

# ON A CLASS OF PROBABILITY SPACES

DAVID BLACKWELL  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

## 1. Introduction

Kolmogorov's model for probability theory [10], in which the basic concept is that of a probability measure  $P$  on a Borel field  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of a space  $\Omega$ , is by now almost universally considered by workers in probability and statistics to be the appropriate one. In 1948, however, three somewhat disturbing examples were published by Dieudonné [2], Andersen and Jessen [1], and Doob [3] and Jessen [9], as follows.

A. (Dieudonné). There exist a pair  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$ , a probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and a Borel subfield  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  for which there is no function  $Q(\omega, E)$  defined for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  with the following properties:  $Q$  is for fixed  $E$  an  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function of  $\omega$ , for fixed  $\omega$  a probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \int_A Q(\omega, E) dP(\omega) = P(A \cap E).$$

B. (Andersen and Jessen). There exist a sequence of pairs  $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{B}_n)$  and a function  $P$  defined for all sets of  $\cup \mathcal{A}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_n$  consists of all subsets of the infinite product space  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \cdots$  in the Borel field determined by sets of the form  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n \times \Omega_{n+1} \times \Omega_{n+2} \times \cdots$ ,  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ , such that  $P$  is countably additive on each  $\mathcal{A}_n$  but not on  $\cup \mathcal{A}_n$ .

C. (Doob, Jessen). There exist a pair  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$ , a probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and two real-valued  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions  $f, g$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$(2) \quad P\{\omega: f \in F, g \in G\} = P\{\omega: f \in F\}P\{\omega: g \in G\}$$

holds for every two linear Borel sets  $F, G$  but not for every two linear sets  $F, G$  for which the three probabilities in (2) are defined.

In each case  $\Omega$  is the unit interval,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel field determined by the Borel sets and one or more sets of outer Lebesgue measure 1 and inner Lebesgue measure 0, and  $P$  consists of a suitable extension of Lebesgue measure to  $\mathcal{B}$ . The fact that  $A, B, C$  cannot happen if  $\Omega$  is a Borel set in a Euclidean space and  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of the Borel subsets of  $\Omega$  is known. For  $A$ , the proof was given by Doob [4], for  $B$  by Kolmogorov [10], and for  $C$  by Hartman [7].

To the extent that  $A, B, C$  violate one's intuitive concept of probability, they suggest that the Kolmogorov model is too general, and that a more restricted concept, in which  $A, B, C$  cannot happen, is worth considering. In their book [5], Gnedenko and Kolmogorov propose a more restricted concept, that of a *perfect* probability space, which is a triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  such that for any real-valued  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function  $f$  and any linear set  $A$  for which  $\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\} \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a Borel set  $B \subset A$  such that

$$(3) \quad P\{\omega: f(\omega) \in B\} = P\{\omega: f(\omega) \in A\}.$$

This investigation was supported (in part) by a research grant from the National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service.