

# OPTIMUM MULTIVARIATE DESIGNS

R. H. FARRELL,<sup>1</sup> J. KIEFER,<sup>1</sup> and A. WALBRAN  
CORNELL UNIVERSITY

## 1. Introduction

1.1. *Notation and preliminaries.* This paper is concerned with the computation of optimum designs in certain multivariate polynomial regression settings.

Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$  be a vector of  $k$  real-valued continuous linearly independent functions on a compact set  $X$ . We shall work in the realm of the approximate theory discussed in many of the references, wherein a design is a probability measure  $\xi$  (which can be taken to be discrete) on  $X$ . The information matrix  $M(\xi)$  of the design  $\xi$  for problems where the regression function is  $\sum_1^k \theta_i f_i(x)$  (with  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$  unknown and with uncorrelated homoscedastic observations and quadratic loss considerations of best linear unbiased estimators) has elements  $m_{ij}(\xi) = \int f_i f_j d\xi$ . Thus,  $\det M^{-1}(\xi)$  is proportional to the generalized variance of the best linear estimators of all  $\theta_i$ . We denote by  $\Gamma$  the space of all  $M(\xi)$ . We shall have occasion to consider the set of all *distinct* functions of the form  $f_i f_j$ ,  $i \geq j$ , and shall write them as  $\{\phi_t, 1 \leq t \leq p\}$ . We then write  $\mu_t(\xi) = \int \phi_t d\xi$ . Whether or not some  $\phi_t$  is a nonzero constant (as it is in our polynomial examples), we define  $\phi_0(x) \equiv 1$  and  $\mu_0 = 1$ .

The main results of this paper characterize, for certain  $X$  and  $f$ , some designs  $\xi^*$  which are  $D$ -optimum; that is, for which

$$(1.1) \quad \det M(\xi^*) = \max_{\xi} \det M(\xi).$$

Define, for  $M(\xi)$  nonsingular,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d(x, \xi) &= f(x)M^{-1}(\xi)f(x)', \\ \bar{d}(\xi) &= \max_{x \in X} d(x, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

The quantity  $d(x, \xi)$  is proportional to the variance of the best linear estimator of the regression  $f(x)\theta'$  at  $x$ . A result of Kiefer and Wolfowitz [8] is that  $\xi^*$  satisfies (1.1) if and only if it satisfies the  $G$ -(global-) optimality criterion

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{d}(\xi^*) = \min_{\xi} \bar{d}(\xi),$$

and that (1.1) and (1.3) are satisfied if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{d}(\xi^*) = k.$$

If the support of an optimum design is exactly  $k$  points, then  $\xi$  is uniform on those points. Our main way of finding  $D$ - and  $G$ -optimum (hereafter simply

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by ONR Contract Nonr-401(03).