Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 49, 2007 Probability and Number Theory — Kanazawa 2005 pp. 171–184

On simultaneous Diophantine approximation to periodic points related to modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm

Shunji Ito and Shin-ichi Yasutomi

Abstract.

For each (α, β) which is a periodic point related to modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ has a complex embedding, we claim the following facts: the limit set of $\{(\sqrt{q_n}(q_n\alpha-p_n),\sqrt{q_n}(q_n\beta-r_n)|n=1,2,\ldots\}$ is a finite union of similar ellipses, where (p_n,q_n,r_n) is the n-th convergent $(p_n/q_n,r_n/q_n)$ of (α,β) by the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm but for some (α,β) the ellipse given above is not the nearest ellipse in the limit set of $\{(\sqrt{q}(q\alpha-p),\sqrt{q}(q\beta-r)|q\in\mathbb{Z},q>0\}$ which is a union of similar ellipses.

§1. Introduction

We denote by \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} the set of all complex numbers, the set of all real numbers, the set of all rational numbers and the set of all integers respectively. Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then, it is well known that there exist infinitely many $q \in \mathbb{Z}(q > 0)$ such that $q^{\frac{1}{n}} || q\beta_i|| < 1$ for any integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. We consider the limit set of points:

$$\{(q^{\frac{1}{n}}||q\beta_1||,\ldots,q^{\frac{1}{n}}||q\beta_n||)|q\in\mathbb{Z},q>0\},$$

which is denoted by $\lim(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$, where $\|x\| = x - m$ and m is the nearest integer to $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For n = 1, using the continued fraction expansion of β_1 , we know the nearest point in $\lim(\beta_1)$ to the origin, that is, let $\gamma = \limsup_{m \to \infty} q_{2m+1} \|q_{2m+1}\beta_1\|$ and $\gamma' = \liminf_{m \to \infty} q_{2m} \|q_{2m}\beta_1\|$, then $\lim(\beta_1) \cap [\gamma, \gamma'] = \{\gamma, \gamma'\}$, where (p_m, q_m) is the m-convergent of β_1 .

Received March 16, 2006.

Revised June 3, 2006.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11J70; Secondary 11J13.

W. Adams [1] determined the $\lim(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ for specific β_1, β_2 by using algebraic number theory.

Theorem(W. Adams[1]). Let $1, \beta_1, \beta_2$ be a basis for a real cubic number field. Let us define matrix A by

(1)
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ 1 & \beta_1^{\tau_1} & \beta_2^{\tau_1} \\ 1 & \beta_1^{\tau_2} & \beta_2^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where τ_1, τ_2 are non trivial embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_1)$ into \mathbb{C} . Let us define a quadratic form F(x,y) by

$$F(x,y) = (\alpha_1^{\tau_1} x + \alpha_2^{\tau_1} y)(\alpha_1^{\tau_2} x + \alpha_2^{\tau_2} y),$$

where

$$({}^tA)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_0^{\tau_1} & \alpha_1^{\tau_1} & \alpha_2^{\tau_1} \\ \alpha_0^{\tau_2} & \alpha_1^{\tau_2} & \alpha_2^{\tau_2} \end{array} \right).$$

Let

$$M = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2,$$

$$N^+(M) = \{N(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in M, \alpha > 0\}.$$

where $N(\alpha) = \alpha \alpha^{\tau_1} \alpha^{\tau_2}$.

Then, we have

$$\lim(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \bigcup_{c \in N^+(M)} \{(x, y) \mid F(x, y) = c\}.$$

By Theorem of W.Adams, if $1, \beta_1, \beta_2$ is a basis for a real cubic number field and $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_1)$ has a complex embedding, $\lim(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ is a union of similar ellipses whose center are at the origin. If the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm ([7],[10]) admits (β_1, β_2) as a fixed point, it computes the nearest ellipse in $\lim(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ to the origin ([5]).

Furthermore, in [5] it is conjectured that the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm gives the nearest ellipse for each (β_1,β_2) which is purely periodic point by the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm and has a complex embedding. In this paper, we show that for such (β_1,β_2) the limit set of $\{(\sqrt{q_n}(q_n\beta_1-p_n),\sqrt{q_n}(q_n\beta_2-r_n)|n=1,2,\ldots\}$ is a finite union of similar ellipses, where (p_n,q_n,r_n) is the *n*-th convergent $(p_n/q_n,r_n/q_n)$ of (β_1,β_2) by the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm. We also prove that, for some case, the nearest ellipse to the origin among them is not equal to

the nearest ellipse to the origin in $\lim(\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Therefore, the conjecture ([5]) is not true.

