

## Formal Groups and Conformal Field Theory over $\mathbf{Z}$

Toshiyuki Katsura\*, Yuji Shimizu and Kenji Ueno<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract.

We introduce a formal group naturally associated with algebraic curves. The formal group is isomorphic to the one obtained from the universal Witt scheme. The charge zero sector of the boson Fock space is regarded as the coordinate ring of the formal group. Using this structure, we can give tau functions. We also define new operators  $f_n, v_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0$ ) on the fermion Fock space.

### §0. Introduction

The conformal field theory of free fermions on compact Riemann surfaces has been investigated by many mathematicians and physicists (cf. [ABMNV], [AGR], [BMS], [BS],[EO], [IMO], and [KNTY]), and the bosonization rule (cf. [DJKM] for instance) plays the central role in the theory. In our previous paper [KSU], we define the new bosonization over the ring  $\mathbf{Z}$  of integers (similar treatment can be also found in [CKK]), and constructed the conformal field theory over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . (In [KSU] we constructed the conformal field theory over  $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$  because of the complicated nature of spin bundles in characteristic 2, but our theory can be formulated over  $\mathbf{Z}$  similarly.) In the theory, the coordinate ring of the universal Witt scheme plays an important role. In this paper, we introduce a formal group naturally associated with algebraic curves, in particular, Riemann surfaces. We show that the formal group is isomorphic to the one obtained from the universal Witt ring, and that the coordinate ring of the formal group is regarded as the charge zero sector of the boson Fock space (cf. Section 3). Then, using a theorem of Cartier

---

Received February 13, 1989.

\*Partially supported by Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik.

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and the Grand-in-aid for Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

(cf. Theorem 1.2), we define the tau function. The tau function coincides with the one in [KNTY], and gives its natural interpretation. On the universal Witt ring, we have two kinds of operators, i.e., Frobenius operators  $F_n$  and Verschiebungs  $V_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0$ ). Using them and the new bosonization introduced in [KSU], we introduce operators  $f_n^*$  and  $v_n^*$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0$ ) on the fermion Fock space and the dual fermion Fock space. We show that the operator  $f_n^*$  is adjoint to the operator  $v_n^*$  with respect to the natural pairing (cf. Theorem 4.1). The operators  $T(n) = f_n^* \circ v_n^*$  (resp.  $S(n) = \sum_{m|n} f_m^* \circ v_m^*$ ) ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0$ ) satisfy the properties similar to the Hecke operators. Hence, we get systematically divisor functions (resp. the Riemann zeta function), using operators  $T(n)$  (resp.  $S(n)$ ) (cf. Theorem 5.5 (resp. Theorem 5.8)).

The authors would like to thank Y. Namikawa for useful discussions. The first and the third authors would like to thank Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for the warm hospitality during their stay in Bonn.

## §1. Formal groups

In the former part of this section, we give a brief survey of formal groups (for details, see [Hz]). For the sake of simplicity, we will explain finite dimensional cases. But we can easily generalize them to the infinite dimensional case. In the latter part of this section, we summarize the results on the universal Witt ring. Let  $A$  be a unitary commutative ring. We denote by  $G_i(X, Y)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) a power series in  $2n$  indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_n; Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  with coefficients in  $A$ . An  $n$ -tuple of power series

$$G(X, Y) = (G_1(X, Y), \dots, G_n(X, Y))$$

is said to be an  $n$ -dimensional *formal group law* over a ring  $A$ , if it satisfies the following two conditions :

- (i)  $G_i(X, Y) \equiv X_i + Y_i \pmod{(\text{degree } 2)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$
- (ii)  $G_i(G(X, Y), Z) = G_i(X, G(Y, Z)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

An  $n$ -dimensional formal group law  $G(X, Y)$  is said to be commutative if it satisfies the following condition:

- (iii)  $G_i(X, Y) = G_i(Y, X), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

In this paper, we consider only commutative formal group laws, and so we mean by a formal group law a commutative formal group law. Formal group law gives a co-addition of the ring  $A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  of formal power series over  $A$ . Therefore, it gives an addition on the formal scheme  $\text{Spf}(A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]])$  over  $A$ . Conversely, if a formal scheme

$\text{Spf}(A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]])$  has a structure of abelian group, then this gives a formal group law (for details of formal schemes, see [Hz]). A formal scheme with addition is called a *formal group*. By abuse of language, we also call a formal group law a formal group.

**Example 1.1.** We denote by  $G_a(A)$  (resp.  $G_m(A)$ ) the additive group scheme (resp. the multiplicative group scheme) over  $A$ . Set-theoretically, we have  $G_a(A) = A$  (resp.  $G_m(A) = A^* =$  the unit group of  $A$ ) with addition

$$\begin{aligned} A \times A &\rightarrow A \\ \cup &\cup \\ (a, b) &\mapsto a + b \\ \\ \left( \text{resp. } A^* \times A^* &\rightarrow A^* \right. \\ &\cup \qquad \qquad \cup \\ &(a, b) \mapsto ab \left. \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using this group law, we get a formal group law given by

$$\widehat{G}_a(X_1, Y_1) = X_1 + Y_1 \quad (\text{resp. } \widehat{G}_m(X_1, Y_1) = X_1 + Y_1 + X_1 Y_1)$$

which is called the additive formal group law (resp. the multiplicative formal group law).

