## 21 Mansfield-Solovay Theorem

**Theorem 21.1** (Mansfield [70], Solovay [101]) If  $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  is a  $\Sigma_2^1$  set with constructible parameter which contains a nonconstructible element of  $\omega^{\omega}$ , then A contains a perfect set which is coded in L.

## proof:

By Shoenfield's Theorem 20.1, we may assume A = p[T] where  $T \in L$  and  $T \subseteq \bigcup_{n < \omega} \omega_1^n \times \omega^n$ . Working in L define the following decreasing sequence of subtrees as follows.

 $T_0 = T$ ,

 $T_{\lambda} = \bigcap_{\beta < \lambda} T_{\beta}$ , if  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal, and

 $T_{\alpha+1} = \{(r, s) \in T_{\alpha} : \exists (r_0, s_0), (r_1, s_1) \in T_{\alpha} \text{ such that } (r_0, s_0), (r_1, s_1) \text{ extend}$ (r, s), and  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  are incompatible}.

Each  $T_{\alpha}$  is tree, and for  $\alpha < \beta$  we have  $T_{\beta} \subseteq T_{\alpha}$ . Thus there exists some  $\alpha_0$  such that  $T_{\alpha_0+1} = T_{\alpha_0}$ .

**Claim:**  $[T_{\alpha_0}]$  is nonempty.

## proof:

Let  $(x, y) \in [T]$  be any pair with y not constructible. Since A = p[T] and A is not a subset of L, such a pair must exist. Prove by induction on  $\alpha$  that  $(x, y) \in [T_{\alpha}]$ . This is easy for  $\alpha$  a limit ordinal. So suppose  $(x, y) \in [T_{\alpha}]$  but  $(x, y) \notin [T_{\alpha+1}]$ . By the definition it must be that there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $(x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n) = (r, s) \notin T_{\alpha+1}$ . But in L we can define the tree:

$$T_{\alpha}^{(r,s)} = \{ (\hat{r}, \hat{s}) \in T_{\alpha} : (\hat{r}, \hat{s}) \subseteq (r,s) \text{ or } (r,s) \subseteq (\hat{r}, \hat{s}) \}$$

which has the property that  $p[T_{\alpha}^{(r,s)}] = \{y\}$ . But by absoluteness of well-founded trees, it must be that there exists  $(u, y_0) \in [T_{\alpha}^{(r,s)}]$  with  $(u, y_0) \in L$ . But then  $y_0 = y \in L$  which is a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Since  $T_{\alpha_0+1} = T_{\alpha_0}$ , it follows that for every  $(r, s) \in T_{\alpha_0}$  there exist

$$(r_0, s_0), (r_1, s_1) \in T_{\alpha_0}$$

such that  $(r_0, s_0), (r_1, s_1)$  extend (r, s) and  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  are incompatible. This allows us to build by induction (working in L):

$$\langle (r_{\sigma}, s_{\sigma}) : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$$

with  $(r_{\sigma}, s_{\sigma}) \in T_{\alpha_0}$  and for each  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$   $(r_{\sigma_0}, s_{\sigma_0}), (r_{\sigma_1}, s_{\sigma_1})$  extend  $(r_{\sigma}, s_{\sigma})$ and  $s_{\sigma_0}$  and  $s_{\sigma_1}$  are incompatible. For any  $q \in 2^{\omega}$  define

$$x_q = \bigcup_{n < \omega} r_{q \restriction n}$$
 and  $y_q = \bigcup_{n < \omega} s_{q \restriction n}$ .

Then we have that  $(x_q, y_q) \in [T_{\alpha_0}]$  and therefore  $P = \{y_q : q \in 2^{\omega}\}$  is a perfect set such that

$$P \subseteq p[T_{\alpha_0}] \subseteq p[T] = A$$