Some closely related results appear in [2, 3].

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the referee for helpful comments.

§2. Modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm

Let us define an algorithm called modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm, which is introduced by Podsypanin [10] as follows: Let X be the domain given by $\{(x,y)\in [0,1]\times [0,1)|1,x,y \text{ are linearly independent over }\mathbb{Q}\}$ and let us define the transformation T on X by

(2)
$$T(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]\right) & \text{if } (x,y) \in X_0 \\ \left(\frac{1}{y} - \left[\frac{1}{y}\right], \frac{x}{y}\right) & \text{if } (x,y) \in X_1 \end{cases}$$

where $X_0 = \{(x,y) \in X | x>y\}$ and $X_1 = \{(x,y) \in X | x< y\}$. We define the integer valued functions $a(\ ,\)$ and $\epsilon(\ ,\)$ on X^2 by

$$a(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1}{x}\right] & \text{if } (x,y) \in X_0 \\ \left[\frac{1}{y}\right] & \text{if } (x,y) \in X_1, \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x,y) \in X_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } (x,y) \in X_1. \end{cases}$$

We have for each $(\alpha, \beta) \in X$ a sequence of digits $(a_n(\alpha, \beta), \epsilon_n(\alpha, \beta)) := (a(T^{n-1}(\alpha, \beta), \epsilon(T^{n-1}(\alpha, \beta)))$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n > 0. For simplicity, $a_n(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\epsilon_n(\alpha, \beta)$ are denoted by a_n and ϵ_n respectively.

The triple $(X, T, a(\alpha, \beta), \epsilon(\alpha, \beta))$ is called modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm. We denote (α_n, β_n) by $T^n(\alpha, \beta)$. For the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm, we introduce a transformation (\bar{X}, \bar{T}) which is called a natural extension of the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm as follows: let $\bar{X} = X \times X$ and let us define the transformation \bar{T} on \bar{X} by

(3)
$$\bar{T}(x, y, s, t) = (T(x, y), T'_{a_1, \epsilon_1}(s, t)),$$

where for a > 0, $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $u, v \ge 0$,

(4)
$$T'_{a_1,\epsilon_1}(s,t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{t}{a_1+s}, \frac{1}{a_1+s}\right) & \text{if } \epsilon = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{a_1+t}, \frac{s}{a_1+t}\right) & \text{if } \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

 (\bar{X}, \bar{T}) was first introduced in [7].

For $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \bar{X}$ we denote $(\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n)$ by $T^n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. We define θ_n and η_n for n = 1, 2, ... by

$$\theta_n(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}\},$$

$$\eta_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{n-1} + a_n & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ \delta_{n-1} + a_n & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us define the family of matrices as follows: for each (a,ϵ) with $a\in\mathbb{N},\epsilon\in\{0,1\}$

(5)
$$A_{(a,\epsilon)} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \epsilon = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

We define $M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ by

(6)
$$M_n(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} q_n(\alpha,\beta) & q'_n(\alpha,\beta) & q''_n(\alpha,\beta) \\ p_n(\alpha,\beta) & p'_n(\alpha,\beta) & p''_n(\alpha,\beta) \\ r_n(\alpha,\beta) & r'_n(\alpha,\beta) & r''_n(\alpha,\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} A_{(a_i,\epsilon_i)}.$$

Then, we have the following formulae.

Lemma 1 ([7]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_{n-1} \\ \beta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \theta_n(\alpha, \beta) A_{(a_n, \epsilon_n)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma_n \\ \delta_n \end{pmatrix} = \eta_n^{-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) A_{(a_n, \epsilon_n)}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma_{n-1} \\ \delta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 1 we see easily following formulae.

Lemma 2 ([7]). For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n > 0,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \theta_i(\alpha, \beta) M_n(\alpha, \beta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) M_n^t(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma_n \\ \delta_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, roughly speaking, $(\frac{p_n(\alpha,\beta)}{q_n(\alpha,\beta)}, \frac{r_n(\alpha,\beta)}{q_n(\alpha,\beta)})$ gives a simultaneous approximation of (α, β) (for example, see [4]).