An  $n$ -tuple of power series  $\gamma(\zeta) = (\gamma_1(\zeta), \gamma_2(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_n(\zeta))$  in an indeterminate  $\zeta$  such that  $\gamma_i(\zeta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{degree } 1}$  is said to be a *curve* in the formal group  $G(X, Y)$ . By  $C(G; A)$  we denote the set of curves in the formal group  $G(X, Y)$  over the ring  $A$ . For two curves  $\beta(\zeta), \gamma(\zeta)$  of  $C(G; A)$ , we define the addition  $+_G$  as follows:

$$\beta(\zeta) +_G \gamma(\zeta) = G(\beta(\zeta), \gamma(\zeta)).$$

Then, by this addition,  $C(G; A)$  becomes an abelian group.

Let  $F(X, Y)$  (resp.  $G(X, Y)$ ) be an  $m$ -dimensional formal group (resp. an  $n$ -dimensional formal group) over  $A$ , and we let  $\alpha(X) = (\alpha_1(X), \dots, \alpha_n(X))$  be an  $n$ -tuple of power series in  $m$  indeterminates such that  $\alpha_i(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{degree } 1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\alpha(X)$  is said to be a *homomorphism* over  $A$  from  $F(X, Y)$  to  $G(X, Y)$ , if

$$\alpha(F(X, Y)) = G(\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)).$$

A homomorphism  $\alpha(X)$  is said to be an isomorphism, if there exists a homomorphism  $\beta(X)$  from  $G(X, Y)$  to  $F(X, Y)$  such that  $\alpha(\beta(X)) = X$

and  $\beta(\alpha(X)) = X$ . We denote by  $\text{Hom}(F, G)$  the set of all homomorphisms from  $F(X, Y)$  to  $G(X, Y)$ . It has naturally a structure of abelian group. A homomorphism  $\alpha(X)$  induces a homomorphism

$$C(F; A) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} C(G; A)$$

$$(\gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_m(\zeta)) \mapsto (\alpha_1(\gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_m(\zeta)), \dots, \alpha_n(\gamma_1(\zeta), \dots, \gamma_m(\zeta))).$$

Now, let  $W(A)$  be the set of  $A$ -valued points of the universal Witt scheme  $W_A$  over a ring  $A$  (cf. [KSU] and [Hz]). In the following we sometimes use the notation  $W(A)$  as the meaning of the Witt scheme  $W_A$  over  $A$ . For an indeterminate  $T$ , we set

$$\Lambda(A) = \{1 + a_1T + a_2T^2 + \dots \mid a_i \in A\}.$$

An addition on  $\Lambda(A)$  is defined by multiplication of power series. We have the following homomorphisms:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{G}_a^\infty(A) & \xleftarrow{w} & W(A) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \Lambda(A), \\ (t_1, t_2, \dots) & \leftarrow & (x_1, x_2, \dots) & \mapsto & 1 + s_1T + s_2T^2 + \dots, \end{array}$$

where  $w$  and  $\lambda$  are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} nt_n &= \sum_{d|n} dx_d^{n/d}, \\ \prod_{i=1}^\infty (1 - x_i T^i) &= 1 + s_1T + s_2T^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

respectively (cf. [KSU] and [Hz]). It is well known that  $\lambda$  is an isomorphism. In case  $A$  contains the field  $\mathbf{Q}$  of rational numbers,  $w$  is also an isomorphism. These homomorphisms induce the following homomorphisms:

$$(1.3) \quad A[t_1, t_2, \dots] \xrightarrow{w^*} A[x_1, x_2, \dots] \xleftarrow{\lambda^*} A[s_1, s_2, \dots].$$

Using addition laws of abelian groups  $\mathbf{G}_a^\infty(A)$ ,  $W(A)$  and  $\Lambda(A)$ , we get the following formal group and homomorphisms :

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^\infty(A) & \xleftarrow{w} & \widehat{W}(A) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & \widehat{\Lambda}(A) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Spf}(A[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]) & & \text{Spf}(A[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]) & & \text{Spf}(A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]]) \end{array}$$

where  $W$  (resp.  $\Lambda$ ) is the homomorphism (resp. the isomorphism) induced from  $w$  (resp.  $\lambda$ ). Here,  $A[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$  (resp.  $A[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ , resp.  $A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]]$ ) is the completion of the polynomial ring  $A[t_1, t_2, \dots]$  (resp.  $A[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ , resp.  $A[s_1, s_2, \dots]$ ) of indeterminates  $t_1, t_2, \dots$  (resp.  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , resp.  $s_1, s_2, \dots$ ) with  $\deg t_i = i$  (resp.  $\deg x_i = i$ , resp.  $\deg s_i = i$ ). The homomorphisms in (1.4) induce homomorphisms of coordinate rings defined by (1.2) and (1.3):

$$(1.5) \quad A[[t_1, t_2, \dots]] \xrightarrow{W^*} A[[x_1, x_2, \dots]] \xleftarrow{\Lambda^*} A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]].$$

We set  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ .

