3. Axiomatic set theory. Axioms of Zermelo and Fraenkel

The discovery of the antinomies made it clear that a revision of the principles of set theory was necessary. The attempt to improve set theory which is best known among mathematicians is the axiomatic theory first set forth by Zermelo. I shall expose his theory in a somewhat more precise form, replacing his vague notion "definite Aussage" (= definite statement) by the notion proposition or propositional function in the first order predicate calculus. We assume that we are dealing with a domain D of objects together with the membership relation ϵ , so that all propositions are built up from atomic propositions of the form $x \epsilon y$ by use of the logical connectives &, v, -, \rightarrow (and, or, not, if - when) and the quantifiers (x), (Ex) (for all x, for some x). Then the following axioms are assumed valid. I write them both in logical symbols and in ordinary language.

1. Axiom of extensionality.

If x and y have just the same elements, then x = y. In symbols

 $(z)(z \epsilon x \rightarrow z \epsilon y) \rightarrow (x = y)$

Here x = y has the usual meaning, so that

$$(x = y) \rightarrow (U(x) \rightarrow U(y)),$$

where U is an arbitrary predicate. Hence we also have

 $(x = y) \rightarrow (z)(x \in z \rightarrow y \in z)$

- 2. Axiom of the small sets.
 - a) There exists a set without elements denoted by the symbol 0. Because of 1. there can be only one such set.

b) For every object m in D there exits a set $\{m\}$ containing m, but only m, as element,

$$(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\epsilon}\mathbf{y} \& (\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{\epsilon}\mathbf{y} \rightarrow (\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x})))$$

c) For all m and n in D there exists a set $\{m, n\}$ containing m and n, but only these, as elements.

 $(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{\epsilon}\mathbf{z} \& \mathbf{y}\mathbf{\epsilon}\mathbf{z} \& (\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{\epsilon}\mathbf{z} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}) \lor (\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{y})))$.

Of course b) might be omitted because it follows from c) by putting n = m.

3. Axiom of separation.

Let C(x) be a propositional function with x as the only free variable, and m an arbitrary set. Then there exists a set consisting of all elements x of m having the property C(x).

$$(x)(Ey)(z)(z \in y \rightarrow C(z) \& z \in x)$$