

Some congruence properties of Eisenstein invariants associated to elliptic curves

Hiroaki Nakamura

§1. Introduction

Let π be a free profinite group with free generators $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ and let π' (resp. π'') denote the commutator (resp. double-commutator) subgroup of π . Regard the full automorphism group $\mathbf{A} := \text{Aut}(\pi)$ acting on the left of π . The purpose of this paper is to study some elementary arithmetic properties of a certain series of invariants

$$\mathbb{E}_m : \mathbf{A} \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

reflecting the action of \mathbf{A} on the meta-abelian quotient π/π'' . In particular, we shall introduce a canonical series of finite index subgroups of \mathbf{A} fully exhausting congruency of the invariants \mathbb{E}_m in a systematical way.

Motivation to this paper came from our previous work [N10] where π was given as the fundamental group of an affine elliptic curve $E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ over a field K of characteristic zero. A choice of a K -rational tangential base point at infinity of the elliptic curve E gives rise to a natural Galois representation $\varphi : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. Given π being presented as $\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{z} \mid [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2]\mathbf{z} = 1 \rangle$ so that \mathbf{z} generates an inertia over the infinity puncture, we introduced in loc. cit. certain arithmetic invariants

$$\mathbb{E}_m : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

(induced from φ) that converge to the “Eisenstein measure” \mathcal{E}_σ ($\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K(E_{\text{tor}}))$) of [N95]–[N99]. Especially, we showed an explicit formula for \mathbb{E}_m in terms of Kummer properties of modular units evaluated at E . By Galois correspondence, those finite index subgroups of \mathbf{A} obtained in this paper yield a sequence of finite Galois extensions of K that

Received May 30, 2011.

Revised February 8, 2012.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 21340009.

can be controlled by the invariants \mathbb{E}_m . We hope to discuss applications to arithmetic of elliptic curves in our future works.

Our first main statement is:

Theorem A. *Let $m, M \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $N = 2^\varepsilon M$ with $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ according as $2 \nmid M, 2 \mid M$ respectively. If $(u, v) \equiv (u', v') \pmod{mN}$, then $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) \equiv \mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u', v') \pmod{M}$ for every $\sigma \in \mathbf{A}$.*

This theorem improves our previous result in [N10] Corollary 6.9.8 (cf. Remark 3.4.3 in loc.cit.) where the congruence was shown for M square integers by using a geometric method different from the present paper.

By virtue of the above theorem, we can define a map

$$\mathbb{E}_{m,M} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z})^2]$$

which sends $\sigma \in \mathbf{A}$ to an element $\mathbb{E}_{m,M}(\sigma)$ of the finite group ring $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z})^2]$ given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{m,M}(\sigma) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z})^2} \mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{a}} \pmod{M}.$$

Here $(u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ is chosen to be a representative for any class $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z})^2$, while $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{a}}$ denotes the symbol for the image of $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^u \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2^v$ by the natural projection:

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2]/(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^{mN} - 1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2^{mN} - 1) = (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z})^2].$$

Next, let $\rho : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the induced action of \mathbf{A} on the abelianization $\pi^{\text{ab}} := \pi/\pi'$ as in

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\sigma) & b(\sigma) \\ c(\sigma) & d(\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\sigma \in \mathbf{A}),$$

so that $\sigma(\mathbf{x}_1) \equiv \mathbf{x}_1^{a(\sigma)} \mathbf{x}_2^{c(\sigma)}$, $\sigma(\mathbf{x}_2) \equiv \mathbf{x}_1^{b(\sigma)} \mathbf{x}_2^{d(\sigma)} \pmod{\pi'}$. Letting $N = 2^\varepsilon M$ being as above, we shall consider two subsets $\mathbf{A}''_{m,M} \subset \mathbf{A}'_{m,M}$ of \mathbf{A} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}'_{m,M} &:= \{ \sigma \in \mathbf{A} \mid \rho(\sigma) \equiv 1 \pmod{mN} \}, \\ \mathbf{A}''_{m,M} &:= \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbf{A}'_{m,M} \mid \mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) \equiv 0 \pmod{M} (\forall u, v \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\mathbf{A}'_{m,M}$ obviously forms a finite index subgroup of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem B. *The mapping $\mathbb{E}_{m,M}$ restricted on $A'_{m,M}$ gives an additive homomorphism*

$$A'_{m,M} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/mN\mathbb{Z})^2]$$

with kernel $A''_{m,M}$. Especially, $A''_{m,M}$ forms a finite index subgroup of $A'_{m,M}$.

The construction of this paper is as follows. In §2, we review the basic definition of our Eisenstein invariants \mathbb{E}_m mostly from [N10]. In §3, we introduce certain arithmetic sums (Fourier–Dedekind-like sums) \mathcal{S}_m and discuss their congruence properties. In §4, the sums \mathcal{S}_m are slotted into certain elementary measures $R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2]]$ which will turn out to vanish in reduced group rings $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$ under suitable congruence assumptions on parameters α, β, γ with respect to m, M (Theorem 4.5). We then give a proof of Theorem A. Finally, in §5, making use of Theorem 4.5, we settle a proof of Theorem B.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank very much the anonymous referee for many valuable comments including a crucial point which completes the proof of Theorem A in §4.

§2. The Eisenstein invariants \mathbb{E}_m

In this section, we shall recall the construction of our invariants \mathbb{E}_m and add a couple of basic properties which will be necessary for later sections.