Let us define Frobenius operators  $F_n^\Lambda$  and Verschiebung operators  $V_n^\Lambda$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) on  $\Lambda(A)$ . For this purpose, we prepare additional variables  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad 1 + s_1 T + s_2 T^2 + \dots = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \xi_i T)$$

Then, we define  $F_n^\Lambda$  by

$$(1.7) \quad F_n^\Lambda(1 + s_1 T + s_2 T^2 + \dots) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \xi_i^n T),$$

where the coefficients of the right-hand side are expressed by  $s_1, s_2, \dots$  by using (1.6). As for  $V_n^\Lambda$ 's, they are defined by

$$(1.8) \quad V_n^\Lambda(1 + s_1 T + s_2 T^2 + \dots) = 1 + s_1 T^n + s_2 T^{2n} + \dots$$

Using the isomorphism  $\Lambda$ , we define Frobenius operators  $F_n$  and Verschiebung operators  $V_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) on  $\widehat{W}(A)$  by

$$F_n = \Lambda^{-1} \circ F_n^\Lambda \circ \Lambda \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$V_n = \Lambda^{-1} \circ V_n^\Lambda \circ \Lambda \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

These operators induce endomorphisms  $F_n^*$  and  $V_n^*$  on the coordinate ring  $A[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ . In case  $A$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have operators  $\tilde{F}_n = W^{-1} \circ F_n^* \circ W$  and  $\tilde{V}_n = W^{-1} \circ V_n^* \circ W$ . They induce endomorphisms  $\tilde{F}_n^*$  and  $\tilde{V}_n^*$  on  $A[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$  which are given by

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{F}_n^*(t_i) = n t_{ni}, \quad \tilde{V}_n^*(t_i) = \begin{cases} t_{i/n} & \text{if } n|i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(for details, see [Hz] and [M]). We denote by  $\gamma_W(\zeta)$  the curve  $(\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)$  of the formal group  $\widehat{W}(A)$ . Finally, we quote here a theorem of P. Cartier.

**Theorem 1.2** [Cartier [C]]. *Let  $G$  be a formal group over  $A$ . Then, there exists the following isomorphism of abelian groups:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\widehat{W}(A), G) &\simeq C(G; A) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha_*(\gamma_W(\zeta)). \end{aligned}$$

**§2. Construction of a formal group**

Let  $A[[\zeta]]$  be the ring of formal power series with coefficients in  $A$ . We denote by  $\zeta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\xi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) the copies of  $\zeta$ . We have the following natural isomorphism:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A[[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta]] &\rightarrow A[[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha]] \otimes_A A[[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta]]. \\ \zeta_i &\mapsto \zeta_i \otimes 1 \\ \xi_j &\mapsto 1 \otimes \xi_j \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \alpha + \beta$ ) (resp.  $s'_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \alpha$ ), resp.  $s''_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \beta$ )) be the elementary symmetric functions of  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta$  (resp.  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha$ , resp.  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta$ ) of degree  $i$ . The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+\beta}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ , resp.  $\mathfrak{S}_\beta$ ) acts on  $A[[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta]]$  (resp.  $A[[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha]]$ , resp.  $A[[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta]]$ ) as the permutations of  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta$  (resp.  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\alpha$ , resp.  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\beta$ ). Taking the invariants of these rings of formal power series, we have the following homomorphism induced by the isomorphism (2.1):

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A[[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{\alpha+\beta}]] &\xrightarrow{m_{\alpha, \beta}^*} A[[s'_1, \dots, s'_\alpha]] \otimes_A A[[s''_1, \dots, s''_\beta]], \\ s_i &\mapsto s'_i \otimes 1 + s'_{i-1} \otimes s''_1 + \dots + s'_1 \otimes s''_{i-1} + 1 \otimes s''_i \\ &\quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha + \beta) \end{aligned}$$

where  $s'_i = 0$  (resp.  $s''_i = 0$ ) if  $i > \alpha$  (resp.  $i > \beta$ ). Now, consider the

projective system  $\{A[[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]], f_{n-1,n}^*\}$  defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{n-1,n}^* : A[[s_1, \dots, s_n]] &\rightarrow A[[s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}]] \\ s_i &\mapsto s_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ s_n &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]] = \varprojlim A[[s_1, \dots, s_n]]$$

which is isomorphic to the completion of  $A[s_1, s_2, \dots]$  with  $\deg s_i = i$ . The homomorphism (2.2) induces the co-addition

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} m^* : A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]] &\rightarrow A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]] \otimes_A A[[s_1, s_2, \dots]] \\ s_i &\mapsto s_i \otimes 1 + s_{i-1} \otimes s_1 + \dots + s_1 \otimes s_{i-1} + 1 \otimes s_i \end{aligned}$$

This co-addition gives a formal group  $\widehat{U}$  of infinite dimension which coincides with  $\widehat{\Lambda}(A)$ . We have the isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta : \widehat{\Lambda}(A) &\rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda}(A) \\ 1 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + \dots &\mapsto 1 + a_1(-T) + a_2(-T)^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.4) and (2.5), we have the following isomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad \theta = \eta \circ \Lambda : \widehat{W}(A) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \widehat{\Lambda}(A) \xrightarrow{\eta} \widehat{\Lambda}(A) = \widehat{U}.$$

By the construction of  $\widehat{U}$  and (1.3),  $\theta$  induces the homomorphism  $\theta_*$  from  $C(\widehat{W}(A); A)$  to  $C(\widehat{U}; A)$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \theta_*((\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)) = (\zeta, 0, 0, \dots).$$