Periodic points **ξ3.**

In this section, we assume that $(\alpha, \beta) \in X$ satisfies $T^m(\alpha, \beta) =$ (α, β) for some integer m > 0. On the assumption, α is a cubic number and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ has a complex embedding. Let τ_0 be the trivial embedding of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ into \mathbb{R} . Let τ_1, τ_2 be non trivial embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ into \mathbb{C} and $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$. We note that $\overline{u^{\tau_1}} = u^{\tau_2}$ for any $u \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where \overline{x} is the complex conjugate of x. We set $\gamma = \prod_{1 \le i \le m} \theta_i(\alpha, \beta)$. From Lemma 2 we have $M_m(\alpha,\beta)(1,\alpha,\beta)^t = \gamma^{-1}(1,\alpha,\beta)^{\bar{t}}$. We denote $M_m(\alpha,\beta), \theta_n(\alpha,\beta)$ and $\eta_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ by M, θ_n and η_n respectively. We have following Lemma.

Lemma 3.

- (1) $\gamma^{\tau_0} \gamma^{\tau_1} \gamma^{\tau_2} = \gamma |\gamma^{\tau_1}|^2 = 1,$ (2) $\gamma^{-1} > 1,$ (3) $\gamma^{-1} = \prod_{1 \le i \le m} \eta_i,$ (4) $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma).$

Proof. The term $\gamma^{\tau_0}\gamma^{\tau_1}\gamma^{\tau_2}$ is the coefficient term in the characteristic polynomial of M; since M is the product of matrices of determinant 1 according to (5) and (6), we have assertion (1). We can prove the rest of the assertions easily.

Lemma 4. Let $(u, v, w)^t$ be a non trivial eigenvector related to $M_m^t(\alpha,\beta)$ and the eigenvalue γ^{-1} . Then, $u\neq 0$ and $(\frac{v}{u},\frac{w}{u})\in X$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\frac{v}{u}) = \mathbb{Q}(\frac{w}{u}).$

Proof. Since γ^{-1} is the dominant eigenvalue of M^t , it is not difficult to see that $u,v,w\geq 0$ or $u,v,w\leq 0$ by using Perron-Frobenius Theorem. We assume that $u,v,w\geq 0$ without loss of generality. We set $(c_{ij})=M^t$. Then, we see easily $c_{12}>0$ or $c_{13}>0$. Therefore, if u=0, then v=0 or w=0, which contradicts that γ is the cubic number. Hence, we have $u\neq 0$. Since $(1,\frac{v}{u},\frac{w}{u})$ is an eigenvector related to M^t and the eigenvalue γ^{-1} , we see that $\frac{v}{u},\frac{w}{u}\in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. On the setting ${}^*\alpha=\frac{v}{u}$ and ${}^*\beta=\frac{w}{u}$, since $M^t(1,{}^*\alpha^{\tau_i},{}^*\beta^{\tau_i})^t=(\gamma^{-1})^{\tau_i}(1,{}^*\alpha^{\tau_i},{}^*\beta^{\tau_i})^t$ for i=1,2,3, we see that $(1,{}^*\alpha^{\tau_i},{}^*\beta^{\tau_i})^t$ for i=1,2,3 are linearly independent on \mathbb{C} . Therefore, $1,{}^*\alpha,{}^*\beta$ are linearly independent on \mathbb{Q} . On the other hand, from the fact that $(1,{}^*\alpha,{}^*\beta)$ is the eigenvector of M^t with the eigenvalue γ^{-1} we see that ${}^*\alpha,{}^*\beta\in\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. By using Lemma 3 we have $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)=\mathbb{Q}({}^*\alpha)=\mathbb{Q}({}^*\beta)$.

