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## 1. Introduction

Let $s=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right.$, ad inf) be a sequence of independent and identically distributed observations on a variable $x$ with distribution depending on a parameter $\theta$ taking values in a set $\theta$. Let $\theta_{0}$ be a subset of $\theta$ and consider the null hypothesis that $\theta$ is in $\Theta_{0}$. For each $n$, let $T_{n}=T_{n}\left(x_{i}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be a real-valued statistic such that, in testing the hypothesis, large values of $T_{n}$ are significant. For any given $s$, let $L_{n}(s)$ be the level attained by $T_{n}$ in the given case; that is, $L_{n}(s)$ is the maximum probability (consistent with $\theta$ in $\Theta_{0}$ ) of obtaining a value of $T_{n}$ as large or larger than $T_{n}(s)$. Then, in typical cases, $L_{n}$ is asymptotically distributed uniformly over ( 0,1 ) in the null case, and $L_{n}$ tends to zero in probability, or perhaps even with probability one, in the nonnull case. The rate at which $L_{n}$ tends to zero when a given nonnull $\theta$ obtains is a measure of the asymptotic efficiency of $T_{n}$ against that $\theta$. It is shown in this paper (under very mild restrictions on the family of possible distributions of $x$ ) that $L_{n}$ cannot tend to zero at a rate faster than $[\rho(\theta)]^{n}$ when a nonnull $\theta$ obtains; here $\rho$ is a parametric function defined in terms of the Kullback-Leibler information numbers such that, in typical cases, $0<\rho<1$ (theorem 1). It is also shown (under much more restrictive conditions on the distributions of $x$ ) that if $\hat{T}_{n}$ is (any strictly decreasing function of) the likelihood ratio statistic of Neyman and Pearson [1], and $\hat{L}_{n}$ is the level attained by $\hat{T}_{n}$, then $\hat{L}_{n}$ tends to zero at the rate $[\rho(\theta)]^{n}$ in the nonnull case (theorem 2). In short, the likelihood ratio statistic is an optimal sequence in terms of exact stochastic comparison as described and exemplified in [2], [3], and [4].

Theorems 1 and 2 are stated more precisely in section 2 . Section 3 contains a discussion of these theorems. Proofs are given in sections 4 and 5.

## 2. Theorems

Let $X$ be a space of points $x$, $\mathbb{B}$ a $\sigma$-field of sets of $X$, and for each point $\theta$ in a set $\theta$, let $P_{\theta}$ be a probability measure on $\Theta$. Let $\theta_{0}$ be a given subset of $\theta$.
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