

Reduction of Fuchsian differential equations

Additions and middle convolutions introduced in Chapter 1 are transformations within Fuchsian differential operators and in this chapter we examine how their Riemann schemes change under the transformations.

PROPOSITION 5.1. i) *Let $Pu = 0$ be a Fuchsian differential equation. Suppose there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $P \in (\partial - c)W[x]$. Then $c = 0$.*

ii) *For $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P \in W[x]$, we have*

$$(5.1) \quad P \in \mathbb{C}[x] \text{RAdei}(-\phi(x)) \circ \text{RAdei}(\phi(x))P,$$

$$(5.2) \quad P \in \mathbb{C}[\partial] \text{RAd}(\partial^{-\mu}) \circ \text{RAd}(\partial^{\mu})P.$$

In particular, if the equation $Pu = 0$ is irreducible and $\text{ord } P > 1$, $\text{RAd}(\partial^{-\mu}) \circ \text{RAd}(\partial^{\mu})P = cP$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

PROOF. i) Put $P = (\partial - c)Q$. Then there is a function $u(x)$ satisfying $Qu(x) = e^{cx}$. Since $Pu = 0$ has at most a regular singularity at $x = \infty$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N > 0$ such that $|u(x)| < C|x|^N$ for $|x| \gg 1$ and $0 \leq \arg x \leq 2\pi$, which implies $c = 0$.

ii) This follows from the fact

$$\text{Adei}(-\phi(x)) \circ \text{Adei}(\phi(x)) = \text{id},$$

$$\text{Adei}(\phi(x))f(x)P = f(x)\text{Adei}(\phi(x))P \quad (f(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x))$$

and the definition of $\text{RAdei}(\phi(x))$ and $\text{RAd}(\partial^{\mu})$. □

The addition and the middle convolution transform the Riemann scheme of the Fuchsian differential equation as follows.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $Pu = 0$ be a Fuchsian differential equation with the Riemann scheme (4.15). We assume that P has the normal form (4.43).*

i) (addition) *The operator $\text{Ad}((x - c_j)^{\tau})P$ has the Riemann scheme*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} x = c_0 = \infty & c_1 & \cdots & c_j & \cdots & c_p \\ [\lambda_{0,1} - \tau]_{(m_{0,1})} & [\lambda_{1,1}]_{(m_{1,1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{j,1} + \tau]_{(m_{j,1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,1}]_{(m_{p,1})} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [\lambda_{0,n_0} - \tau]_{(m_{0,n_0})} & [\lambda_{1,n_1}]_{(m_{1,n_1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{j,n_j} + \tau]_{(m_{j,n_j})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,n_p}]_{(m_{p,n_p})} \end{array} \right\}.$$

ii) (middle convolution) *Fix $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. By allowing the condition $m_{j,1} = 0$, we may assume*

$$(5.3) \quad \mu = \lambda_{0,1} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{j,1} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p$$

and $\#\{j; m_{j,1} < n\} \geq 2$ and P is of the normal form (4.43). Putting

$$(5.4) \quad d := \sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,1} - (p-1)n,$$

we suppose

$$(5.5) \quad m_{j,1} \geq d \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, p,$$

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{0,\nu} \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots, m_{0,1} - m_{0,\nu} - d + 2\} \\ \text{if } m_{0,\nu} + \dots + m_{p,1} - (p-1)n \geq 2, m_{1,1} \cdots m_{p,1} \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{0,1} + \lambda_{j,\nu} \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots, m_{j,1} - m_{j,\nu} - d + 2\} \\ \text{if } m_{0,1} + \dots + m_{j-1,1} + m_{j,\nu} + m_{j+1,1} + \dots + m_{p,1} - (p-1)n \geq 2, \\ m_{j,1} \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq p \text{ and } \nu \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $S := \partial^{-d} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu}) \prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{-m_{j,1}} P \in W[x]$ and the Riemann scheme of S equals

$$(5.8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x = c_0 = \infty & c_1 & \cdots & c_p \\ [1 - \mu]_{(m_{0,1}-d)} & [0]_{(m_{1,1}-d)} & \cdots & [0]_{(m_{p,1}-d)} \\ [\lambda_{0,2} - \mu]_{(m_{0,2})} & [\lambda_{1,2} + \mu]_{(m_{1,2})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,2} + \mu]_{(m_{p,2})} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [\lambda_{0,n_0} - \mu]_{(m_{0,n_0})} & [\lambda_{1,n_1} + \mu]_{(m_{1,n_1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,n_p} + \mu]_{(m_{p,n_p})} \end{array} \right\}.$$

More precisely, the condition (5.5) and the condition (5.6) for $\nu = 1$ assure $S \in W[x]$. In this case the condition (5.6) (resp. (5.7) for a fixed j) assures that the sets of characteristic exponents of P at $x = \infty$ (resp. c_j) are equal to the sets given in (5.8), respectively.

