

## Appendix A

### ANOTHER PROOF OF A LEMMA BY SCHNEIDER

The lemma by Schneider proved in §3 of Chapter 6 may also be obtained by means of a different method. This method has the advantage of leading to a slightly stronger result. It is due to my former colleague, G.E.H. Reuter, now professor of mathematics at the University of Durham.

1. A special case of Taylor's formula with Lagrange's error term states that if  $f(x)$  is four times differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $x=0$ , then

$$f(x) = f(0) + f'(0)\frac{x}{1!} + f''(0)\frac{x^2}{2!} + f'''(0)\frac{x^3}{3!} + f^{IV}(\xi)\frac{x^4}{4!}$$

where  $\xi$  is a number between 0 and  $x$ . Let us apply this formula to the function  $f(x)=\log \cosh x$  for non-negative values of  $x$ . Then

$$f'(x) = \tanh x, \quad f''(x) = \cosh^{-2}x, \quad f'''(x) = -2 \sinh x \cosh^{-3}x$$

and

$$f^{IV}(x) = 4 \cosh^{-2}x - 6 \cosh^{-4}x.$$

The fourth derivative assumes its maximum when  $\cosh x = \sqrt{3}$ , and so

$$f^{IV}(x) \leq \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

It follows therefore that

$$\log \cosh x \leq \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{x^4}{24},$$

and hence that

$$(1): \quad \cosh x \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{36}x^4\right) \quad \text{if } x \geq 0.$$

2. Let again  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  be  $m$  positive integers; let further  $s, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$  be  $m+1$  positive numbers. We denote by  $N$  the number of sets of  $m$  integers  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  satisfying the inequalities

$$(2): \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq r_1, \dots, 0 \leq i_m \leq r_m, \quad \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{i_h}{\rho_h} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{r_h}{\rho_h},$$

or, what is the same, the number of such sets satisfying

$$(3): \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq r_1, \dots, 0 \leq i_m \leq r_m, \quad \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{i_h}{\rho_h} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}+s\right) \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{r_h}{\rho_h}.$$

That both systems (2) and (3) have the same number of integral solutions is obvious because the transformation

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) \rightarrow (r_1 - i_1, \dots, r_m - i_m)$$

interchanges their solutions.