We set $(1, {}^*\alpha(0), {}^*\beta(0))^t = (1, {}^*\alpha, {}^*\beta)^t$. For each positive integer k, we set $c(k)(1, {}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k))^t = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} A^t_{(a_{k+1-i}, \epsilon_{k+1-i})}(1, {}^*\alpha, {}^*\beta)^t$. Then, it is not difficult to see that ${}^*\alpha(k)$ and ${}^*\beta(k)$ are positive and $1, {}^*\alpha(k)$ and ${}^*\beta(k)$ are linearly independent on $\mathbb Q$ for each integer k, which implies that $\mathbb Q(\alpha) = \mathbb Q({}^*\alpha(k)) = \mathbb Q({}^*\beta(k))$. By using (4) and (5) we have $T'_{a_{k+1},\epsilon_{k+1}}({}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)) = ({}^*\alpha(k+1), {}^*\beta(k+1))$ for each k. From the fact that $(1, {}^*\alpha, {}^*\beta)$ is the eigenvector related to M^t , we see that $({}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)) = ({}^*\alpha(k+m), {}^*\beta(k+m))$ for each $k \geq 0$. By (5) and ${}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k) > 0$ we see that if $\max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} < 1$, then $\max\{{}^*\alpha(k+1), {}^*\beta(k+1)\} < 1$ and if $\max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} > 1$, then $\max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} > \max\{{}^*\alpha(k+1), {}^*\beta(k+1)\}$. Therefore, we see that $\max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} > \max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\}$ one max $\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} > \max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} > 1$, which implies that $\max\{{}^*\alpha(k), {}^*\beta(k)\} < 1$ holds for any $k \geq 0$.

 $(1, *\alpha, *\beta)^t$ is denoted the non trivial eigenvector related to $M_m^t(\alpha, \beta)$ and the eigenvalue γ^{-1} . Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5. For any positive integer n,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ^*\alpha_n^{\tau_0} & ^*\beta_n^{\tau_0} \\ 1 & ^*\alpha_n^{\tau_1} & ^*\beta_n^{\tau_1} \\ 1 & ^*\alpha_n^{\tau_2} & ^*\beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_0} & \alpha_n^{\tau_1} & \alpha_n^{\tau_2} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_0} & \beta_n^{\tau_1} & \beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{\tau_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{\tau_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(\alpha_n, \beta_n, {}^*\alpha_n, {}^*\beta_n) = \bar{T}^n(\alpha, \beta, {}^*\alpha, {}^*\beta)$ and $\delta = 1 + {}^*\alpha_n\alpha_n + {}^*\beta_n\beta_n$.

Proof. We set $M(n) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} A_{(a_{n+i}, \epsilon_{n+i})}$. Then, it is easily seen that $M(n)(1, \alpha_n, \beta_n)^t = \gamma^{-1}(1, \alpha_n, \beta_n)^t$ and $M(n)(1, {}^*\alpha_n, {}^*\beta_n)^t = \gamma^{-1}(1, \alpha_n, \beta_n)^t$

 $\gamma^{-1}(1, \alpha_n, \beta_n)^t$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & {}^*\alpha_n^{\tau_0} & {}^*\beta_n^{\tau_0} \\ 1 & {}^*\alpha_n^{\tau_1} & {}^*\beta_n^{\tau_1} \\ 1 & {}^*\alpha_n^{\tau_2} & {}^*\beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_0} & \alpha_n^{\tau_1} & \alpha_n^{\tau_2} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_0} & \beta_n^{\tau_1} & \beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & {}^*\alpha_n^{\tau_0} & {}^*\beta_n^{\tau_0} \\ 1 & {}^*\alpha_n^{\tau_1} & {}^*\beta_n^{\tau_1} \\ 1 & {}^*\alpha_n^{\tau_2} & {}^*\beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} M(n)^{-1} M(n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_0} & \alpha_n^{\tau_1} & \alpha_n^{\tau_2} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_0} & \beta_n^{\tau_1} & \beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\tau_0} & (\gamma * \alpha_n)^{\tau_0} & (\gamma * \beta_n)^{\tau_0} \\ \gamma^{\tau_1} & (\gamma * \alpha_n)^{\tau_1} & (\gamma * \beta_n)^{\tau_1} \\ \gamma^{\tau_2} & (\gamma * \alpha_n)^{\tau_2} & (\gamma * \beta_n)^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^{-1})^{\tau_0} & (\gamma^{-1}\alpha_n)^{\tau_1} & (\gamma^{-1}\alpha_n)^{\tau_2} \\ (\gamma^{-1}\beta_n)^{\tau_0} & (\gamma^{-1}\beta_n)^{\tau_1} & (\gamma^{-1}\beta_n)^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Form above formula and the fact that $\gamma^{\tau_i} \neq \gamma^{\tau_j}$ with $i \neq j$ we have Lemma.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 0$, P_n is defined by $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x + y\}$ $*\alpha_n y + *\beta_n z = 0$ and L_n is defined by $\{t(1, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

We define $\rho_n(x,y,z)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 0$ and $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ by $\rho_n(x,y,z) = |x+(*\alpha_n)^{\tau_1}y+(*\beta_n)^{\tau_1}z|$. Then, we have following Lemma.