Here we have $\text{RAd}(\partial^{-\mu}) \text{R}P = S$, if

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{j,1} + m_{j,1} \text{ are not characteristic exponents of } P \\ \text{at } x = c_j \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, p, \text{ respectively,} \end{cases}$$

and moreover

$$(5.10) \quad m_{0,1} = d \text{ or } \lambda_{0,1} \notin \{-d, -d-1, \dots, 1 - m_{0,1}\}.$$

Using the notation in Definition 1.3, we have

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= \text{Ad}((x - c_1)^{\lambda_{0,1}-2})(x - c_1)^d T_{\frac{1}{x-c_1}}^* (-\partial)^{-d} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu}) T_{\frac{1}{x}+c_1}^* \\ &\cdot (x - c_1)^d \prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{-m_{j,1}} \text{Ad}((x - c_1)^{\lambda_{0,1}}) P \end{aligned}$$

under the conditions (5.5) and

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{0,\nu} \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots, m_{0,1} - m_{0,\nu} - d + 2\} \\ \text{if } m_{0,\nu} + m_{1,1} + \dots + m_{p,1} - (p-1)n \geq 2, m_{1,1} \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

iii) Suppose $\text{ord } P > 1$ and P is irreducible in ii). Then the conditions (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) are valid. The condition (5.10) is also valid if $d \geq 1$.

All these conditions in ii) are valid if $\#\{j; m_{j,1} < n\} \geq 2$ and \mathbf{m} is realizable and moreover $\lambda_{j,\nu}$ are generic under the Fuchs relation with $\lambda_{j,1} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$.

iv) Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_{j,\nu})_{\substack{j=0,\dots,p \\ \nu=1,\dots,n_j}} \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}^{(n)}$. Define d by (5.4). Suppose $\lambda_{j,\nu}$ are complex numbers satisfying (5.3). Suppose moreover $m_{j,1} \geq d$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$.

Defining $\mathbf{m}' \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}^{(n)}$ and $\lambda'_{j,\nu}$ by

$$(5.13) \quad m'_{j,\nu} = m_{j,\nu} - \delta_{\nu,1}d \quad (j = 0, \dots, p, \nu = 1, \dots, n_j),$$

$$(5.14) \quad \lambda'_{j,\nu} = \begin{cases} 2 - \lambda_{0,1} & (j = 0, \nu = 1), \\ \lambda_{j,\nu} - \lambda_{0,1} + 1 & (j = 0, \nu > 1), \\ 0 & (j > 0, \nu = 1), \\ \lambda_{j,\nu} + \lambda_{0,1} - 1 & (j > 0, \nu > 1), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(5.15) \quad \text{idx } \mathbf{m} = \text{idx } \mathbf{m}', \quad |\{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\}| = |\{\lambda'_{\mathbf{m}'}\}|.$$

PROOF. The claim i) is clear from the definition of the Riemann scheme.
ii) Suppose (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7). Then

$$(5.16) \quad P' := \left(\prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{-m_{j,1}} \right) P \in W[x].$$

Note that $RP = P'$ under the condition (5.9). Put $Q := \partial^{(p-1)n - \sum_{j=1}^p m_{j,1}} P'$. Here we note that (5.5) assures $(p-1)n - \sum_{j=1}^p m_{j,1} \geq 0$.

Fix a positive integer j with $j \leq p$. For simplicity suppose $j = 1$ and $c_j = 0$. Since $P' = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j(x) \partial^j$ with $\deg a_j(x) \leq (p-1)n + j - \sum_{j=1}^p m_{j,1}$, we have

$$x^{m_{1,1}} P' = \sum_{\ell=0}^N x^{N-\ell} r_{\ell}(\vartheta) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i)$$

and

$$N := (p-1)n - \sum_{j=2}^p m_{j,1} = m_{0,1} + m_{1,1} - d$$

with suitable polynomials r_{ℓ} such that $r_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Suppose

$$(5.17) \quad \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i) \notin xW[x] \quad \text{if } N - m_{1,1} + 1 \leq \ell \leq N.$$

Since $P' \in W[x]$, we have

$$x^{N-\ell} r_{\ell}(\vartheta) = x^{N-\ell} x^{\ell-N+m_{1,1}} \partial^{\ell-N+m_{1,1}} s_{\ell}(\vartheta) \quad \text{if } N - m_{1,1} + 1 \leq \ell \leq N$$

for suitable polynomials s_{ℓ} . Putting $s_{\ell} = r_{\ell}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq N - m_{1,1}$, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} P' &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-m_{1,1}} x^{N-m_{1,1}-\ell} s_{\ell}(\vartheta) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=N-m_{1,1}+1}^N \partial^{\ell-N+m_{1,1}} s_{\ell}(\vartheta) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and the condition (5.17) is equivalent to the condition $\lambda_{0,\nu} + i \neq 0$ for any ν and i such that there exists an integer ℓ with $0 \leq i \leq m_{0,\nu} - \ell - 1$ and $N - m_{1,1} + 1 \leq \ell \leq N$. This condition is valid if (5.6) is valid, namely, $m_{1,1} = 0$ or

