

## CHAPTER 6

# Topological Groups and Invariant Measures

The language of vector spaces has been used in the previous chapters to describe a variety of properties of random vectors and their distributions. Apart from the discussion in Chapter 4, not much has been said concerning the structure of parametric probability models for distributions of random vectors. Groups of transformations acting on spaces provide a very useful framework in which to generate and describe many parametric statistical models. Furthermore, the derivation of induced distributions of a variety of functions of random vectors is often simplified and clarified using the existence and uniqueness of invariant measures on locally compact topological groups. The ideas and techniques presented in this chapter permeate the remainder of this book.

Most of the groups occurring in multivariate analysis are groups of nonsingular linear transformations or related groups of affine transformations. Examples of matrix groups are given in [Section 6.1](#) to illustrate the definition of a group. Also, examples of quotient spaces that arise naturally in multivariate analysis are discussed.

In [Section 6.2](#), locally compact topological groups are defined. The existence and uniqueness theorem concerning invariant measures (integrals) on these groups is stated and the matrix groups introduced in [Section 6.1](#) are used as examples. Continuous homomorphisms and their relation to relatively invariant measures are described with matrix groups again serving as examples. Some of the material in this section and the next is modeled after Nachbin (1965). Rather than repeat the proofs given in Nachbin (1965), we have chosen to illustrate the theory with numerous examples.

[Section 6.3](#) is concerned with the existence and uniqueness of relatively invariant measures on spaces that are acted on transitively by groups of

transformations. In fact, this situation is probably more relevant to statistical problems than that discussed in [Section 6.2](#). Of course, the examples are selected with statistical applications in mind.

## 6.1. GROUPS

We begin with the definition of a group and then give examples of matrix groups.

**Definition 6.1.** A group  $(G, \circ)$  is a set  $G$  together with a binary operation  $\circ$  such that the following properties hold for all elements in  $G$ :

- (i)  $(g_1 \circ g_2) \circ g_3 = g_1 \circ (g_2 \circ g_3)$ .
- (ii) There is a unique element of  $G$ , denoted by  $e$ , such that  $g \circ e = e \circ g = g$  for all  $g \in G$ . The element  $e$  is the *identity* in  $G$ .
- (iii) For each  $g \in G$ , there is a unique element in  $G$ , denoted by  $g^{-1}$ , such that  $g \circ g^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ g = e$ . The element  $g^{-1}$  is the *inverse* of  $g$ .

Henceforth, the binary operation is ordinarily deleted and we write  $g_1 g_2$  for  $g_1 \circ g_2$ . Also, parentheses are usually not used in expressions involving more than two group elements as these expressions are unambiguously defined in (i). A group  $G$  is called *commutative* if  $g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . It is clear that a vector space  $V$  is a commutative group where the group operation is addition, the identity element is  $0 \in V$ , and the inverse of  $x$  is  $-x$ .

◆ **Example 6.1.** If  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  is a finite dimensional inner product space, it has been shown that the set of all orthogonal transformations  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  is a group. The group operation is the composition of linear transformations, the identity element is the identity linear transformation, and if  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ , the inverse of  $\Gamma$  is  $\Gamma'$ . When  $V$  is the coordinate space  $R^n$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , which is just the group of  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices. ◆

◆ **Example 6.2.** Consider the coordinate space  $R^p$  and let  $G_T^+$  be the set of all  $p \times p$  lower triangular matrices with positive diagonal elements. The group operation in  $G_T^+$  is taken to be matrix multiplication. It has been verified in Chapter 5 that  $G_T^+$  is a group, the identity in  $G_T^+$  is the  $p \times p$  identity matrix, and if  $T \in G_T^+$ ,  $T^{-1}$  is

just the matrix inverse of  $T$ . Similarly, the set of  $p \times p$  upper triangular matrices with positive diagonal elements  $G_U^+$  is a group with the group operation of matrix multiplication.  $\blacklozenge$

- $\blacklozenge$  **Example 6.3.** Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space and let  $Gl(V)$  be the set of all nonsingular linear transformations of  $V$  onto  $V$ . The group operation in  $Gl(V)$  is defined to be composition of linear transformations. With this operation, it is easy to verify that  $Gl(V)$  is a group, the identity in  $Gl(V)$  is the identity linear transformation, and if  $g \in Gl(V)$ ,  $g^{-1}$  is the inverse linear transformation of  $g$ . The group  $Gl(V)$  is often called the *general linear group* of  $V$ . When  $V$  is the coordinate space  $R^n$ ,  $Gl(V)$  is denoted by  $Gl_n$ . Clearly,  $Gl_n$  is just the set of  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrices and the group operation is matrix multiplication.  $\blacklozenge$

It should be noted that  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  is a subset of  $Gl(V)$  and the group operation in  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  is that of  $Gl(V)$ . Further,  $G_T^+$  and  $G_U^+$  are subsets of  $Gl_n$  with the inherited group operations. This observation leads to the definition of a subgroup.

**Definition 6.2.** If  $(G, \circ)$  is a group and  $H$  is a subset of  $G$  such that  $(H, \circ)$  is also a group, then  $(H, \circ)$  is a *subgroup* of  $(G, \circ)$ .

In all of the above examples, each element of the group is also a one-to-one function defined on a set. Further, the group operation is in fact function composition. To isolate the essential features of this situation, we define the following.

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $(G, \circ)$  be a group and let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a set. The group  $(G, \circ)$  *acts on the left* of  $\mathfrak{X}$  if to each pair  $(g, x) \in G \times \mathfrak{X}$ , there corresponds a unique element of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , denoted by  $gx$ , such that

- (i)  $g_1(g_2x) = (g_1 \circ g_2)x$ .
- (ii)  $ex = x$ .

The content of [Definition 6.3](#) is that there is a function on  $G \times \mathfrak{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$  whose value at  $(g, x)$  is denoted by  $gx$  and under this mapping,  $(g_1, g_2x)$  and  $(g_1 \circ g_2, x)$  are sent into the same element. Furthermore,  $(e, x)$  is mapped to  $x$ . Thus each  $g \in G$  can be thought of as a one-to-one onto function from  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$  and the group operation in  $G$  is function composition. To make this claim precise, for each  $g \in G$ , define  $t_g$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$  by  $t_g(x) = gx$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** Suppose  $G$  acts on the left of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then each  $t_g$  is a one-to-one onto function from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  and:

- (i)  $t_{g_1} t_{g_2} = t_{g_1 \circ g_2}$ .
- (ii)  $t_g^{-1} = t_{g^{-1}}$ .

*Proof.* To show  $t_g$  is onto, consider  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $t_g(g^{-1}x) = g(g^{-1}x) = (g \circ g^{-1})x = ex = x$  where (i) and (ii) of [Definition 6.3](#) have been used. Thus  $t_g$  is onto. If  $t_g(x_1) = t_g(x_2)$ , then  $gx_1 = gx_2$  so

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= ex_1 = (g^{-1} \circ g)x_1 = g^{-1}(gx_1) = g^{-1}(gx_2) \\ &= (g^{-1} \circ g)x_2 = ex_2 = x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $t_g$  is one-to-one. Assertion (i) follows immediately from (i) of [Definition 6.3](#). Since  $t_e$  is the identity function on  $\mathcal{X}$  and (i) implies that

$$t_g t_{g^{-1}} = t_{g^{-1}} t_g = t_e,$$

we have  $t_{g^{-1}} = t_g^{-1}$ . □

Henceforth, we dispense with  $t_g$  and simply regard each  $g$  as a function on  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  where function composition is group composition and  $e$  is the identity function on  $\mathcal{X}$ . All of the examples considered thus far are groups of functions on a vector space to itself and the group operation is defined to be function composition. In particular,  $GL(V)$  is the set of all one-to-one onto linear transformations of  $V$  to  $V$  and the group operation is function composition. In the next example, the motivation for the definition of the group operation is provided by thinking of each group element as a function.

- ◆ **Example 6.4.** Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space and consider the set  $Al(V)$  that is the collection of all pairs  $(A, x)$  with  $A \in GL(V)$  and  $x \in V$ . Each pair  $(A, x)$  defines a one-to-one onto function from  $V$  to  $V$  by

$$(A, x)v = Av + x, \quad v \in V.$$

The composition of  $(A_1, x_1)$  and  $(A_2, x_2)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1, x_1)(A_2, x_2)v &= (A_1, x_1)(A_2v + x_2) = A_1A_2v + A_1x_2 + x_1 \\ &= (A_1A_2, A_1x_2 + x_1)v. \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $(I, 0) \in Al(V)$  is the identity function on  $V$  and the inverse of  $(A, x)$  is  $(A^{-1}, -A^{-1}x)$ . It is now an easy matter to verify that  $Al(V)$  is a group where the group operation in  $Al(V)$  is

$$(A_1, x_1)(A_2, x_2) \equiv (A_1A_2, A_1x_2 + x_1).$$

This group  $Al(V)$  is called the *affine group* of  $V$ . When  $V$  is the coordinate space  $R^n$ ,  $Al(V)$  is denoted by  $Al_n$ . ◆

An interesting and useful subgroup of  $Al(V)$  is given in the next example.

- ◆ **Example 6.5.** Suppose  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space and let  $M$  be a subspace of  $V$ . Let  $H$  be the collection of all pairs  $(A, x)$  where  $x \in M$ ,  $A(M) \subseteq M$ , and  $(A, x) \in Al(V)$ . The group operation in  $H$  is that inherited from  $Al(V)$ . It is a routine calculation to show that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $Al(V)$ . As a particular case, suppose that  $V$  is  $R^n$  and  $M$  is the  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $R^n$  consisting of those vectors  $x \in R^n$  whose last  $n - m$  coordinates are zero. An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A \in Gl_n$  satisfies  $AM \subseteq M$  iff

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11}$  is  $m \times m$  and nonsingular,  $A_{12}$  is  $m \times (n - m)$ , and  $A_{22}$  is  $(n - m) \times (n - m)$  and nonsingular. Thus  $H$  consists of all pairs  $(A, x)$  where  $A \in Gl_n$  has the above form and  $x$  has its last  $n - m$  coordinates zero. ◆

- ◆ **Example 6.6.** In this example, we consider two finite groups that arise naturally in statistical problems. Consider the space  $R^n$  and let  $P$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix that permutes the coordinates of a vector  $x \in R^n$ . Thus in each row and in each column of  $P$ , there is a single element that is one and the remaining elements are zero. Conversely, any such matrix permutes the coordinates of vectors in  $R^n$ . The set  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  of all such matrices is called the group of *permutation matrices*. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  is a group under matrix multiplication and  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  has  $n!$  elements. Also, let  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  diagonal matrices whose diagonal elements are plus or minus one. Obviously,  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  is a group under matrix multiplication and  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  has  $2^n$  elements. The group  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  is called the group of *sign changes* on  $R^n$ . A bit of reflection shows that both  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  are subgroups of  $\mathfrak{O}_n$ . Now, let

$H$  be the set

$$H = \{PD \mid P \in \mathfrak{P}_n, D \in \mathfrak{D}_n\}.$$

The claim is that  $H$  is a group under matrix multiplication. To see this, first note that for  $P \in \mathfrak{P}_n$  and  $D \in \mathfrak{D}_n$ ,  $PDP'$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{D}_n$ . Thus if  $P_1D_1$  and  $P_2D_2$  are in  $H$ , then

$$P_1D_1P_2D_2 = P_1P_2P_2'D_1P_2D_2 = P_3D_3 \in H$$

where  $P_3 = P_1P_2$  and  $D_3 = P_2'D_1P_2D_2$ . Also,

$$(PD)^{-1} = DP' = P'PDP' \in H.$$

Therefore  $H$  is a group and clearly has  $2^n n!$  elements. ◆

Suppose that  $G$  is a group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . The quotient space  $G/H$ , to be defined next, is often a useful representation of spaces that arise in later considerations. The subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  defines an equivalence relation in  $G$  by  $g_1 \approx g_2$  iff  $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in H$ . That  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation is easily verified using the assumption that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Also, it is not difficult to show that  $g_1 \approx g_2$  iff the set  $g_1H = \{g_1h \mid h \in H\}$  is equal to the set  $g_2H$ . Thus the set of points in  $G$  equivalent to  $g_1$  is the set  $g_1H$ .

**Definition 6.4.** If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , the quotient space  $G/H$  is defined to be the set whose elements are  $gH$  for  $g \in G$ .

The quotient space  $G/H$  is obviously the set of equivalence classes (defined by  $H$ ) of elements of  $G$ . Under certain conditions on  $H$ , the quotient space  $G/H$  is in fact a group under a natural definition of a group operation.

**Definition 6.5.** A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called a *normal subgroup* if  $g^{-1}Hg = H$  for all  $g \in G$ .

