

## 7. DEGREES OF INTERPRETABILITY

Suppose  $PA \dashv T$ . We shall use  $A, B$ , etc. for extensions of  $T$ . (Thus,  $T, A, B$ , etc. are essentially reflexive.) The relation  $\leq$  of interpretability is reflexive and transitive. Thus, the relation  $\equiv$  of mutual interpretability (restricted to extensions of  $T$ ) is an equivalence relation; its equivalence classes will be called *degrees (of interpretability)* and will be written  $a, b, c$ , etc.  $D_T$  is the set of degrees of extensions of  $T$ .  $A$  is of degree  $a$  if  $A \in a$  and  $d(A)$  is the degree of  $A$ . The relation  $\leq$  among degrees is the relation induced by the relation  $\leq$  among theories:  $d(A) \leq d(B)$  iff  $A \leq B$ .  $D_T = (D_T, \leq)$ , the partially ordered set of degrees defined in this way, will be studied in some detail in this chapter.

**§1. Algebraic properties.** In this § we restrict ourselves to purely algebraic properties of  $D_T$ . First we define the theory  $A^T$  and the operations  $\downarrow$  and  $\uparrow$  on theories as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A^T &= T + \{\text{Con}_{A|k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ A \downarrow B &= T + \{\text{Con}_{A|k} \vee \text{Con}_{B|k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ A \uparrow B &= T + \{\text{Con}_{A|k} \wedge \text{Con}_{B|k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.6, we get the following:

- Lemma 1.** (a)  $A \leq B$  iff  $A^T \dashv B$ . Thus,  $A^T \equiv A$  and  $A \leq B$  iff  $A^T \dashv B^T$ .  
 (b)  $A \leq B, C$  iff  $A \leq B \downarrow C$ ,  
 (c)  $A, B \leq C$  iff  $A \uparrow B \leq C$ .

The following lemma is little more than a restatement of Lemma 4.4.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\theta$  is  $\Pi_1$  and  $A \vdash \theta$ , there is a  $k$  such that  $PA \vdash \text{Con}_{A|k} \rightarrow \theta$ .

Instead of  $A \downarrow B$  it is sometimes convenient to use the theory  $A \vee B$  defined by

$$A \vee B = \{\varphi \vee \psi : \varphi \in A \ \& \ \psi \in B\}.$$

$\text{Th}(A \vee B) = \text{Th}(A) \cap \text{Th}(B)$ . Evidently,  $A \downarrow B \dashv A \vee B$  and, by Lemma 2,  $A \vee B \dashv_{\Pi_1} A \downarrow B$ . But then, by Theorem 6.6, that  $A \vee B \leq A \downarrow B$  and so  $A \vee B \equiv A \downarrow B$ . It follows that for every sentence  $\varphi$ ,  $(A + \varphi) \downarrow (A + \neg\varphi) \leq A$ .

From Lemma 2 and Lemma 6.1 we get:

**Lemma 3.** For every  $\Pi_1$  sentence  $\pi$ ,  $T + \pi \leq A \uparrow B$  iff  $A \uparrow B \vdash \pi$  iff there are  $\Pi_1$  sentences  $\varphi, \psi$  such that  $A \vdash \varphi$ ,  $B \vdash \psi$ , and  $T + \varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \pi$ .

For  $A \in a$  and  $B \in b$ , let  $a \cap b = d(A \downarrow B)$  and  $a \cup b = d(A \uparrow B)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\cap$  and  $\cup$