$$\lambda_{0,\nu} \notin \{0, -1, \dots, m_{0,1} - m_{0,\nu} - d + 2\}$$

for ν satisfying $m_{0,\nu} \geq m_{0,1} - d + 2$. Under this condition we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N \partial^\ell s_\ell(\vartheta) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N-m_{1,1}-\ell} (\vartheta + i) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i), \\ \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})Q &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N \partial^\ell s_\ell(\vartheta - \mu) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N-m_{1,1}-\ell} (\vartheta - \mu + i) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m_{0,1}-\ell} (\vartheta + i) \cdot \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \mu + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i) \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu = \lambda_{0,1} - 1$. Hence $\partial^{-m_{0,1}} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})Q$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\ell=0}^{m_{0,1}-1} x^{m_{0,1}-\ell} s_\ell(\vartheta - \mu) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N-m_{1,1}-\ell} (\vartheta - \mu + i) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \mu + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=m_{0,1}}^N \partial^{\ell-m_{0,1}} s_\ell(\vartheta - \mu) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N-m_{1,1}-\ell} (\vartheta - \mu + i) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \mu + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i) \end{aligned}$$

and then the set of characteristic exponents of this operator at ∞ is

$$\{[1 - \mu]_{(m_{0,1}-d)}, [\lambda_{0,2} - \mu]_{(m_{0,2})}, \dots, [\lambda_{0,n_0} - \mu]_{(m_{0,n_0})}\}.$$

Moreover $\partial^{-m_{0,1}-1} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})Q \notin W[x]$ if $\lambda_{0,1} + m_{0,1}$ is not a characteristic exponent of P at ∞ and $-\lambda_{0,1} + 1 + i \neq m_{0,1} + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq N - m_{1,1} = m_{0,1} - d$, which assures $x^{m_{0,1}} s_0 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N-m_{1,1}} (\vartheta - \mu + i) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}}} (\vartheta - \mu + \lambda_{1,\nu} + i) \notin \partial W[x]$.

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} P' &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_{1,1}} \partial^{m_{1,1}-\ell} q_\ell(\vartheta) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{1,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \lambda_{1,\nu} - i) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=m_{1,1}+1}^N x^{\ell-m_{1,1}} q_\ell(\vartheta) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{1,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \lambda_{1,\nu} - i), \\ Q &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_{1,1}} \partial^{N-\ell} q_\ell(\vartheta) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{1,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{1,\nu} - i) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=m_{1,1}+1}^N \partial^{N-\ell} q_\ell(\vartheta) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-m_{1,1}} (\vartheta + i) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{1,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \lambda_{1,\nu} - i). \\ \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})Q &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N \partial^{N-\ell} q_\ell(\vartheta - \mu) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \ell-m_{1,1}} (\vartheta - \mu + i) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{1,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta - \mu - \lambda_{1,\nu} - i) \end{aligned}$$

with $q_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then the set of characteristic exponents of $\partial^{-m_{0,1}} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})Q$ equals

$$\{[0]_{(m_{1,1}-d)}, [\lambda_{1,2} + \mu]_{(m_{1,2})}, \dots, [\lambda_{1,n_1} + \mu]_{(m_{1,n_1})}\}$$

if

$$\prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{1,\nu} - \ell}} (\vartheta - \mu - \lambda_{1,\nu} - i) \notin \partial W[x]$$

for any integers ℓ satisfying $0 \leq \ell \leq N$ and $N - \ell < m_{0,1}$. This condition is satisfied if (5.7) is valid, namely, $m_{0,1} = 0$ or

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{0,1} + \lambda_{1,\nu} &\notin \{0, -1, \dots, m_{1,1} - m_{1,\nu} - d + 2\} \\ &\text{for } \nu \geq 2 \text{ satisfying } m_{1,\nu} \geq m_{1,1} - d + 2 \end{aligned}$$

because $m_{1,\nu} - \ell - 1 \leq m_{1,\nu} + m_{0,1} - N - 2 = m_{1,\nu} - m_{1,1} + d - 2$ and the condition $\vartheta - \mu - \lambda_{1,\nu} - i \in \partial W[x]$ means $-1 = \mu + \lambda_{1,\nu} + i = \lambda_{0,1} - 1 + \lambda_{1,\nu} + i$.