### §3. Jacobian varieties and $\tau$ -functions

Let  $f : C \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  be a curve of genus  $g$  over  $A$  (cf. [KSU]). We assume that  $f : C \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  has a section  $\sigma : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow C$ . We denote  $\sigma(\text{Spec}(A))$  by  $Q$ , and denote by  $I_Q$  the ideal sheaf of  $Q$ . There is a canonical  $\mathcal{O}_A$ -algebra isomorphism

$$u_0 : \mathcal{O}_C/I_Q \simeq \mathcal{O}_A.$$

Assume that the conormal bundle  $N_Q^* = I_Q/I_Q^2$  of  $Q$  in  $C$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_A$ -module. Then, as in [KSU, Lemma 4.1], we have

$$\mathcal{O}_C/I_Q^{n+1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A[\zeta]/(\zeta^{n+1}).$$

Therefore, taking the completion of  $\mathcal{O}_C$  with respect to the ideal sheaf  $I_Q$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_C/I_Q^{n+1} = \mathcal{O}_A[[\zeta]].$$

We denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$  the left-hand side of (3.1). The global sections on  $\text{Spec}(A)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_A[[\zeta]]$  are given by  $A[[\zeta]]$ . We consider the triple  $\{f : C \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A), Q, u : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Q \simeq \mathcal{O}_A[[\zeta]]\}$ . We set

$$C^n = \underbrace{C \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} C \times \cdots \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} C}_n.$$

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of degree  $n$  acts on  $C^n$  over  $\text{Spec}(A)$  as permutations. We have a natural morphism defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^\alpha \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} C^\beta & \rightarrow & C^{\alpha+\beta} \\ ((P_1, \dots, P_\alpha), (P'_1, \dots, P'_\beta)) & \mapsto & (P_1, \dots, P_\alpha, P'_1, \dots, P'_\beta). \end{array}$$

This induces the following morphism:

$$(3.3) \quad m_{\alpha,\beta} : C^\alpha/\mathfrak{S}_\alpha \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} C^\beta/\mathfrak{S}_\beta \rightarrow C^{\alpha+\beta}/\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

We have a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\alpha-1} & \rightarrow & C^\alpha \\ (P_1, \dots, P_{\alpha-1}) & \mapsto & (P_1, \dots, P_{\alpha-1}, Q). \end{array}$$

This induces the morphism

$$(3.4) \quad f_{\alpha,\alpha-1} : C^{\alpha-1}/\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow C^\alpha/\mathfrak{S}_\alpha.$$

We consider the completion along  $Q$  in (3.3) and (3.4). Then, corresponding to (3.3), we have (2.2), and corresponding to (3.4), we have (2.3). Therefore, as in Section 2, taking the projective limit, we have the formal group  $\widehat{U}$  with co-addition (2.4). As we explained in Section 2,  $\widehat{U}$  coincides with  $\widehat{\Lambda}(A)$ .

Let  $J(C)$  be the Jacobian variety of  $C$  over  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . We denote by  $m_J$  the addition of  $J(C)$ , and by  $\widehat{J}(C)$  the formal group over  $\text{Spec}(A)$  associated with  $J(C)$ . We have a morphism over  $\text{Spec}(A)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha : C^\alpha / \mathfrak{S}_\alpha &\rightarrow J(C) \\ (P_1, \dots, P_\alpha) &\mapsto P_1 + \dots + P_\alpha - \alpha Q, \end{aligned}$$

and a commutative diagram

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^\alpha / \mathfrak{S}_\alpha \times C^\beta / \mathfrak{S}_\beta & \xrightarrow{m_{\alpha\beta}} & C^{\alpha+\beta} / \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha+\beta} \\ \downarrow \varphi_\alpha \times \varphi_\beta & & \downarrow \varphi_{\alpha+\beta} \\ J(C) \times J(C) & \xrightarrow{m_J} & J(C). \end{array}$$

By the commutative diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} C & \xrightarrow{f_{1,2}} & C^2 / \mathfrak{S}_2 & \xrightarrow{f_{2,3}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{f_{g-1,g}} & C^g / \mathfrak{S}_g \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_g \\ J(C) & = & J(C) & = & \dots & = & J(C) \\ \\ f_{g,g+1} & \xrightarrow{C^{g+1} / \mathfrak{S}_{g+1}} & f_{g+1,g+2} & \xrightarrow{\dots} & f_{n-1,n} & \xrightarrow{C^n / \mathfrak{S}_n} & f_{n,n+1} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_{g+1} & & & & \downarrow \varphi_n & \\ = & J(C) & = & \dots & = & J(C) & = & \dots \end{array}$$

and by (3.5), we have a homomorphism

$$\varphi : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \widehat{J}(C).$$

Taking the completion along  $Q$ , we see that  $\varphi_1$  (resp.  $\dots \circ f_{2,3} \circ f_{1,2}$ ) induces a morphism  $\gamma_J$  (resp.  $\gamma_U$ ) from  $\text{Spf}(A[[\zeta]])$  to  $\widehat{J}(C)$  (resp. to  $\widehat{U}$ )

such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{Spf}(A[[\zeta]]) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_U} & \widehat{U} \xleftarrow{\theta} \widehat{W}(A). \\
 \gamma_J \searrow & & \swarrow \varphi \\
 & & \widehat{J}(C)
 \end{array}$$

