

Rationally Determined Group Modules

Everett C. Dade

Abstract.

Green's correspondence of group modules finds its simplest expression when a finite multiplicative group G has a trivial intersection Sylow p -subgroup P , for some prime p . Then it is between all isomorphism classes of projective-free $\mathbf{R}G$ -lattices \mathbf{L} and all isomorphism classes of projective-free $\mathbf{R}N$ -lattices \mathbf{K} , where \mathbf{R} is a suitable valuation ring and N is the normalizer of P in G . In that case we show in Theorem 3.2 below that the $\mathbf{R}G$ -lattice \mathbf{L} is determined by its associated lattices over the residue field and field of fractions of \mathbf{R} if and only if \mathbf{K} has this same property. By Theorem 3.7 some important $\mathbf{R}G$ -lattices \mathbf{L} have this property of being "rationally determined." So it would be worthwhile to see if the $\mathbf{R}N$ -lattices with this property (and perhaps with other properties preserved by this Green correspondence) could be classified.

§1. Projective-Free Lattices

Let \mathbf{S} be any principal ideal domain. As usual, an \mathbf{S} -order \mathbf{O} is just an associative \mathbf{S} -algebra with identity element $1 = 1_{\mathbf{O}}$ such that \mathbf{O} is free of finite rank when considered as an \mathbf{S} -module. When we speak of an \mathbf{O} -lattice \mathbf{L} we mean a unitary right \mathbf{O} -module such that \mathbf{L} is also free of finite rank as an \mathbf{S} -module. Of course, a homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ of \mathbf{O} -lattices is just a homomorphism between \mathbf{O} -modules \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{K} which are \mathbf{O} -lattices. We write any such ϕ on the left, so that it sends any $l \in \mathbf{L}$ to $\phi(l) \in \mathbf{K}$.

In the special case where the principal ideal domain \mathbf{S} is a field, an \mathbf{S} -order is just a finite-dimensional associative \mathbf{S} -algebra \mathbf{O} with identity element. Furthermore, an \mathbf{O} -lattice is just a unitary right \mathbf{O} -module \mathbf{L} which is finite-dimensional as a vector space over \mathbf{S} .

This research was supported by grant number DMS 96-00106 and by grant number DMS 99-70030, both from the National Science Foundation.

Received May 29, 1999.

Revised May 18, 2000.

Throughout this note we fix a finite group G and a prime p . We also fix \mathbf{R} , \mathfrak{p} , \mathbf{F} and $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ satisfying

(1.1) \mathbf{R} is a local principal ideal domain (i.e., a real discrete valuation ring) with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , such that the field of fractions \mathbf{F} of \mathbf{R} is a splitting field of characteristic zero for every subgroup of G , and the residue class field $\overline{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$ of \mathbf{R} has characteristic p .

Notice that each of \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{F} and $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ is a principal ideal domain \mathbf{S} , to which all the above definitions apply. Furthermore, the group algebra $\mathbf{S}H$ over \mathbf{S} of any subgroup H of G is an \mathbf{S} -order. The following result says that $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices have the Krull-Schmidt property.

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that \mathbf{S} is either \mathbf{F} , $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ or \mathbf{R} , and that H is any subgroup of G . Then any $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} is isomorphic to a finite direct sum $\mathbf{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{L}_l$ of indecomposable $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices \mathbf{L}_i . Furthermore, this direct sum is uniquely determined to within order and isomorphisms by the $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} , i.e., if \mathbf{L} is also isomorphic to a finite direct sum $\mathbf{K}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{K}_k$ of indecomposable $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices \mathbf{K}_i , then $k = l$ and there is some permutation π of $1, 2, \dots, k$ such that \mathbf{K}_i is $\mathbf{S}H$ -isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}_{\pi(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.*

Proof. When \mathbf{S} is a field \mathbf{F} or $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$, this is the usual Krull-Schmidt Theorem for the finite-dimensional \mathbf{S} -algebra $\mathbf{S}H$. When \mathbf{S} is \mathbf{R} , its field of fractions \mathbf{F} is a splitting field of characteristic zero for the finite group H by (1.1). So $\mathbf{F}H$ is a split, semi-simple algebra of finite dimension over \mathbf{F} . Since $\mathbf{R}H$ is an \mathbf{R} -order spanning $\mathbf{F}H$ over \mathbf{F} , the basic hypotheses [1, 4.1] and [1, 4.2] of [1, §4] are satisfied by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}H$. The proposition for $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}$ now holds by [1, 4.7]. Q.E.D.