Now we will prove (5.11). Under the conditions, it follows from (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P} &:= x^{m_{0,1}-N} \text{Ad}(x^{\lambda_{0,1}}) \prod_{j=2}^p (x - c_j)^{-m_{j,1}} P \\ &= x^{m_{0,1}+m_{1,1}-N} \text{Ad}(x^{\lambda_{0,1}}) P' \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N x^{m_{0,1}-\ell} \text{Ad}(x^{\lambda_{0,1}}) s_\ell(\vartheta) \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell - N + m_{1,1}} (\vartheta - \nu) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} + i), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q} &:= (-\partial)^{N-m_{0,1}} T_{\frac{1}{x}}^* \tilde{P} \\ &= (-\partial)^{N-m_{0,1}} \sum_{\ell=0}^N x^{\ell-m_{0,1}} s_\ell(-\vartheta - \lambda_{0,1}) \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell - N + m_{1,1}} (-\vartheta - \lambda_{0,1} - \nu) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (-\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} - \lambda_{0,1} + i) \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m_{0,1} - \ell} (-\vartheta + i) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N (-\partial)^{N-\ell} s_\ell(-\vartheta - \lambda_{0,1}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \ell - m_{0,1}} (-\vartheta - i) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell - N + m_{1,1}} (-\vartheta - \lambda_{0,1} - \nu) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (-\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} - \lambda_{0,1} + i) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu}) \tilde{Q} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N (-\partial)^{N-\ell} s_\ell(-\vartheta - 1) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \ell - m_{0,1}} (-\vartheta + \lambda_{0,1} - 1 - i) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell - N + m_{1,1}} (-\vartheta - 1 - \nu) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu} - \ell}} (-\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} - 1 + i). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (-\partial)^{N-\ell-m_{1,1}} \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell - N + m_{1,1}} (-\vartheta - 1 - \nu) &= \begin{cases} x^{\ell-N+m_{1,1}} & (N - \ell < m_{1,1}) \\ (-\partial)^{N-\ell-m_{1,1}} & (N - \ell \geq m_{1,1}) \end{cases} \\ &= x^{\ell-N+m_{1,1}} \prod_{0 \leq \nu < N-\ell-m_{1,1}} (-\vartheta + \nu), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}' := (-\partial)^{-m_{1,1}} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})\tilde{Q} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N x^{\ell-N+m_{1,1}} \prod_{0 \leq \nu < N-\ell-m_{1,1}} (-\vartheta + \nu) \\ &\cdot s_{\ell}(-\vartheta - 1) \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell-m_{0,1}} (-\vartheta + \lambda_{0,1} - 2 - \nu) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}-\ell}} (-\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} - 1 + i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{m_{0,1}+m_{1,1}-N} \text{Ad}(x^{\lambda_{0,1}-2})T_{\frac{1}{x}}^* \tilde{Q}' &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N x^{m_{0,1}-\ell} \prod_{0 \leq \nu < \ell-m_{0,1}} (\vartheta - \nu) \cdot s_{\ell}(\vartheta - \lambda_{0,1} + 1) \\ &\cdot \prod_{0 \leq \nu < N-m_{1,1}-\ell} (\vartheta - \lambda_{0,1} + 2 + \nu) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq \nu \leq n_0 \\ 0 \leq i < m_{0,\nu}-\ell}} (\vartheta + \lambda_{0,\nu} - \lambda_{0,1} + 1 + i), \end{aligned}$$

which equals $\partial^{-m_{0,1}} \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})Q$ because $\prod_{0 \leq \nu < k} (\vartheta - \nu) = x^k \partial^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

iv) (Cf. Remark 7.4 ii) for another proof.) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{idx } \mathbf{m} - \text{idx } \mathbf{m}' &= \sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,1}^2 - (p-1)n^2 - \sum_{j=0}^p (m_{j,1} - d)^2 + (p-1)(n-d)^2 \\ &= 2d \sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,1} - (p+1)d^2 - 2(p-1)nd + (p-1)d^2 \\ &= d \left(2 \sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,1} - 2d - 2(p-1)n \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_j} m_{j,\nu} \lambda_{j,\nu} - \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_j} m'_{j,\nu} \lambda'_{j,\nu} \\ &= m_{0,1}(\mu + 1) - (m_{0,1} - d)(1 - \mu) + \mu(n - m_{0,1}) - \sum_{j=1}^p (n - m_{j,1}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,1} - d - (p-1)n \right) \mu - m_{0,1}d - (m_{0,1} - d) = d, \end{aligned}$$

we have the claim.

The claim iii) follows from the following lemma when P is irreducible.

Suppose $\lambda_{j,\nu}$ are generic in the sense of the claim iii). Put $\mathbf{m} = \text{gcd}(\mathbf{m})\overline{\mathbf{m}}$. Then an irreducible subspace of the solutions of $Pu = 0$ has the spectral type $\ell'\overline{\mathbf{m}}$ with $1 \leq \ell' \leq \text{gcd}(\mathbf{m})$ and the same argument as in the proof of the following lemma shows iii). \square

The following lemma is known which follows from Scott's lemma (cf. §9.2).

LEMMA 5.3. *Let P be a Fuchsian differential operator with the Riemann scheme (4.15). Suppose P is irreducible. Then*

$$(5.19) \quad \text{idx } \mathbf{m} \leq 2.$$

Fix $\ell = (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{p+1}$ and suppose $\text{ord } P > 1$. Then

$$(5.20) \quad m_{0,\ell_0} + m_{1,\ell_1} + \dots + m_{p,\ell_p} - (p-1) \text{ord } \mathbf{m} \leq m_{k,\ell_k} \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, p.$$

Moreover the condition

$$(5.21) \quad \lambda_{0,\ell_0} + \lambda_{1,\ell_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{p,\ell_p} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

implies

$$(5.22) \quad m_{0,\ell_0} + m_{1,\ell_1} + \cdots + m_{p,\ell_p} \leq (p-1) \text{ord } \mathbf{m}.$$

PROOF. Let M_j be the monodromy generators of the solutions of $Pu = 0$ at c_j , respectively. Then $\dim Z(M_j) \geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_j} m_{j,\nu}^2$ and therefore $\sum_{j=0}^p \text{codim } Z(M_j) \leq (p+1)n^2 - (\text{idx } \mathbf{m} + (p-1)n^2) = 2n^2 - \text{idx } \mathbf{m}$. Hence Corollary 9.12 (cf. (9.47)) proves (5.19).