When  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $g_iH \in G/H$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1Hg_2H &\equiv \{g \mid g = g_1h_1g_2h_2; h_1, h_2 \in H\} \\ &= g_1g_2g_2^{-1}Hg_2H = g_1g_2HH = g_1g_2H \end{aligned}$$

since  $HH = H$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** When  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , the quotient space  $G/H$  is a group under the operation

$$(g_1H)(g_2H) \equiv g_1g_2H.$$

*Proof.* This is a routine calculation and is left to the reader.  $\square$

◆ **Example 6.7.** Let  $Al(V)$  be the affine group of the vector space  $V$ . Then

$$H \equiv \{(I, x) | x \in V\}$$

is easily shown to be a subgroup of  $G$ , since  $(I, x_1)(I, x_2) = (I, x_1 + x_2)$ . To show  $H$  is normal in  $Al(V)$ , consider  $(A, x) \in Al(V)$  and  $(I, x_0) \in H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (A, x)^{-1}(I, x_0)(A, x) &= (A^{-1}, -A^{-1}x)(A, x + x_0) \\ &= (I, A^{-1}x + A^{-1}x_0 - A^{-1}x) \\ &= (I, A^{-1}x_0), \end{aligned}$$

which is an element of  $H$ . Thus  $g^{-1}Hg \subseteq H$  for all  $g \in Al(V)$ . But if  $(I, x_0) \in H$  and  $(A, x) \in Al(V)$ , then

$$(A, x)^{-1}(I, Ax_0)(A, x) = (I, x_0)$$

so  $g^{-1}Hg = H$ , for  $g \in Al(V)$ . Therefore,  $H$  is normal in  $Al(V)$ . To describe the group  $Al(V)/H$ , we characterize the equivalence relation defined by  $H$ . For  $(A_i, x_i) \in Al(V)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1, x_1)^{-1}(A_2, x_2) &= (A_1^{-1}, -A_1^{-1}x_1)(A_2, x_2) \\ &= (A_1^{-1}A_2, A_1^{-1}x_2 - A_1^{-1}x_1) \end{aligned}$$

is an element of  $H$  iff  $A_1^{-1}A_2 = I$  or  $A_1 = A_2$ . Thus  $(A_1, x_1)$  is equivalent to  $(A_2, x_2)$  iff  $A_1 = A_2$ . From each equivalence class, select the element  $(A, 0)$ . Then it is clear that the quotient group  $Al(V)/H$  can be identified with the group

$$K = \{(A, 0) | A \in Gl(V)\}$$

where the group operation is

$$(A_1, 0)(A_2, 0) = (A_1A_2, 0). \quad \blacklozenge$$

Now, suppose the group  $G$  acts on the left of the set  $\mathcal{X}$ . We say  $G$  acts *transitively* on  $\mathcal{X}$  if, for each  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , there exists a  $g \in G$  such that  $gx_1 = x_2$ . When  $G$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{X}$ , we want to show that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathcal{X}$  and a certain quotient space. Fix an element  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  and let

$$H = \{h \mid hx_0 = x_0, h \in G\}.$$

The subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called the *isotropy subgroup* of  $x_0$ . Now, define the function  $\tau$  on  $G/H$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  by  $\tau(gH) = gx_0$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** The function  $\tau$  is one-to-one and onto. Further,

$$\tau(g_1gH) = g_1\tau(gH).$$

*Proof.* The definition of  $\tau$  clearly makes sense as  $ghx_0 = gx_0$  for all  $h \in H$ . Also,  $\tau$  is an onto function since  $G$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\tau(g_1H) = \tau(g_2H)$ , then  $g_1x_0 = g_2x_0$  so  $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in H$ . Therefore,  $g_1H = g_2H$  so  $\tau$  is one-to-one. The rest is obvious.  $\square$

If  $H$  is any subgroup of  $G$ , then the group  $G$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{X} \equiv G/H$  where the group action is

$$g_1(gH) \equiv g_1gH.$$

Thus we have a complete description of the spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  that are acted on transitively by  $G$ . Namely, these spaces are simply relabelings of the quotient spaces  $G/H$  where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Further, the action of  $g$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponds to the action of  $G$  on the quotient space described in [Proposition 6.3](#). A few examples illustrate these ideas.

- ◆ **Example 6.8.** Take the set  $\mathcal{X}$  to be  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ —the set of  $n \times p$  real matrices  $\Psi$  that satisfy  $\Psi^t\Psi = I_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . The group  $G = \mathcal{O}_n$  of all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices acts on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$  by matrix multiplication. That is, if  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ , then  $\Gamma\Psi$  is the matrix product of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Psi$ . To show that this group action is transitive, consider  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ . Then, the columns of  $\Psi_1$  form a set of  $p$  orthonormal



vectors in  $R^n$  as do the columns of  $\Psi_2$ . By Proposition 1.30, there exists an  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix  $\Gamma$  that maps the columns of  $\Psi_1$  into the columns of  $\Psi_2$ . Thus  $\Gamma\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$  so  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is transitive on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ . A convenient choice of  $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{p,n}$  to define the map  $\tau$  is

$$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where 0 is a block of  $(n-p) \times p$  zeroes. It is not difficult to show that the subgroup  $H = \{\Gamma | \Gamma x_0 = x_0, \Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n\}$  is

$$H = \left\{ \Gamma | \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma_{22} \in \mathcal{O}_{(n-p)} \right\}.$$

The function  $\tau$  is

$$\tau(\Gamma H) = \Gamma x_0 = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the  $n \times p$  matrix consisting of the first  $p$  columns of  $\Gamma$ . This gives an obvious representation of  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ . ◆

- ◆ **Example 6.9.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all  $p \times p$  positive definite matrices and let  $G = Gl_p$ . The transitive group action is given by  $A(x) = AxA'$  where  $A$  is a  $p \times p$  nonsingular matrix,  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  $A'$  is the transpose of  $A$ . Choose  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  to be  $I_p$ . Obviously,  $H = \mathcal{O}_p$  and the map  $\tau$  is given by

$$\tau(AH) = A(x_0) = AA'.$$

The reader should compare this example with the assertion of Proposition 1.31. ◆

- ◆ **Example 6.10.** In this example, take  $\mathcal{X}$  to be the set of all  $n \times p$  real matrices of rank  $p$ ,  $p \leq n$ . Consider the group  $G$  defined by

$$G = \{g | g = \Gamma \otimes T, \Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n, T \in G_T^+\}$$

where  $G_T^+$  is the group of all  $p \times p$  lower triangular matrices with positive diagonal elements. Of course,  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product and group composition is

$$(\Gamma_1 \otimes T_1)(\Gamma_2 \otimes T_2) = (\Gamma_1\Gamma_2) \otimes (T_1T_2).$$

The action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is

$$(\Gamma \otimes T)X = \Gamma XT', \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

To show  $G$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , consider  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}$  and write  $X_i = \Psi_i U_i$ , where  $\Psi_i \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$  and  $U_i \in G_U^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (see Proposition 5.2). From [Example 6.8](#), there is a  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n$  such that  $\Gamma \Psi_1 = \Psi_2$ . Let  $T' = U_1^{-1} U_2$  so

$$\Gamma X_1 T' = \Gamma \Psi_1 U_1 U_1^{-1} U_2 = \Psi_2 U_2 = X_2.$$

Choose  $X_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$  to be

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as in [Example 6.8](#). Then the equation  $(\Gamma \otimes T)X_0 = X_0$  implies that

$$I_p = X_0' X_0 = ((\Gamma \otimes T)X_0)' (\Gamma \otimes T)X_0 = T X_0' \Gamma' T X_0 T' = T T'$$

so  $T = I_p$  by Proposition 5.4. Then the equation  $(\Gamma \otimes I_p)X_0 = X_0$  is exactly the equation occurring in [Example 6.8](#) for elements of the subgroup  $H$ . Thus for this example,

$$H = \left\{ \Gamma \otimes I_p \mid \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma_{22} \in \mathcal{O}_{n-p} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tau((\Gamma \otimes T)H) = (\Gamma \otimes T)X_0 = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} T'$$

is the representation for elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Obviously,

$$\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Psi \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$$

and the representation of elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$  via the map  $\tau$  is precisely the representation established in Proposition 5.2. This representation of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is used on a number of occasions. ◆

## 6.2. INVARIANT MEASURES AND INTEGRALS

Before beginning a discussion of invariant integrals on locally compact topological groups, we first outline the basic results of integration theory on locally compact topological spaces. Consider a set  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hausdorff topology for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 6.6.** The topological space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$  is a *locally compact space* if for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , there exists a compact neighborhood of  $x$ .

Most of the groups introduced in the examples of the previous section are subsets of the space  $R^m$ , for some  $m$ , and when these groups are given the topology of  $R^m$ , they are locally compact spaces. The verification of this is not difficult and is left to the reader. If  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$  is a locally compact space,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  denotes the set of all continuous real-valued functions that have compact support. Thus  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  if  $f$  is a continuous and there is a compact set  $K$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin K$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  is a real vector space with addition and scalar multiplication being defined in the obvious way.

**Definition 6.7.** A real-valued function  $J$  defined on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  is called an *integral* if:

- (i)  $J(\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2) = \alpha_1 J(f_1) + \alpha_2 J(f_2)$  for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ .
- (ii)  $J(f) \geq 0$  if  $f \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ .

An integral  $J$  is simply a linear function on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  that has the additional property that  $J(f)$  is nonnegative when  $f \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the compact subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\mu(K) < +\infty$  for each compact set  $K$ , it is clear that

$$J(f) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) \mu(dx)$$

defines an integral on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . Such measures  $\mu$  are called *Radon measures*. Conversely, given an integral  $J$ , there is a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\mu(K) < +\infty$  for all compact sets  $K$  and

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) \mu(dx)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . For a proof of this result, see Segal and Kunze (1978,

Chapter 5). In the special case when  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{J})$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact space—that is,  $\mathcal{X} = \cup_1^\infty K_i$  where  $K_i$  is compact—then the correspondence between integrals  $J$  and measures  $\mu$  that satisfy  $\mu(K) < +\infty$  for  $K$  compact is one-to-one (see Segal and Kunze, 1978). All of the examples considered here are  $\sigma$ -compact spaces and we freely identify integrals with Radon measures and vice versa.

Now, assume  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{J})$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact space. If an integral  $J$  on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  corresponds to a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , then  $J$  has a natural extension to the class of all  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ -measurable and  $\mu$ -integrable functions. Namely,  $J$  is extended by the equation

$$J(f) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) \mu(dx)$$

for all  $f$  for which the right-hand side is defined. Obviously, the extension of  $J$  is unique and is determined by the values of  $J$  on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . In many of the examples in this chapter, we use  $J$  to denote both an integral on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  and its extension. With this convention,  $J$  is defined for any  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  measurable function that is  $\mu$ -integrable where  $\mu$  corresponds to  $J$ .

Suppose  $G$  is a group and  $\mathcal{J}$  is a topology on  $G$ .

**Definition 6.8.** Given the topology  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $G$ ,  $(G, \mathcal{J})$  is a *topological group* if the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow xy^{-1}$  is continuous from  $G \times G$  to  $G$ . If  $(G, \mathcal{J})$  is a topological group and  $(G, \mathcal{J})$  is a locally compact topological space,  $(G, \mathcal{J})$  is called a *locally compact topological group*.

In what follows, all groups under consideration are locally compact topological groups. Examples of such groups include the vector space  $R^n$ , the general linear group  $GL_n$ , the affine group  $Al_n$ , and  $G_T^+$ . The verification that these groups are locally compact topological groups with the Euclidean space topology is left to the reader.

If  $(G, \mathcal{J})$  is a locally compact topological group,  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  denotes the real vector space of all continuous functions on  $G$  that have compact support. For  $s \in G$  and  $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ , the *left translate* of  $f$  by  $s$ , denoted by  $sf$ , is defined by  $(sf)(x) \equiv f(s^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \in G$ . Clearly,  $sf \in \mathcal{K}(G)$  for all  $s \in G$ . Similarly, the *right translate* of  $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ , denoted by  $fs$ , is  $(fs)(x) \equiv f(xs^{-1})$  and  $fs \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ .

**Definition 6.9.** An integral  $J \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  is *left invariant* if  $J(sf) = J(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$  and  $s \in G$ . An integral  $J \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  is *right invariant* if  $J(fs) = J(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$  and  $s \in G$ .

The basic properties of left and right invariant integrals are summarized in the following two results.

**Theorem 6.1.** If  $G$  is a locally compact topological group, then there exist left and right invariant integrals on  $\mathfrak{K}(G)$ . If  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are left (right) invariant integrals on  $\mathfrak{K}(G)$ , then  $J_2 = cJ_1$  for some positive constant  $c$ .