Here, we note that  $\gamma_U$  gives the curve  $(\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)$  in  $\widehat{U}$ . Therefore, by (2.7),  $\theta^{-1} \circ \gamma_U$  gives the curve  $(\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)$  in  $\widehat{W}(A)$ . Hence, by Theorem 1.2, we have the following characterization of  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The homomorphism  $\varphi$  constructed above is characterized as the homomorphism which transforms the curve  $(\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)$  in  $\widehat{U}$  into the curve in  $\widehat{J}(C)$  given by  $\gamma_J$ .*

Now, we assume  $A = \mathbf{C}$ . Then,  $\zeta$  is a local parameter of  $C$  at the point  $Q$ . We fix a symplectic basis  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_g\}$  of the first homology group  $H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$ . By definition, we have  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$ ,  $(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 0$  and  $(\alpha_i, \beta_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker's delta. We take a basis  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$  of the space  $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$  of holomorphic one-forms on the curve  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\alpha_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\beta_i} \omega_j = \tau_{ij}.$$

Then, the  $g \times 2g$  matrix  $(\delta_{ij}, \tau_{ij})$  gives a lattice  $L$  of  $\mathbf{C}^g$ , and  $J(C)$  is given by  $\mathbf{C}^g/L$ . We have the universal covering  $\pi : \mathbf{C}^g \rightarrow J(C)$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbf{C}^g \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow \pi \\
 & & \mathbf{C}^g/L.
 \end{array}$$

The morphism  $\psi$  is given by

$$\psi(\zeta) = \left( \int_Q^\zeta \omega_i \right).$$

We consider the expansion of  $\omega_i$  (cf. [KNTY]):

$$\omega_i = -d \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^i \frac{\zeta^n}{n} \right).$$

Then, taking the completions, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{C}[[\zeta]]) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\psi}} & \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g, \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \widehat{\pi} \\ & & \widehat{J}(\mathbf{C}) \end{array}$$

where  $\widehat{\psi}$  corresponds to the curve of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g$  given by

$$(3.8) \quad \gamma = \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^1 \frac{\zeta^n}{n}, \dots, - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^g \frac{\zeta^n}{n} \right).$$

By Theorem 1.2 and (1.4), we have the morphism

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\varphi} : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g$$

such that  $\tilde{\varphi}_*((\zeta, 0, \dots)) = \gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is the curve given by  $\widehat{\psi}$  in (3.7). On the other hand, by [KNTY], we have the homomorphism

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} I : \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^\infty = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]) &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbf{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_g]]), \\ I^*(z_i) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^i t_n. \end{aligned}$$

Under the notations in (1.4), (2.6), (3.9) and (3.10) we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widehat{W}(\mathbf{C}) & \xrightarrow{\theta = \eta \circ \Lambda} & \widehat{U} \\ & & \searrow W & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^\infty & & & & \\ & & \searrow I & & \\ & & & & \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g \xleftarrow{\iota} \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g \end{array}$$

where  $\iota$  is the inversion of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_a^g$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove

$$\iota_* \circ \tilde{\varphi}_* \circ \theta_*((\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)) = I_* \circ W_*((\zeta, 0, 0, \dots)).$$

We can check this by direct calculation.

q.e.d.

Let  $\{\omega_Q^{(n)}\}_{n=2,3,4,\dots}$  be the set of abelian differentials of the second kind on  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\alpha_i} \omega_Q^{(n)} = 0, \quad \int_{\beta_i} \omega_Q^{(n)} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}I_n^i,$$

$$\omega_Q^{(n)} = d(\zeta^{-n} - \sum_{m>0} q_{mn}(\zeta^m/m))$$

(cf. [KNTY]). It is a basis of the vector space of abelian differentials of the second kind with pole only at the point  $Q$ . We denote by  $\Theta(z_1, \dots, z_g)$  the Riemann theta function on  $C^g/L$ . We can regard  $\Theta(z_1, \dots, z_g)$  as an element of  $C[[z_1, \dots, z_g]]$ . We define the tau function as follows.

**Definition 3.3.**

$$\tau(\mathbf{x}, C) = W^* \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n>0, m>0} q_{m,n} t_m t_n\right) \cdot (\iota \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ \theta)^* \Theta(z_1, \dots, z_g) \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\tau(\mathbf{t}, C)$  be the tau function defined in [KNTY]. Then, we have*

$$\tau(\mathbf{x}, C) = W^* \tau(\mathbf{t}, C).$$

*Proof.* This theorem follows from Theorem 3.2.

q.e.d.

**§4. Operators  $F_n$  and  $V_n$**

Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be the set of Maya diagrams of charge zero, and let

$$\mathcal{F}_0(A) = \prod_{M \in \mathcal{M}_0} A|M\rangle \quad (\text{resp. } \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(A) = \bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{M}_0} A\langle M|)$$

be the fermion Fock space (resp. the dual fermion Fock space) of charge zero over a commutative ring  $A$ . We have the canonical pairing

$$(4.1) \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(A) \times \mathcal{F}_0(A) \rightarrow A$$

$$(\langle \Psi'|, |\Psi \rangle) \mapsto \langle \Psi'| \Psi \rangle$$

(cf. [KNTY] and [KSU]). Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{T,0}(A) = A[[t_1, t_2, \dots]] \quad (\text{resp. } \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{T,0}(A) = A[t_1, t_2, \dots])$$

be the charge zero sector of boson Fock space (resp. the dual boson Fock space). We have the pairing

$$(4.2) \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{T,0}(A) \times \mathcal{H}_{T,0}(A) \rightarrow A$$

defined by

$$(4.3) \quad (g(t), h(t)) = g(\partial_t)h(t)|_{t=0},$$

where

$$\partial_t = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}, \dots \right).$$