Let π be the free profinite group with given generators $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{z}$ and a relation $[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2]\mathbf{z} = 1$, and denote $\pi \supset \pi' \supset \pi'' \supset \dots$ the derived series (in the profinite sense). Then, the first quotient π/π' is the abelianization π^{ab} of π and may be regarded as

$$(2.1) \quad \pi^{\text{ab}}(:= \pi/\pi') = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 \oplus \hat{\mathbb{Z}}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_2 \quad (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i = \mathbf{x}_i \bmod \pi').$$

The second subquotient π'/π'' has a natural action of π^{ab} by conjugation, hence may be regarded as a module over the complete group ring $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$. The profinite Blanchfield–Lyndon–Ihara exact sequence (cf. [Ih86, Ih99-00]) shows that π'/π'' is a free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$ -cyclic module generated by the image $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ of $\mathbf{z} \in \pi'$ in π'/π'' : Each element of π'/π'' can be written uniquely as $\mu \cdot \bar{\mathbf{z}}$ ($\mu \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$).

Notations being as in §1, suppose we are given an automorphism $\sigma \in A$. For each pair $(u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$, observe that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_{uv}(\sigma) := \sigma(\mathbf{x}_2^{-v} \mathbf{x}_1^{-u}) \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1^{a(\sigma)u+b(\sigma)v} \mathbf{x}_2^{c(\sigma)u+d(\sigma)v})$$

lies in π' . Then, one obtains, by virtue of the above free cyclic $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$ -module structure of π'/π'' , a unique element $G_{uv}(\sigma) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$ determined by the equation

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_{uv}(\sigma) \equiv G_{uv}(\sigma) \cdot \bar{z}$$

in π'/π'' . Note that, by definition, $\mathcal{S}_{00}(\sigma) = 1$, hence $G_{00}(\sigma) = 0$.

Now, regard the above element $G_{uv}(\sigma)$ as a measure on the profinite space $\pi^{\text{ab}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ and define $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v)$ to be the volume of the subspace $(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ by the measure $G_{uv}(\sigma)$:

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) := \int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} dG_{uv}(\sigma).$$

In general, the integration over $(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ of the measure $d\mu$ corresponding to an element $\mu \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$ may be rephrased in the following more down-to-earth terminologies. First, recall that the complete group ring $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]]$ is the projective limit of the group rings:

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{\text{ab}}]] = \varprojlim_n \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2]/(\bar{x}_1^n - 1, \bar{x}_2^n - 1)$$

where the projective system forms over $n \in \mathbb{N}$ multiplicatively. Take the m -th component of μ and write

$$(2.6) \quad \mu \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{ij} \bar{x}_1^i \bar{x}_2^j \pmod{(\bar{x}_1^m - 1, \bar{x}_2^m - 1)}$$

in the group ring $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2] = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2]/(\bar{x}_1^m - 1, \bar{x}_2^m - 1)$. The issued integral is then nothing but the principal coefficient a_{00} of this expression:

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} d\mu = a_{00}.$$

Remark 2.8. In the study of monodromy representations in fundamental groups of once punctured elliptic curves, the subgroup

$$A^b := \{\sigma \in A \mid \sigma(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^a \ (\exists a \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times)\} \subset A$$

is more essential than A itself. In particular, for $\sigma \in A^b$ with $\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we have Tsunogai's equation ([Tsu95] Prop. 1.12):

$$(2.9) \quad (\bar{x}_1^b \bar{x}_2^d - 1)G_{-1,0}(\sigma) - (\bar{x}_1^a \bar{x}_2^c - 1)G_{0,-1}(\sigma) \\ = (ad - bc) - \frac{(\bar{x}_2^d - 1)(\bar{x}_1^a \bar{x}_2^c - 1) - (\bar{x}_2^c - 1)(\bar{x}_1^b \bar{x}_2^d - 1)}{(\bar{x}_1 - 1)(\bar{x}_2 - 1)}.$$

This is especially important to relate the invariants $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v)$ with Eisenstein measure \mathcal{E}_σ studied in [N95], [N99]. However, in the following algebraic arguments, we often do not need to restrict ourselves to \mathbb{A}^b .

Proposition 2.10. *For each $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}$, we have*

$$G_{uv}(\sigma) = \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} G_{01}(\sigma) + (\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1} G_{10}(\sigma) - \text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v}.$$

Here, $\binom{ab}{cd} = \rho(\sigma) \in \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v}$ is an explicit element in \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 defined by

$$\text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v} := R_{b,d}^v + (\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v R_{a,c}^u + \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \bar{x}_2^{-dv},$$

where, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma := \frac{1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \left(\frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-\alpha}\bar{x}_2^{-\beta})^\gamma - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-\alpha}\bar{x}_2^{-\beta} - 1} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-\beta} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} - \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-\beta\gamma} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \right).$$

We understand the dot between $\binom{ab}{cd}$ and $\binom{u}{v}$ in the notation $\text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v}$ separates matrix component and vector component. Namely, Rest is a map from $\text{SL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. This follows exactly in the same manner as [N10] Proposition 3.4.2, though arguments in loc.cit. were given for σ coming from the monodromy image in \mathbb{A}^b . That geometric condition is not necessary for this proposition. Q.E.D.

Question 2.11. In [N10] Proposition 3.4.5, it is shown that the collection $\{\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) \mid (u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2, m \geq 1\}$ recovers the action of $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}^b$ on π/π'' , equivalently, determines the measures $G_{10}(\sigma)$ and $G_{01}(\sigma)$. Even for general $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}$, the measure $G_{10}(\sigma)$ turns out to be recovered from the collection $\{\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v)\}$. In the proof of loc.cit., we made use of Tsunogai’s equation (2.9) to convert knowledge of $G_{10}(\sigma)$ to that of $G_{01}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}^b$. It seems unclear if there is a detour to it with no use of (2.9) for general $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}$.