We may assume $\ell_j = 1$ for $j = 0, \dots, p$ and $k = 0$ to prove the lemma. By the map $u(x) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{-\lambda_{j,1}} u(x)$ we may moreover assume $\lambda_{j,\ell_j} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Suppose $\lambda_{0,1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We may assume $M_p \cdots M_1 M_0 = I_n$. Since $\dim \ker M_j \geq m_{j,1}$, Scott's lemma (Lemma 9.11) assures (5.22).

The condition (5.20) is reduced to (5.22) by putting $m_{0,\ell_0} = 0$ and $\lambda_{0,\ell_0} = -\lambda_{1,\ell_1} - \cdots - \lambda_{p,\ell_p}$ because we may assume $k = 0$ and $\ell_0 = n_0 + 1$. \square

REMARK 5.4. i) Retain the notation in Theorem 5.2. The operation in Theorem 5.2 i) corresponds to the *addition* and the operation in Theorem 5.2 ii) corresponds to Katz's *middle convolution* (cf. [Kz]), which are studied by [DR] for the systems of Schlesinger canonical form.

The operation $c(P) := \text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})\partial^{(p-1)n}P$ is always well-defined for the Fuchsian differential operator of the normal form which has $p+1$ singular points including ∞ . This corresponds to the *convolution* defined by Katz. Note that the equation $Sv = 0$ is a quotient of the equation $c(P)\tilde{u} = 0$.

ii) Retain the notation in the previous theorem. Suppose the equation $Pu = 0$ is irreducible and $\lambda_{j,\nu}$ are generic complex numbers satisfying the assumption in Theorem 5.2. Let $u(x)$ be a local solution of the equation $Pu = 0$ corresponding to the characteristic exponent $\lambda_{i,\nu}$ at $x = c_i$. Assume $0 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 < \nu \leq n_i$. Then the irreducible equations $(\text{Ad}((x - c_j)^r)P)u_1 = 0$ and $(\text{RAd}(\partial^{-\mu}) \circ \text{R}P)u_2 = 0$ are characterized by the equations satisfied by $u_1(x) = (x - c_j)^r u(x)$ and $u_2(x) = I_{c_i}^\mu(u(x))$, respectively.

Moreover for any integers k_0, k_1, \dots, k_p the irreducible equation $Qu_3 = 0$ satisfied by $u_3(x) = I_{c_i}^{\mu+k_0}(\prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{k_j} u(x))$ is isomorphic to the equation $(\text{RAd}(\partial^{-\mu}) \circ \text{R}P)u_2 = 0$ as $W(x)$ -modules (cf. §1.4 and §3.2).

EXAMPLE 5.5 (Okubo type). Suppose $\bar{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda) \in W[x]$ is of the form (11.35). Moreover suppose $\bar{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda)$ has the the Riemann scheme (11.34) satisfying (11.33) and $\lambda_{j,\nu} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the Riemann scheme of $\text{Ad}(\partial^{-\mu})\bar{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda)$ equals

$$(5.23) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x = c_0 = \infty & c_1 & \cdots & c_p \\ [\lambda_{0,1} - \mu]_{(m_{0,1})} & [0]_{(m_{1,1})} & \cdots & [0]_{(m_{p,1})} \\ [\lambda_{0,2} - \mu]_{(m_{0,2})} & [\lambda_{1,2} + \mu]_{(m_{1,2})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,2} + \mu]_{(m_{p,2})} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [\lambda_{0,n_0} - \mu]_{(m_{0,n_0})} & [\lambda_{1,n_1} + \mu]_{(m_{1,n_1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,n_p} + \mu]_{(m_{p,n_p})} \end{array} \right\}.$$

In particular we have $\text{Ad}(\partial^{1-\lambda_{0,1}})\bar{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda) \in \partial^{m_{0,1}}W[x]$.

EXAMPLE 5.6 (exceptional parameters). The Fuchsian differential equation with the Riemann scheme

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x = \infty & 0 & 1 & c \\ [\delta]_{(2)} & [0]_{(2)} & [0]_{(2)} & [0]_{(2)} \\ 2 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma - 2\delta & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

is a Jordan-Pochhammer equation (cf. Example 1.8 ii)) if $\delta \neq 0$, which is proved by the reduction using the operation $\text{RAd}(\partial^{1-\delta})$ R given in Theorem 5.2 ii).

