## Part V Rankin-Selberg method and periods of modular forms

Hidenori Katsurada

## 0 Introduction

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(m) \exp(2\pi i m z)$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b(m) \exp(2\pi i m z)$  be cusp forms for  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . Then the Rankin-Selberg method gives an integral representation of the Dirichlet series, called the Rankin-Selberg convolution product, defined by

$$L(s, f, g) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(m) \overline{b(m)} m^{-s}.$$

This method was first introduced by Rankin [27] and Selberg [29] independently. Since then, it has fully developed for several types of modular forms, and has become one of the most useful tools for studying modular forms and their *L*-functions. In particular, it plays a very important role in proving analytic properties (meromorphy, functional equation etc.) of several automorphic *L*-functions. As for this, the reader is referred to excellent surveys by Bump [2] and [3].

In this paper, we give another application of the Rankin-Selberg method, which expresses the period of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform in terms of the special values of automorphic *L*-functions related to it. Here we mean by the period of a cusp form f the Petersson product  $\langle f, f \rangle$  of f in almost all cases. The main purposes of this paper are as follows:

- (1) to survey Petersson's formula for the period of an elliptic cusp form and its application;
- (2) to survey Kohnen-Zagier's formula for the period of a Hecke eigenform of half integral weight;
- (3) to give an outline of the proof of Ikeda's conjecture on the period of the Ikeda lift.

To explain them more precisely, first let f be a cusp form of integral weight k for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . Then, in Section 2, we give Petersson's formula, which expresses the period  $\langle f, f \rangle$  in terms of the residue of the Rankin-Selberg convolution product L(s, f, f) at s = k. (cf. Proposition 2.3.) This is due to Petersson [26]. As an application, we express  $\langle f, f \rangle$  in terms of the adjoint L-function of fevaluated at s = 1 in case f is a normalized Hecke eigenform (cf. Theorem 2.4.) This topic is rather elementary and well-known but instructive for our later investigation. So I will explain it precisely. Furthermore, we consider the algebraicity of the special values of several L-functions.

Next let f be a Hecke eigenform in the Kohnen plus subspace of cusp forms of weight k + 1/2 for  $\Gamma_0(4)$ , and S(f) the normalized Hecke eigenform of weight 2k for  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  corresponding to f under the Shimura correspondence. Then, in Section 3, we explain Kohnen-Zagier's formula, which expresses the ratio  $\frac{\langle S(f), S(f) \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle}$  of the periods in terms of the Fourier coefficient of f and the central critical value of the twisted Hecke *L*-function of S(f) (cf. Theorem 3.4.) This type of result