§3. Fourier–Dedekind-like sum: S_m

Define $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be the upper continuous saw tooth function

$$(3.1) \quad U(x) = x + \lfloor -x \rfloor + \frac{1}{2} = P_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}(x),$$

where $[\alpha]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding α , $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the characteristic function of the subset $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $P_1(x)$ is the usual saw tooth function

$$(3.2) \quad P_1(x) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}, & (x \notin \mathbb{Z}), \\ 0, & (x \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{cases}$$

Let ζ_m denote a primitive m -th root of unity. By the standard formula

$$P_1\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\zeta_m^i}{1 - \zeta_m^i} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \zeta_m^{ai} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \zeta_m^i} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \zeta_m^{ai} \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

(cf. [RG72] p.14), it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad U\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{2m} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\zeta_m^{ai}}{1 - \zeta_m^i}.$$

The following lemmas are our basic tools. We shall write (a, m) to denote the greatest common divisor of $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d := (a, m) > 0$. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} U\left(\frac{ai + b}{m}\right) = dU\left(\frac{b}{d}\right).$$

This formula is essentially equivalent to a well known formula (3.11) appearing later. Here, we shall give a direct proof using the distribution relation of P_1 .

Proof. By (3.1), the left hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} P_1\left(\frac{ai + b}{m}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{ai + b}{m}\right).$$

Put $a = \bar{a}d, m = \bar{m}d$. The first term can be written $d \sum_{i=0}^{\bar{m}-1} P_1\left(\frac{\bar{a}i + (b/d)}{\bar{m}}\right)$ which turns out to be $dP_1\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)$ by the distribution relation of P_1 (cf. [RG78] p.4, Lemma 1). For the second term, we need to count the number of solution $i \bmod m$ of the congruence $ai + b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. There are none when $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, while when $b = d\bar{b}$, the solutions of $ai + b \equiv 0$

mod m are in one to one correspondence to those d classes that lift the unique solution of $\bar{a}i + \bar{b} \equiv 0 \pmod{\bar{m}}$. Thus the above sum equals to

$$d \left(P_1\left(\frac{b}{d}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{b}{d}\right) \right) = dU\left(\frac{b}{d}\right).$$

Q.E.D.

Definition 3.5. For $a, c, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\mathcal{S}_m(a, c; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(U\left(\frac{ai + \alpha}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{2m} \right) \left(U\left(\frac{ci + \beta}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{2m} \right).$$

Lemma 3.6.

$$\mathcal{S}_m(a, c; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{\zeta, \xi \in \mu_m \setminus \{1\} \\ \zeta^\alpha \xi^c = 1}} \frac{\zeta^\alpha}{1 - \zeta} \cdot \frac{\xi^\beta}{1 - \xi}.$$

Proof. By using (3.3), one computes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_m(a, c; \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} \frac{\zeta_m^{(ai+\alpha)s}}{1 - \zeta_m^s} \cdot \frac{\zeta_m^{(ci+\beta)t}}{1 - \zeta_m^t} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_m^s} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_m^t} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{i(as+ct)+\alpha s+\beta t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the last bracket is equal to $m\zeta_m^{\alpha s+\beta t}$ if $as + ct \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, and to 0 otherwise. The lemma follows immediately from this. Q.E.D.

Question 3.7. In [BR07], studied are certain Fourier–Dedekind sums $s_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; b)$ and their reciprocity laws. Its special type reads

$$s_2(a_1, a_2; b) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_b \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\zeta^2}{(1 - \zeta^{a_1})(1 - \zeta^{a_2})}$$

which, according to the above lemma, overlaps with our $\mathcal{S}_m(a, c, \alpha, \beta)$ in some special cases. An interesting question will be how to formulate (and prove) a reciprocity law well-suited to $\mathcal{S}_m(a, c, \alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that (a, m) divides y . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}_m(a, c, x + y, z) - \mathcal{S}_m(a, c, x, z) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(U\left(\frac{ai + x + y}{m}\right) - U\left(\frac{ai + x}{m}\right) \right) U\left(\frac{ci + z}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Definition 3.5 and Lemma 3.4 that the difference of both sides amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(U\left(\frac{ai+x+y}{m}\right) - U\left(\frac{ai+x}{m}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{(a,m)}{2m} \left(U\left(\frac{x+y}{(a,m)}\right) - U\left(\frac{x}{(a,m)}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes under the condition $(a,m)|y$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.9. For $u, v, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(v, m) = 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_m(v, -1, vu-s, 0) - \mathcal{S}_m(v, -1, -s, 0) \equiv \frac{u}{2m} - \frac{vu(u-1)}{2m} + \frac{su}{m} \pmod{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, the LHS equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} U\left(\frac{v(i+u)-s}{m}\right)U\left(\frac{-i}{m}\right) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} U\left(\frac{vi-s}{m}\right)U\left(\frac{-i}{m}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} U\left(\frac{vi-s}{m}\right) \left(U\left(\frac{u-i}{m}\right) - U\left(\frac{-i}{m}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} U\left(\frac{vi-s}{m}\right) \left(\frac{u}{m} + \left\lfloor \frac{i-u}{m} \right\rfloor \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is, by virtue of Lemma 3.4, congruent to

$$\equiv \frac{u}{m} U\left(\frac{-s}{(m,v)}\right) + \frac{v}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i \left\lfloor \frac{i-u}{m} \right\rfloor - \frac{s}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\lfloor \frac{i-u}{m} \right\rfloor \pmod{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}}.$$