In the situation of the preceding proposition we follow Green [2] in saying that an $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{K} divides an $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} if \mathbf{L} is isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathbf{K} \oplus \mathbf{M}$ of \mathbf{K} and some $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{M} . We say that \mathbf{L} is *projective-free* if the only projective $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} dividing \mathbf{L} is $\mathbf{P} = 0$. The Krull-Schmidt property implies that any $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} is isomorphic to a direct sum $\mathbf{L}_{\text{pf}} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{\text{pr}}$ of a projective-free $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L}_{pf} and a projective $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L}_{pr} , either or both of which could be zero. Furthermore, these conditions determine both \mathbf{L}_{pf} and \mathbf{L}_{pr} to within $\mathbf{S}H$ -isomorphisms. We call \mathbf{L}_{pf} and \mathbf{L}_{pr} the *projective-free part* and the *projective part*, respectively, of \mathbf{L} .

If \mathbf{L} is an $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice, then we denote by $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ its residual $\overline{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice

$$\overline{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{L}/(\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{L}).$$

We write $\eta_{\mathbf{L}}$ for the natural epimorphism of \mathbf{L} onto its factor $\mathbf{R}H$ -module $\bar{\mathbf{L}}$. When \mathbf{L} is the regular $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice $\mathbf{R}H$, its residual $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice $\bar{\mathbf{L}}$ can be identified with $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$. In that case $\eta_{\mathbf{L}}$ is the natural epimorphism $\eta_{\mathbf{R}H}$ of $\mathbf{R}H$ onto $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ as \mathbf{R} -algebras.

Our hypotheses (1.1) allow us to lift projective lattices.

Lemma 1.3. *If \mathbf{Q} is a projective $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice, for some subgroup H of G , then there is some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} whose residual $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Q} .*

Proof. The completion \mathbf{R}^* of \mathbf{R} is a local principal ideal domain with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{p}\mathbf{R}^*$. Since \mathbf{F} is a splitting field of characteristic zero for H (see (1.1)), Heller's Theorem [4, 2.5] tells us that the map sending any $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} to its completion \mathbf{L}^* induces a bijection of the isomorphism classes of $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices onto those of \mathbf{R}^*H -lattices. Clearly any free \mathbf{R}^*H -lattice is the completion of a free $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice. Because completion preserves direct sums, we conclude that any projective \mathbf{R}^*H -lattice (i.e., any direct summand of a free \mathbf{R}^*H -lattice) is the completion of some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice.

We may identify $\bar{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$ with the residue class field $\mathbf{R}^*/\mathfrak{p}^*$ of \mathbf{R}^* . Since \mathbf{R}^* is complete, there is some projective \mathbf{R}^*H -lattice \mathbf{P}^* such that $\mathbf{P}^*/\mathfrak{p}^*\mathbf{P}^*$ is isomorphic to the projective $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice \mathbf{Q} . As we saw above, \mathbf{P}^* is isomorphic to the completion of some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} . Then $\bar{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{P}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{P}$ is isomorphic to both $\mathbf{P}^*/\mathfrak{p}^*\mathbf{P}^*$ and \mathbf{Q} as an $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice. Q.E.D.

Once we can lift projective $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattices to projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices, all the standard results about \mathfrak{p} -adic lattices become available. As an example we have the following lemma from [5].

Lemma 1.4. *Suppose that H is a subgroup of G , that \mathbf{L} is an $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice, and that \mathbf{Q} is a projective $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice dividing $\bar{\mathbf{L}}$. Then there is some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} such that $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ is $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -isomorphic to \mathbf{Q} . Furthermore, any such \mathbf{P} divides \mathbf{L} .*

Proof. Lemma 1.3 gives us some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} whose residual $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Q} . Once we know that such a \mathbf{P} exists, the rest of the proof of [5, Lemma 1] can be followed almost word for word to prove the rest of the present lemma. Q.E.D.

The preceding lemma allows us to characterize both projective and projective-free $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices by their residuals.

