## CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MINIMAL COMPLETE CLASS OF DECISION FUNCTIONS WHEN THE NUMBER OF DISTRIBUTIONS AND DECISIONS IS FINITE

## A. WALD and J. WOLFOWITZ COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

## 1. Introduction

The principal object of the present paper is to prove theorem 2 below. This theorem characterizes the minimal complete class in the problem under consideration, and improves on the result of theorem 1. Theorem 1 has been proved by one of us in much greater generality [1]. The proof given below is new and very expeditious. Another reason for giving the proof of theorem 1 here is that it is the first step in our proof of theorem 2. A different proof of theorem 1, based, like ours, on certain properties of convex bodies in finite Euclidean spaces, was communicated earlier to the authors by Dr. A. Dvoretzky. Theorem 3 gives another characterization of the minimal complete class.

Let x be the generic point of a Euclidean<sup>1</sup> space Z, and  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x)$  be any  $m \ (> 1)$  distinct cumulative probability distributions on Z. The statistician is presented with an observation on the chance variable X which is distributed in Z according to an unknown one of  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ . On the basis of this observation he has to make one of l decisions, say  $d_1, \ldots, d_l$ . The loss incurred when x is the observed point,  $f_i$  is the actual (unknown) distribution, and the decision  $d_j$  is made, is  $W_{ij}(x)$ , where  $W_{ij}(x)$  is a measurable function of x such that

$$\int_{Z} |W_{ij}(x)| df_i < \infty , \qquad i=1,\ldots, m; \quad j=1,\ldots, l.$$

A randomized decision function  $\eta(x)$ , say, hereafter often called "test" for short, is defined as follows:  $\eta(x) = [\eta_1(x), \eta_2(x), \ldots, \eta_l(x)]$  where

- (a)  $\eta(x)$  is defined for all x,
- (b)  $0 \leq \eta_j(x), j = 1, ..., l,$
- (c)  $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \eta_j(x) = 1$  identically in x,
- (d)  $\eta_j(x)$  is measurable,  $j = 1, \ldots, l$ .

This research was done under a contract with the Office of Naval Research.

 $^1$  The extension to general abstract spaces is trivial and we forego it. This entire paper could be given an abstract formulation without the least mathematical difficulty.