Define $\delta := \lfloor -u/m \rfloor$, $k := m(\delta + 1) + u$ so that $\delta = \lfloor \frac{-u}{m} \rfloor = \dots = \lfloor \frac{-u+k-1}{m} \rfloor$, $\delta + 1 = \lfloor \frac{-u+k}{m} \rfloor = \dots = \lfloor \frac{-u+m-1}{m} \rfloor$. Then, noting that $(v, m) = 1$ and $k \equiv u \pmod{m}$, we continue the above computation to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{u}{m} U(0) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{m} \left\{ v\delta \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + v \frac{(m+k-1)(m-k)}{2} - s(m\delta + (m-k)) \right\} \\ &\equiv \frac{u}{2m} - \frac{vu(u-1)}{2m} + \frac{su}{m} \pmod{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.10. For $a, c, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_m(a, c, a-r, -s) - \mathcal{S}_m(a, c, -r, -s) \\ & \equiv \frac{a(m, c)}{2m} \left\{ 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{(m, c)} \right\rfloor + 1 \right\} - \frac{c(m, a)}{2m} \left\{ 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{(m, a)} \right\rfloor + 1 \right\} + \frac{ac}{2m} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \pmod{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $(a, m) | a$, we may apply Lemma 3.8 to see that the LHS equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(U\left(\frac{ai+a-r}{m}\right) - U\left(\frac{ai-r}{m}\right) \right) U\left(\frac{ci-s}{m}\right) \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{a}{m} + \left\lfloor -\frac{ai+a-r}{m} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor -\frac{ai-r}{m} \right\rfloor \right) \left(\frac{ci-s}{m} + \left\lfloor -\frac{ci-s}{m} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moding out half integers, it is congruent to the sum $A + B + C \pmod{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A & := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{a}{m} U\left(\frac{ci-s}{m}\right) = \frac{a(m, c)}{m} U\left(\frac{-s}{(m, c)}\right) \\ & = \frac{a(m, c)}{m} \left(\frac{-s}{(m, c)} + \left\lfloor \frac{s}{(m, c)} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ & = -\frac{as}{m} + \frac{a(m, c)}{2m} \left\{ 2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{(m, c)} \right\rfloor + 1 \right\}, \\ B & := -\frac{s}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\left\lfloor -\frac{a(i+1)-r}{m} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor -\frac{ai-r}{m} \right\rfloor \right) \\ & = -\frac{s}{m} \left(\left\lfloor -\frac{am-r}{m} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor -\frac{-r}{m} \right\rfloor \right) = \frac{as}{m}, \\ C & := \frac{c}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\left\lfloor -\frac{a(i+1)-r}{m} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor -\frac{ai-r}{m} \right\rfloor \right) i \\ & = \frac{c}{m} \left(\left\lfloor -\frac{am-r}{m} \right\rfloor (m-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\lfloor -\frac{aj-r}{m} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the convenient formula

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\lfloor \frac{mk+x}{n} \right\rfloor = \frac{(m-1)(n-1)}{2} + \frac{(m,n)-1}{2} + (m,n) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{(m,n)} \right\rfloor$$

$$(m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R})$$

(see [Kn73], exercise 2.4.37), we find

$$C = \frac{c}{m} \left\{ \frac{(m-1)(a+1)}{2} - \frac{(m,a)-1}{2} - (m,a) \left\lfloor \frac{r}{(m,a)} \right\rfloor \right. \\ \left. + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{m} \right\rfloor + \left(-a + \left\lfloor \frac{r}{m} \right\rfloor \right) (m-1) \right\}$$

$$\equiv \frac{ac}{2m} - \frac{c(m,a)}{2m} \left\{ 2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{(m,a)} \right\rfloor + 1 \right\} \pmod{\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}}.$$

One concludes the lemma by evaluating $A + B + C$ after the above computation. Q.E.D.

§4. Congruence properties of elementary terms: $R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ or $Q_{a,c}^u$

In this section, we shall consider the elementary terms

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma = R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) := \frac{1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \left(\frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-\alpha} \bar{x}_2^{-\beta})^\gamma - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-\alpha} \bar{x}_2^{-\beta} - 1} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-\beta} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} - \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-\beta\gamma} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \right)$$

introduced in Proposition 2.10 for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Just for convenience of presentation, we convert $R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ to equivalent $Q_{a,c}^u(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) := R_{-c,-a}^u(\bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1)$, i.e., define for $a, c, u \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$(4.1) \quad Q_{a,c}^u = Q_{a,c}^u(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) := \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \left(\frac{(\bar{x}_1^a \bar{x}_2^c)^u - 1}{\bar{x}_1^a \bar{x}_2^c - 1} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}_1^a - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} - \frac{\bar{x}_1^{au} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \right).$$

Recall that these are elements of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{ab}]]$ where

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{ab}]] = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2]] = \varprojlim_{m,n} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2] / (\bar{x}_1^n - 1, \bar{x}_2^n - 1)$$

and can be regarded as $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -valued measures on $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$. There is a natural immersion of

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^2] = \mathbb{Z} \left[\bar{x}_1, \frac{1}{\bar{x}_1}, \bar{x}_2, \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2} \right]$$

into $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{ab}]]$ with dense image.

