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## 1. Introduction

I assume a bivariate distribution of pairs $(y, X)$ in which $y$ has a linear regression on $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X+e \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e, X$ are independently distributed and $E(e \mid X)=0$. However, the measurement of $X$ is subject to error. Thus we actually observe pairs ( $y, x$ ), with $x=X+h$, where $h$ is a random variable representing the error of measurement.

Given a random sample of pairs $(y, x)$, previous writers have discussed various approaches to the problem of making inferences about the line $\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X$, sometimes called the structural relation between $y$ and $X$. In the present context this line might be called "the regression of $y$ on the correct $X$ " to distinguish it from "the regression of $y$ on the fallible $x$." An obviously relevant question is: under assumption (1.1), what is the nature of the regression of $y$ on $x$ ?

Lindley [5] gave the necessary and sufficient conditions that the regression of $y$ on the fallible $x$ be linear in the narrow sense. This means that $E(y \mid x)$ is linear in $x$, or equivalently that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\beta_{0}^{\prime}+\beta_{1}^{\prime} x+e^{\prime} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E\left(e^{\prime} \mid x\right)=0$. This definition does not require that $e^{\prime}$ and $x$ be independently distributed. Lindley's proof assumes that the error of measurement $h$ is distributed independently of $X$. His necessary and sufficient conditions are that Fisher's cumulant function (logarithm of the characteristic function) of $h$ be a multiple of that of $X$. Roughly speaking, this implies that $h$ and $X$ belong to the same class of distributions. Thus if $X$ is distributed as $\chi^{2} \sigma^{2}$, so is $h$, though the degrees of freedom can differ: if $X$ is normal, $h$ must be normal.

Several writers have discussed the corresponding necessary and sufficient conditions if we demand in addition that the residual $e^{\prime}$ in (1.2) be distributed independently of $x$. In particular, Fix [3] showed that if the second moment of
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