Proposition 1.5. *Let H be any subgroup of G , and \mathbf{L} be any $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice. Then \mathbf{L} is projective or projective-free if and only if its residual $\bar{\mathbf{F}}H$ -lattice $\bar{\mathbf{L}}$ is respectively projective or projective-free.*

Proof. If the finitely-generated $\mathbf{R}H$ -module \mathbf{L} is projective, then it divides the direct sum $(\mathbf{R}H)^n$ of n copies of the regular $\mathbf{R}H$ -module $\mathbf{R}H$, for some integer $n > 0$. It follows that $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ divides the direct sum $(\overline{\mathbf{F}H})^n$ of n copies of $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$. So $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is a projective $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattice.

Conversely, if $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -projective, then Lemma 1.4 with $\mathbf{Q} = \overline{\mathbf{L}}$ gives us some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} dividing \mathbf{L} such that $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$. This can only happen when $\mathbf{L} \simeq \mathbf{P}$ is projective. Thus \mathbf{L} is projective if and only if $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is projective.

If some non-zero projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} divides \mathbf{L} , then its residual $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is non-zero and divides $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$. We saw above that $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is projective. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is not projective-free whenever \mathbf{L} is not projective-free.

Conversely, suppose that some non-zero projective $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattice \mathbf{Q} divides $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$. Then Lemma 1.4 gives us some projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} dividing \mathbf{L} such that $\overline{\mathbf{P}} \simeq \mathbf{Q} \neq 0$. Evidently \mathbf{P} is not zero. Thus \mathbf{L} is not projective-free if and only if $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is not projective-free. Q.E.D.

Another consequence of Lemma 1.4 is the standard correspondence between projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices and projective $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattices.

Proposition 1.6. *If H is a subgroup of G , then there is a one to one correspondence between all isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices \mathbf{P} and all isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattices \mathbf{Q} . Here the isomorphism class of \mathbf{P} corresponds to that of \mathbf{Q} if and only if $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -isomorphic to \mathbf{Q} .*

Proof. Any projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{P} has a projective residual $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ by Proposition 1.5. Any projective $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattice \mathbf{Q} is isomorphic to such a residual $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ by Lemma 1.3. If \mathbf{P}_0 is also a projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice, then any isomorphism $\mathbf{P} \simeq \mathbf{P}_0$ of $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices induces an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbf{P}} \simeq \overline{\mathbf{P}_0}$ of residual $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -lattices. So we only need show that \mathbf{P} is $\mathbf{R}H$ -isomorphic to \mathbf{P}_0 whenever $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}H}$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{P}_0}$. But in that case Lemma 1.4, with \mathbf{P}_0 and $\overline{\mathbf{P}_0}$ in place of \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{Q} , respectively, implies that \mathbf{P} divides \mathbf{P}_0 . Since $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{P}_0}$, this can only happen when \mathbf{P} is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}_0 . Q.E.D.

§2. Green Correspondents

Let \mathbf{S} be either \mathbf{R} or $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$. Then any integer n relatively prime to the characteristic p of $\overline{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{p}$ has an image $n1_{\mathfrak{S}}$ which is a unit of \mathbf{S} . This and the Krull-Schmidt property are enough to imply all of Green's theory in [2] and [3] for $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices.

We're going to apply his theory when G has subgroups P and N satisfying

(2.1) P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , and N is its normalizer $N_G(P)$ in G . Furthermore, the intersection $P \cap P^\sigma$ of P with its conjugate $P^\sigma = \sigma^{-1}P\sigma$ by any $\sigma \in G - N$ is the trivial subgroup 1 of G .

Of course this last condition just says that P is a trivial intersection subgroup of G . Green's correspondence in this case simplifies to

Proposition 2.2. *If (2.1) holds and \mathbf{S} is either \mathbf{R} or $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$, then there is a one to one correspondence between all isomorphism classes of projective-free $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattices \mathbf{L} and all isomorphism classes of projective-free $\mathbf{S}N$ -lattices \mathbf{K} . Here the isomorphism class of \mathbf{L} corresponds to that of \mathbf{K} if and only if \mathbf{L} is isomorphic to the projective-free part $(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pf}}$ of the $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattice \mathbf{K}^G induced by \mathbf{K} . This happens if and only if \mathbf{K} is isomorphic to the projective-free part $(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}$ of the $\mathbf{S}N$ -lattice \mathbf{L}_N restricted from \mathbf{L} .*

