)\langle\mu D'\rangle^\kappa} (1-R)^{-1}f \in C^0([-\tau_1, \tau_1]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

for small $\tau_1 > 0$ and hence we have $\hat{G}(1-R)^{-1}f \in C^2([-\tau_1, \tau_1]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This proves Theorem 6.1.1.