Lemma 6.

- For any $\mathbf{u} \in P_n$ with $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$, $\rho_n(\mathbf{u}) > 0$. (1)
- (2)
- For any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and any $\mathbf{v} \in L_n$ $\rho_n(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}) = \rho_n(\mathbf{w})$. For any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ $\rho_n(\mathbf{w}) = |\eta_{n+1}^{\tau_1}| \rho_{n+1}(A_{(a_{n+1}, \epsilon_{n+1})}^{-1}\mathbf{w})$. (3)

Proof. First, we assume that $\rho_n(\mathbf{u}') = 0$ for some $\mathbf{u}' = (u_x', u_y', u_z') \in$ P_n . Then, we see that $|u'_x + (*\alpha_n)^{\tau_i} u'_y + (*\beta_n)^{\tau_i} u'_z| = 0$ for i = 0, 1, 2. Therefore, we have $u'_x = u'_y = u'_z = 0$ and we have (1). Secondly, let $\mathbf{w} = (w_x, w_y, w_z)$ and $\mathbf{v} = t(1, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \in L_n$. Then, using Lemma 5 we have

$$\rho_{n}(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v})
= |(w_{x} + t) + (*\alpha_{n})^{\tau_{1}}(w_{y} + t\alpha_{n}) + (*\beta_{n})^{\tau_{1}}(w_{z} + t\beta_{n})|
= |w_{x} + (*\alpha_{n})^{\tau_{1}}w_{y} + (*\beta_{n})^{\tau_{1}}w_{z} + t(1 + (*\alpha_{n})^{\tau_{1}}\alpha_{n} + (*\beta_{n})^{\tau_{1}}\beta_{n})|
= \rho_{n}(\mathbf{w}).$$

Therefore, we have (2). For the proof of (3), let $\mathbf{w} = (w_x, w_y, w_z)$, then, we have

$$\rho_{n}(\mathbf{w}) = |w_{x} + (*\alpha_{n})^{\tau_{i}} w_{y} + (*\beta_{n})^{\tau_{i}} w_{z}|
= \left| (1, (*\alpha_{n})^{\tau_{i}}, (*\beta_{n})^{\tau_{i}}) \begin{pmatrix} w_{x} \\ w_{y} \\ w_{z} \end{pmatrix} \right|
= \left| (1, (*\alpha_{n})^{\tau_{i}}, (*\beta_{n})^{\tau_{i}}) A_{(a_{n+1}, \epsilon_{n+1})} A_{(a_{n+1}, \epsilon_{n+1})}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} w_{x} \\ w_{y} \\ w_{z} \end{pmatrix} \right|
= |\eta_{n+1}^{\tau_{1}}| \rho_{n+1} (A_{(a_{n+1}, \epsilon_{n+1})}^{-1} \mathbf{w}).$$

By Lemma 6 we remark that $|x^*\alpha^{\tau_1}+y^*\beta^{\tau_1}|^2$ is a positive definite quadratic form.

 \Box

Lemma 7. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n > 0, we have $\rho_0(q_n, p_n, r_n) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i^{\tau_1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 and an easy recurrence, we have

$$\begin{split} \rho_0(q_n,p_n,r_n) &= \rho_0(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_{(a_i,\epsilon_i)} \mathbf{e}_1) \\ &= |\eta_1^{\tau_1} \rho_1(\prod_{2 \leq i \leq n} A_{(a_i,\epsilon_i))} \mathbf{e}_1)| \\ &= |\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i^{\tau_1}|, \end{split}$$

where
$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Let π_n be the projection map to P_n along L_n and π be the projection map to $\{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^3|x=0\}$ along L_0 .

Lemma 8. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n > 0, we have $|(p_n - q_n \alpha)^* \alpha^{\tau_1} + (r_n - q_n \beta)^* \beta^{\tau_1}| = |\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i^{\tau_1}|$.

Proof. Since $\pi(q_n, p_n, r_n) = (0, p_n - q_n \alpha, r_n - q_n \beta)$, by using Lemma 6 we have

$$\rho_0(q_n, p_n, r_n) = \rho_0(0, p_n - q_n \alpha, r_n - q_n \beta)$$

= $|(p_n - q_n \alpha)^* \alpha^{\tau_1} + (r_n - q_n \beta)^* \beta^{\tau_1}|.$

Therefore, using Lemma 7 we obtain Lemma 8.