*Proof.* See Nachbin (1965, Section 4, Chapter 2).

**Theorem 6.2.** Suppose that

$$J(f) \equiv \int f(x)\mu(dx)$$

is a left invariant integral on  $\mathfrak{K}(G)$ . Then there exists a unique continuous function  $\Delta_r$  mapping  $G$  into  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\int f(xs^{-1})\mu(dx) = \Delta_r(s) \int f(x)\mu(dx)$$

for all  $s \in G$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(G)$ . The function  $\Delta_r$ , called the *right-hand modulus* of  $G$ , also satisfies:

- (i)  $\Delta_r(st) = \Delta_r(s)\Delta_r(t)$ ,  $s, t \in G$ .
- (ii)  $\int f(x^{-1})\mu(dx) = \int f(x)\Delta_r(x^{-1})\mu(dx)$ .

Further, the integral

$$J_1(f) = \int f(x)\Delta_r(x^{-1})\mu(dx)$$

is right invariant.

*Proof.* See Nachbin (1965, Section 5, Chapter 2).

The two results above establish the existence and uniqueness of right and left invariant integrals and show how to construct right invariant integrals from left invariant integrals via the right-hand modulus  $\Delta_r$ . The right-hand modulus is a continuous homomorphism from  $G$  into  $(0, \infty)$ —that is,  $\Delta_r$  is continuous and satisfies  $\Delta_r(st) = \Delta_r(s)\Delta_r(t)$ , for  $s, t \in G$ . (The definition of a homomorphism from one group to another group is given shortly.)

Before presenting examples of invariant integrals, it is convenient to introduce relatively left (and right) invariant integrals. [Proposition 6.4](#), given

below, provides a useful method for constructing invariant integrals from relatively invariant integrals.

**Definition 6.10.** A nonzero integral  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{K}(G)$  given by

$$J(f) = \int f(x)m(dx), \quad f \in \mathfrak{K}(G),$$

is called *relatively left invariant* if there exists a function  $\chi$  on  $G$  to  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\int f(s^{-1}x)m(dx) = \chi(s) \int f(x)m(dx)$$

for all  $s \in G$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(G)$ . The function  $\chi$  is the *multiplier* for  $J$ .

It can be shown that any multiplier  $\chi$  is continuous (see Nachbin, 1965). Further, if  $J$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ , then for  $s, t \in G$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(st) \int f(x)m(dx) &= \int f((st)^{-1}x)m(dx) = \int (tf)(s^{-1}x)m(dx) \\ &= \chi(s) \int (tf)(x)m(dx) = \chi(s) \int f(t^{-1}x)m(dx) \\ &= \chi(s)\chi(t) \int f(x)m(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\chi(st) = \chi(s)\chi(t)$ . Hence all multipliers are continuous and are homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $(0, \infty)$ . For any such homomorphism  $\chi$ , it is clear that  $\chi(e) = 1$  and  $\chi(s^{-1}) = 1/\chi(s)$ . Also,  $\chi(G) = \{\chi(s) | s \in G\}$  is a subgroup of the group  $(0, \infty)$  with multiplication as the group operation.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let  $\chi$  be a continuous homomorphism on  $G$  to  $(0, \infty)$ .

(i) If  $J(f) = \int f(x)\mu(dx)$  is left invariant on  $\mathfrak{K}(G)$ , then

$$J_1(f) \equiv \int f(x)\chi(x)\mu(dx)$$

is a relatively left invariant integral on  $\mathfrak{K}(G)$  with multiplier  $\chi$ .

- (ii) If  $J_1(f) = \int f(x)m(dx)$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ , then

$$J(f) \equiv \int f(x)\chi(x^{-1})m(dx)$$

is a left invariant integral.

*Proof.* The proof is a calculation. For (i),

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(sf) &= \int (sf)(x)\chi(x)\mu(dx) = \int f(s^{-1}x)\chi(ss^{-1}x)\mu(dx) \\ &= \chi(s)\int f(s^{-1}x)\chi(s^{-1}x)\mu(dx) = \chi(s)\int f(x)\chi(x)\mu(dx) \\ &= \chi(s)J_1(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $J_1$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ . For (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} J(sf) &= \int f(s^{-1}x)\chi(x^{-1})m(dx) = \int f(s^{-1}x)\chi(s^{-1}sx^{-1})m(dx) \\ &= \chi(s^{-1})\int f(s^{-1}x)\chi((s^{-1}x)^{-1})m(dx) \\ &= \chi(s^{-1})\chi(s)\int f(x)\chi(x^{-1})m(dx) \\ &= \int f(x)\chi(x^{-1})m(dx) = J(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $J$  is a left invariant integral and the proof is complete.  $\square$

If  $J$  is a relatively left invariant integral with multiplier  $\chi$ , say

$$J(x) = \int f(x)m(dx),$$

the measure  $m$  is also called relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ . A nonzero integral  $J_1$  on  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is *relatively right invariant* with multiplier  $\chi$  if  $J_1(fs) = \chi(s)J_1(f)$ . Using the results given above, if  $J_1$  is relatively right invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ , then  $J_1$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier

$\chi/\Delta_r$ , where  $\Delta_r$  is the right-hand modulus of  $G$ . Thus all relatively right and left invariant integrals can be constructed from a given relatively left (or right) invariant integral once all the continuous homomorphisms are known. Also, if a relatively left invariant measure  $m$  can be found and its multiplier  $\chi$  calculated, then a left invariant measure is given by  $m/\chi$  according to [Proposition 6.4](#). This observation is used in the examples below.

- ◆ **Example 6.11.** Consider the group  $Gl_n$  of all nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrices. Let  $ds$  denote Lebesgue measure on  $Gl_n$ . Since  $Gl_n = \{s | \det(s) \neq 0\}$ ,  $Gl_n$  is a nonempty open subset of  $n^2$ -dimensional Euclidean space and hence has positive Lebesgue measure. For  $f \in \mathcal{K}(Gl_n)$ , let

$$J(f) = \int f(t) dt.$$

To find a left invariant measure on  $Gl_n$ , it is now shown that  $J(sf) = |\det(s)|^n J(f)$  so  $J$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi(s) = |\det(s)|^n$ . From Proposition 5.10, the Jacobian of the transformation  $g(t) = st$ ,  $s \in Gl_n$ , is  $|\det(s)|^n$ . Thus

$$J(sf) = \int f(s^{-1}t) dt = |\det(s)|^n \int f(t) dt = |\det(s)|^n J(f).$$

From [Proposition 6.4](#), it follows that the measure

$$\mu(dt) = \frac{dt}{|\det(t)|^n}$$

is a left invariant measure on  $Gl_n$ . A similar Jacobian argument shows that  $\mu$  is also right invariant, so the right-hand modulus of  $Gl_n$  is  $\Delta_r \equiv 1$ . To construct all of the relatively invariant measures on  $Gl_n$ , it is necessary that the continuous homomorphisms  $\chi$  be characterized. For each  $\alpha \in R$ , let

$$\chi_\alpha(s) = |\det(s)|^\alpha, \quad s \in Gl_n.$$

Obviously, each  $\chi_\alpha$  is a continuous homomorphism. However, it can be shown (see the problems at the end of this chapter) that if  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $Gl_n$  into  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\chi = \chi_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in R$ . Hence every relatively invariant measure on  $Gl_n$  is given by

$$m(dt) = c\chi_\alpha(t) \frac{dt}{\chi_n(t)}$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant and  $\alpha \in R$ . ◆



A group  $G$  for which  $\Delta_r = 1$  is called *unimodular*. Clearly, all commutative groups are unimodular as a left invariant integral is also right invariant. In the following example, we consider the group  $G_T^+$ , which is not unimodular, but  $G_T^+$  is a subgroup of the unimodular group  $GL_n$ .

- ◆ **Example 6.12.** Let  $G_T^+$  be the group of all  $n \times n$  lower triangular matrices with positive diagonal elements. Thus  $G_T^+$  is a nonempty open subset of  $[n(n+1)/2]$ -dimensional Euclidean space so  $G_T^+$  has positive Lebesgue measure. Let  $dt$  denote  $[n(n+1)/2]$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure restricted to  $G_T^+$ . Consider the integral

$$J(f) \equiv \int f(t) dt$$

defined on  $\mathcal{K}(G_T^+)$ . The Jacobian of the transformation  $g(t) = st$ ,  $s \in G_T^+$ , is equal to

$$\chi_0(s) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n s_{ii}^i$$

where  $s$  has diagonal elements  $s_{11}, \dots, s_{nn}$  (see Proposition 5.13). Thus

$$J(sf) = \int f(s^{-1}t) dt = \chi_0(s) \int f(t) dt = \chi_0(s)J(f).$$

Hence  $J$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi_0$  so the measure

$$\mu(dt) \equiv \frac{dt}{\prod_{i=1}^n t_{ii}^i} = \frac{dt}{\chi_0(t)}$$

is left invariant. To compute the right-hand modulus  $\Delta_r$  for  $G_T^+$ , let

$$J_1(f) = \int f(t)\mu(dt)$$

so  $J_1$  is left invariant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(fs) &= \int f(ts^{-1})\mu(dt) = \int f(ts^{-1}) \frac{dt}{\prod_{i=1}^n t_{ii}^i} = \int f(ts^{-1}) \frac{dt}{\chi_0(t)} \\ &= \int f(ts^{-1}) \frac{\chi_0(s^{-1})}{\chi_0(ts^{-1})} dt = \chi_0(s^{-1}) \int \frac{f(ts^{-1})}{\chi_0(ts^{-1})} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.14, the Jacobian of the transform  $g(t) = ts$  is

$$\chi_1(s) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_{ii}^{n-i+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(fs) &= \chi_0(s^{-1}) \int \frac{f(ts^{-1})}{\chi_0(ts^{-1})} dt = \chi_0(s^{-1}) \chi_1(s) \int f(t) \frac{dt}{\chi_0(t)} \\ &= \frac{\chi_1(s)}{\chi_0(s)} J_1(f). \end{aligned}$$

By [Theorem 6.2](#),

$$\Delta_r(s) = \frac{\chi_1(s)}{\chi_0(s)} = \prod_{i=1}^n s_{ii}^{n-2i+1}$$

is the right-hand modulus for  $G_T^+$ . Therefore, the measure

$$\nu(dt) \equiv \frac{\mu(dt)}{\Delta_r(t)} = \frac{dt}{\chi_0(t)\Delta_r(t)} = \frac{dt}{\prod_{i=1}^n t_{ii}^{n-i+1}}$$

is right invariant. As in the previous example, a description of the relatively left invariant measures is simply a matter of describing all the continuous homomorphisms on  $G_T^+$ . For each vector  $c \in R^n$  with coordinates  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , let

$$\chi_c(t) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n (t_{ii})^{c_i}$$

where  $t \in G_T^+$  has diagonal elements  $t_{11}, \dots, t_{nn}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\chi_c$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_T^+$ . It is known that if  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_T^+$ , then  $\chi$  is given by  $\chi_c$  for some  $c \in R^n$  (see [Problems 6.4](#) and [6.9](#)). Thus every relatively left invariant measure on  $G_T^+$  has the form

$$m(dt) = k\chi_c(t) \frac{dt}{\chi_0(t)}$$

for some positive constant  $k$  and some vector  $c \in R^n$ . ◆

The following two examples deal with the affine group and a subgroup of  $Gl_n$  related to the group introduced in [Example 6.5](#).