Proof. Because $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices have the Krull-Schmidt property, for any subgroup H of G , we may apply all the arguments in [3] to our present situation. Following the notation of that paper as closely as possible, we denote by $a(H)$ the Green ring for the $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices. So $a(H)$ is generated as an additive group by the Green symbols (\mathbf{U}) , one for each $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattice \mathbf{U} , subject only to the relations that $(\mathbf{U}) = (\mathbf{U}')$ whenever \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{U}' are isomorphic $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices, and that $(\mathbf{U}) + (\mathbf{U}') = (\mathbf{U} \oplus \mathbf{U}')$ for any $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattices \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{U}' . (Multiplication in $a(H)$ is irrelevant to our purposes.) The Krull-Schmidt property implies that $a(H)$ is a free additive group with one basis element (\mathbf{U}) for each isomorphism class of indecomposable $\mathbf{S}H$ -lattices \mathbf{U} . Those (\mathbf{U}) in this basis for which \mathbf{U} is projective-free form a basis for an additive subgroup $a_{\text{pf}}(H)$ of $a(H)$. Those for which \mathbf{U} is projective form a basis for another additive subgroup $a_{\text{pr}}(H)$. Furthermore, $a(H)$ is the direct sum

$$(2.3) \quad a(H) = a_{\text{pf}}(H) \oplus a_{\text{pr}}(H)$$

of these two subgroups.

As the subgroups D and H of G used in [3] we take the present P and N , respectively. Then $H = N$ contains the normalizer $N_G(D) = N$ of $D = P$, as required on page 75 of [3]. The index $[G : D]$ of the Sylow p -subgroup $D = P$ is relatively prime to p . Hence its image $[G : D]_{\mathbf{S}}$ is a unit of \mathbf{S} . As in [2, Theorem 2], this implies that any $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattice is D -projective. So the additive subgroup $a_D(G)$, generated by the (\mathbf{L}) for D -projective $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattices \mathbf{L} , is all of $a(G)$. Similarly, $a(N)$ is equal to its subgroup $a_D(N)$.

Because $D = P$ is a trivial intersection subgroup of G , the family $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(D, H)$ of all intersections $D^\sigma \cap D$ with $\sigma \in G - H = G - N$

just consists of the trivial subgroup 1 of G . Hence the additive subgroup $a_{\mathbf{X}}(G) = \sum_{D' \in \mathbf{X}} a_{D'}(G)$ of $a(G)$ is just the additive subgroup $a_1(G)$ generated by the (\mathbf{P}) , where \mathbf{P} runs over the 1-projective $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattices. Since the 1-projective $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattices are just the projective ones, we conclude that $a_{\mathbf{X}}(G) = a_{\text{pr}}(G)$. This and (2.3) imply that

$$a_D(G)/a_{\mathbf{X}}(G) = a(G)/a_{\text{pr}}(G) \simeq a_{\text{pf}}(G)$$

as additive groups. Similarly

$$a_D(N)/a_{\mathbf{X}}(N) = a(N)/a_{\text{pr}}(N) \simeq a_{\text{pf}}(N).$$

In view of these natural isomorphisms, [3, Theorem 1] implies the present proposition. Q.E.D.

When \mathbf{S} is either \mathbf{R} or $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$, we say that a projective-free $\mathbf{S}G$ -lattice \mathbf{L} is an *$\mathbf{S}G$ -Green correspondent* of a projective-free $\mathbf{S}N$ -lattice \mathbf{K} (or that \mathbf{K} is an *$\mathbf{S}N$ -Green correspondent* of \mathbf{L}) if the isomorphism classes of \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{K} correspond in the above proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let a projective-free $\mathbf{R}N$ -lattice \mathbf{K} be an $\mathbf{R}N$ -Green correspondent of a projective-free $\mathbf{R}G$ -lattice \mathbf{L} . Then both the residual $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ of \mathbf{K} and the residual $\overline{\mathbf{F}}G$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ of \mathbf{L} are projective-free. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ is an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -Green correspondent of $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$.*

Proof. Proposition 1.5 implies that both $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ are projective-free. The isomorphism $\mathbf{L}_N \simeq (\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}} \oplus (\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pr}}$ of $\mathbf{R}N$ -lattices induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathbf{L}}_N \simeq \overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}} \oplus \overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pr}}}$$

of the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -residuals of those lattices. By Proposition 1.5 the $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -lattices $\overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}}$ and $\overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pr}}}$ are respectively projective-free and projective. Hence they are respectively isomorphic to the projective free part $\overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}}$ and projective part $\overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pr}}}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_N$.

