ON THE GEOMETRY OF U-RANK 2 TYPES

STEVEN BUECHLER and LUDOMIR NEWELSKI

Abstract. Let T be a countable superstable theory with $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ countable models. We solve the algebraic problem from [Ne4, §4]. In particular, in some cases we complete the countable classification of skeletal *p* of *U*-rank 2 (cf. [Bu4]).

 $§0.$ Introduction. Throughout the paper we assume that T is a complete countable superstable theory with $\langle 2^{R_0} \rangle$ countable models. For the background from stability theory see [Sh], [Ba], [Bu1], or [P]. The results in [Bu2] suggest that if T has infinite U -rank then every countable model M of T is determined by a subset *A* of M, called its skeleton (cf. [Bu4]). Hence in the course of proving Vaught's conjecture we have to determine possible isomorphism types of skeletons. The easiest non-trivial case we faced in [Bu4] and [Ne4] was as follows. Assume $p \in S(\emptyset)$ is stationary, non-isolated, has U-rank 2, and if b realizes p then for some $a \in \text{acl}(b)$, $U(a) = 1$ and $\text{tp}(b/a)$ is non-isolated. Let $I(p, \kappa)$ be the number of isomorphism types of sets $p(M)$ of power κ , where M is a model of T. We wanted to prove that $I(T, \aleph_0) < 2^{\aleph_0}$ implies $I(p, \aleph_0) \leq \aleph_0$. Anyway, considering $I(p, \aleph_0)$ seems to be a necessary step on a way to prove Vaught's conjecture for superstable *T.* Let us recall the main path of reasoning from [Bu4] and [Ne4] thus far.

For a, b as above let $q = \text{tp}(a/\emptyset)$ and $p_a = \text{tp}(b/a)$. We want to count, up to isomorphism of the monster model \mathfrak{C} , the number of sets $p(M)$, where *M* is countable. $p(M)$ is the union of sets $p_{a'}(M)$, where $a' \in M$ realizes q. *q* has finite multiplicity hence by adding an element of $\text{acl}(\emptyset)$ to the signature we can assume that *q* is stationary. Throughout we assume *T =* Teq . Further on in determining the structure of $p(M)$ we can easily dispose with the cases when *p^a* is strongly minimal or trivial. Hence we can assume that *p^a* is properly minimal and non-trivial. Then [Nel] implies that *p^a* has finite multiplicity, and ${\rm [Bu1]}$ gives that every stationarization of p_a is locally modular. Similarly we can assume that for b realizing $p_a,$ $\operatorname{stp}(b/a)$ is not modular, non-orthogonal to \varnothing and almost orthogonal to \emptyset . In particular, p_a is weakly orthogonal to $q \mid a$. Also, we $\text{can assume that all stationaryations of types } p_a, \, a \in q(\mathfrak{C}), \, \text{are non-orthogonal}. \, \, \text{If}$ $q(M)$ has finite acl-dimension then $p(M)$ can be characterized up to isomorphism just as in $[Bu2]$. Hence we can assume that for every countable M we consider, $q(M)$ has dimension \aleph_0 , and $Q = q(M)$ is fixed. As $p(M) = \bigcup \{p_a(M) : a \in Q\},$ classifying the structure of $p(M)$ amounts to describing how the weakly minimal ${\rm sets \ } p_{\pmb a}(M), \, a \in Q, \, {\rm can \ be \ arranged \ together \ to \ form \ } p(M). \ \ The \ types \ p_{\pmb a}, \, \pmb a \in Q,$ are non-orthogonal, so the main difficulty lies in that we are not free in deciding