is therefore sufficient to add to it the resultant of the equality to have the complete resultant of the proposed system

$$(ab = 0) (a'c + b'd + 0).$$

The solution of the transformed inequality (which consequently involves the solution of the equality) is

x + (a'c' + ad')x + (bc + b'd)x'.

56. Formulas Peculiar to the Calculus of Propositions. —All the formulas which we have hitherto noted are valid alike for propositions and for concepts. We shall now establish a series of formulas which are valid only for propositions, because all of them are derived from an axiom peculiar to the calculus of propositions, which may be called the *principle of assertion*.

This axiom is as follows:

(Ax. X.) 
$$(a = 1) = a$$
.

P. I.: To say that a proposition a is true is to state the proposition itself. In other words, to state a proposition is to affirm the truth of that proposition.<sup>r</sup>

Corollary:

a' = (a' = 1) = (a = 0).

P. I.: The negative of a proposition a is equivalent to the affirmation that this proposition is false.

By Ax. IX (§ 20), we already have

$$(a = 1) (a = 0) = 0,$$

"A proposition cannot be both true and false at the same time", for

(Syll.) 
$$(a = 1) (a = 0) < (1 = 0) = 0.$$

<sup>r</sup> We can see at once that this formula is not susceptible of a conceptual interpretation (C. I.); for, if *a* is a concept, (*a* = 1) is a proposition, and we would then have a logical equality (identity) between a concept and a proposition, which is absurd.