- ◆ **Example 6.13.** Consider the group  $Al_n$  of all affine transformations on  $R^n$ . An element of  $Al_n$  is a pair  $(s, x)$  where  $s \in Gl_n$  and  $x \in R^n$ . Recall that the group operation in  $Al_n$  is

$$(s_1, x_1)(s_2, x_2) = (s_1 s_2, s_1 x_2 + x_1)$$

so

$$(s, x)^{-1} = (s^{-1}, -s^{-1}x).$$

Let  $ds dx$  denote Lebesgue measure restricted to  $Al_n$ . In order to construct a left invariant measure on  $Al_n$ , it is shown that the integral

$$J(f) \equiv \int f(t, y) dt dy$$

is relatively left invariant with multiplier

$$\chi_0(s, x) = |\det(s)|^{n+1}.$$

For  $(s, x) \in Al_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J((s, x)f) &= \int f((s, x)^{-1}(t, y)) dt dy \\ &= \int f((s^{-1}, -s^{-1}x)(t, y)) dt dy \\ &= \int f(s^{-1}t, s^{-1}y - s^{-1}x) dt dy \\ &= |\det(s)| \int f(s^{-1}t, u) dt du. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the change of variable  $u = s^{-1}y - sx$ , which has a Jacobian  $|\det(s)|$ . As in [Example 6.11](#)

$$\int_{Gl_n} f(s^{-1}t, u) dt = |\det(s)|^n \int_{Gl_n} f(t, u) dt$$

for each fixed  $u \in R^n$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J((s, x)f) &= |\det(s)|^{n+1} \int f(t, u) dt du = |\det(s)|^{n+1} J(f) \\ &= \chi_0(s, x) J(f) \end{aligned}$$

so  $J$  is relatively left invariant with multiplier  $\chi_0$ . Hence the measure

$$\mu(ds, du) \equiv \frac{ds du}{\chi_0(s, u)} = \frac{ds du}{|\det(s)|^{n+1}}$$

is left invariant. To find the right-hand modulus of  $Al_n$ , let

$$J_1(f) = \int f(t, u) \frac{dt du}{\chi_0(t, u)}$$

be a left invariant integral. Then using an argument similar to that above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(f(s, x)) &= \int f((t, u)(s, x)^{-1}) \frac{dt du}{\chi_0(t, u)} \\ &= \int f((t, u)(s^{-1}, -sx)) \frac{dt du}{\chi_0(t, u)} \\ &= \int f(ts^{-1}, u - ts^{-1}x) \frac{dt du}{|\det(t)|^{n+1}} \\ &= \int f(ts^{-1}, u) \frac{dt du}{|\det(t)|^{n+1}} \\ &= |\det(s^{-1})|^{n+1} \int f(ts^{-1}, u) \frac{dt du}{|\det(ts^{-1})|^{n+1}} \\ &= |\det(s^{-1})|^{n+1} |\det(s)|^n \int f(t, u) \frac{dt du}{|\det(t)|^{n+1}} \\ &= |\det(s)|^{-1} J_1(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Delta_r(s, x) = |\det(s)|^{-1}$  so a right invariant measure on  $Al_n$  is

$$\nu(ds, du) = \frac{1}{\Delta_r(s, u)} \mu(ds, du) = \frac{ds du}{|\det(s)|^n}.$$

Now, suppose that  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $Al_n$ . Since

$$(s, x) = (s, 0)(e, s^{-1}x) = (e, x)(s, 0)$$

where  $e$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix,  $\chi$  must satisfy the equation

$$\chi(s, x) = \chi(s, 0)\chi(e, s^{-1}x) = \chi(s, 0)\chi(e, x)$$

Thus for all  $s \in Gl_n$ ,

$$\chi(e, x) = \chi(e, s^{-1}x).$$

Letting  $s^{-1}$  converge to the zero matrix, the continuity of  $\chi$  implies that

$$\chi(e, x) = \chi(e, 0) = 1$$

since  $(e, 0)$  is the identity in  $Al_n$ . Therefore,

$$\chi(s, x) = \chi(s, 0), \quad s \in Gl_n.$$

However,

$$\chi((s_1, 0)(s_2, 0)) = \chi((s_1s_2), 0) = \chi(s_1, 0)\chi(s_2, 0)$$

so  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $Gl_n$ . But every continuous homomorphism on  $Gl_n$  is given by  $s \rightarrow |\det(s)|^\alpha$  for some real  $\alpha$ . In summary,  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $Al_n$  iff

$$\chi(s, x) = |\det(s)|^\alpha$$

for some real number  $\alpha$ . Thus we have a complete description of all the relatively invariant integrals on  $Al_n$ . ◆

- ◆ **Example 6.14.** In this example, the group  $G$  consists of all the  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrices  $s$  that have the form

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ 0 & s_{22} \end{pmatrix}; \quad s_{11} \in Gl_p, \quad s_{22} \in Gl_q$$

where  $p + q = n$ . Let  $M$  be the subspace of  $R^n$  consisting of those vectors whose last  $q$  coordinates are zero. Then  $G$  is the subgroup of  $Gl_n$  consisting of those elements  $s$  that satisfy  $s(M) \subseteq M$ . Let  $ds_{11} ds_{12} ds_{22}$  denote Lebesgue measure restricted to  $G$  when  $G$  is regarded as a subset of  $(p^2 + q^2 + pq)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Since  $G$  is a nonempty open subset of this space,  $G$  has positive Lebesgue measure. As in previous examples, it is shown

that the integral

$$J(f) \equiv \int f(t) dt_{11} dt_{12} dt_{22}$$

is relatively left invariant. For  $s \in G$ ,

$$J(sf) = \int f(s^{-1}t) dt_{11} dt_{12} dt_{22}.$$

A bit of calculation shows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ 0 & s_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^{-1} & -s_{11}^{-1}s_{12}s_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & s_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$s^{-1}t = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^{-1}t_{11} & s_{11}^{-1}t_{12} - s_{11}^{-1}s_{12}s_{22}^{-1}t_{22} \\ 0 & s_{22}^{-1}t_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$u_{11} = s_{11}^{-1}t_{11}, \quad u_{22} = s_{22}^{-1}t_{22}$$

$$u_{12} = s_{11}^{-1}t_{12} - s_{11}^{-1}s_{12}s_{22}^{-1}t_{22}.$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is

$$\chi_0(s) \equiv |\det(s_{11})|^p |\det(s_{22})|^q |\det(s_{11})|^q = |\det(s_{11})|^n |\det(s_{22})|^q.$$

Therefore,

$$J(sf) = \chi_0(s)J(f)$$

so the measure

$$\mu(dt_{11}, dt_{12}, dt_{22}) \equiv \frac{dt_{11} dt_{12} dt_{22}}{|\det(t_{11})|^n |\det(t_{22})|^q}$$

is left invariant. Setting

$$J_1(f) \equiv \int f(t) \mu(dt_{11}, dt_{12}, dt_{22}),$$

a calculation similar to that above yields

$$J_1(fs) = \Delta_r(s)J_1(f)$$

where

$$\Delta_r(s) = |\det s_{11}|^{-q}|\det s_{22}|^p.$$

Thus  $\Delta_r$  is the right-hand modulus of  $G$  and the measure

$$\nu(dt_{11}, dt_{12}, dt_{22}) \equiv \frac{\mu(dt_{11}, dt_{12}, dt_{22})}{\Delta_r(t)} = \frac{dt_{11} dt_{12} dt_{22}}{|\det(t_{11})|^p |\det(t_{22})|^n}$$

is right invariant. For  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ , let

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}(s) \equiv |\det(s_{11})|^\alpha |\det(s_{22})|^\beta.$$

Clearly,  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $G$  into  $(0, \infty)$ . Conversely, it is not too difficult to show that every continuous homomorphism of  $G$  into  $(0, \infty)$  is equal to  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ . Again, this gives a complete description of all the relatively invariant integrals on  $G$ . ◆

In the four examples above, the same argument was used to derive the left and right invariant measures, the modular function, and all of the relatively invariant measures. Namely, the group  $G$  had positive Lebesgue measure when regarded as a subset of an obvious Euclidean space. The integral on  $\mathcal{K}(G)$  defined by Lebesgue measure was relatively left invariant with a multiplier that we calculated. Thus a left invariant measure on  $G$  was simply Lebesgue measure divided by the multiplier. From this, the right-hand modulus and a right invariant measure were easily derived. The characterization of the relatively invariant integrals amounted to finding all the solutions to the functional equation  $\chi(st) = \chi(s)\chi(t)$  where  $\chi$  is a continuous function on  $G$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . Of course, the above technique can be applied to many other matrix groups—for example, the matrix group considered in [Example 6.5](#). However, there are important matrix groups for which this argument is not available because the group has Lebesgue measure zero in the “natural” Euclidean space of which the group is a subset. For example, consider the group of  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . When regarded as a subset of  $n^2$ -dimensional Euclidean space,  $\mathcal{O}_n$  has Lebesgue measure zero. But, without a fairly complicated parameterization of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , it is not possible to regard  $\mathcal{O}_n$  as a set of positive Lebesgue measure of some Euclidean space.

For this reason, we do not demonstrate directly the existence of an invariant measure on  $\mathcal{O}_n$  in this chapter. In the following chapter, a probabilistic proof of the existence of an invariant measure on  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is given.

The group  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , as well as other groups to be considered later, are in fact compact topological groups. A basic property of such groups is given next.

**Proposition 6.5.** Suppose  $G$  is a locally compact topological group. Then  $G$  is compact iff there exists a left invariant probability measure on  $G$ .

*Proof.* See Nachbin (1965, Section 5, Chapter 2). □

The following result shows that when  $G$  is compact, left invariant measures are right invariant measures and all relatively invariant measures are in fact invariant.

**Proposition 6.6.** If  $G$  is compact and  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G$  to  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\chi(s) = 1$  for all  $s \in G$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\chi$  is continuous and  $G$  is compact,  $\chi(G) = \{\chi(s) | s \in G\}$  is a compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ . Since  $\chi$  is a homomorphism,  $\chi(G)$  is a subgroup of  $(0, \infty)$ . However, the only compact subgroup of  $(0, \infty)$  is  $\{1\}$ . Thus  $\chi(s) = 1$  for all  $s \in G$ . □

The nonexistence of nontrivial continuous homomorphisms on compact groups shows that all compact groups are unimodular. Further, all relatively invariant measures are invariant. Whenever  $G$  is compact, the invariant measure on  $G$  is always taken to be a probability measure.

### 6.3. INVARIANT MEASURES ON QUOTIENT SPACES

In this section, we consider the existence and uniqueness of invariant integrals on spaces that are acted on transitively by a group. Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  denotes the set of continuous functions on  $\mathcal{X}$  that have compact support. Also,  $G$  is a locally compact topological group that acts on the left of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 6.11.** The group  $G$  acts *topologically* on  $\mathcal{X}$  if the function from  $G \times \mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  given by  $(g, x) \rightarrow gx$  is continuous. When  $G$  acts topologically on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a *left homogeneous space* if for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , the function  $\pi_x$  on  $G$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  defined by  $\pi_x(g) = gx$  is continuous, open, and onto  $\mathcal{X}$ .



The assumption that each  $\pi_x$  is an onto function is just another way to say that  $G$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Also, it is not difficult to show that if, for one  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\pi_x$  is continuous, open, and onto  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then for all  $x$ ,  $\pi_x$  is continuous, open, and onto  $\mathfrak{X}$ . To describe the structure of left homogeneous spaces  $\mathfrak{X}$ , fix an element  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$  and let

$$H_0 = \{g | gx_0 = x_0, g \in G\}.$$

That  $H_0$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  is easily verified. Further, the function  $\tau$  considered in [Proposition 6.3](#) is now one-to-one, onto, and  $\tau$  and  $\tau^{-1}$  are both continuous. Thus we have a one-to-one, onto, bicontinuous mapping between  $\mathfrak{X}$  and the quotient space  $G/H_0$  endowed with the quotient topology. Conversely, let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  and take  $\mathfrak{X} = G/H$  with the quotient topology. The group  $G$  acts on  $G/H$  in the obvious way ( $g(g_1H) = gg_1H$ ) and it is easily verified that  $G/H$  is a left homogeneous space (see Nachbin 1965, Section 3, Chapter 3). Thus we have a complete description of the left homogeneous spaces (up to relabelings by  $\tau$ ) as quotient spaces  $G/H$  where  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ .

In the notation above, let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a left homogeneous space.

**Definition 6.12.** A nonzero integral  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$

$$J(f) = \int f(x)m(dx), \quad f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$$

is *relatively invariant* with multiplier  $\chi$  if, for each  $s \in G$ ,

$$\int f(s^{-1}x)m(dx) = \chi(s) \int f(x)m(dx)$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

For  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ , the function  $sf$  given by  $(sf)(x) = f(s^{-1}x)$  is the *left translate* of  $f$  by  $s \in G$ . Thus an integral  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi$  if  $J(sf) = \chi(s)J(f)$ . For such an integral,

$$\chi(st)J(f) = J((st)f) = J(s(tf)) = \chi(s)J(tf) = \chi(s)\chi(t)J(f)$$

so  $\chi(st) = \chi(s)\chi(t)$ . Also, any multiplier  $\chi$  is continuous, which implies that a multiplier is a continuous homomorphism of  $G$  into the multiplicative group  $(0, \infty)$ .