We begin by detecting explicit forms of $Q_{a,c}^u$ evaluated at pairs of roots of unity:

Lemma 4.2. For $(\zeta, \xi) \in \mu_m \times \mu_m$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\xi-1} \left(\frac{(\zeta^a \xi^c)^u - 1}{\zeta^a \xi^c - 1} \cdot \frac{\zeta^a - 1}{\zeta - 1} - \frac{\zeta^{au} - 1}{\zeta - 1} \right), & (\zeta \neq 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1), \\ \frac{u(\zeta^a - 1) - (\zeta^{au} - 1)}{\xi(\zeta - 1)(\zeta - 1)}, & (\zeta \neq 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c = 1), \\ \frac{cu \zeta^{au}}{\zeta - 1} - \frac{c(\zeta^{au} - 1)\zeta^a}{(\zeta^a - 1)(\zeta - 1)}, & (\zeta \neq 1, \xi = 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1), \\ \frac{a}{\xi - 1} \left(\frac{\xi^{cu} - 1}{\xi^c - 1} - u \right), & (\zeta = 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1), \\ \frac{acu(u-1)}{2}, & (\zeta = \xi = 1, \zeta^a \xi^c = 1), \\ 0, & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us examine $Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi)$ case by case:

Case 1: $\zeta \neq 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1$. In this case, the terms $Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi)$ remain as they are, i.e.,

$$Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) = \frac{1}{\xi - 1} \left(\frac{(\zeta^a \xi^c)^u - 1}{\zeta^a \xi^c - 1} \cdot \frac{\zeta^a - 1}{\zeta - 1} - \frac{\zeta^{au} - 1}{\zeta - 1} \right).$$

Case 2: $\zeta \neq 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c = 1$. In this case, using de l’Hospital’s rule, we find:

$$Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) = \frac{1}{\xi - 1} \left(u \frac{\zeta^a - 1}{\zeta - 1} - \frac{\zeta^{au} - 1}{\zeta - 1} \right) = \frac{u(\zeta^a - 1) - (\zeta^{au} - 1)}{(\xi - 1)(\zeta - 1)}.$$

Case 3: $\zeta \neq 1, \xi = 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1$. In this case, using de l’Hospital’s rule, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) &= \frac{\zeta^{au} cu (\zeta^a - 1) - c(\zeta^{au} - 1)\zeta^a}{(\zeta^a - 1)^2} \cdot \frac{\zeta^a - 1}{\zeta - 1} \\ &= \frac{cu \zeta^{au}}{\zeta - 1} - \frac{c(\zeta^{au} - 1)\zeta^a}{(\zeta^a - 1)(\zeta - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4: $\zeta = 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1$. In this case, it follows that

$$Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) = \frac{1}{\xi - 1} \left(a \frac{\xi^{cu} - 1}{\xi^c - 1} - au \right) = \frac{a}{\xi - 1} \left(\frac{\xi^{cu} - 1}{\xi^c - 1} - u \right).$$

Case 5: $\zeta = \xi = 1, \zeta^a \xi^c = 1$. In this case, using de l'Hospital's rule twice, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{a}{y-1} \left(\frac{y^{cu} - 1 - uy^c - u}{y^c - 1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{a(cuy^{cu-1} - cuy^{c-1})}{(c+1)y^c - 1 - cy^{c-1}} \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{a(cu(cu-1)y^{cu-2} - cu(c-1)y^{c-2})}{(c+1)cy^{c-1} - c(c-1)y^{c-2}} \\ &= \frac{a(cu(cu-1) - cu(c-1))}{c^2 + c - c^2 + c} = \frac{acu(u-1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 6: $\zeta = \xi = 1, \zeta^a \xi^c \neq 1$. This case is impossible.

Case 7: $\zeta = 1, \xi \neq 1, \zeta^a \xi^c = 1$. In this case, it follows that

$$Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) = \frac{1}{\xi - 1} \left(\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{y^{cu} - 1}{y^c - 1} \cdot a - \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^{au} - 1}{x - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{\xi - 1} (au - au) = 0.$$

Case 8: $\zeta \neq 1, \xi = 1, \zeta^a \xi^c = 1$. In this case, it follows that

$$Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 1} \left\{ \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(x^a - 1)}{(y-1)(\zeta - 1)} \left(\frac{(x^a y^c)^u - 1}{x^a y^c - 1} - 1 \right) \right\} = 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Notation 4.4. For $a \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by (a, m) the positive greatest common divisor, i.e., the maximal integer dividing both a, m in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 4.5. Let m, N be natural numbers, and suppose that $a, c, u \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (i) $u \equiv 0 \pmod{mN}$;
- (ii) $a \equiv 0 \pmod{mN}$ and $(c, m) = 1$;
- (iii) $c \equiv 0 \pmod{mN}$ and $(a, m) = 1$.

Then, for any $r, s \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have the congruence

$$\int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} \bar{x}_1^{-r} \bar{x}_2^{-s} dQ_{a,c}^u \equiv 0 \pmod{N/(N, 2)}.$$

Proof. As recalled in (2.7), the left hand integral $\int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} \bar{x}_1^{-r} \bar{x}_2^{-s} dQ_{a,c}^u$ can be interpreted as the principal coefficient a_{00} of the congruence:

$$\bar{x}_1^{-r} \bar{x}_2^{-s} Q_{a,c}^u \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{ij} \bar{x}_1^i \bar{x}_2^j \pmod{(\bar{x}_1^m - 1, \bar{x}_2^m - 1)}$$

in the group ring $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2] = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2]/(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^m - 1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2^m - 1)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. By standard Fourier transformation, we then obtain the following expression

$$(4.6) \quad a_{00} = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_m} \sum_{\xi \in \mu_m} \zeta^{-r} \xi^{-s} Q_{a,c}^u(\zeta, \xi).$$