Lemma 9. There exists a positive constant $C_1(\alpha, \beta)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 0$

$$|(p_n - q_n \alpha)^* \alpha^{\tau_1} + (r_n - q_n \beta)^* \beta^{\tau_1}| \le C_1(\alpha, \beta) \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}}.$$

Proof. We set $C_1(\alpha,\beta)=\prod_{1\leq i\leq m}\max\{1,|\eta_j^{\tau_i}|\}$. Using the fact that $\sqrt{\gamma}=|\prod_{1\leq i\leq m}\eta_j^{\tau_1}|$ and $\eta_{j+m}=\eta_j$ for each j>0, we have

$$|(p_n - q_n \alpha)^* \alpha^{\tau_1} + (r_n - q_n \beta)^* \beta^{\tau_1}| = |\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i^{\tau_1}|$$

$$\le C_1(\alpha, \beta) \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}}.$$

From the fact that $|x^*\alpha^{\tau_1} + y^*\beta^{\tau_1}|^2$ is a positive definite quadratic form and by Lemma 9 we have the following lemma.

Lemma 10. There exists a positive constant $C_2(\alpha, \beta)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 0$

$$|p_n - q_n \alpha| \le \frac{C_2(\alpha, \beta)}{\sqrt{q_n}},$$

 $|r_n - q_n \beta| \le \frac{C_2(\alpha, \beta)}{\sqrt{q_n}}.$

We remark that the above formulae hold for each periodic point (α, β) related to Jacobi-Perron algorithm (see [9]).

Lemma 11. For each $n \ge 1$, $q_n + {}^*\alpha p_n + {}^*\beta r_n = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i$ holds.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$q_n + {}^*\alpha p_n + {}^*\beta r_n = (1 \ {}^*\alpha \ {}^*\beta) \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ p_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (1 \ {}^*\alpha \ {}^*\beta) \prod_{1 \le i \le n} A_{(a_i, \epsilon_i)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i (1 \ {}^*\alpha \ {}^*\beta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i.$$

We define $\mathbf{u_n}, \mathbf{v_n}$ and $\mathbf{r_n}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u_n} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_1} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_2} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ \mathbf{v_n} &= \frac{1}{2i} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_1} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n^{\tau_2} \\ \beta_n^{\tau_2} \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ \mathbf{r_n} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_n \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5 we see that $\mathbf{u_n}, \mathbf{v_n} \in P_n$. We set $(\gamma^{\tau_1})^{-1} = \sqrt{\gamma}e^{2\pi i\theta}$ with $0 \le \theta < 1$. From the fact that $M(1, \alpha^{\tau_1}, \beta^{\tau_1})^t = (\gamma^{\tau_1})^{-1}(1, \alpha^{\tau_1}, \beta^{\tau_1})^t$ and $M(1, \alpha^{\tau_2}, \beta^{\tau_2})^t = (\gamma^{\tau_2})^{-1}(1, \alpha^{\tau_2}, \beta^{\tau_2})^t$ we have

(7)
$$M(\mathbf{u_n}, \mathbf{v_n}) = (\mathbf{u_n}, \mathbf{v_n}) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma} \cos 2\pi\theta & \sqrt{\gamma} \sin 2\pi\theta \\ -\sqrt{\gamma} \sin 2\pi\theta & \sqrt{\gamma} \cos 2\pi\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 12. θ is irrational.

Proof. We suppose that θ is rational. We set $\theta = \frac{k}{l}$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and l > 0. From (7) we see that $(\gamma^{\tau_1})^l, (\gamma^{\tau_2})^l \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(\gamma^{\tau_1})^l \in \mathbb{R}$

 $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma^{\tau_1}) \cap \mathbb{R}$, we see $(\gamma^{\tau_1})^l \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, $\gamma^l \in \mathbb{Q}$. By using Lemma 3 we see that γ^l is the unit in $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. Therefore, we have $\gamma^l = \pm 1$. But it contradicts that $0 < \gamma < 1$.

Theorem 13. For each $0 \le k \le m-1$, the limit set of $\{\sqrt{q_n}(p_n-q_n\alpha,r_n-q_n\beta)|n \equiv k \mod m\}$ as $n \to \infty$ is the following ellipse

(8)
$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | |x^* \alpha^{\tau_1} + y^* \beta^{\tau_1}|^2 = \frac{\prod_{1 \le i \le k} n(\eta_i)}{1 + \alpha^* \alpha + \beta^* \beta} \},$$

which is denoted by E(k).