The Riemann scheme of the operator

$$\begin{aligned} P_r &= x(x-1)(x-c)\partial^3 \\ &\quad - ((\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 6)x^2 - ((\alpha + \beta - 4)c + \alpha + \gamma - 4)x + (\alpha - 2)c)\partial^2 \\ &\quad - (2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma - 3)x - (\alpha + \beta - 2)c - (\alpha + \gamma - 2) - r)\partial \end{aligned}$$

equals

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x = \infty & 0 & 1 & c \\ [0]_{(2)} & [0]_{(2)} & [0]_{(2)} & [0]_{(2)} \\ 2 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{array} \right\},$$

which corresponds to a Jordan-Pochhammer operator when $r = 0$. If the parameters are generic, $\text{RAd}(\partial)P_r$ is Heun's operator (6.19) with the Riemann scheme

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x = \infty & 0 & 1 & c \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma & \alpha - 1 & \beta - 1 & \gamma - 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

which contains the accessory parameter r . This transformation doesn't satisfy (5.6) for $\nu = 1$.

The operator $\text{RAd}(\partial^{1-\alpha-\beta-\gamma})P_r$ has the Riemann scheme

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x = \infty & 0 & 1 & c \\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma - 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma & 1 - \beta - \gamma & 1 - \gamma - \alpha & 1 - \alpha - \beta \end{array} \right\}$$

and the monodromy generator at ∞ is semisimple if and only if $r = 0$. This transformation doesn't satisfy (5.6) for $\nu = 2$.

DEFINITION 5.7. Let

$$P = a_n(x)\partial^n + a_{n-1}(x)\partial^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0(x)$$

be a Fuchsian differential operator with the Riemann scheme (4.15). Here some $m_{j,\nu}$ may be 0. Fix $\ell = (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{p+1}$ with $1 \leq \ell_j \leq n_j$. Suppose

$$(5.24) \quad \#\{j; m_{j,\ell_j} \neq n \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq p\} \geq 2.$$

Put

$$(5.25) \quad d_\ell(\mathbf{m}) := m_{0,\ell_0} + \cdots + m_{p,\ell_p} - (p-1) \text{ord } \mathbf{m}$$

and

$$(5.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_\ell P &:= \text{Ad}\left(\prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{\lambda_{j,\ell_j}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{m_{j,\ell_j} - d_\ell(\mathbf{m})} \partial^{-m_{0,\ell_0}} \text{Ad}(\partial^{1-\lambda_{0,\ell_0} - \cdots - \lambda_{p,\ell_p}}) \\ &\quad \cdot \partial^{(p-1)n - m_{1,\ell_1} - \cdots - m_{p,\ell_p}} a_n^{-1}(x) \prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{n - m_{j,\ell_j}} \text{Ad}\left(\prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{-\lambda_{j,\ell_j}}\right) P. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_{j,\nu}$ are generic under the Fuchs relation or P is irreducible, $\partial_\ell P$ is well-defined as an element of $W[x]$ and

$$(5.27) \quad \partial_\ell^2 P = P \quad \text{with } P \text{ of the form (4.43),}$$

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_\ell P \in W(x) \operatorname{RAd}\left(\prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{\lambda_{j,\ell_j}}\right) \operatorname{RAd}(\partial^{1-\lambda_{0,\ell_0}-\dots-\lambda_{p,\ell_p}}) \\ \cdot \operatorname{RAd}\left(\prod_{j=1}^p (x - c_j)^{-\lambda_{j,\ell_j}}\right) P \end{aligned}$$

and ∂_ℓ gives a correspondence between differential operators of normal form (4.43). Here the spectral type $\partial_\ell \mathbf{m}$ of $\partial_\ell P$ is given by

$$(5.29) \quad \partial_\ell \mathbf{m} := (m'_{j,\nu})_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq p \\ 1 \leq \nu \leq n_j}} \quad \text{and} \quad m'_{j,\nu} = m_{j,\nu} - \delta_{\ell_j,\nu} \cdot d_\ell(\mathbf{m})$$

and the Riemann scheme of $\partial_\ell P$ equals

$$(5.30) \quad \partial_\ell \{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\} := \{\lambda'_{\mathbf{m}'}\} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda'_{j,\nu} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{0,\nu} - 2\mu_\ell & (j = 0, \nu = \ell_0) \\ \lambda_{0,\nu} - \mu_\ell & (j = 0, \nu \neq \ell_0) \\ \lambda_{j,\nu} & (1 \leq j \leq p, \nu = \ell_j) \\ \lambda_{0,\nu} + \mu_\ell & (1 \leq j \leq p, \nu \neq \ell_j) \end{cases}$$

by putting

$$(5.31) \quad \mu_\ell := \sum_{j=0}^p \lambda_{j,\ell_j} - 1.$$

It follows from Theorem 5.2 that the above assumption is satisfied if

$$(5.32) \quad m_{j,\ell_j} \geq d_\ell(\mathbf{m}) \quad (j = 0, \dots, p)$$

and

$$(5.33) \quad \sum_{j=0}^p \lambda_{j,\ell_j + (\nu - \ell_j)\delta_{j,k}} \notin \{i \in \mathbb{Z}; (p-1)n - \sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,\ell_j + (\nu - \ell_j)\delta_{j,k}} + 2 \leq i \leq 0\}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, p$ and $\nu = 1, \dots, n_k$.

