A TRANSMUTATION PROPERTY OF THE GENERALIZED ABEL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH ROOT SYSTEM A2

R. J. Beerends *

Let Σ be a root system of type A_2 in a real two dimensional Euclidean space a. Let W denote the Weyl group of Σ and $\mathcal{D}_{W}(\alpha)$ the space of Winvariant \mathcal{C}^{∞} -functions on a with compact support. Choose a positive Weyl chamber α^+ . For $f \in \mathcal{D}_{W}(\alpha)$ and a complex parameter *m* with positive real part we define (as in [2]) an integral transform on α^+ which coincides, for certain values of the parameter *m*, with the Abel transform on some symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. An important property of the Abel transform is that it intertwines the radial part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on these symmetric spaces with the ordinary Laplacian on α . In this note we state the result that the generalized Abel transform as introduced in [2] also satisfies this transmutation property. Detailed proofs will appear elsewhere.

In \mathbb{R}^3 we have the standard basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for which this basis is orthonormal. Let a denote the hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^3 orthogonal to the vector $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$. The inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 induces an inner product on a which we shall also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We identify the dual of \mathbb{R}^3 with \mathbb{R}^3 and the dual a* with a by means of this inner product.

The root system of type A_2 can be identified with the set $\Sigma = (\pm (e_1 - e_2), \pm (e_1 - e_3), \pm (e_2 - e_3))$ in a. For Σ we take as basis $\Delta = \{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}$ and we denote by Σ^{\dagger} the set of positive roots with respect to Δ . The positive Weyl chamber will be denoted by a[†]. Let W denote the Weyl group of Σ . For $m \in \mathbb{C}$ we define L(m), the so-called radial part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with A_2 , by

(1)
$$L(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + m \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} \operatorname{coth}(x_i - x_j) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

* Research supported partially by a grant from the Netherlands organization for the advancement of pure research (Z.W.O.).