- ◆ **Example 6.15.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all  $p \times p$  positive definite matrices. The group  $G = Gl_p$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{X}$  as shown in [Example 6.9](#). That  $\mathcal{X}$  is a left homogeneous space is easily verified. For  $\alpha \in R$ , define the measure  $m_\alpha$  by

$$m_\alpha(dx) = (\det(x))^{\alpha/2} \frac{dx}{(\det(x))^{(p+1)/2}}$$

where  $dx$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $J_\alpha(f) \equiv \int f(x)m_\alpha(dx)$ . For  $s \in Gl_p$ ,  $s(x) = sxs'$  is the group action on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha(sf) &= \int f(s^{-1}(x))m_\alpha(dx) \\ &= \int f(s^{-1}xs'^{-1})(\det(x))^{\alpha/2} \frac{dx}{(\det(x))^{(p+1)/2}} \\ &= |\det(s)|^\alpha \int f(s^{-1}xs'^{-1})\det(s^{-1}xs'^{-1})^{\alpha/2} \frac{dx}{(\det(x))^{(p+1)/2}} \\ &= |\det(s)|^\alpha \int f(x)(\det(x))^{\alpha/2} \frac{dx}{(\det(x))^{(p+1)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the change of variable  $x = sys'$ , which has a Jacobian equal to  $|\det(s)|^{p+1}$  (see Proposition 5.11). Hence

$$J_\alpha(sf) = |\det(s)|^\alpha J(f)$$

for all  $s \in Gl_p$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ , and  $J_\alpha$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_\alpha(s) = |\det(s)|^\alpha$ . For this example, it has been shown that for every continuous homomorphism  $\chi$  on  $G$ , there is a relatively invariant integral with multiplier  $\chi$ . That this is not the case in general is demonstrated in future examples. ◆

The problem of the existence and uniqueness of relatively invariant integrals on left homogeneous spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  is completely solved in the following result due to Weil (see Nachbin, 1965, Section 4, Chapter 3). Recall that  $x_0$  is a fixed element of  $\mathcal{X}$  and

$$H_0 = \{g | gx_0 = x_0, g \in G\}$$

is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\Delta_r$  denote the right-hand modulus of  $G$  and let  $\Delta_r^0$  denote the right-hand modulus of  $H_0$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** In the notation above:

- (i) If  $J(f) = \int f(x)m(dx)$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ , then

$$\Delta_r^0(h) = \chi(h)\Delta_r(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in H_0.$$

- (ii) If  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $G$  to  $(0, \infty)$  that satisfies  $\Delta_r^0(h) = \chi(h)\Delta_r(h)$ ,  $h \in H_0$ , then a relatively invariant integral with multiplier  $\chi$  exists.
- (iii) If  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are relatively invariant with the same multiplier, then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $J_2 = cJ_1$ .

Before turning to applications of [Theorem 6.3](#), a few general comments are in order. If the subgroup  $H_0$  is compact, then  $\Delta_r^0(h) = 1$  for all  $h \in H_0$ . Since the restrictions of  $\chi$  and of  $\Delta_r$  to  $H_0$  are both continuous homomorphisms on  $H_0$ ,  $\Delta_r(h) = \chi(h) = 1$  for all  $h \in H_0$  as  $H_0$  is compact. Thus when  $H_0$  is compact, any continuous homomorphism  $\chi$  is a multiplier for a relatively invariant integral and the description of all the relatively invariant integrals reduces to finding all the continuous homomorphisms of  $G$ . Further, when  $G$  is compact, then only an invariant integral on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  can exist as  $\chi \equiv 1$  is the only continuous homomorphism. When  $G$  and  $H$  are not compact, the situation is a bit more complicated. Both  $\Delta_r$  and  $\Delta_r^0$  must be calculated and then, the continuous homomorphisms  $\chi$  on  $G$  to  $(0, \infty)$  that satisfy (ii) of [Theorem 6.3](#) must be found. Only then do we have a description of the relatively invariant integrals on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . Of course, the condition for the existence of an invariant integral ( $\chi \equiv 1$ ) is that  $\Delta_r^0(h) = \Delta_r(h)$  for all  $h \in H_0$ .

If  $J$  is a relatively invariant integral (with multiplier  $\chi$ ) given by

$$J(f) = \int f(x)m(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X}),$$

then the measure  $m$  is called relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi$ . In [Example 6.15](#), it was shown that for each  $\alpha \in R$ , the measure  $m_\alpha$  was relatively invariant under  $GL_p$  with multiplier  $\chi_\alpha$ . [Theorem 6.3](#) implies that any relatively invariant measure on the space of  $p \times p$  positive definite matrices is equal to a positive constant times an  $m_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in R$ . We now proceed with further examples.

- ◆ **Example 6.16.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$  and let  $G = \mathcal{O}_n$ . It was shown in [Example 6.8](#) that  $\mathcal{O}_n$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$ . The verification that

$\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$  is a left homogeneous space is left to the reader. Since  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is compact, [Theorem 6.3](#) implies that there is a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$  that is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{O}_n$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ . Also, any relatively invariant measure on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$  will be equal to a positive constant times  $\mu$ . The distribution  $\mu$  is sometimes called the *uniform distribution* on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ . When  $p = 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,n} = \{x \mid x \in R^n, \|x\| = 1\},$$

which is the rim of the unit sphere in  $R^n$ . The uniform distribution on  $\mathcal{F}_{1,n}$  is just surface Lebesgue measure normalized so that it is a probability measure. When  $p = n$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{n,n} = \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $\mu$  is the uniform distribution on the orthogonal group. A different argument, probabilistic in nature, is given in the next chapter, which also establishes the existence of the uniform distribution on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n}$ . ♦

- ♦ **Example 6.17.** Take  $\mathcal{X} = R^p - \{0\}$  and let  $G = Gl_p$ . The action of  $Gl_p$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is that of a matrix acting on a vector and this action is obviously transitive. The verification that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a left homogeneous space is routine. Consider the integral

$$J(f) = \int f(x) dx, \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$$

where  $dx$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ . For  $s \in Gl_p$ , it is clear that  $J(sf) = |\det(s)|J(f)$  so  $J$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_1(s) = |\det(s)|$ . We now show that  $J$  is the only relatively invariant integral on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . This is done by proving that  $\chi_1$  is the only possible multiplier for relatively invariant integrals on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . A convenient choice of  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  is  $x_0 = \varepsilon_1$  where  $\varepsilon_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then

$$H_0 = \{h \mid h\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1, h \in Gl_p\}.$$

A bit of reflection shows that  $h \in H_0$  iff

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h_{12} \\ 0 & h_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $h_{22} \in Gl_{(p-1)}$  and  $h_{12}$  is  $1 \times (p-1)$ . A calculation similar to

that in [Example 6.14](#) yields

$$\mu(dh_{12}, dh_{22}) = \frac{dh_{12} dh_{22}}{|\det(h_{22})|^{p-1}}$$

as a left invariant measure on  $H_0$ . Then the integral

$$J_1(f) \equiv \int f(h) \mu(dh_{12}, dh_{22})$$

is left invariant on  $\mathfrak{K}(H_0)$  and a standard Jacobian argument yields

$$J_1(fh) = \Delta_r^0(h) J_1(f), \quad f \in \mathfrak{K}(H_0)$$

where

$$\Delta_r^0(h) = |\det(h_{22})|, \quad h \in H_0.$$

Every continuous homomorphism on  $Gl_p$  has the form  $\chi_\alpha(s) = |\det(s)|^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in R$ . Since  $\Delta_r = 1$  for  $Gl_p$ ,  $\chi_\alpha$  can be a multiplier for an invariant integral iff

$$\Delta_r^0(h) = \chi_\alpha(h), \quad h \in H_0.$$

But  $\Delta_r^0(h) = |\det(h_{22})|$  and for  $h \in H_0$ ,  $\chi_\alpha(h) = |\det(h_{22})|^\alpha$  so the only value for  $\alpha$  for which  $\chi_\alpha$  can be a multiplier is  $\alpha = 1$ . Further, the integral  $J$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_1$ . Thus Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is the only (up to a positive constant) relatively invariant measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$  under the action of  $Gl_p$ .  $\blacklozenge$

Before turning to the next example, it is convenient to introduce the direct product of two groups. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are groups, the *direct product* of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G \equiv G_1 \times G_2$ , is the group consisting of all pairs  $(g_1, g_2)$  with  $g_i \in G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and group operation

$$(g_1, g_2)(h_1, h_2) \equiv (g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2).$$

If  $e_i$  is the identity in  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(e_1, e_2)$  is the identity in  $G$  and  $(g_1, g_2)^{-1} = (g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1})$ . When  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are locally compact topological groups, then  $G_1 \times G_2$  is a locally compact topological group when endowed with the product topology. The next two results describe all the continuous homomorphisms and relatively left invariant measures on  $G_1 \times G_2$  in terms

of continuous homomorphisms and relatively left invariant measures on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

**Proposition 6.7.** Suppose  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are locally compact topological groups. Then  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_1 \times G_2$  iff  $\chi((g_1, g_2)) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)$ ,  $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$ , where  $\chi_i$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* If  $\chi((g_1, g_2)) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)$ , clearly  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_1 \times G_2$ . Conversely, since  $(g_1, g_2) = (g_1, e_2)(e_1, g_2)$ , if  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_1 \times G_2$ , then

$$\chi((g_1, g_2)) = \chi(g_1, e_2)\chi(e_1, g_2).$$

Setting  $\chi_1(g_1) = \chi(g_1, e_2)$  and  $\chi_2(g_2) = \chi(e_1, g_2)$ , the desired result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** Suppose  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_1 \times G_2$  with  $\chi(g_1, g_2) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)$  where  $\chi_i$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $m$  is a relatively left invariant measure with multiplier  $\chi$ , then there exist relatively left invariant measures  $m_i$  on  $G_i$  with multipliers  $\chi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $m$  is product measure  $m_1 \times m_2$ . Conversely, if  $m_i$  is a relatively left invariant measure on  $G_i$  with multiplier  $\chi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $m_1 \times m_2$  is a relatively left invariant measure on  $G_1 \times G_2$  with multiplier  $\chi$ , which satisfies  $\chi(g_1, g_2) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)$ .

*Proof.* This result is a direct consequence of Fubini's Theorem and the existence and uniqueness of relatively left invariant integrals.  $\square$

The following example illustrates many of the results presented in this chapter and has a number of applications in multivariate analysis. For example, one of the derivations of the Wishart distribution is quite easy given the results of this example.

◆ **Example 6.18.** As in [Example 6.10](#),  $\mathfrak{X}$  is the set of all  $n \times p$  matrices with rank  $p$  and  $G$  is the direct product group  $\mathfrak{O}_n \times G_T^+$ . The action of  $(\Gamma, T) \in \mathfrak{O}_n \times G_T^+$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is

$$(\Gamma, T)X \equiv (\Gamma \otimes T)X = \Gamma XT', \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{X} = \{X | X \in \mathcal{L}_{p, n}, \det(X'X) > 0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a nonempty open

subset of  $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ . Let  $dX$  be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and define a measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$  by

$$m(dX) = \frac{dX}{(\det(X'X))^{n/2}}.$$

Using Proposition 5.10, it is an easy calculation to show that the integral

$$J(f) \equiv \int f(X)m(dX)$$

is invariant—that is,  $J((\Gamma, T)f) = J(f)$  for  $(\Gamma, T) \in \mathfrak{O}_n \times G_T^+$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . However, it takes a bit more work to characterize all the relatively invariant measures on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . First, it was shown in [Example 6.10](#) that, if  $X_0$  is