Case (i): $u \equiv 0 \pmod{mN}$. Using (4.6) and Lemma 4.2, one finds:

$$a_{00} = \frac{1}{m^2} \left(C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + \frac{acu(u-1)}{2} \right),$$

where, denoting by C_i the terms from Case i ($i = 2, 3, 4$) in (the proof of) Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= u \sum_{\substack{\zeta, \xi \in \mu_m \setminus \{1\} \\ \zeta^a \xi^c = 1}} \frac{\zeta^{a-r} \xi^{-s} - \zeta^{-r} \xi^{-s}}{(\zeta - 1)(\xi - 1)} \\ &= mu \left(\mathcal{S}_m(a, c, a-r, -s) - \mathcal{S}_m(a, c, -r, -s) \right), \\ C_3 &= cu \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_m \setminus \mu(m,a)} \frac{\zeta^{-r}}{\zeta - 1} = cu \left((m, a)U\left(\frac{-r}{(m, a)}\right) - mU\left(\frac{-r}{m}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{uc(m, a)}{2} \left(2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{(m, a)} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - \frac{ucm}{2} \left(2 \left\lfloor \frac{r}{m} \right\rfloor + 1 \right), \\ C_4 &= -au \sum_{\xi \in \mu_m \setminus \mu(m,c)} \frac{\xi^{-s}}{\xi - 1} = au \left((m, c)U\left(\frac{-s}{(m, c)}\right) - mU\left(\frac{-s}{m}\right) \right) \\ &= -\frac{ua(m, c)}{2} \left(2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{(m, c)} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + \frac{uam}{2} \left(2 \left\lfloor \frac{s}{m} \right\rfloor + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is then easily seen from Lemma 3.10 that $a_{00} \equiv 0 \pmod{N/(N, 2)}$.

Case (ii): $a \equiv 0 \pmod{mN}$ and $(c, m) = 1$. Using (4.6) and Lemma 4.2, one finds:

$$a_{00} = \frac{1}{m^2} \left(C'_4 + C''_4 + \frac{acu(u-1)}{2} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C'_4 &= a \sum_{\xi \in \mu_m \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\xi^{cu-s} - \xi^{-s}}{(\xi - 1)(\xi^c - 1)} \\
 &= am \left(\mathcal{S}_m(c, -1, cu - s, 0) - \mathcal{S}_m(c, -1, -s, 0) \right), \\
 C''_4 &= -au \sum_{\xi \in \mu_m \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\xi^{-s}}{\xi - 1} = -au \left(\frac{1}{2} - mU\left(\frac{-s}{m}\right) \right) \\
 &= -au \left(\frac{1-m}{2} - m \left\lfloor \frac{s}{m} \right\rfloor + s \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

It then follows easily from Lemma 3.9 (applied for $v := c$) that $a_{00} \equiv 0 \pmod{N/(N, 2)}$.

Case (iii): $c \equiv 0 \pmod{mN}$ and $(a, m) = 1$. Using (4.6) and Lemma 4.2, one finds:

$$a_{00} = \frac{1}{m^2} \left(C'_3 + C''_3 + \frac{acu(u-1)}{2} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C'_3 &= -c \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_m \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\zeta^{au-r+a} - \zeta^{-r+a}}{(\zeta - 1)(\zeta^a - 1)} \\
 &= -cm \left(\mathcal{S}_m(a, -1, au - r + a, 0) - \mathcal{S}_m(a, -1, -r + a, 0) \right), \\
 C''_3 &= cu \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_m \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\zeta^{au-r}}{\zeta - 1} \\
 &= cu \left(\frac{1-m}{2} - m \left\lfloor \frac{r-au}{m} \right\rfloor - (au - r) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

It then follows easily from Lemma 3.9 (applied for $v := a, s := r - a$) that $a_{00} \equiv 0 \pmod{N/(N, 2)}$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem A. According to Proposition 2.10, $G_{uv}(\sigma)$ is decomposed as a sum

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{uv}(\sigma) &= \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} G_{01}(\sigma) + (\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a} \bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a} \bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1} G_{10}(\sigma) \\
 &\quad - \text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v}
 \end{aligned}$$

with $\binom{ab}{cd} = \rho(\sigma) \in \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, where $\text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v}$ is a sum

$$\text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)} \cdot \binom{u}{v} := R_{b,d}^v + (\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^v R_{a,c}^u + \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \bar{x}_2^{-dv}.$$

It suffices to show that the volume $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) = \int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} dG_{uv}(\sigma)$ does not alter modulo M when (u, v) is replaced by $(u', v') \equiv (u, v) \pmod{mN}$. Let us first consider behaviors of the three terms free from $R_{b,d}^v, R_{a,c}^u$ in the above decomposition of $G_{uv}(\sigma)$, namely, the first two terms of $G_{uv}(\sigma)$ and the last term of $\text{Rest}_{(cd)}^{(ab)}(u)$. Observe that, under our assumption $u \equiv u', v \equiv v' \pmod{mN}$, each of the differences