Since \mathbf{K} is an $\mathbf{R}N$ -Green correspondent of \mathbf{L} , it is $\mathbf{R}N$ -isomorphic to $(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}$. So $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -isomorphic to $\overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}} \simeq \overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}}$. But $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_N$ is equal to the restriction $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_N$ of $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ to an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -lattice. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{K}} \simeq \overline{(\mathbf{L}_N)_{\text{pf}}}$ is an $\overline{\mathbf{F}}N$ -Green correspondent of $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$. Q.E.D.

§3. Rationally Determined Lattices

Any $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} , for any subgroup H of G , extends to an $\mathbf{F}H$ -lattice $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L} \simeq \mathbf{F} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{L}$, determined to within isomorphisms by the fact that any basis for the free module \mathbf{L} over \mathbf{R} is also a basis for the vector

space \mathbf{FL} over \mathbf{F} . Thus any \mathbf{RH} -lattice \mathbf{L} determines both an $\overline{\mathbf{FH}}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{L}/(\mathbf{pL})$ and an \mathbf{FH} -lattice \mathbf{FL} . Since $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ and \mathbf{F} are the two “domains of rationality” associated with \mathbf{R} , it is reasonable to make the

Definition 3.1. An \mathbf{RH} -lattice \mathbf{L} is *rationally determined* if it is determined to within isomorphisms by its associated $\overline{\mathbf{FH}}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ and \mathbf{FH} -lattice \mathbf{FL} , i.e., if \mathbf{L} is \mathbf{RH} -isomorphic to any \mathbf{RH} -lattice \mathbf{K} such that $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FH}}$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ and \mathbf{FL} is \mathbf{FH} -isomorphic to \mathbf{FK} .

The main observation of this note is

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that (1.1) and (2.1) hold, that \mathbf{K} is a projective-free \mathbf{RN} -lattice, and that \mathbf{L} is an \mathbf{RG} -Green correspondent of \mathbf{K} . Then the projective-free \mathbf{RG} -lattice \mathbf{L} is rationally determined if and only if the \mathbf{RN} -lattice \mathbf{K} is rationally determined.*

Proof. Assume that \mathbf{L} is rationally determined. We must show that \mathbf{K} is rationally determined. In view of Definition 3.1 it suffices to prove that \mathbf{K} is \mathbf{RN} -isomorphic to \mathbf{K}_0 whenever \mathbf{K}_0 is an \mathbf{RN} -lattice whose residual $\overline{\mathbf{FN}}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$, and whose associated \mathbf{FN} -lattice \mathbf{FK}_0 is isomorphic to \mathbf{FK} .

The projective-free \mathbf{RN} -lattice \mathbf{K} has a projective-free residual $\overline{\mathbf{FN}}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ by Proposition 1.5. The isomorphic $\overline{\mathbf{FN}}$ -lattice $\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0$ is also projective-free. So Proposition 1.5 implies that \mathbf{K}_0 is a projective-free \mathbf{RN} -lattice. Hence some projective-free \mathbf{RG} -lattice \mathbf{L}_0 is a Green correspondent of \mathbf{K}_0 . Since the Green correspondence is the bijection of isomorphism classes in Proposition 2.2, we can prove that \mathbf{K} is \mathbf{RN} -isomorphic to \mathbf{K}_0 by showing that \mathbf{L} is \mathbf{RG} -isomorphic to \mathbf{L}_0 . Because \mathbf{L} is rationally determined, it will suffice to show that $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_0$, and that \mathbf{FL} is \mathbf{FG} -isomorphic to \mathbf{FL}_0 .

The isomorphic $\overline{\mathbf{FN}}$ -lattices $\overline{\mathbf{K}} \simeq \overline{\mathbf{K}}_0$ induce isomorphic $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -lattices $\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G \simeq \overline{\mathbf{K}}_0^G$. Hence we have $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphisms

$$(3.3) \quad (\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G)_{\text{pf}} \simeq (\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0^G)_{\text{pf}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G)_{\text{pr}} \simeq (\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}.$$

By definition $(\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G)_{\text{pf}}$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0^G)_{\text{pf}}$ are $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -Green correspondents of $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0$, respectively. So Proposition 2.4 tells us that $(\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G)_{\text{pf}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphic to the residual $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ of the Green correspondent \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{K} . Similarly $(\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0^G)_{\text{pf}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_0$. Therefore the first isomorphism in (3.3) implies that $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_0$.