We denote by  $J_m$  ( $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) the current operators. If  $A = \mathbf{Q}$ , we have a bosonization

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B : \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Q}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{T,0}(\mathbf{Q}) \\ (\text{resp. } \overline{B} : \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Q}) &\rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{T,0}(\mathbf{Q})) \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B|\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \langle n | \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} J_m t_m\right) |\Psi\rangle \quad \text{for } |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Q}) \\ (\text{resp. } \overline{B}\langle\Psi'| &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \langle\Psi'| \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} J_m t_m\right) |n\rangle \quad \text{for } \langle\Psi'| \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Q})). \end{aligned}$$

By [DJKM],  $B$  (resp.  $\overline{B}$ ) is an isomorphism as vector spaces. In [KSU], we introduced a new boson Fock space of charge zero

$$\mathcal{H}_0(A) = A[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$$

and a new bosonization

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{B} : \mathcal{F}_0(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(A).$$

We introduce a new dual boson Fock space of charge zero  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0(A) = A[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ . By the similar way to [KSU], we have a new bosonization

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{B}' : \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(A) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}_0(A).$$

$\tilde{B}$  (resp.  $\tilde{B}'$ ) is an isomorphism as  $A$ -modules. If  $A = \mathbf{Q}$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{B} = W^* \circ B$$

$$(4.8) \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{B}' = W^* \circ \bar{B}),$$

where  $W^*$  is defined by (1.3). The Frobenius operators  $F_n^*$  and the Verschiebung operators  $V_n^*$  act on the coordinate ring of  $\widehat{W}(A)$  (resp. on the coordinate ring of the Witt scheme  $W(A)$ ) as in Section 1. Using the isomorphism (4.5) (resp. (4.6)), we set

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n^* &= \tilde{B}^{-1} \circ F_n^* \circ \tilde{B} & \text{and} & & v_n^* &= \tilde{B}^{-1} \circ V_n^* \circ \tilde{B} \\ (\text{resp. } f_n^* &= \tilde{B}'^{-1} \circ F_n^* \circ \tilde{B}' & \text{and} & & v_n^* &= \tilde{B}'^{-1} \circ V_n^* \circ \tilde{B}'). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $p_i(t)$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) the Schur polynomials. For a Young diagram  $Y$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \boxed{f_1} \\ \hline \boxed{f_2} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \boxed{f_m} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

the Schur function corresponding to  $Y$  is defined by

$$(4.11) \quad \chi_Y(t) = \det (p_{f_i - i + j}(t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

The Young diagram  $Y$  in (4.10) is called the Young diagram of signature  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $|\Psi\rangle$  (resp.  $\langle\Psi'|\$ ) be any element of  $\mathcal{F}_0(A)$  (resp.  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(A)$ ). Then, with respect to the pairing (4.1), we have*

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \langle \Psi' | f_n^* | \Psi \rangle \rangle &= \langle \langle \Psi' | v_n^* | \Psi \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle \Psi' | v_n^* | \Psi \rangle \rangle &= \langle \langle \Psi' | f_n^* | \Psi \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for  $\langle \Psi' | \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(A)$  and  $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_0(A)$ .

*Proof.* First, we consider the case  $A = \mathbf{Q}$ . Then, we have

$$(4.13) \quad (\bar{B} \langle \Psi' |, B |\Psi\rangle) = \langle \Psi' | \Psi \rangle$$

for  $\langle \Psi' | \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Q})$  and  $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Q})$  (cf. [SN]). Let  $i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_\ell$  be positive integers such that  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$  and  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_\ell$ .

By (1.9), (4.13) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (F_n^* t_{i_1}^{\nu_1} t_{i_2}^{\nu_2} \dots t_{i_k}^{\nu_k}, t_{j_1}^{\mu_1} t_{j_2}^{\mu_2} \dots t_{j_\ell}^{\mu_\ell}) \\
 &= ((nt_{ni_1})^{\nu_1} (nt_{ni_2})^{\nu_2} \dots (nt_{ni_k})^{\nu_k}, t_{j_1}^{\mu_1} t_{j_2}^{\mu_2} \dots t_{j_\ell}^{\mu_\ell}) \\
 &= n^{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_k} \left( \frac{1}{ni_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ni_1}} \right)^{\nu_1} \left( \frac{1}{ni_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ni_2}} \right)^{\nu_2} \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \left( \frac{1}{ni_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ni_k}} \right)^{\nu_k} t_{j_1}^{\mu_1} t_{j_2}^{\mu_2} \dots t_{j_\ell}^{\mu_\ell} |_{t=0} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{i_1} \right)^{\nu_1} \left( \frac{1}{i_2} \right)^{\nu_2} \dots \left( \frac{1}{i_\ell} \right)^{\nu_\ell} \delta_{ni_1, j_1} \dots \delta_{ni_\ell, j_\ell} \cdot \delta_{\nu_1, \mu_1} \\ \dots \delta_{\nu_\ell, \mu_\ell} \cdot \nu_1! \dots \nu_\ell! & \text{if } k = \ell \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq \ell \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{i_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_1}} \right)^{\nu_1} \dots \left( \frac{1}{i_\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i_\ell}} \right)^{\nu_\ell} \{ V_n(t_{j_1}^{\mu_1} \dots t_{j_\ell}^{\mu_\ell}) \} |_{t=0} & \text{if } k = \ell \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq \ell \end{cases} \\
 &= (t_{i_1}^{\nu_1} t_{i_2}^{\nu_2} \dots t_{i_k}^{\nu_k}, V_n^* t_{j_1}^{\mu_1} t_{j_2}^{\mu_2} \dots t_{j_\ell}^{\mu_\ell}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the Schur functions  $\chi_Y(t)$ ,  $\chi_{Y'}(t)$ , we have

