

20 Shoenfield Absoluteness

For a tree $T \subseteq \bigcup_{n < \omega} \kappa^n \times \omega^n$ define

$$p[T] = \{y \in \omega^\omega : \exists x \in \kappa^\omega \forall n (x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n) \in T\}.$$

A set defined this way is called κ -Souslin. Thus Σ_1^1 sets are precisely the ω -Souslin sets. Note that if $A \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$ and $A = p[T]$ then the projection of A , $\{y : \exists x \in \omega^\omega (x, y) \in A\}$ is κ -Souslin. To see this let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \kappa \times \omega \rightarrow \kappa$ be a pairing function. For $s \in \kappa^n$ let $s_0 \in \kappa^n$ and $s_1 \in \omega^n$ be defined by $s(i) = \langle s_0(i), s_1(i) \rangle$. Let T^* be the tree defined by

$$T^* = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{(s, t) \in \kappa^n \times \omega^n : (s_0, s_1, t) \in T\}.$$

Then $p[T^*] = \{y : \exists x \in \omega^\omega (x, y) \in A\}$.

Theorem 20.1 (Shoenfield [96]) *If A is a Σ_2^1 set, then A is ω_1 -Souslin set coded in L , i.e. $A = p[T]$ where $T \in L$.*

proof:

From the construction of T^* it is clear that is enough to see this for A which is Π_1^1 .

We know that a countable tree is well-founded iff there exists a rank function $r : T \rightarrow \omega_1$. Suppose

$$x \in A \text{ iff } \forall y \exists n (x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n) \notin T$$

where T is a recursive tree. So defining $T_x = \{t : (x \upharpoonright |t|, t) \in T\}$ we have that $x \in A$ iff T_x is well-founded (Theorem 17.4).

The ω_1 tree \hat{T} is just the tree of partial rank functions. Let $\{s_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a recursive listing of $\omega^{<\omega}$ with $|s_n| \leq n$. Then for every $N < \omega$, and $(r, s) \in \omega_1^N \times \omega^N$ we have $(r, t) \in \hat{T}$ iff

$$\forall n, m < N [(t, s_n), (t, s_m) \in T \text{ and } s_n \subset s_m] \text{ implies } r(n) > r(m).$$

Then $A = p[\hat{T}]$. To see this, note that if $x \in A$, then T_x is well-founded and so it has a rank function and therefore there exists r with $(x, r) \in [\hat{T}]$ and so $x \in p[\hat{T}]$. On the other hand if $(x, r) \in [\hat{T}]$, then r determines a rank function on T_x and so T_x is well-founded and hence $x \in A$. ■

Theorem 20.2 (Shoenfield Absoluteness [96]) *If $M \subseteq N$ are transitive models of ZFC^* and $\omega_1^N \subseteq M$, then for any $\Sigma_2^1(x)$ sentence θ with parameter $x \in M$*

$$M \models \theta \text{ iff } N \models \theta.$$