Evidently $\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphic to the residual $\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G$ of the \mathbf{RG} -lattice \mathbf{K}^G induced by \mathbf{K} . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, this implies that $(\overline{\mathbf{K}}^G)_{\text{pr}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{FG}}$ -isomorphic to the residual $\overline{(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}}}$ of $(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}}$.

Similarly $(\overline{\mathbf{K}}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}}G$ -isomorphic to the residual $\overline{(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}}$ of $(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$. So the second isomorphism in (3.3) implies that the projective $\mathbf{R}G$ -lattices $(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}}$ and $(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$ have isomorphic $\overline{\mathbf{F}}G$ -residuals. By Proposition 1.6 this forces $(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}}$ to be $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphic to $(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$. It follows that $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}}$ is $\mathbf{F}G$ -isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$.

The isomorphism $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K} \simeq \mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}_0$ of $\mathbf{F}N$ -lattices induces isomorphisms $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G) \simeq (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K})^G \simeq (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}_0)^G \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G)$ of $\mathbf{F}G$ -lattices. Since \mathbf{K}^G and \mathbf{K}_0^G are $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphic to $(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pf}} \oplus (\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}}$ and $(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pf}} \oplus (\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$, respectively, this gives us $\mathbf{F}G$ -isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pf}} \oplus \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}} \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G) \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G) \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pf}} \oplus \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}.$$

We saw above that $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pr}} \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pr}}$ as $\mathbf{F}G$ -lattices. So the Krull-Schmidt property for $\mathbf{F}G$ -lattices implies that $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L} \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}^G)_{\text{pf}}$ is $\mathbf{F}G$ -isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L}_0 \simeq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}_0^G)_{\text{pf}}$.

We have now shown that $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}}G$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_0$, and that $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L}$ is $\mathbf{F}G$ -isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L}_0$. As we remarked above, this is enough to imply that \mathbf{K} is rationally determined whenever \mathbf{L} is. A similar argument, using restriction of lattices from G to N instead of induction from N to G , shows that the converse statement also holds. Q.E.D.

Surprisingly enough, for any subgroup H of G there are some important rationally determined $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices. After embedding an arbitrary $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice \mathbf{L} in an $\mathbf{F}H$ -lattice $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L}$, we can multiply it by any central idempotent e in $\mathbf{F}H$, obtaining an $\mathbf{R}H$ -sublattice $\mathbf{L}e$ spanning the $\mathbf{F}H$ -submodule $(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L})e = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{L}e)$ of $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L}$.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that H is a subgroup of G , that \mathbf{P} is a projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice, and that e is a central idempotent of $\mathbf{F}H$. Then the $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}e$ is rationally determined.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{K} be any $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattice such that $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ is $\overline{\mathbf{F}}H$ -isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ and $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}$ is $\mathbf{F}H$ -isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{L}$. We must prove that \mathbf{K} is $\mathbf{R}H$ -isomorphic to \mathbf{L} .

Right multiplication by e is an $\mathbf{R}H$ -epimorphism ρ of \mathbf{P} onto $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}e$. If we follow ρ by the natural epimorphism $\eta_{\mathbf{L}}$ of \mathbf{L} onto $\overline{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{L}/(\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{L})$, and by some $\overline{\mathbf{F}}H$ -isomorphism $\bar{\iota}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ onto $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$, we obtain a homomorphism $\bar{\iota} \circ \eta_{\mathbf{L}} \circ \rho: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}}$ of $\mathbf{R}H$ -modules. We also have the natural epimorphism $\eta_{\mathbf{K}}$ of \mathbf{K} onto $\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{K}/(\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{K})$ as $\mathbf{R}H$ -modules. Because \mathbf{P} is a projective $\mathbf{R}H$ -module, there is some homomorphism $\theta: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ of $\mathbf{R}H$ -lattices such that

$$(3.5) \quad \eta_{\mathbf{K}} \circ \theta = \bar{\iota} \circ \eta_{\mathbf{L}} \circ \rho: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}}.$$