$$(F_n^* \chi_Y(t), \chi_{Y'}(t)) = (\chi_Y(t), V_n^* \chi_{Y'}(t)).$$

Therefore, by [KSU, Definition 2.1 and Lemma 3.3], we have

$$(\langle \Psi' | f_n^* \rangle | \Psi) = \langle \Psi' | (v_n^* | \Psi) \rangle$$

for  $\langle \Psi' | \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Z})$  and  $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Z})$ . Hence, over any ring  $A$ , we get the equality in the former part of (4.12). Since  $\langle M | N \rangle = \langle N | M \rangle$  for  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_0$ , the latter part of (4.12) follows from the former part.

q.e.d.

For a positive integer  $n$  and the Young diagram  $Y$  in (4.10), we denote by  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ) the integral part of  $m/n$  (resp.  $m - na$ ), and we set

$$S_i = \{ f_j \mid f_j - j + i \equiv 0 \pmod n \} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

We denote by  $\alpha_i$  the number of elements of  $S_i$ . We consider the following condition for  $\alpha_i$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Condition } (\alpha) \quad & : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_b = a + 1, \\
 & \alpha_{b+1} = \alpha_{b+2} = \dots = \alpha_n = a.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** 1) If  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  does not satisfy Condition  $(\alpha)$ , then the following holds:

$$V_n^*(\chi_Y(t)) = 0.$$

2) Assume that  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  satisfies Condition  $(\alpha)$ . For  $S_i = \{f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_{\alpha_i}}\}$  ( $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{\alpha_i}$ ) let  $Y_i$  be the Young diagram of signature  $((f_{j_1} - j_1 + i)/n, \{(f_{j_2} - j_2 + i)/n\} + 1, \dots, \{(f_{j_{\alpha_i}} - j_{\alpha_i} + i)/n\} + \alpha_i - 1)$ . Then, the following equality holds.

$$V_n^*(\chi_Y(t)) = \pm \chi_{Y_1}(t) \cdot \chi_{Y_2}(t) \cdots \chi_{Y_n}(t).$$

*Proof.* By (1.9) and the definition of the Schur polynomials, we have

$$V_n^*(p_j(t)) = \begin{cases} p_{j/n}(t) & \text{if } n \mid j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by (4.11), we can calculate the action of  $V_n^*$ . We omit the details. q.e.d.

## §5. Zeta functions

In this section, we assume  $A = \mathbf{Q}$ . By (1.9), we have

$$\tilde{V}_n^* \circ \tilde{F}_n^* : t_i \mapsto nt_i.$$

Therefore, by (4.4),  $v_n^* \circ f_n^*$  is the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Q})$  (resp.  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Q})$ ) corresponding to the multiplication by  $n$  on  $\mathbf{G}_a^\infty$ . Now, we set

$$(5.1) \quad T(n) = f_n^* \circ v_n^* \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

**Proposition 5.1.** The operators  $T(n)$ 's ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) satisfy the following properties:

- (i)  $(\langle \Psi' | T(n) | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi' | T(n) | \Psi \rangle)$  for  $\langle \Psi' | \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Q}), | \Psi \rangle \in \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Q})$ ,
- (ii)  $T(m)T(n) = T(n)T(m)$ ,
- (iii) If  $m$  is prime to  $n$ , then  $T(mn) = T(m)T(n)$ ,
- (iv) If the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$  is equal to  $d$ , then

$$T(m)T(n) = dT\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right).$$

*Proof.* These properties follow from (1.9), (4.12) and isomorphisms (4.4). q.e.d.

**Definition 5.2.** We formally set

$$(5.2) \quad z(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} T(n)n^{-s}.$$

Using (4.4), we set

$$(5.3) \quad e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) = B^{-1}(t_{i_1}^{\nu_1} t_{i_2}^{\nu_2} \dots t_{i_\ell}^{\nu_\ell}),$$

where  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell$  are positive integers. We denote by  $\mu$  the greatest common divisor of  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$ , and we set  $\nu = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_\ell$ .

**Definition 5.3.**  $Z_\mu^\nu(s) = \sum_{n|\mu} n^{\nu-s}.$

*Remark 5.4.* The functions  $Z_\mu^\nu(s)$  are called divisor functions (cf. [A]).  $Z_\mu^\nu(s)$  satisfies the following properties.

