

## 27 Kleene Separation Theorem

We begin by defining the hyperarithmetic subsets of  $\omega^\omega$ . We continue with our view of Borel sets as well-founded trees with little dohickey's (basic clopen sets) attached to its terminal nodes.

A *code for a hyperarithmetic set* is a triple  $(T, p, q)$  where  $T$  is a recursive well-founded subtree of  $\omega^{<\omega}$ ,  $p : T^{>0} \rightarrow 2$  is recursive, and  $q : T^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a recursive map, where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of basic clopen subsets of  $\omega^\omega$  including the empty set. Given a code  $(T, p, q)$  we define  $\langle C_s : s \in T \rangle$  as follows.

- if  $s$  is a terminal node of  $T$ , then

$$C_s = q(s)$$

- if  $s$  is a not a terminal node and  $p(s) = 0$ , then

$$C_s = \bigcup \{C_{s \cdot n} : s \cdot n \in T\},$$

and

- if  $s$  is a not a terminal node and  $p(s) = 1$ , then

$$C_s = \bigcap \{C_{s \cdot n} : s \cdot n \in T\}.$$

Here we are being a little more flexible by allowing unions and intersections at various nodes.

Finally, the set  $C$  coded by  $(T, p, q)$  is the set  $C_{\langle \rangle}$ . A set  $C \subseteq \omega^\omega$  is hyperarithmetic iff it is coded by some recursive  $(T, p, q)$ .

**Theorem 27.1** (Kleene [53]) *Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint  $\Sigma_1^1$  subsets of  $\omega^\omega$ . Then there exists a hyperarithmetic set  $C$  which separates them, i.e.,  $A \subseteq C$  and  $C \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

proof:

This amounts basically to a constructive proof of the classical Separation Theorem 26.1.

Let  $A = p[T_A]$  and  $B = p[T_B]$  where  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  are recursive subtrees of  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\omega^n \times \omega^n)$ , and

$$p[T_A] = \{y : \exists x \forall n \ (x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n) \in T_A\}$$

and similarly for  $p[T_B]$ . Now define the tree

$$T = \{(u, v, t) : (u, t) \in T_A \text{ and } (v, t) \in T_B\}.$$

Notice that  $T$  is recursive tree which is well-founded. Any infinite branch thru  $T$  would give a point in the intersection of  $A$  and  $B$  which would contradict the fact that they are disjoint.