The \mathbf{RH} -homomorphism $\theta: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ extends by \mathbf{F} -linearity to an \mathbf{FH} -homomorphism $\theta^{\mathbf{F}}: \mathbf{FP} \rightarrow \mathbf{FK}$. This last homomorphism commutes with multiplication by the central idempotent e of \mathbf{FH} . So it restricts to an \mathbf{RH} -homomorphism $\iota = (\theta^{\mathbf{F}})_{\mathbf{L}}$ of $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}e$ into $\mathbf{K}e$. But right multiplication by the idempotent e is the identity on both $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}e$ and $\mathbf{FL} = \mathbf{FP}e$. Hence it is the identity on both the \mathbf{FH} -lattice \mathbf{FK} isomorphic to \mathbf{FL} , and on the \mathbf{RH} -sublattice \mathbf{K} of \mathbf{FK} . We conclude that ι is an \mathbf{RH} -homomorphism of \mathbf{L} into $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}e$. Since the epimorphism ρ in the equation (3.5) is just multiplication by e , that equation implies that

$$\bar{\iota} \circ \eta_{\mathbf{L}} = \eta_{\mathbf{K}} \circ \iota: \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Thus $\iota: \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is a homomorphism of \mathbf{RH} -lattices inducing the isomorphism $\bar{\iota}: \bar{\mathbf{L}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{H}$ -lattices. Hence ι is an \mathbf{RH} -isomorphism of \mathbf{L} onto \mathbf{K} . Q.E.D.

The \mathbf{RH} -lattice $\mathbf{P}e$ in the preceding proposition is projective-free in the most important case.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that H is a subgroup of G , that \mathbf{P} is an indecomposable projective \mathbf{RH} -lattice, and that e is a central idempotent of \mathbf{FH} . Then the \mathbf{RH} -lattice $\mathbf{P}e$ is either equal to \mathbf{P} or projective-free.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{P}e$ is not projective-free. We must show that it is equal to \mathbf{P} , i.e., that right multiplication by e is the identity on \mathbf{P} . Since right multiplication by the idempotent e is certainly the identity on $\mathbf{P}e$, it will suffice to show that \mathbf{P} is \mathbf{RH} -isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}e$.

Because $\mathbf{P}e$ is not projective-free, it is divisible by some non-zero projective \mathbf{RH} -lattice \mathbf{Q} . So there is some \mathbf{RH} -epimorphism π of $\mathbf{P}e$ onto \mathbf{Q} . Right multiplication by e is an \mathbf{RH} -epimorphism ρ of \mathbf{P} onto $\mathbf{P}e$. Hence the composite map $\pi \circ \rho: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is an epimorphism of \mathbf{RH} -lattices. Since \mathbf{Q} is \mathbf{RH} -projective, there is some \mathbf{RH} -monomorphism $\mu: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ such that $\pi \circ \rho \circ \mu$ is the identity map of \mathbf{Q} onto itself. In particular, the non-zero \mathbf{RH} -lattice \mathbf{Q} divides the indecomposable \mathbf{RH} -lattice \mathbf{P} . This can only happen when $\pi \circ \rho$ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{P} onto \mathbf{Q} , with μ as its inverse. But then the epimorphism ρ must be an \mathbf{RH} -isomorphism of \mathbf{P} onto $\mathbf{P}e$. As we remarked above, this is enough to prove the proposition. Q.E.D.

Putting the preceding results together, we obtain

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that (1.1) and (2.1) hold, that \mathbf{P} is an indecomposable projective \mathbf{RG} -lattice, and that e is a central idempotent of \mathbf{FG} such that $\mathbf{P}e \neq \mathbf{P}$. Then the \mathbf{RG} -lattice $\mathbf{P}e$ is projective-free, and its \mathbf{RN} -Green correspondents are rationally determined.*

Proof. The $\mathbf{R}G$ -lattice $\mathbf{P}e$ is projective-free by Proposition 3.6, and is rationally determined by Proposition 3.4. So its $\mathbf{R}N$ -Green correspondents are rationally determined by Theorem 3.2. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] E. C. Dade, Counting characters in blocks, I, *Invent. Math.*, **109** (1992), 187–210.
- [2] J. A. Green, On the indecomposable representations of a finite group, *Math. Z.*, **70** (1959), 430–445.
- [3] J. A. Green, A transfer theorem for modular representations, *J. Algebra*, **1** (1964), 73–84.
- [4] A. Heller, On group representations over a valuation ring, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **47** (1961), 1194–1197.
- [5] J. G. Thompson, Vertices and sources, *J. Algebra*, **6** (1967), 1–6.

Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, IL 61801
U.S.A.
e-mail: dade@math.uiuc.edu