Proof. We see that $|x * \alpha^{\tau_1} + y * \beta^{\tau_1}|^2$ is a positive definite quadratic form, which is noticed as the remark following Lemma 6. Therefore, the set (8) is an ellipse. From Lemma 8 and 11 we have

$$|\sqrt{q_n}(p_n - q_n\alpha) *\alpha^{\tau_1} + \sqrt{q_n}(r_n - q_n\beta) *\beta^{\tau_1}|^2$$

$$= q_n |(p_n - q_n\alpha) *\alpha^{\tau_1} + (r_n - q_n\beta) *\beta^{\tau_1}|^2$$

$$= \frac{q_n}{q_n + *\alpha p_n + *\beta r_n} (q_n + *\alpha p_n + *\beta r_n) |\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \eta_i^{\tau_1}|^2$$

$$= \frac{q_n}{q_n + *\alpha p_n + *\beta r_n} \prod_{1 \le i \le n} n(\eta_i).$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 10 we have

$$\lim_{n \equiv k} |\sqrt{q_n} (p_n - q_n \alpha)^* \alpha^{\tau_1} + \sqrt{q_n} (r_n - q_n \beta)^* \beta^{\tau_1}|^2$$

$$n = k$$

$$n \to \infty$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^* \alpha + \beta^* \beta} \prod_{1 \le i \le k} n(\eta_i).$$

Thus, the limit set of $\{\sqrt{q_n}(p_n-q_n\alpha,r_n-q_n\beta)|n\equiv k \mod m\}$ as $n\to\infty$ is included in E(k). We define c_k,d_k,e_k by

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_k \\ p_k \\ r_k \end{pmatrix} = c_k \mathbf{u}_0 + d_k \mathbf{v}_0 + e_k \mathbf{r}_0.$$

We see easily that $c_k \neq 0$ or $d_k \neq 0$. Then, for n = ml + k we have

$$\begin{split} \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ p_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix} &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_{(a_i, \epsilon_i)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= M^l \begin{pmatrix} q_k \\ p_k \\ r_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_0 & \mathbf{v}_0 & \mathbf{r}_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma^l} \cos 2\pi\theta & \sqrt{\gamma^l} \sin 2\pi\theta & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\gamma^l} \sin 2\pi\theta & \sqrt{\gamma^l} \cos 2\pi\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\gamma^l} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ d_k \\ e_k \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p_n - q_n \alpha \\ r_n - q_n \beta \end{pmatrix} = \pi \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\ p_n \\ r_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (\pi(\mathbf{u}_0) \quad \pi(\mathbf{v}_0)) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma^l} \cos 2\pi\theta & \sqrt{\gamma^l} \sin 2\pi\theta \\ -\sqrt{\gamma^l} \sin 2\pi\theta & \sqrt{\gamma^l} \cos 2\pi\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ d_k \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields Theorem 13 by using Lemma 12.

Similarly, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 14. Let j_i $(1 \le i \le 3)$ be non negative integers and $j_i > 0$ for some i. For j_i $(1 \le i \le 3)$ and any positive integer n, we define p_n^*, q_n^* and r_n^* by

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_n^* \\ p_n^* \\ r_n^* \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} A_{(a_i, \epsilon_i)} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ j_2 \\ j_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for each $0 \le k \le m-1$ the limit set of $\{\sqrt{q_n^*}(p_n^*-q_n^*\alpha,r_n^*-q_n^*\beta)|n \equiv k \mod m\}$ as $n \to \infty$ is the following ellipse

(9)
$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | |x^*\alpha^{\tau_1} + y^*\beta^{\tau_1}|^2 = \frac{n(j_1 + \alpha_k j_2 + \beta_k j_3) \prod_{1 \le i \le k} n(\eta_i)}{1 + \alpha^*\alpha + \beta^*\beta} \}.$$

In [5] we conjecture that the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm gives the best simultaneous approximation to the points (β_1, β_2) such that $1, \beta_1, \beta_2$ is a basis for a real cubic number field, $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_1)$ has a complex embedding and (β_1, β_2) is a purely periodic point by the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm. But we have a following counter example.

