

32 Σ_1^1 equivalence relations

Theorem 32.1 (Burgess [14]) *Suppose E is a Σ_1^1 equivalence relation. Then either E has $\leq \omega_1$ equivalence classes or there exists a perfect set of pairwise E -inequivalent reals.*

proof:

We will need to prove the boundedness theorem for this result. Define

$$WF = \{T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega} : T \text{ is a well-founded tree}\}.$$

For $\alpha < \omega_1$ define $WF_{<\alpha}$ to be the subset of WF of all well-founded trees of rank $< \alpha$. WF is a complete Π_1^1 set, i.e., for every $B \subseteq \omega^\omega$ which is Π_1^1 there exists a continuous map f such that $f^{-1}(B) = WF$ (see Theorem 17.4). Consequently, WF is not Borel. On the other hand each of the $WF_{<\alpha}$ are Borel.

Lemma 32.2 *For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ the set $WF_{<\alpha}$ is Borel.*

proof:

Define for $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ and $\alpha < \omega_1$

$$WF_{<\alpha}^s = \{T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega} : T \text{ is a tree, } s \in T, r_T(s) < \alpha\}.$$

The fact that $WF_{<\alpha}^s$ is Borel is proved by induction on α . The set of trees is Π_1^0 . For λ a limit

$$WF_{<\lambda}^s = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} WF_{<\alpha}^s.$$

For a successor $\alpha + 1$

$$T \in WF_{<\alpha+1}^s \text{ iff } s \in T \text{ and } \forall n (s \hat{\ } n \in T \rightarrow T \in WF_{<\alpha}^{\hat{\ } n}).$$

■

Another way to prove this is take a tree T of rank α and note that $WF_{<\alpha} = \{\hat{T} : \hat{T} \prec T\}$ and this set is Δ_1^1 and hence Borel by Theorem 26.1.

Lemma 32.3 (Boundedness) *If $A \subseteq WF$ is Σ_1^1 , then there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $A \subseteq WF_\alpha$.*

proof:

Suppose no such α exists. Then

$$T \in WF \text{ iff there exists } \hat{T} \in A \text{ such that } T \preceq \hat{T}.$$

But this would give a Σ_1^1 definition of WF , contradiction.

■

There is also a lightface version of the boundedness theorem, i.e., if A is a Σ_1^1 subset of WF , then there exists a recursive ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$ such that $A \subseteq WF_{<\alpha}$. Otherwise,