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} - \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} = \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - (\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'}}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1}, \\ & \bullet \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}}{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}} - \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'} \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^{u'} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}}{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'} \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^{u'} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}} \\ & = \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - (\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^{u'}}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}}{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - (\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^{u'}}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}} \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - (\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'}}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} \right) \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^{u'} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}, \\ & \bullet \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv}} - \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv'} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu'} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv'}} \\ & = \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu} - \bar{x}_2^{-cu'}}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv}} \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv}} - \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv'}} \right) + \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - \bar{x}_1^{-bv'}}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv'}} \right) \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu'} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

turns out to be annihilated by reduction modulo the ideal $(N, \bar{x}_1^m - 1, \bar{x}_2^m - 1)$ of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\pi^{ab}]]$. This, together with the expression (2.7), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} d \left(\frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} G_{01}(\sigma) + \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v \frac{(\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c})^u - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-a}\bar{x}_2^{-c} - 1}}{\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d} - 1} G_{10}(\sigma) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-bv} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-cu} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{x}_2^{-dv}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is invariant modulo M (a factor of N) as long as $(u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ belongs to a same congruence class modulo mN . It remains to consider the behavior of $\int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} d(R_{b,d}^v + (\bar{x}_1^{-b}\bar{x}_2^{-d})^v R_{a,c}^u)$ under the change from (u, v) to $(u', v') \equiv (u, v) \pmod{mN}$. First, note the general equation:

(4.7)

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma - R_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma'} = (\bar{x}_1^{-\alpha}\bar{x}_2^{-\beta})^{\gamma'} R_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma-\gamma'} + \bar{x}_2^{-\beta\gamma'} \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-\alpha\gamma'} - 1}{\bar{x}_1 - 1} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-\beta(\gamma-\gamma')} - 1}{\bar{x}_2 - 1}.$$

Applying (4.7) with $(\alpha, \beta) = (b, d)$ and $(\gamma, \gamma') = (v, v')$, we find from Theorem 4.5 (i) that $\int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} (dR_{b,d}^v - dR_{b,d}^{v'}) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$. We can also see that the integration of

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^v R_{a,c}^u - (\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'} R_{a,c}^{u'} \\ &= (\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^v (R_{a,c}^u - R_{a,c}^{u'}) + ((\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^v - (\bar{x}_1^{-b} \bar{x}_2^{-d})^{v'}) R_{a,c}^{u'} \end{aligned}$$

over $(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2$ is congruent to 0 mod M , after applying (4.7) with $(\alpha, \beta) = (a, c)$, $(\gamma, \gamma') = (u, u')$ to the first term of the above last line. Thus, summing up these arguments we conclude

$$\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) \equiv \mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u', v') \pmod{M}$$

under the condition $(u, v) \equiv (u', v') \pmod{mN}$. Q.E.D.

§5. Proof of Theorem B

It suffices to show the following more refined proposition:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $m, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $N = 2^\varepsilon M$ where $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ according as M is odd or even respectively. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{A}$ satisfy $\rho(\sigma) \equiv \rho(\tau) \equiv 1 \pmod{mN}$. Then, for every pair $(u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$,*

$$G_{uv}(\sigma\tau) \equiv G_{uv}(\sigma) + G_{uv}(\tau)$$

in $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$. In particular, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma\tau) \equiv \mathbb{E}_m(\sigma) + \mathbb{E}_m(\tau) \pmod{M}.$$

In fact, the twisted composition law ([N10] §3.5) implies that, generally for $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{A}$, $(u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$(5.2) \quad G_{(v)}^{\rho(\tau)}(\sigma\tau) = G_{(v)}^{\rho(\tau)}(\sigma) + \chi(\sigma) \cdot \sigma(G_{(v)}^{\rho(\tau)}(\tau))$$

holds, where

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad G_{(v)}^{\rho(\tau)}(\sigma) &:= \left[(\sigma\tau) \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2^{-v} - 1}{\bar{x}_2^{-1} - 1} \right) \right] \cdot G_{\rho(\tau)(\mathfrak{b})}(\sigma) \\ &+ \left[(\sigma\tau) \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2^{-v} \bar{x}_1^{-u} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-1} - 1} \right) \right] \cdot G_{\rho(\tau)(\mathfrak{b})}(\sigma) \\ &- [\text{Rest} \rho(\sigma\tau) \cdot (v)] + \chi(\sigma) \cdot \sigma [\text{Rest} \rho(\tau) \cdot (v)]. \end{aligned}$$

(In [N10] §3.5, twisted composition laws were discussed for the monodromy images in $\mathbb{A}^{\mathfrak{b}}$, but the arguments in loc. cit. hold true for general elements of \mathbb{A} .) First, Theorem 4.5 (ii), (iii) ensure the following

Lemma 5.4. Assume $A \in \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ satisfies $A \equiv 1 \pmod{mN}$. Then,

$$\int_{(m\hat{\mathbb{Z}})^2} \bar{x}_1^{-r} \bar{x}_2^{-s} d\text{Rest}A.(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$$

for all $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, in other words, $\text{Rest}A.(u) \equiv 0$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$. \square

From this lemma we immediately see that the last two terms of (5.3) vanish in the reduced group ring $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$ and that the proof of the above proposition is reduced to

Lemma 5.5. Suppose $\rho(\sigma) \equiv \rho(\tau) \equiv 1 \pmod{mN}$. Then, for every $(u, v) \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$, we have

$$G_{(u)}^{\rho(\tau)}(\sigma) \equiv G_{uv}(\sigma)$$

in $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$.