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{X},$$

then  $H_0 = \{(\Gamma, T) | (\Gamma, T)X_0 = X_0\}$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathfrak{O}_n$  and hence is compact. By [Theorem 6.3](#), every continuous homomorphism on  $\mathfrak{O}_n \times G_T^+$  is the multiplier for a relatively invariant integral. But every continuous homomorphism  $\chi$  on  $\mathfrak{O}_n \times G_T^+$  has the form  $\chi(\Gamma, T) = \chi_1(\Gamma)\chi_2(T)$  where  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are continuous homomorphisms on  $\mathfrak{O}_n$  and  $G_T^+$ . Since  $\mathfrak{O}_n$  is compact,  $\chi_1 = 1$ . From [Example 6.12](#),

$$\chi_2(T) = \prod_{i=1}^p (t_{ii})^{c_i} \equiv \chi_c(T)$$

where  $c \in R^p$  has coordinates  $c_1, \dots, c_p$ . Now that all the possible multipliers have been described, we want to exhibit the relatively invariant integrals on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . To this end, consider the space  $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+$  so points in  $\mathfrak{Y}$  are  $(\Psi, U)$  where  $\Psi$  is an  $n \times p$  linear isometry and  $U$  is a  $p \times p$  upper triangular matrix in  $G_U^+$ . The group  $\mathfrak{O}_n \times G_T^+$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{Y}$  under the group action

$$(\Gamma, T)(\Psi, U) \equiv (\Gamma\Psi, UT').$$

Let  $\mu_0$  be the unique probability measure on  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$  that is  $\mathfrak{O}_n$ -invariant and let  $\nu_r$  be the particular right invariant measure on the group  $G_U^+$

given by

$$\nu_r(dU) = \frac{dU}{\prod_{i=1}^p u_{ii}^r}.$$

Obviously, the integral

$$J_1(f) \equiv \iint f(\Psi, U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU)$$

is invariant under the action of  $\Theta_n \times G_T^+$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+)$ . Consider the integral

$$J_2(f) \equiv \iint f(\Psi, U) \chi_c(U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU)$$

defined on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+)$  where  $\chi_c$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $G_T^+$ . The claim is that  $J_2((\Gamma, T)f) = \chi_c(T)J_2(f)$  so  $J_2$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_c$ . To see this, compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2((\Gamma, T)f) &= \iint f((\Gamma, T)^{-1}(\Psi, U)) \chi_c(U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) \\ &= \iint f(\Gamma'\Psi, UT'^{-1}) \chi_c(TT^{-1}U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) \\ &= \chi_c(T) \iint f(\Gamma'\Psi, UT'^{-1}) \chi_c((UT'^{-1})') \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) \\ &= \chi_c(T) J_2(f). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the invariance of  $\mu_0$  and  $\nu_r$ . Thus all the relatively invariant integrals on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+)$  have been explicitly described. To do the same for  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ , the basic idea is to move the integral  $J_2$  over to  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . It was mentioned earlier that the map  $\phi_0$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$  given by

$$\phi_0(\Psi, U) = \Psi U \in \mathfrak{X}$$

is one-to-one, onto, and satisfies

$$\phi_0((\Gamma, T)(\Psi, U)) = (\Gamma, T)\phi_0(\Psi, U),$$



for group elements  $(\Gamma, T)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ , consider the integral

$$J_3(f) \equiv \iint f(\phi_0(\Psi, U)) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU).$$

Then for  $(\Gamma, T) \in \mathcal{O}_n \times G_T^+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_3((\Gamma, T)f) &= \iint f((\Gamma, T)^{-1} \phi_0(\Psi, U)) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) \\ &= \iint f((\Gamma', T^{-1}) \phi_0(\mu, U)) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) \\ &= \iint f(\phi_0(\Gamma' \Psi, UT'^{-1})) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) = J_3(f) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mu_0$  and  $\nu_r$  are invariant. Therefore,  $J_3$  is an invariant integral on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . Since  $J$  is also an invariant integral on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ , [Theorem 6.3](#) shows that there is a positive constant  $k$  such that

$$J(f) = kJ_3(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X}).$$

More explicitly, we have the equation

$$\int f(X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = k \iint f(\Psi U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . This equation is a formal way to state the very nontrivial fact that the measure  $m$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  gets transformed into the measure  $k(\mu_0 \times \nu_r)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+$  under the mapping  $\phi_0^{-1}$ . To evaluate the constant  $k$ , it is sufficient to find one particular function so that both sides of the above equality can be evaluated. Consider

$$f_0(X) = |X'X|^{n/2} (2\pi)^{-np/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(X'X)\right].$$

Clearly,

$$\int f_0(X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = 1$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} &= \iint f_0(\Psi U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} \int |U'U|^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} U'U\right] \nu_r(dU) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} \int \prod_1^p u_{ii}^{n-i} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \leq j} u_{ij}^2\right] dU \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} 2^{-p} c(n, p). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the result in Example 5.1, where  $c(n, p)$  is defined. Therefore,

$$(6.1) \quad \int f(X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{np/2} 2^p}{c(n, p)} \iint f(\Psi U) \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU).$$

It is now an easy matter to derive all the relatively invariant integrals on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Let  $\chi_c$  be a given continuous homomorphism on  $G_T^+$ . For each  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ , let  $U(X)$  be the unique element in  $G_U^+$  such that  $X = \Psi U(X)$  for some  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$  (see Proposition 5.2). It is clear that  $U(\Gamma XT') = U(X)T'$  for  $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_n$  and  $T' \in G_T^+$ . We have shown that

$$J_2(f) = \iint f(\Psi, U) \chi_c(U') \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU)$$

is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_c$  on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+)$ . For  $h \in \mathfrak{K}(X)$ , define an integral  $J_4$  by

$$J_4(h) = \iint h(\Psi U) \chi_c(U') \mu_0(d\Psi) \nu_r(dU).$$

Clearly,  $J_4$  is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_c$  since  $J_4(h) = J_2(\tilde{h})$  where  $\tilde{h}(\Psi, U) \equiv h(\Psi U)$ . Now, we move  $J_4$  over to  $\mathfrak{X}$  by (6.1). In (6.1), take  $f(X) = h(X) \chi_c(U'(X))$  so  $f(\Psi U) = h(\Psi U) \chi_c(U')$ . Thus the integral

$$J_5(h) = \int h(X) \chi_c(U'(X)) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}}$$

is relatively invariant with multiplier  $\chi_c$ . Of course, any relatively invariant integral with multiplier  $\chi_c$  on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$  is equal to a positive constant times  $J_5$ .  $\blacklozenge$

#### 6.4. TRANSFORMATIONS AND FACTORIZATIONS OF MEASURES

The results of [Example 6.18](#) describe how an invariant measure on the set of  $n \times p$  matrices is transformed into an invariant measure on  $\mathcal{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+$  under a particular mapping. The first problem to be discussed in this section is an abstraction of this situation. The notion of a group homomorphism plays a role in what follows.

**Definition 6.13.** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups. A function  $\eta$  from  $G$  onto  $H$  is a *homomorphism* if:

- (i)  $\eta(g_1 g_2) = \eta(g_1) \eta(g_2)$ ,  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .
- (ii)  $\eta(g) = (\eta(g))^{-1}$ ,  $g \in G$ .

When there is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ ,  $H$  is called a *homomorphic image* of  $G$ .

For notational convenience, a homomorphic image of  $G$  is often denoted by  $\overline{G}$  and the value of the homomorphism at  $g$  is  $\overline{g}$ . In this case,  $\overline{g_1 g_2} = \overline{g_1} \overline{g_2}$  and  $\overline{g^{-1}} = \overline{g}^{-1}$ . Also, if  $e$  is the identity in  $G$ , then  $\overline{e}$  is the identity in  $\overline{G}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are locally compact spaces, and  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are locally compact topological groups that act topologically on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively. It is assumed that  $\overline{G}$  is a homomorphic image of  $G$ .

**Definition 6.14.** A measurable function  $\phi$  from  $\mathcal{X}$  onto  $\mathcal{Y}$  is called *equivariant* if  $\phi(gx) = \overline{g}\phi(x)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Now, consider an integral

$$J(f) = \int f(x) \mu(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X}),$$

which is invariant under the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , that is

$$J(gf) \equiv \int f(g^{-1}x) \mu(dx) = \int f(x) \mu(dx) = J(f)$$

for  $g \in G$  and  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})$ . Given an equivariant function  $\phi$  from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ , there is a natural measure  $\nu$  induced on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Namely, if  $B$  is a measurable subset of  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $\nu(B) \equiv \mu(\phi^{-1}(B))$ . The result below shows that under a regularity condition on  $\phi$ , the measure  $\nu$  defines an invariant (under  $\bar{G}$ ) integral on  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y})$ .

**Proposition 6.9.** If  $\phi$  is an equivariant function from  $\mathcal{X}$  onto  $\mathcal{Y}$  that satisfies  $\mu(\phi^{-1}(K)) < +\infty$  for all compact sets  $K \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ , then the integral

$$J_1(f) \equiv \int f(y)\nu(dy), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y})$$

is invariant under  $\bar{G}$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $J_1$  is well defined and finite since  $\mu(\phi^{-1}(K)) < +\infty$  for all compact sets  $K \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ . From the definition of the measure  $\nu$ , it follows immediately that

$$J_1(f) = \int f(y)\nu(dy) = \int f(\phi(x))\mu(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

Using the equivariance of  $\phi$  and the invariance of  $\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\bar{g}f) &= \int f(\bar{g}^{-1}y)\nu(dy) = \int f(\bar{g}^{-1}\phi(x))\mu(dx) \\ &= \int f(\phi(g^{-1}x))\mu(dx) = \int f(\phi(x))\mu(dx) = J_1(f) \end{aligned}$$

so  $J_1$  is invariant under  $\bar{G}$ . □

Before presenting some applications of [Proposition 6.9](#), a few remarks are in order. The groups  $G$  and  $\bar{G}$  are not assumed to act transitively on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively. However, if  $\bar{G}$  does act transitively on  $\mathcal{Y}$  and if  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a left homogeneous space, then the measure  $\nu$  is uniquely determined up to a positive constant. Thus if we happen to know an invariant measure on  $\mathcal{Y}$ , the identity

$$\int f(y)\nu(dy) = \int f(\phi(x))\mu(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y})$$

relates the  $G$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  to the  $\bar{G}$ -invariant measure  $\nu$ . It was this

line of reasoning that led to (6.1) in Example 6.18. We now consider some further examples.

- ◆ **Example 6.19.** As in Example 6.18, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all  $n \times p$  matrices of rank  $p$ , and let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the space  $\mathfrak{S}_p^+$  of  $p \times p$  positive definite matrices. Consider the map  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}_p^+$  defined by

$$\phi(X) = X'X, \quad X \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The group  $\mathcal{O}_n \times Gl_p$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  by

$$(\Gamma, A)X = (\Gamma \otimes A)X = \Gamma XA'$$

and the measure

$$\mu(dX) = \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}}$$

is invariant under  $\mathcal{O}_n \times Gl_p$ . Further,

$$\phi((\Gamma, A)X) = AX'XA' = A\phi(X)A',$$

and this defines an action of  $Gl_p$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_p^+$ . It is routine to check that the mapping

$$(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow A \equiv \overline{(\Gamma, A)}$$

is a homomorphism. Obviously,

$$\phi((\Gamma, A)X) = \overline{(\Gamma, A)}\phi(X)$$

since the action of  $Gl_p$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_p^+$  is

$$A(S) = ASA'; \quad S \in \mathfrak{S}_p^+, \quad A \in Gl_p.$$

Since  $Gl_p$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{S}_p^+$ , the invariant measure

$$\nu_1(dS) = \frac{dS}{|S|^{(p+1)/2}}$$

is unique up to a positive constant. The remaining assumption to verify in order to apply Proposition 6.9 is that  $\phi^{-1}(K)$  has finite  $\mu$  measure for compact sets  $K \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p^+$ . To do this, we show that

$\phi^{-1}(K)$  is compact in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Recall that the mapping  $h$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times S_p^+$  onto  $\mathfrak{X}$  given by

$$h(\Psi, S) = \Psi S \in \mathfrak{X}$$

is one-to-one and is obviously continuous. Given the compact set  $K \subseteq S_p^+$ , let

$$K_1 = \{S | S \in S_p^+, S^2 \in K\}.$$

Then  $K_1$  is compact so  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times K_1$  is a compact subset of  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times S_p^+$ . It is now routine to show that

$$\phi^{-1}(K) = \{X | X'X \in K\} = h(\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times K_1),$$

which is compact since  $h$  is continuous and the continuous image of a compact set is compact. By [Proposition 6.9](#), we conclude that the measure  $\nu = \mu \circ \phi^{-1}$  is invariant under  $Gl_p$  and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(X'X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = \int_{S_p^+} f(S) \nu(dS),$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(S_p^+)$ . Since  $\nu$  is invariant under  $Gl_p$ ,  $\nu = c\nu_1$  where  $c$  is a positive constant. Thus we have the identity

$$(6.2) \quad \int f(X'X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = c \int f(S) \frac{dS}{|S|^{(p+1)/2}}.$$

To find the constant  $c$ , it is sufficient to evaluate both sides of [\(6.2\)](#) for a particular function  $f_0$ . For  $f_0$ , take the function

$$f_0(S) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} |S|^{n/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } S],$$

so

$$f_0(X'X) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} |X'X|^{n/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } X'X].$$

Clearly, the left-hand side of [\(6.2\)](#) integrates to one and this yields the equation

$$c \int (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} |S|^{(n-p-1)/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } S] dS = 1.$$

The result of Example 5.1 gives

$$c(\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} c(n, p) = 1$$

so

$$c = \frac{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{np}}{c(n, p)} = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{np} \omega(n, p).$$

In conclusion, the identity

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(X'X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{np} \omega(n, p) \int_{\mathbb{S}_p^+} f(S) \frac{dS}{|S|^{(p+1)/2}}$$

has been established for all  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{S}_p^+)$ , and thus for all measurable  $f$  for which either side exists.  $\blacklozenge$