Counter Example. Let γ be the real root of $x^3 + 8x^2 + 16x - 1$. Then, $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ has a complex embedding. Let $\alpha = \frac{3}{\gamma+3}$ and $\beta = \gamma$. (α, β) is the purely periodic point by the modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm and the digits are given as follows: $(a_1, \epsilon_1) = (1, 0), (a_2, \epsilon_2) = (1, 1), (a_3, \epsilon_3) = (2, 0), (a_4, \epsilon_4) = (3, 0)$ and $(a_{n+4}, \epsilon_{n+4}) = (a_n, \epsilon_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n > 0.

Then, we have the following table.

n	0	1	2	3
$^*\alpha_n$	$\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}$	$\frac{2+\gamma}{2\gamma+7}$	$\frac{1+\gamma}{2}$	γ
$^*\beta_n$	$\frac{1}{\gamma+3}$	$\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma+1}$	$\frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma+6}$	$\frac{2}{\gamma+5}$
η_n		$\frac{\gamma+1}{1-\gamma}$	$\frac{2}{\gamma+1}$	$\frac{5+\gamma}{2}$
$n(\eta_n)$		$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{3}{4}$

Let $\mu = 3 + 2 * \alpha_0$. For any positive integer n we define p_n^*, q_n^* and r_n^* by

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_n^* \\ p_n^* \\ r_n^* \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} A_{(a_i, \epsilon_i)} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have $n(\mu) = \frac{1}{6}$. Since $n(\mu) < \min\{\prod_{1 \le i \le k} n(\eta_i) | i = 1, 2, 3\}$, by using Theorem 13 and Corollary 14 we see that the ellipse defined from $\{p_n^*, q_n^*, r_n^*\}_{n \equiv 1 \mod 4}$ as in Corollary 14 is nearer to the origin than the ellipses defined from $\{p_n, q_n, r_n\}$ as in Theorem 13. We remark that $p_{4j}^* = p_{4j+1}^* + p_{4j+2}^*, q_{4j}^* = q_{4j+1}^* + q_{4j+2}^*$ and $r_{4j}^* = r_{4j+1}^* + r_{4j+2}^*$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \ge 0$. In our paper [6] in preparation we will show that under some conditions for (α, β) the nearest ellipses to the origin in $\lim(\alpha, \beta)$ are given as intermediate convergents of modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm.

References

[1] W. W. Adams, Simultaneous asymptotic diophantine approximations to a basis of a real cubic number field, J. Number Theory., 1 (1969), 179–194.

- [2] N. Chevallier, Best simultaneous Diophantine approximations of some cubic algebraic numbers, J. Théor. Nombres Bordx., 14 (2002), 403–414.
- [3] N. Chekhova, P. Hubert and A. Messaoudi, Propriétés combinatoires, ergodiques et arithmétiques de la substitution de Tribonacci, J. Théor. Nombres Bordx.. 13 (2001), 371–394.
- [4] T. Fujita, Sh. Ito, M. Keane and M. Ohtsuki, On almost everywhere exponential convergence of modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm: a corrected proof, Ergodic theory an dynamical systems, 16 (1996), 1345–1352.
- [5] S. Ito, J. Fujii, H, Higashino and S. Yasutomi, On simultaneous approximation to (α, α^2) with $\alpha^3 + k\alpha 1 = 0$, J. Number Theory., 1 (2003), 255–283.
- [6] S. Ito, M. Furukado and S. Yasutomi, Best simultaneous diophantine approximation of cubic numbers related to modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm, in preparation.
- [7] S. Ito and M. Ohtsuki, Modified Jacobi-Perron algorithm and generating Markov partitions for special hyperbolic toral automorphisms, Tokyo J. Math., 16 (1993), 441–472.
- [8] Sh. Ito and M. Ohtsuki, Paralleogram tilings and Jacobi-Perron algorithm, Tokyo J. Math., 17 (1994), 33–58.
- [9] O. Perron, Grundlagen für eine Theorie des Jacobischen Kettenbruchalgorithmus, Math. Ann., 64 (1907), 1–76.
- [10] E. V. Podsypanin, A generalization of continued fraction algorithm that is related to the ViggoBrun algorithm. (Russian), Studies in Number Theory (LOMI), 4., Zap. Naucn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov, 67 (1977), 184–194.

Shunji Ito
Department of Information and Systems Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Kanazawa University
Kanazawa, Ishikawa, 920-8667
Japan
E-mail address: ito@t.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Shin-ichi Yasutomi
General Education
Suzuka College of Technology
Shiroko, Suzuka, Mie, 510-0294
Japan
E-mail address: yasutomi@genl.suzuka-ct.ac.jp