Note that $\partial_\ell \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}$ is well-defined for a given $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}$ if (5.32) is valid. Moreover we define

$$(5.34) \quad \partial \mathbf{m} := \partial_{(1,1,\dots)} \mathbf{m},$$

$$(5.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{max} \mathbf{m} &:= \partial_{\ell_{max}(\mathbf{m})} \mathbf{m} \quad \text{with} \\ \ell_{max}(\mathbf{m})_j &:= \min\{\nu; m_{j,\nu} = \max\{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, \dots\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.36) \quad d_{max}(\mathbf{m}) := \sum_{j=0}^p \max\{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, \dots, m_{j,n_j}\} - (p-1) \operatorname{ord} \mathbf{m}.$$

For a Fuchsian differential operator P with the Riemann scheme (4.15) we define

$$(5.37) \quad \partial_{max} P := \partial_{\ell_{max}(\mathbf{m})} P \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{max} \{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\} = \partial_{\ell_{max}(\mathbf{m})} \{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\}.$$

A tuple $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}$ is called *basic* if \mathbf{m} is indivisible and $d_{max}(\mathbf{m}) \leq 0$.

PROPOSITION 5.8 (linear fractional transformation). *Let ϕ be a linear fractional transformation of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, namely there exists $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi(x) = \frac{\alpha x + \beta}{\gamma x + \delta}$. Let P be a Fuchsian differential operator with the Riemann scheme (4.15). We may assume $-\frac{\delta}{\gamma} = c_j$ with a suitable j by putting $c_{p+1} = -\frac{\delta}{\gamma}$, $\lambda_{p+1,1} = 0$ and*

$m_{p+1,1} = n$ if necessary. Fix $\ell = (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_p) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{p+1}$. If (5.32) and (5.33) are valid, we have

$$(5.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_\ell P &\in W(x) \operatorname{Ad}((\gamma x + \delta)^{2\mu}) T_{\phi^{-1}}^* \partial_\ell T_\phi^* P, \\ \mu &= \lambda_{0,\ell_0} + \dots + \lambda_{p,\ell_p} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The claim is clear if $\gamma = 0$. Hence we may assume $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and the claim follows from (5.11). \square

REMARK 5.9. i) Fix $\lambda_{j,\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$. If P has the Riemann scheme $\{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\}$ with $d_{\max}(\mathbf{m}) = 1$, $\partial_\ell P$ is well-defined and $\partial_{\max} P$ has the Riemann scheme $\partial_{\max}\{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\}$. This follows from the fact that the conditions (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) are valid when we apply Theorem 5.2 to the operation $\partial_{\max} : P \mapsto \partial_{\max} P$.

ii) We remark that

$$(5.39) \quad \operatorname{idx} \mathbf{m} = \operatorname{idx} \partial_\ell \mathbf{m},$$

$$(5.40) \quad \operatorname{ord} \partial_{\max} \mathbf{m} = \operatorname{ord} \mathbf{m} - d_{\max}(\mathbf{m}).$$

Moreover if $\operatorname{idx} \mathbf{m} > 0$, we have

$$(5.41) \quad d_{\max}(\mathbf{m}) > 0$$

because of the identity

$$(5.42) \quad \left(\sum_{j=0}^p m_{j,\ell_j} - (p-1) \operatorname{ord} \mathbf{m} \right) \cdot \operatorname{ord} \mathbf{m} = \operatorname{idx} \mathbf{m} + \sum_{j=0}^p \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_j} (m_{j,\ell_j} - m_{j,\nu}) \cdot m_{j,\nu}.$$

If $\operatorname{idx} \mathbf{m} = 0$, then $d_{\max}(\mathbf{m}) \geq 0$ and the condition $d_{\max}(\mathbf{m}) = 0$ implies $m_{j,\nu} = m_{j,1}$ for $\nu = 2, \dots, n_j$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, p$ (cf. Corollary 6.3).

iii) The set of indices $\ell_{\max}(\mathbf{m})$ is defined in (5.35) so that it is uniquely determined. It is sufficient to impose only the condition

$$(5.43) \quad m_{j,\ell_{\max}(\mathbf{m})_j} = \max\{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, \dots\} \quad (j = 0, \dots, p)$$

on $\ell_{\max}(\mathbf{m})$ for the arguments in this paper.

Thus we have the following result.

THEOREM 5.10. A tuple $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}$ is realizable if and only if $s\mathbf{m}$ is trivial (cf. Definitions 4.10 and 4.11) or $\partial_{\max} \mathbf{m}$ is well-defined and realizable.

PROOF. We may assume $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}^{(n)}$ is monotone.

Suppose $\#\{j; m_{j,1} < n\} < 2$. Then $\partial_{\max} \mathbf{m}$ is not well-defined. We may assume $p = 0$ and the corresponding equation $Pu = 0$ has no singularities in \mathbb{C} by applying a suitable addition to the equation and then $P \in W(x)\partial^n$. Hence \mathbf{m} is realizable if and only if $\#\{j; m_{j,1} < n\} = 0$, namely, \mathbf{m} is trivial.