Proof. Again by using Lemma 5.4, we find that Proposition 2.10 implies, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{A}$ with $\rho(\sigma) \equiv 1 \pmod{mN}$,

$$G_{uv}(\sigma) = \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-v} - 1}{\bar{x}_2^{-1} - 1} G_{01}(\sigma) + \bar{x}_2^{-v} \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-u} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-1} - 1} G_{10}(\sigma)$$

in $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$. Assume $\rho(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ which is assumed $\equiv 1 \pmod{mN}$. In particular, since $(a, c) \equiv (1, 0)$, $(b, d) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{mN}$, we have

$$G_{ac}(\sigma) \equiv G_{10}(\sigma), \quad G_{bd}(\sigma) \equiv G_{01}(\sigma) \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2].$$

Putting all together into (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(u)}^{\rho(\tau)}(\sigma) &\equiv \left[(\sigma\rho(\tau)) \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2^{-v} - 1}{\bar{x}_2^{-1} - 1} \right) \right] \cdot G_{bd}(\sigma) \\ &\quad + \left[(\sigma\rho(\tau)) \left(\bar{x}_2^{-v} \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-u} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-1} - 1} \right) \right] \cdot G_{ac}(\sigma) \\ &\equiv \frac{\bar{x}_2^{-v} - 1}{\bar{x}_2^{-1} - 1} \cdot G_{01}(\sigma) + \bar{x}_2^{-v} \frac{\bar{x}_1^{-u} - 1}{\bar{x}_1^{-1} - 1} \cdot G_{10}(\sigma) \\ &\equiv G_{uv}(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

in $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})[(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2]$. This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

Thus, the proof of Proposition 5.1, and hence that of Theorem B, are settled.

§6. Numerical examples for Theorem A

Before closing this paper, we shall provide some numerical examples illustrating congruence periodicity properties of $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v)$ in (u, v) of Theorem A. We employ $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\pi)$ defined as the composite $\sigma := \tau_1^{-2}\tau_2^6\tau_1^2\tau_2(\tau_1\tau_2)^{-3}$ of the basic two automorphisms $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \text{Aut}(\pi)$:

$$\tau_1 : \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2 \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2 : \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_1, \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_1. \end{cases}$$

In [N10] §7, we obtained an explicit formula to calculate $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v)$ ($u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$) from the matrix image of σ by $\rho : \text{Aut}(\pi) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (1.1):

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-3} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 5 \\ 24 & 11 \end{pmatrix}$$

through relevant generalized Dedekind sums together with certain other elementary terms. According to this formula, the values $\mathbb{E}_2(\sigma; u, v)$, for $m = 2$ and say in the range $-4 \leq u, v \leq 4$, are given by the following table. More precisely, the matrix $\left[\mathbb{E}_2(\sigma, i - 5, j - 5) \right]_{i,j=1}^9$ is given by

-1137	-981	-812	-681	-542	-436	-327	-246	-167
-783	-654	-518	-414	-308	-229	-153	-99	-53
-494	-393	-289	-213	-139	-88	-44	-18	-4
-272	-198	-127	-78	-37	-13	-2	-3	-22
-115	-69	-30	-9	0	-4	-25	-54	-105
-25	-6	0	-6	-30	-61	-115	-171	-255
0	-9	-35	-69	-125	-184	-270	-354	-470
-42	-78	-137	-198	-287	-373	-492	-603	-752
-149	-213	-304	-393	-514	-628	-779	-918	-1099

Theorem A tells us certain periodical properties of the above matrix after taking the entries' residues by a fixed modulus: Generally, the residual values " $\mathbb{E}_m(\sigma; u, v) \bmod M$ " have $mN \times mN$ -periodicity, where $N = 2^\varepsilon M$ ($\varepsilon = 0, 1$ according as $2 \nmid M$ or $2|M$ respectively). In the case $m = 2$, $M = 3$, the values " $\mathbb{E}_2(\sigma; u, v) \bmod 3$ " should have 6×6 -periodicity. For the above chosen σ , cutting out the range $-6 \leq u, v \leq 6$, we obtain the following matrix $\left[\mathbb{E}_2(\sigma, i - 7, j - 7) \bmod 3 \right]_{i,j=1}^{13}$, where

we find 6×6 -periodicity:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In the case $m = 2, M = 2$ (hence $N = 4$), the values “ $\mathbb{E}_2(\sigma; u, v) \pmod{2}$ ” should have 8×8 -periodicity. For the above chosen σ , cutting out the range $-8 \leq u, v \leq 8$, we obtain the following matrix $\left[\mathbb{E}_2(\sigma, i - 9, j - 9) \pmod{3} \right]_{i,j=1}^{17}$, where 8×8 -periodicity is found:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

References

- [BR07] M. Beck and S. Robins, Computing the Continuous Discretely. Integer-Point Enumeration in Polyhedra, Undergrad. Texts Math., Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [Ih86] Y. Ihara, On Galois representations arising from towers of coverings of $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, Invent. Math., **86** (1986), 427–459.
- [Ih99-00] Y. Ihara, On beta and gamma functions associated with the Grothendieck-Teichmüller modular group In: Aspects of Galois Theory, (eds. H. Voelklein et.al), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **256**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999, pp. 144–179; Part II, J. Reine Angew. Math., **527** (2000), 1–11.
- [Kn73] D. E. Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, Fundamental Algorithms, Vol. 1, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1973.
- [N95] H. Nakamura, On exterior Galois representations associated with open elliptic curves, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **2** (1995), 197–231.
- [N99] H. Nakamura, Tangential base points and Eisenstein power series, In: Aspects of Galois Theory, (eds. H. Völkein, D. Harbater, P. Müller and J. G. Thompson), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **256**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999, pp. 202–217.
- [N10] H. Nakamura, On arithmetic monodromy representations of Eisenstein type in fundamental groups of once punctured elliptic curves, RIMS-1691 preprint, February 2010.
- [Tsu95] H. Tsunogai, On the automorphism group of a free pro- l meta-abelian group and an application to Galois representations, Math. Nachr., **171** (1995), 315–324.
- [RG72] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald, Dedekind Sums, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, **16**, The Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1972.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530
Japan
E-mail address: h-naka@math.okayama-u.ac.jp