- ◆ **Example 6.20.** Again let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be the set of  $n \times p$  matrices of rank  $p$  so the group  $\mathcal{O}_n \times G_T^+$  acts on  $\mathfrak{X}$  by

$$(\Gamma, T)X \equiv (\Gamma \otimes T)X = \Gamma XT'.$$

Each element  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  has a unique representation  $X = \Psi U$  where  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$  and  $U \in G_U^+$ . Define  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  onto  $G_U^+$  by defining  $\phi(X)$  to be the unique element  $U \in G_U^+$  such that  $X = \Psi U$  for some  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$ . If  $\phi(X) = U$ , then  $\phi((\Gamma, T)X) = UT'$ , since when  $X = \Psi U$ ,  $(\Gamma, T)X = \Gamma \Psi UT'$ . This implies that  $UT'$  is the unique element in  $G_U^+$  such that  $X = (\Gamma \Psi)UT'$  as  $\Gamma \Psi \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,n}$ . The mapping  $(\Gamma, T) \rightarrow T \equiv \overline{(\Gamma, T)}$  is clearly a homomorphism of  $(\Gamma, T)$  onto  $G_T^+$  and the action of  $G_T^+$  on  $G_U^+$  is

$$T(U) \equiv UT'; \quad U \in G_U^+, T \in G_T^+.$$

Therefore,  $\phi((\Gamma, T)X) = \overline{(\Gamma, T)}\phi(X)$  so  $\phi$  is equivariant. The measure

$$\mu(dX) = \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}}$$

is  $\mathcal{O}_n \times G_T^+$  invariant. To show that  $\phi^{-1}(K)$  has finite  $\mu$  measure when  $K \subseteq G_U^+$  is compact, note that  $h(\Psi, U) \equiv \Psi U$  is a continuous function on  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times G_U^+$  onto  $\mathfrak{X}$ . It is easily verified that

$$\phi^{-1}(K) = h(\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times K).$$

But  $\mathfrak{F}_{p,n} \times K$  is compact, which shows that  $\phi^{-1}(K)$  is compact since  $h$  is continuous. Thus  $\mu(\phi^{-1}(K)) < +\infty$ . Proposition 6.9 shows that  $\nu \equiv \mu \circ \phi^{-1}$  is a  $G_T^+$ -invariant measure on  $G_U^+$  and we have the identity

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(\phi(X)) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = \int_{G_U^+} f(U) \nu(dU)$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(G_U^+)$ . However, the measure

$$\nu_1(dU) \equiv \frac{dU}{\prod_{i=1}^p u_{ii}^i}$$

is a right invariant measure on  $G_U^+$ , and therefore,  $\nu_1$  is invariant under the transitive action of  $G_T^+$  on  $G_U^+$ . The uniqueness of invariant measures implies that  $\nu = c\nu_1$  for some positive constant  $c$  and

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(\phi(X)) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = c \int_{G_U^+} f(U) \frac{dU}{\prod_{i=1}^p u_{ii}^i}.$$

The constant  $c$  is evaluated by choosing  $f$  to be

$$f(U) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} |U'U|^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } U'U\right].$$

Since  $(\phi(X))'\phi(X) = X'X$ ,

$$f(\phi(X)) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} |X'X|^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } X'X\right]$$

and

$$\int f(\phi(X)) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= c(\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} \int_{G_U^+} |U'U|^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } U'U\right] \frac{dU}{\prod_{i=1}^p u_{ii}^i} \\ &= c(\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} \int_{G_U^+} \prod_{i=1}^p u_{ii}^{n-i} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } U'U\right] dU \\ &= c(\sqrt{2\pi})^{-np} 2^{-p} c(n, p) \end{aligned}$$



where  $c(n, p)$  is defined in Example 5.1. This yields the identity

$$\int f(\phi(X)) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = 2^p (\sqrt{2\pi})^{np} \omega(n, p) \int f(U) \frac{dU}{\prod_1^p u_{ii}^i}$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(G_U^+)$ . In particular, when  $f(U) = f_1(U'U)$ , we have

$$(6.4) \quad \int f_1(X'X) \frac{dX}{|X'X|^{n/2}} = 2^p (\sqrt{2\pi})^{np} \omega(n, p) \int f_1(U'U) \frac{dU}{\prod_1^p u_{ii}^i}$$

whenever either integral exists. Combining this with (6.3) yields the identity

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}_p^+} f(S) \frac{dS}{|S|^{(p+1)/2}} = 2^p \int_{G_p^+} f(U'U) \frac{dU}{\prod_1^p u_{ii}^i}$$

for all measurable  $f$  for which either integral exists. Setting  $T = U'$  in (6.5) yields the assertion of Proposition 5.18.  $\blacklozenge$

The final topic in this chapter has to do with the factorization of a Radon measure on a product space. Suppose  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  are locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff spaces and assume that  $G$  is a locally compact topological group that acts on  $\mathfrak{X}$  in such a way that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a homogeneous space. It is also assumed that  $\mu_1$  is a  $G$ -invariant Radon measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$  so the integral

$$J_1(f_1) \equiv \int f_1(x) \mu_1(dx), \quad f_1 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$$

is  $G$ -invariant, and is unique up to a positive constant.

**Proposition 6.10.** Assume the conditions above on  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ,  $G$ , and  $J_1$ . Define  $G$  acting on the locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact space  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}$  by  $g(x, y) = (gx, y)$ . If  $m$  is a  $G$ -invariant Radon measure on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}$ , then  $m = \mu_1 \times \nu$  for some Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathfrak{Y}$ .

*Proof.* By assumption, the integral

$$J(f) \equiv \iint_{\mathfrak{Y}\mathfrak{X}} f(x, y) m(dx, dy), \quad f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y})$$

satisfies

$$J(gf) = \iint_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{X}} f(g^{-1}x, y)m(dx, dy) = J(f).$$

For  $f_2 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$  and  $f_1 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ , the product  $f_1f_2$ , defined by  $(f_1f_2)(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ , is in  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y})$  and

$$J(f_1f_2) = \iint_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{X}} f_1(x)f_2(y)m(dx, dy).$$

Fix  $f_2 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$  such that  $f_2 \geq 0$  and let

$$H(f_1) \equiv \iint_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{X}} f_1(x)f_2(y)m(dx, dy), \quad f_1 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X}).$$

Since  $J(gf) = J(f)$ , it follows that

$$H(gf_1) = H(f_1) \quad \text{for } g \in G \text{ and } f_1 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X}).$$

Therefore  $H$  is a  $G$ -invariant integral on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Hence there exists a non-negative constant  $c(f_2)$  depending on  $f_2$  such that

$$H(f_1) = c(f_2)J_1(f_1)$$

and  $c(f_2) = 0$  iff  $H(f_1) = 0$  for all  $f_1 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . For an arbitrary  $f_2 \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , write  $f_2 = f_2^+ - f_2^-$  where  $f_2^+ = \max(f_2, 0)$  and  $f_2^- = \max(-f_2, 0)$  are in  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$ . For such an  $f_2$ , it is easy to show

$$J(f_1f_2) = c(f_2^+)J_1(f_1) - c(f_2^-)J_1(f_1) = (c(f_2^+) - c(f_2^-))J_1(f_1).$$

Thus defining  $c$  on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$  by  $c(f_2) = c(f_2^+) - c(f_2^-)$ , it is easy to show that  $c$  is an integral on  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$ . Hence

$$c(f_2) = \int_{\mathfrak{Y}} f_2(y)\nu(dy)$$

for some Radon measure  $\nu$ . Therefore,

$$\iint_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{X}} f_1(x)f_2(y)m(dx, dy) = \iint_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{X}} f_1(x)f_2(y)\mu_1(dx)\nu(dy).$$

A standard approximation argument now implies that  $m$  is the product measure  $\mu_1 \times \nu$ . □

[Proposition 6.10](#) provides one technique for establishing the stochastic independence of two random vectors. This technique is used in the next chapter. The one application of [Proposition 6.10](#) given here concerns the space of positive definite matrices.

- ◆ **Example 6.21.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all  $p \times p$  positive definite matrices that have distinct eigenvalues. That  $\mathcal{X}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{S}_p^+$  follows from the fact that the eigenvalues of  $S \in \mathbb{S}_p^+$  are continuous functions of the elements of the matrix  $S$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}$  has nonzero Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{S}_p^+$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the set of  $p \times p$  diagonal matrices  $Y$  with diagonal elements  $y_1, \dots, y_p$  that satisfy  $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_p$ . Further, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the quotient space  $\mathcal{O}_p / \mathfrak{O}_p$  where  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  is the group of sign changes introduced in [Example 6.6](#). We now construct a natural one-to-one onto map from  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $X = \Gamma \mathfrak{O}_p$  for some  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_p$ . Define  $\phi$  by

$$\phi(X, Y) = \Gamma Y \Gamma', \quad X = \Gamma \mathfrak{O}_p, Y \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

To verify that  $\phi$  is well defined, suppose that  $X = \Gamma_1 \mathfrak{O}_p = \Gamma_2 \mathfrak{O}_p$ . Then

$$\phi(X, Y) = \Gamma_1 Y \Gamma_1' = \Gamma_2 \Gamma_2' \Gamma_1 Y \Gamma_1' \Gamma_2 \Gamma_2' = \Gamma_2 Y \Gamma_2'$$

since  $\Gamma_2' \Gamma_1 \in \mathfrak{O}_p$  and every element  $D \in \mathfrak{O}_p$  satisfies  $D Y D = Y$  for all  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . It is clear that  $\phi(X, Y)$  has ordered eigenvalues  $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_p > 0$ , the diagonal elements of  $Y$ . Clearly, the function  $\phi$  is onto and continuous. To show  $\phi$  is one-to-one, first note that, if  $Y$  is any element of  $\mathcal{Y}$ , then the equation

$$\Gamma Y \Gamma' = Y, \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_p$$

implies that  $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_p$  ( $\Gamma Y \Gamma' = Y$  implies that  $\Gamma Y = Y \Gamma$  and equating the elements of these two matrices shows that  $\Gamma$  must be diagonal so  $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_p$ ). If

$$\phi(X_1, Y_1) = \phi(X_2, Y_2),$$

then  $Y_1 = Y_2$  by the uniqueness of eigenvalues and the ordering of the diagonal elements of  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Thus

$$\Gamma_1 Y_1 \Gamma_1' = \Gamma_2 Y_1 \Gamma_2'$$

when

$$\phi(X_1, Y_1) = \phi(X_2, Y_1).$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma'_2 \Gamma_1 Y_1 \Gamma'_1 \Gamma_2 = Y_1,$$

which implies that  $\Gamma'_2 \Gamma_1 \in \mathfrak{O}_p$ . Since  $X_i = \Gamma_i \mathfrak{O}_p$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , this shows that  $X_1 = X_2$  and that  $\phi$  is one-to-one. Therefore,  $\phi$  has an inverse and the spectral theorem for matrices specifies just what  $\phi^{-1}$  is. Namely, for  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ , let  $y_1 > \cdots > y_p > 0$  be the ordered eigenvalues of  $Z$  and write  $Z$  as

$$Z = \Gamma Y \Gamma', \quad \Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_p$$

where  $Y \in \mathfrak{O}$  has diagonal elements  $y_1 > \cdots > y_p > 0$ . The problem is that  $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_p$  is not unique since

$$\Gamma Y \Gamma' = \Gamma D Y D \Gamma' \quad \text{for } D \in \mathfrak{O}_p.$$

To obtain uniqueness, we simply have “quotiented out” the subgroup  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  in order that  $\phi^{-1}$  be well defined. Now, let

$$\mu(dZ) = dZ$$

be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{Z}$  and consider  $\nu = \mu \circ \phi$ —the induced measure on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{O}$ . The problem is to obtain some information about the measure  $\nu$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous,  $\nu$  is a Radon measure on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{O}$ , and  $\nu$  satisfies

$$\iint f(X, Y) \nu(dX, dY) = \int f(\phi^{-1}(Z)) dZ$$

for  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{O})$ . The claim is that the measure  $\nu$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  on  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{O}$  defined by

$$\Gamma(X, Y) = (\Gamma X, Y).$$

To see this, we have

$$\iint f(\Gamma'(X, Y)) \nu(dX, dY) = \int f(\Gamma' \phi^{-1}(Z)) dZ.$$

But a bit of reflection shows that  $\Gamma'\phi^{-1}(Z) = \phi^{-1}(\Gamma'Z\Gamma)$ . Since the Jacobian of the transformation  $\Gamma'Z\Gamma$  is equal to one, it follows that  $\nu$  is  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -invariant. By [Proposition 6.10](#), the measure  $\nu$  is a product measure  $\nu_1 \times \nu_2$  where  $\nu_1$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -invariant measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is compact and  $\mathfrak{X}$  is compact, the measure  $\nu_1$  is finite and we take  $\nu_1(\mathfrak{X}) = 1$  as a normalization. Therefore,

$$\int f(\phi^{-1}(Z)) dZ = \iint f(X, Y) \nu_1(dX) \nu_2(dY)$$

for all  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y})$ . Setting  $h = f\phi^{-1}$  yields

$$\int h(Z) dZ = \iint h(\phi(X, Y)) \nu_1(dX) \nu_2(dY)$$

for  $h \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Z})$ . In particular, if  $h \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Z})$  satisfies  $h(Z) = h(\Gamma Z \Gamma')$  for all  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_p$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ , then  $h(\phi(X, Y)) = h(Y)$  and we have the identity

$$\int h(Z) dZ = \int h(Y) \nu_2(dY).$$

It is quite difficult to give a rigorous derivation of the measure  $\nu_2$  without the theory of differential forms. In fact, it is not obvious that  $\nu_2$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . The subject of this example is considered again in later chapters. ◆

## PROBLEMS

1. Let  $M$  be a proper subspace for  $V$  and set

$$G(M) = \{g \mid g \in Gl(V), g(M) = M\}$$

where  $g(M) = \{x \mid x = gv \text{ for some } v \in M\}$ .