Suppose $\#\{j; m_{j,1} < n\} \geq 2$. Then Theorem 5.2 assures that $\partial_{\max} \mathbf{m}$ is realizable if and only if $\partial_{\max} \mathbf{m}$ is realizable. \square

In the next chapter we will prove that \mathbf{m} is realizable if $d_{\max}(\mathbf{m}) \leq 0$. Thus we will have a criterion whether a given $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}$ is realizable or not by successive applications of ∂_{\max} .

EXAMPLE 5.11. There are examples of successive applications of $s \circ \partial$ to monotone elements of \mathcal{P} :

$$\underline{411}, \underline{411}, \underline{42}, \underline{33} \xrightarrow{15-2=6=3} \underline{111}, \underline{111}, \underline{21} \xrightarrow{4-3=1} \underline{11}, \underline{11}, \underline{11} \xrightarrow{3-2=1} 1, 1, 1 \text{ (rigid)}$$

$$\underline{211}, \underline{211}, \underline{1111} \xrightarrow{5-4=1} \underline{111}, \underline{111}, \underline{111} \xrightarrow{3-3=0} \underline{111}, \underline{111}, \underline{111} \text{ (realizable, not rigid)}$$

$$\underline{211}, \underline{211}, \underline{211}, \underline{31} \xrightarrow{9-8=1} \underline{111}, \underline{111}, \underline{111}, \underline{21} \xrightarrow{5-6=-1} \text{ (realizable, not rigid)}$$

$$\underline{22}, \underline{22}, \underline{1111} \xrightarrow{5-4=1} \underline{21}, \underline{21}, \underline{111} \xrightarrow{5-3=2} \times \text{ (not realizable)}$$

The numbers on the above arrows are $d_{(1,1,\dots)}(\mathbf{m})$. We sometimes delete the trivial partition as above.

The transformation of the generalized Riemann scheme of the application of ∂_{max}^k is described in the following definition.

DEFINITION 5.12 (Reduction of Riemann schemes). Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_{j,\nu})_{\substack{j=0,\dots,p \\ \nu=1,\dots,n_j}} \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}$ and $\lambda_{j,\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j = 0, \dots, p$ and $\nu = 1, \dots, n_j$. Suppose \mathbf{m} is realizable. Then there exists a positive integer K such that

$$(5.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ord } \mathbf{m} &> \text{ord } \partial_{max} \mathbf{m} > \text{ord } \partial_{max}^2 \mathbf{m} > \dots > \text{ord } \partial_{max}^K \mathbf{m} \\ &\text{and } s\partial_{max}^K \mathbf{m} \text{ is trivial or } d_{max}(\partial_{max}^K \mathbf{m}) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\mathbf{m}(k) \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}$, $\ell(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mu(k) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda(k)_{j,\nu \in \mathbb{C}}$ for $k = 0, \dots, K$ by

$$(5.45) \quad \mathbf{m}(0) = \mathbf{m} \text{ and } \mathbf{m}(k) = \partial_{max} \mathbf{m}(k-1) \quad (k = 1, \dots, K),$$

$$(5.46) \quad \ell(k) = \ell_{max}(\mathbf{m}(k)) \text{ and } d(k) = d_{max}(\mathbf{m}(k)),$$

$$(5.47) \quad \{\lambda(k)_{\mathbf{m}(k)}\} = \partial_{max}^k \{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\} \text{ and } \mu(k) = \lambda(k+1)_{1,\nu} - \lambda(k)_{1,\nu} \quad (\nu \neq \ell(k)_1).$$

Namely, we have

$$(5.48) \quad \lambda(0)_{j,\nu} = \lambda_{j,\nu} \quad (j = 0, \dots, p, \nu = 1, \dots, n_j),$$

$$(5.49) \quad \mu(k) = \sum_{j=0}^p \lambda(k)_{j,\ell(k)_j} - 1,$$

$$(5.50) \quad \lambda(k+1)_{j,\nu} = \begin{cases} \lambda(k)_{0,\nu} - 2\mu(k) & (j = 0, \nu = \ell(k)_0), \\ \lambda(k)_{0,\nu} - \mu(k) & (j = 0, 1 \leq \nu \leq n_0, \nu \neq \ell(k)_0), \\ \lambda(k)_{j,\nu} & (1 \leq j \leq p, \nu = \ell(k)_j), \\ \lambda(k)_{j,\nu} + \mu(k) & (1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq \nu \leq n_j, \nu \neq \ell(k)_j) \end{cases}$$

$$= \lambda(k)_{j,\nu} + ((-1)^{\delta_{j,0}} - \delta_{\nu,\ell(k)_j})\mu(k),$$

$$(5.51) \quad \{\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}\} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\ell(0)}} \dots \longrightarrow \{\lambda(k)_{\mathbf{m}(k)}\} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\ell(k)}} \{\lambda(k+1)_{\mathbf{m}(k+1)}\} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\ell(k+1)}} \dots$$