- (i) Let  $\mu = p_1^{n_1} \dots p_r^{n_r}$  be the factorization into prime numbers. Then, we have an Euler product expansion

$$Z_\mu^\nu(s) = (1 + p_1^{\nu-s} + \dots + p_1^{n_1(\nu-s)}) \dots (1 + p_r^{\nu-s} + \dots + p_r^{n_r(\nu-s)}).$$

- (ii) We have a functional equation

$$Z_\mu^\nu(-s) = \mu^{-\nu+s} Z_\mu^\nu(s).$$

- (iii) If  $\mu_1$  is prime to  $\mu_2$ , we have the multiplicativity

$$Z_{\mu_1}^\nu(s) Z_{\mu_2}^\nu(s) = Z_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^\nu(s).$$

**Theorem 5.5.** Under the above notations, we have

$$z(s)e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) = Z_\mu^\nu(s)e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell).$$

*Proof.* Since by (1.9) we have

$$(5.4) \quad f_n^* \circ v_n^* e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) = \begin{cases} n^\nu e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) & \text{if } n \mid \mu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the theorem follows from (4.4) and the definition of  $z(s)$ .

q.e.d.

Now, we set

$$(5.5) \quad S(n) = \sum_{m|n} f_m^* \circ v_m^* \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

**Proposition 5.6.**  $S(n)$ 's ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) satisfy the following properties:

- (i)  $(\langle \Psi' | S(n) | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi' | (S(n) | \Psi \rangle)$  for  $\langle \Psi' | \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0(\mathbf{Q}), | \Psi \rangle \in \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{Q})$ ,
- (ii)  $S(m)S(n) = S(n)S(m)$ ,
- (iii)  $S(m)S(n) = S(mn)$  if  $m$  is prime to  $n$ .

*Proof.* This proposition follows from Proposition 5.1. q.e.d.

**Definition 5.7.** We formally set

$$Z(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S(n)n^{-s}.$$

We denote by  $\zeta(s)$  the Riemann zeta function.

**Theorem 5.8.** Under the above notations, we have

$$Z(s)e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) = \zeta(s)Z_\mu^\nu(s)e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell).$$

*Proof.* By (5.4), we have

$$S(n)e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) = \left( \sum_{m|(n, \mu)} m^\nu \right) e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell),$$

where  $(n, \mu)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and  $\mu$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(s)e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m|(n, \mu)} m^\nu \right) n^{-s} \right\} e(i_1, \dots, i_\ell; \nu_1, \dots, \nu_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\zeta(s)Z_\mu^\nu(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m|(n, \mu)} m^\nu \right) n^{-s}.$$

q.e.d.

*Remark 5.9.* By the property in Theorem 5.1 (iii) (resp. Theorem 5.6 (iii)), we see that the eigen-values of the operator  $z(s)$  (resp.  $Z(s)$ ) have Euler product expansions as in the case of the zeta functions associated with Hecke operators (cf. [S]).

### References

- [A] Apostol, T.M., "Introduction to Analytic Number Theory", Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [ABMNV] Alvarez-Gaumé, L., J.-B. Bost, G. Moore, P. Nelson and C. Vafa, Bosonization of higher genus Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **112** (1987), 503-552.
- [AGR] Alvarez-Gaumé, L., C. Gomez and C. Reina, Loop groups, grassmannians and string theory, *Phys. Lett.*, **190 B** (1987), 55-62.
- [BMS] Beilinson, A.A., Yu.I. Manin and V.V. Shechtman, Localization of the Virasoro and Neveu-Schwartz algebra, preprint Moscow (1986).
- [BS] Beilinson, A.A. and V.V. Shechtman, Determinant bundles and Virasoro algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **118** (1988), 651-701.
- [C] Cartier, P., Groupes formels associés aux anneaux de Witt généralisés, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **265 A** (1967), 49-52.
- [CKK] De Concini, C., V.G. Kac and D.A. Kazhdan, Boson-fermion correspondence over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , preprint (1988).
- [DJKM] Date, E., M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, "Proc. of RIMS Symp. on Non-linear Integrable Systems — Classical Theory and Quantum Theory, Kyoto, Japan (M.Jimbo and T.Miwa, eds.)", World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore, 1983, pp. 39-119.
- [EO] Eguchi, T. and H. Ooguri, Chiral bosonization on a Riemann surface, *Phys. Letter*, **187 B** (1987), 127-134.
- [Hr] Hartshorne, R., "Algebraic Geometry", Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg-Berlin, 1977.
- [Hz] Hazewinkel, M., "Formal Groups and Applications", Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London, 1978.
- [IMO] Ishibashi, N., Y. Matsuo and H. Ooguri, Soliton equation and free fermions on Riemann surfaces, *Mod. Phys. Lett.*, **A2** (1987), 119-131.
- [KNTY] Kawamoto, N., Y. Namikawa, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada, Geometric realization of conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **116** (1988), 247-308.
- [KSU] Katsura, T., Y. Shimizu and K. Ueno, New bosonization and conformal field theory over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **121** (1988), 603-622.

- [M] Mumford, D., "Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface",  
Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1966.
- [Sa] Sato, M. and M. Noumi, "Soliton Equation and Universal Grass-  
mann Manifold", 1984.
- [S] Shimura, G., "Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Auto-  
morphic Functions", Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton  
Univ. Press, 1971.

Toshiyuki Katsura  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Ochanomizu University*  
*Tokyo, 112*  
*Japan*

Yuji Shimizu  
*Mathematical Institute*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Tohoku University*  
*Sendai, 980*  
*Japan*  
and  
*Japan-U.S. Mathematical Institute (JAMI)*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*The Johns Hopkins University*  
*Baltimore, Maryland 21218*  
*U.S.A.*

Kenji Ueno  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Kyoto University*  
*Kyoto, 606*  
*Japan*