- (i) Show that  $g(M) = M$  iff  $g(M) \subseteq M$  for  $g \in Gl(V)$  and show that  $G(M)$  is a group.

Now, assume  $V = R^p$  and, for  $x \in R^p$ , write  $x = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$  with  $y \in R^q$  and  $z \in R^r$ ,  $q + r = p$ . Let  $M = \{x \mid x = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, y \in R^q\}$ .

- (ii) For  $g \in Gl_p$ , partition  $g$  as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{11} \text{ is } q \times q.$$

Show that  $g \in G(M)$  iff  $g_{11} \in Gl_q$ ,  $g_{22} \in Gl_r$ , and  $g_{21} = 0$ . For such  $g$  show that

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}^{-1} & -g_{11}^{-1}g_{12}g_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & g_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (iii) Verify that  $G_1 = \{g \in G(M) | g_{11} = I_q, g_{12} = 0\}$  and  $G_2 = \{g \in G(M) | g_{22} = I_r\}$  are subgroups of  $G(M)$  and  $G_2$  is a normal subgroup of  $G(M)$ .
- (iv) Show that  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{I\}$  and show that each  $g$  can be written uniquely as  $g = hk$  with  $h \in G_1$  and  $k \in G_2$ . Conclude that, if  $g_i = h_i k_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $g_1 g_2 = h_3 k_3$ , where  $h_3 = h_1 h_2$  and  $k_3 = h_2^{-1} k_1 h_2 k_2$ , is the unique representation of  $g_1 g_2$  with  $h_3 \in G_1$  and  $k_3 \in G_2$ .
2. Let  $G(M)$  be as in [Problem 1](#). Does  $G(M)$  act transitively on  $V - \{0\}$ ? Does  $G(M)$  act transitively on  $V \cap M^c$  where  $M^c$  is the complement of the set  $M$  in  $V$ ?
  3. Show that  $\Theta_n$  is a compact subset of  $R^m$  with  $m = n^2$ . Show that  $\Theta_n$  is a topological group when  $\Theta_n$  has the topology inherited from  $R^m$ . If  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism from  $\Theta_n$  to the multiplicative group  $(0, \infty)$ , show that  $\chi(\Gamma) = 1$  for all  $\Gamma \in \Theta_n$ .
  4. Suppose  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $(0, \infty)$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . Show that  $\chi(x) = x^\alpha$  for some real number  $\alpha$ .
  5. Show that  $\Theta_n$  is a compact subgroup of  $Gl_n$  and show that  $G_U^+$  (of dimension  $n \times n$ ) is a closed subgroup of  $Gl_n$ . Show that the uniqueness of the representation  $A = \Gamma U$  ( $A \in Gl_n$ ,  $\Gamma \in \Theta_n$ ,  $U \in G_U^+$ ) is equivalent to  $\Theta_n \cap G_U^+ = \{I_n\}$ . Show that neither  $\Theta_n$  nor  $G_U^+$  is a normal subgroup of  $Gl_n$ .
  6. Let  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  be an inner product space.
    - (i) For fixed  $v \in V$ , show that  $\chi$  defined by  $\chi(x) = \exp[(v, x)]$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $V$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . Here  $V$  is a group under addition.

- (ii) If  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $V$ , show that  $\chi(x) = \log \chi(x)$  is a linear function on  $V$ . Conclude that  $\chi(x) = \exp[(v, x)]$  for some  $v \in V$ .
7. Suppose  $\chi$  is a continuous homomorphism defined on  $Gl_n$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . Using the steps outlined below, show that  $\chi(A) = |\det A|^\alpha$  for some real  $\alpha$ .
- First show that  $\chi(\Gamma) = 1$  for  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ .
  - Write  $A = \Gamma D \Delta$  with  $\Gamma, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $D$  diagonal with positive diagonals  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Show that  $\chi(A) = \chi(D)$ .
  - Next, write  $D = \prod D_i(\lambda_i)$  where  $D_i(c)$  is diagonal with all diagonal elements equal to one except the  $i$ th diagonal element, which is  $c$ . Conclude that  $\chi(D) = \prod \chi(D_i(\lambda_i))$ .
  - Show that  $D_i(c) = P D_1(c) P'$  for some permutation matrix  $P \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . Using this, show that  $\chi(D) = \chi(D_1(\lambda))$  where  $\lambda = \prod \lambda_i$ .
  - For  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ , set  $\xi(\lambda) = \chi(D_1(\lambda))$  and show that  $\xi$  is a continuous homomorphism on  $(0, \infty)$  to  $(0, \infty)$  so  $\xi(\lambda) = \lambda^\beta$  for some real  $\beta$ . Now, complete the proof of  $\chi(A) = |\det A|^\alpha$ .
8. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all rank  $r$  orthogonal projections on  $R^n$  to  $R^n$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ ).
- Show that  $\mathcal{O}_n$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{X}$  via the action  $x \rightarrow \Gamma x \Gamma'$ ,  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . For

$$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{X}.$$

what is the isotropy subgroup? Show that the representation of  $x$  in this case is  $x = \psi \psi'$  where  $\psi: n \times r$  consists of the first  $r$  columns of  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{O}_n$ .

- The group  $\mathcal{O}_r$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}_{r,n}$  by  $\psi \rightarrow \psi \Delta'$ ,  $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_r$ . This induces an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{F}_{r,n}$  ( $\psi_1 \cong \psi_2$  iff  $\psi_1 = \psi_2 \Delta'$  for some  $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_r$ ), and hence defines a quotient space. Show that the map  $[\psi] \rightarrow \psi \psi'$  defines a one-to-one onto map from this quotient space to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Here  $[\psi]$  is the equivalence class of  $\psi$ .
9. Following the steps outlined below, show that every continuous homomorphism on  $G_T^\pm$  to  $(0, \infty)$  has the form  $\chi(T) = \prod_1^p (t_{ii})^{c_i}$  where  $T: p \times p$  has diagonal elements  $t_{11}, \dots, t_{pp}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_p$  are real numbers.

(i) Let

$$G_1 = \left\{ T|T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, T_{11} : (p-1) \times (p-1) \right\}$$

and

$$G_2 = \left\{ T|T = \begin{pmatrix} I_{p-1} & 0 \\ T_{21} & t_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Show that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are subgroups of  $G_T^+$  and  $G_2$  is normal. Show that every  $T$  has a unique representation as  $T = hk$  with  $h \in G_1, k \in G_2$ .

- (ii) An induction assumption yields  $\chi(h) = \prod_1^{p-1} (t_{ii})^{c_i}$ . Also for  $T = hk, \chi(T) = \chi(h)\chi(k)$ .
- (iii) Show that  $\chi(k) = (t_{pp})^{c_p}$  for some real  $c_p$ .

10. Evaluate the integral  $I_\gamma = \int |X'X|^\gamma \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} X'X] dX$  where  $X$  ranges over all  $n \times p$  matrices of rank  $p$ . In particular, for what values of  $\gamma$  is this integral finite?

11. In the notation of [Problems 1](#) and [2](#), find all of the relatively invariant integrals on  $R^p \cap M^c$  under the action of  $G(M)$ .

12. In  $R^n$ , let  $\mathfrak{X} = \{x|x \in R^n, x \notin \text{span}\{e\}\}$ . Also, let  $S_{n-1}(e) = \{x||x| = 1, x \in R^n, x'e = 0\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{Y} = R^1 \times (0, \infty) \times S_{n-1}(e)$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ , set  $\bar{x} = n^{-1}e'x$  and set  $s^2(x) = \sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2$ . Define a mapping  $\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $\mathfrak{Y}$  by  $\tau(x) = \{\bar{x}, s, (x - \bar{x}e)/s\}$ .

- (i) Show that  $\tau$  is one-to-one, onto and find  $\tau^{-1}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{O}_n(e) = \{\Gamma|\Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_n, \Gamma e = e\}$  and consider a group  $G$  defined by  $G = \{(a, b, \Gamma)|a \in (0, \infty), b \in R^1, \Gamma \in \mathfrak{O}_n(e)\}$  with group composition given by  $(a_1, b_1, \Gamma_1)(a_2, b_2, \Gamma_2) = (a_1a_2, a_1b_2 + b_1, \Gamma_1\Gamma_2)$ . Define  $G$  acting on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  by  $(a, b, \Gamma)x = a\Gamma x + be, x \in \mathfrak{X}, (a, b, \Gamma)(u, v, w) = (au + b, av, \Gamma w)$  for  $(u, v, w) \in \mathfrak{Y}$ .
- (ii) Show that  $\tau(gx) = g\tau(x), g \in G$ .
- (iii) Show that the measure  $\mu(dx) = dx/s^n$  is an invariant measure on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (iv) Let  $\gamma(dw)$  be the unique  $\mathfrak{O}_n(e)$  invariant probability measure on  $S_{n-1}(e)$ . Show that the measure

$$\nu(d(u, v, w)) = du \frac{dv}{v^2} \gamma(dw)$$

is an invariant measure on  $\mathfrak{Y}$ .



- (v) Prove that  $\int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(x) \mu(dx) = k \int_{\mathfrak{Y}} f(\tau^{-1}(y)) \nu(dy)$  for all integrable  $f$  where  $k$  is a fixed constant. Find  $k$ .
- (vi) Suppose a random vector  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  has a density (with respect to  $dx$ ) given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma^n} h\left(\frac{\|x - \delta e\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right), \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}$$

where  $\delta \in R^1$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are parameters. Find the joint density of  $\bar{X}$  and  $s$ .

13. Let  $\mathfrak{X} = R^n - \{0\}$  and consider  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  with an  $\mathcal{O}_n$ -invariant distribution. Define  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $(0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F}_{1,n}$  by  $\phi(x) = (\|x\|, x/\|x\|)$ . The group  $\mathcal{O}_n$  acts on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F}_{1,n}$  by  $\Gamma(u, v) = (u, \Gamma v)$ . Show that  $\phi(\Gamma x) = \Gamma\phi(x)$  and use this to prove that:
- $\|X\|$  and  $X/\|X\|$  are independent.
  - $X/\|X\|$  has a uniform distribution on  $\mathfrak{F}_{1,n}$ .
14. Let  $\mathfrak{X} = \{x \in R^n | x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{Y} = \{y \in R^n | y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n\}$ . Also, let  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  be the group of  $n \times n$  permutation matrices so  $\mathfrak{P}_n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  acts on  $\mathfrak{X}$  by  $x \rightarrow gx$ .
- Show that the map  $\phi(g, y) = gy$  is one-to-one and onto from  $\mathfrak{P}_n \times \mathfrak{Y}$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Describe  $\phi^{-1}$ .
  - Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  be a random vector such that  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(gX)$  for  $g \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ . Write  $\phi^{-1}(X) = (P(X), Y(X))$  where  $P(X) \in \mathfrak{P}_n$  and  $Y(X) \in \mathfrak{Y}$ . Show that  $P(X)$  and  $Y(X)$  are independent and that  $P(X)$  has a uniform distribution on  $\mathfrak{P}_n$ .

## NOTES AND REFERENCES

- For an alternative to Nachbin's treatment of invariant integrals, see Segal and Kunze (1978).
- [Proposition 6.10](#) is the Radon measure version of a result due to Farrell (see Farrell, 1976). The extension of [Proposition 6.10](#) to relatively invariant integrals that are unique up to constant is immediate—the proof of [Proposition 6.10](#) is valid.
- For the form of the measure  $\nu_2$  in [Example 6.21](#), see Deemer and Olkin (1951), Farrell (1976), or Muirhead (1982).